



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 169 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-3
TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY
CENTERIOR SERVICE COMPANY
AND
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1
DOCKET NO. 50-346

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated May 10, 1991 and supplemented December 21, 1991, the Toledo Edison Company (the licensee), requested changes to Technical Specifications (TS) 6.0, Administrative Controls, section 6.5.1.2, Station Review Board (SRB) Composition, by changing the number of members from eight to "at least six members." In addition, revisions to TS sections 6.3, 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.5.1.4 and 6.5.1.5 reflect organizational changes and are administrative in nature. The proposed changes are similar to those approved by Amendment Numbers 20 and 10, dated November 14, 1990 issued by the NRC staff to Houston Lighting and Power Company's South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, and Amendment Number 122, dated October 10, 1989 issued to Florida Power Corporation's Crystal River, Unit 3. The proposed changes are also consistent with the NRC Generic Letter (GL) 88-06 dated March 22, 1988, Removal of Organizational Charts from Technical Specification Administrative Control Requirements.

2.0 EVALUATION

TS 6.0 provides for the administrative controls relating to organization and management, procedures, recordkeeping, review and audit, and reporting necessary to assure safe operation of the plant. The SRB is currently composed of eight members, one of whom is designated as chairman. The licensee has stated that the SRB meets as required, but at least once a month, and advises the Plant Manager on matters relating to safety. Their specific responsibilities include review of plant administrative procedures and review of safety evaluations for procedure, changes to procedure, equipment or systems, and tests or experiments completed under provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 to verify that such actions do not constitute an unreviewed safety question. The SRB may recommend approval, disapproval, or other disposition of reviewed items.

The licensee has proposed that the composition description for the SRB be changed in TS 6.5.1.2 so that the specific position titles are not used to designate membership. This change would be consistent with the intent of GL 88-06 and provides greater flexibility to implement changes as required. The proposed change requires that SRB members be part of the onsite management organization. This ensures that, with the elimination of specific position titles, the SRB will continue to be comprised of personnel involved in daily plant activities, and who are experienced individuals with varied expertise. The proposed reduction in SRB members from eight to six is a result of eliminating duplicate representation from plant operations. There would be no adverse effect on safety since operations will still be a member of the board. The SRB will continue to be comprised of members from maintenance, planning, radiological controls, engineering and quality assurance as well as operations. The proposed SRB composition changes would eliminate the necessity of a license amendment request each time a specific position or department title which involves board members is changed. The licensee has stated that no new hardware changes are being made, no new testing is being created, and no new operating manipulations are being created by the proposed changes to TS 6.0. The staff has reviewed the proposed revision to TS 6.5.1.2 and finds that it would be acceptable for the SRB composition to be changed from eight to "at least six members." The additional proposed changes to TS 6.0, sections 6.3, 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.5.1.4, and 6.5.1.5 reflect organizational changes and are administrative in nature. The staff finds them to be acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Ohio State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment (56 FR 33962).

5.0 CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: J. Lombardo

Date: January 31, 1992