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Transmittal No: CSC- 7845
Date: June 4, 1984

```
To: Stone & Webster
    P O Box 1963
    Midland, MI }4864
```

Attached Is: $\square$ Partial Response To
X Complete Response To
For Your Information
Other

## Description:



Item 6 of Module 120D list, regarding Welder ID Nos on new VIR not being consistent with old records.
$N / R_{\text {Signature: }}$


CC:
JGKeppler, NRC Region III w/a
JHarriscn, NRC Region III w/a
BLBurgess, NRC Site w/a
RoWels, MPQAD w/a
BHPeck, MEC w/a
NTReichel, MEC w/a
DDJohnson, MEC w/a
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Prior to the inception of the CCP, changes which necessitated rework would be implemented by construction without a formal notification to QC and without a formal "destatusing" of the existing inspection record to indicate that some of the completed attributes may have to be reinspected. After the rework was completed inspection would be requested and the existing record superseded by a new record. The times between initiation of change, completion of rework and reinspection could he substantial and since this process was interrupted by the work stoppage of December 1982 , some existing inspection records do not reflect the reworked condition in the field. Thus, while reinspecting under: the QVP, it is possible for the verification record to include data which are different from the existing record.

With the inception of the CCP all safety-relatcs work and rework is managed by use of the Construction Work Package, which integrates construction and $6 / 4 / 94$ and inspection activities. Prior to initiating rework a new inspection record is opened, thus reflecting the need for reinspection before the hardware is actually changed.


