

Director
Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219

Technical Specification Change Request No. 120

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.59, 10 CFR 50.90 and 10 CFR 50.91, GPU Nuclear Corporation, operator of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16, requests changes to Appendix A of that license.

The subject Technical Specification Change Request revises figure 6.2.2 and Sections 6.3.1, 6.8.1, and 6.9.3.

The Technical Specification Change Request has been reviewed and approved by the Plant Review Group and the Independent Safety Review Process in accordance with Section 6.5 of the Oyster Creek Technical Specifications.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91 (b) (1), a copy of this Technical Specification Change Request has been sent to the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. In addition, we have determined that this submittal is Class II per 10 CFR 170.22 and have enclosed a check for \$1,200 pursuant to that section.

Very truly yours,

Vice President and Director

Oyster Creek

PBF:dam Enclosure

cc: Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

NRC Resident Inspector Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Forked River, NJ 98731

8406150153 840608 PDR ADOCK 05000219 P PDR Rec'd Week #1,200

GPU Nuclear Corporation

Writer's Direct Dial Number:

Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388

Post Office Box 388 Route 9 South

June 8, 1984

609 971-4000

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST NO. 120 DOCKET NO. 50-219

Applicant submits by this Technical Specification Change Request No. 120 to the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Technical Specifications, changes to Figure 6.2.2 and Sections 6.3.1, 6.8.1, and 6.9.3.

Ву

Peter B. Fledler

Vice President and Director

Oyster Creek

Sworn and subscribed to before me this

84

day of Sime , 1984.

Notary Public

DIANA A. MALDET A Notary Public of New Jersey My Commission Expires June 5, 1986

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF)
GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION)

DOCKET NO. 50-219

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of Technical Specification Change Request No. 120 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Technical Specifications, filed with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission on June 8, 1984, has this day of June 11, 1984, been served on the Mayor of Lacey Township, Ocean County, New Jersey by deposit in the United States mail, addressed as follows:


The Honorable Henry A. Delo, Jr.
Mayor of Lacey Township
818 West Lacey Road
Forked River, NJ 08731

Peter B. Fiedler

Vice President and Director

Oyster Creek

PBF:dam



GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388 Route 9 South Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388 609 971-4000 Writer's Direct Dial Number:

June 8. 1984

The Honorable Henry A. Delo, Jr. Mayor of Lacey Township 818 West Lacey Rc id Forked River, NJ 08731

Dear Mayor Delo:

Enclosed herewith is one copy of the Technical Specification Change Request No. 120 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Operating License.

This document was filed with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission on June 8 , 1984.

Very truly yours,

Peter B. Fledler

Vice President and Director

Oyster Creek

PrF:dam Enclosure

OYSTER CREEK GENERATING STATION PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16 DOCKET NO. 50-219 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST NO. 120

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91, an analysis concerning significant hazards considerations is provided below:

Sections to be changed:

Figure 6.2.2 and Sections 6.3.1, 6.8.1, and 6.9.3.

Extent of Changes:

See Attachment 1

3. Changes Requested:

See Attachment 1

4. Discussion:

The primary reason for this change request is to submit a Plant Engineering organization which is more responsive to the continuing operations and maintenance needs of the Oyster Creek facility and to enhance the administrative capabilities of the organization.

The reorganization will achieve this by:

- a. Reducing the number of direct interfaces to both the Plant Engineering Director and the Manager - Plant Engineering.
- b. Provide a three Manager structure with specific responsibilities in the areas of Operations, Maintenance, and Support.
- c. Provide direct interfaces within Plant Engineering for the related organizations of the Oyster Creek division and GPUN.
- d. Assigning specific responsibility to a dedicated group for discharging the administrative functions of Plant Engineering.

In order to achieve the above, the following changes to the Plant Engineering organization are being proposed:

The responsibilities presently under the cognizance of the "Manager - Plant Engineering" will be split into three specific areas.

Operations Engineering, Maintenance Engineering, and Support Engineering. Each of these disciplines will be headed by a respective Manager with appropriate Technical/Administrative backgrounds and capabilities required for the existing managerial position as specified in the Oyster Creek Technical Specification Facility Staff

Qualifications Section 6.3. The three Managers shall directly report to the Plant Engineering Director. This concept also provides increased time on the Managerial level for both Technical/Administrative support to the Supervisory/Engineering personnel.

This change is administrative based on the consideration that the technical/educational/administrative and experience requirements for the three managerial positions are not changed. Therefore, the related revision to Section 6.3 of the Technical Specifications require only a wording change for "Manager - Plant Engineering" to "Managers - Plant Engineering".

- The position title of Fire Protection Supervisor will be revised to Site Fire Protection Coordinator, which is a title change and is considered administrative only. This change is incorporated, based on the consideration that the position no longer directly supervises the activities of Fire Protection personnel. Technical responsibilities remain unchanged. This position reports to the Manager Support Engineering, but continues direct access to the Plant Engineering Director providing an additional level of technical support to this function.
- The position title of Manager Core Engineering will be revised to Core Manager. This is a title change and, therefore, administrative only. The technical responsibilities shall remain as presently specified in the Oyster Creek Technical Specifications Facility Staff Qualifications, Section 6.3. Also, the direct report for this position will be the Manager Operations Engineering. This organizational change relieves this position of a degree of administrative responsibilities and relinquishes this time to the discharge of technical duties. This also provides an additional level of technical expertise to the Plant Engineering Director.
- iv The position of Chemisty Manager no longer falls under the cognizance of Plant Engineering. This position now reports to the Plant Operations Director and is, therefore, deleted from Plant Engineering. Also, it should be noted the position now is titled Manager Plant Chemistry.

Another change that is being made within the organization at Oyster Creek is that the position of Manager, Radiological Controls has been upgraded to the Director level. The Technical Specification requirements for this position remain the same.

The third reason for this change request is to submit two (2) other changes to the administrative section of the Technical Specifications. These changes are an updating of the requirements for written procedures in effect for Oyster Creek and the addition of the NUREG-0737 requirement for a special report that is to be submitted after the failure of, or challenge to, Relief and Safety valves.

5. Determination

We have determined that the subject change request involves no significant hazards in that operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station in accordance with Technical Specification Change Request No. 120 would not:

- 1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
- 2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or
- 3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

This change request falls in to category (i), a purely administrative change to technical specifications, of Examples of Amendments That are Considered NOT Likely to Involve Significant Hazards Considerations (FR 14870/April 6, 1983)