

Westinghouse Electric Curporation **Energy Systems** 

Box 355 Pittsburgh Pennsylvania 15230-0355

NTD-NRC-95-4536 DCP/NRC0387 Docket No.: STN-52-003

August 22, 1995

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

ATTENTION: MR. T. R. QUAY

SUBJECT: AP600 MULTIPLE STEAM GENERATOR TUBE RUPTURE ANALYSIS IN SUPPORT OF RAIS 440.27 AND 440.170 (Revision 2)

Reference: NTD-NRC-95-4434, N. J. Liparulo to R. W. Borchardt, "AP600 Multiple Steam Generator Tube Rupture Analysis in Support of RAIs 440.27 and 440.170, Revision 1", April 6, 1995

Dear Mr. Quay:

Strachment to this letter provides a revision to analyses of multiple steam generator tube rupture ts for the AP600 design. This revision incorporates changes and sensitivities discussed with NRC during a meeting on April 25, 1995. This analysis is intended to address NRC questions on containment bypass and steam generator tube rupture related to the SECY-93-087 requirement to assess design features to mitigate containment bypass due to steam generator tube rupture and RAIs 440.027 and 440.170, which requested analyses of 2 through 5 tube SGTRs.

The attachment is identified as draft and will be finalized following the completion of discussions with NRC staff related to the SECY-93-087 requirements. Please contact Brian A. McIntyre on (412) 374-4334 if you have any questions concerning this transmittal.

N. J. Liparulo, Mahager Nuclear Safety Regulatory And Licensing Activities

/nja

Attachment

cc: W. Huffman, NRC
G. Hsii, NRC
T. Collins, NRC
R. Landry, NRC
B. A. McIntyre, Westinghouse (w/o enclosures/attachments)

25\*2A

DRAFT



1

#### AP600 Multiple Steam Generator Tube Rupture Analysis

#### 1.0 Introduction

This report provides an evaluation of multiple steam generator tube ruptures (multiple-SGTRs) in the AP600 design. The analysis of multiple-SGTRs is prepared in response to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's requests for additional information numbers 440.27 (reference 1) and 440.170 (reference 2) which recommend that beyond-design-basis best-estimate evaluations of one through five tube multiple-SGTRs be performed for the AP600 design. This evaluation is performed to demonstrate that the primary system automatic depressurization system (ADS) is not actuated and the secondary safety valves do not open to create a potential containment bypass pathway. These analyses are performed assuming no operator action. An additional analysis demonstrates that the core will remain covered and cooled throughout the multiple-SGTR accident scenario in the event that ADS is actuated manually. Sensitivity analyses which include the failure of the secondary system power-operated relief valves show that the operation of the PORV to depressurize the secondary system prevents the opening of the secondary safety valves.

#### 2.0 Methodology

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff has accepted the Westinghouse position on the multiple-SGTR issue. This position is documented in reference 3, which states, "The multiple steam generator tube rupture scenario should not be a design basis event. This event should be explicitly treated only in the risk assessment domain where best-estimate analyses are used to assess plant response to scenarios beyond the design basis events." Toward this end, an analysis of the one- through five-tube multiple-SGTRs is performed using the MAAP 4.0 accident analysis code (reference 4) to model the best-estimate thermal hydraulic response of the AP600 design. The break flow through the tube into the steam generator secondary system is calculated using the Henry-Fauske two-phase flow model, and the reactor coolant system response is modeled using 14 reactor vessel and loop nodes, and a one node 1600 ft<sup>3</sup> pressurizer. The steam generator secondary system is modeled as a single node. In the base cases best-estimate PRHR heat removal is modeled.



#### DRAFT



Important setpoints for the actuation of safety systems in this analysis are:

- core makeup tank injection begins due to pressurizer pressure, below 1850 psig (12.4 MPa),
- passive RHR heat exchanger is actuated on the same signal as the core makeup tank injection,
- automatic depressurization is actuated at core makeup tank level less than 13.1 feet (3.99 meters) from the CMT bottom.
- secondary system PORVs are fail closed valves which open at 1046 psia (7.21 MPa) and are reset (closed) when the pressure falls 25 psi below the opening setpoint,
- secondary system three safety valves open at 1100, 1130, and 1155 psia (7.58, 7.79 and 7.96 MPa) respectively.

All cases are assumed to begin from one hundred percent power. Startup feedwater provides two hundred gallons per minute flowrate to the steam generator secondary system with the level controlled to 535 inches (13.6 meters) in the steam generator downcomer. The base cases assume that 2 CMTs, 2 accumulators, 1 PRHR heat exchanger and ADS are available for operation. No other injection sources are credited. No operator actions are credited.

Sensitivity cases examine the performance of PRHR, elevation of the tube rupture, operator accuration of the ADS and failure of the secondary system PORVs to open.

#### 3.0 Base Analyses Results

This section presents the description of the MAAP 4.0 results from the analyses of onethrough five-tube multiple-steam generator tube rupture cases. A summary of the base case accident sequence timing is presented in Table 1.

#### 3.1 Case SG1 - One Tube SGTR

The AP600 one-tube SGTR case, SG1, is a double-ended guillotine break of one cold-side steam generator tubes at the tubesheet elevation. The MAAP4 results for case SG1 are presented in Figures 1 through 9. At time zero, the tube rupture occurs, relieving primary system water



DRAFT



into the broken steam generator (Figure 1). The RCS pressure (Figure 2) decreases and at 132 seconds the reactor scrams due to high steam generator narrow range level. The steam generator secondary system and the main feedwater system are isolated and startup feedwater is initiated. Steam generator pressure (Figure 3) increases to the setpoint of the secondary PORVs valves which begin relieving secondary steam to the environment at 221 seconds. Steam generator water level (Figure 4) increases due to startup feedwater injection and decreased heat transfer after reactor scram. The broken steam generator water level increases more than the unbroken steam generator due to the addition of primary system water, as well as feedwater.

The core makeup tank direct vessel injection line is opened to the RCS at 192 seconds (Figure 5) on a low pressurizer level signal. The CMT water injects in recirculation mode due to the density difference between the cold CMT water and the hot RCS water (Figure 6). As RCS water is drawn into the CMT, the CMT water temperature increases. The recirculation injection mode allows the CMT to inject without decreasing the water level in the tank (Figure 7).

The passive RHR heat exchanger is actuated by the CMT injection signal (Figure 8). The heat removal by the PRHR decreases and maintains the primary system and faulted steam generator pressure below the secondary system relief valve setpoints, stopping the loss of coolant from the system at 532 seconds. The flow between the primary and secondary systems fluctuates around zero (Figure 1), and the full primary system water level is maintained throughout the transient (Figure 9). The secondary safety valves do not open since the pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 3). The water level in the core makeup tank (Figure 7) does not decrease due to the recirculation injection mode. Therefore, automatic depressurization of the RCS does not occur.

#### 3.2 Case SG2 - Two Tube Multiple-SGTR

The AP600 two-tube SGTR case, SG2, is a double-ended guillotine break of two cold-side steam generator tubes at the tubesheet elevation. The MAAP4 results for case SG2 are presented in Figures 10 through 18. At time zero, the tube rupture occurs, relieving primary system water into the broken steam generator (Figure 10). The RCS pressure (Figure 11) decreases and at 92 seconds the reactor scrams due to high steam generator narrow range level. The steam generator secondary system and the main feedwater system are isolated and startup feedwater is initiated.



DRAFT



Steam generator pressure (Figure 12) increases to the setpoint of the secondary PORVs valves which begin relieving secondary steam to the environment at 152 seconds. Steam generator water level (Figure 13) increases due to startup feedwater injection and decreased heat transfer after reactor scram. The broken steam generator water level increases more than the unbroken steam generator due to the addition of primary system water, as well as feedwater.

The core makeup tank direct vessel injection line is opened to the RCS at 111 seconds (Figure 14) on a low pressurizer level signal. The CMT water injects in recirculation mode due to the density difference between the cold CMT water and the hot RCS water (Figure 15). As RCS water is drawn into the CMT, the CMT water temperature increases. The recirculation injection mode allows the CMT to inject without decreasing the water level in the tank (Figure 16).

The passive RHR heat exchanger is actuated by the CMT injection signal (Figure 17). The heat removal by the PRHR decreases and maintains the primary system and faulted steam generator pressure below the secondary system relief valve setpoints, stopping the loss of coolant from the system at 285 seconds. The flow between the primary and secondary systems fluctuates around zero (Figure 10), and the full primary system water level is maintained throughout the transient (Figure 18). The secondary safety valves do not open since the pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 12). The water level in the core makeup tank (Figure 16) does not decrease due to the recirculation injection mode. Therefore, automatic depressurization of the RCS does not occur.

#### 3.3 Case SG3 - Three Tube Multiple-SGTR

The AP600 three-tube SGTR case, SG3, is a double-ended guillotine break of three cold-side steam generator tubes at the tubesheet elevation. The MAAP4 results for case SG3 are presented in Figures 19 through 27. At time zero, the tube rupture occurs, relieving primary system water into the broken steam generator (Figure 19). The RCS pressure (Figure 20) decreases and at 74 seconds the reactor scrams due to high steam generator narrow range level. The steam generator secondary system and the main feedwater system are isolated and startup feedwater is initiated. Steam generator pressure (Figure 21) increases to the setpoint of the secondary PORVs which begin relieving secondary steam to the environment at 143 seconds. Steam generator water level (Figure 22) increases due to startup feedwater injection and decreased heat transfer after reactor



DRAFT



scram. The broken steam generator water level increases more than the unbroken steam generator due to the addition of primary system water, as well as feedwater.

The core makeup tank direct vessel injection line is opened to the RCS at 84 seconds (Figure 23) on a low pressurizer level signal. The CMT water injects in recirculation mode due to the density difference between the cold CMT water and the hot RCS water (Figure 24). As RCS water is drawn into the CMT, the CMT water temperature increases. The recirculation injection mode allows the CMT to inject without decreasing the water level in the tank (Figure 25).

The passive RHR heat exchanger is actuated by the CMT injection signal (Figure 26). The heat removal by the PRHR decreases and maintains the primary system and faulted steam generator pressure below the secondary system relief valve setpoints, stopping the loss of coolant from the system at 239 seconds. The flow between the primary and secondary systems fluctuates around zero (Figure 19), and the full primary system water level is maintained throughout the transient (Figure 27). The secondary safety valves do not open since the pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 21). The water level in the core makeup tank (Figure 25) does not decrease due to the recirculation injection mode. Therefore, automatic depressurization of the RCS does not occur.

#### 3.4 Case SG4 - Four Tube Multiple-SGTR

The AP600 four-tube SGTR case, SG4, is a double-ended guillotine break of four cold-side steam generator tubes at the tubesheet elevation. The MAAP4 results for case SG4 are presented in Figures 28 through 36. At time zero, the tube rupture occurs, relieving primary system water into the broken steam generator (Figure 28). The RCS pressure (Figure 29) decreases and at 58 seconds the reactor scrams due to high steam generator narrow range level. The steam generator secondary system and the main feedwater system are isolated and startup feedwater is initiated. Steam generator pressure (Figure 30) increases to the setpoint of the secondary PORVs valves which begin relieving secondary steam to the environment at 119 seconds. Steam generator water level (Figure 31) increases due to startup feedwater injection and decreased heat transfer after reactor scram. The broken steam generator water level increases more than the unbroken steam generator due to the addition of primary system water, as well as feedwater.



DRAFT



The core makeup tank direct vessel injection line is opened to the RCS at 67 seconds (Figure 32) on a low pressurizer level signal. The CMT water injects in recirculation mode due to the density difference between the cold CMT water and the hot RCS water (Figure 33). As RCS water is drawn into the CMT, the CMT water temperature increases. The recirculation injection mode allows the CMT to inject without decreasing the water level in the tank (Figure 34).

The passive RHR heat exchanger is actuated by the CMT injection signal (Figure 35). The heat removal by the PRHR decreases and maintains the primary system and faulted steam generator pressure below the secondary system relief valve setpoints, stopping the loss of coolant from the system at 185 seconds. The flow between the primary and secondary systems fluctuates around zero (Figure 28), and the full primary system water level is maintained throughout the transient (Figure 36). The secondary safety valves do not open since the pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 30). The water level in the core makeup tank (Figure 34) does not decrease due to the recirculation injection mode. Therefore, automatic depressurization of the RCS does not occur.

#### 3.5 Case SG5 - Fiv Lube Multiple-SGTR

The AP600 five-tube SGTR case, SG5, is a double-ended guillotine break of five cold-side steam generator tubes at the tubesheet elevation. The MAAP4 results for case SG5 are presented in Figures 37 through 45. At time zero, the tube rupture occurs, relieving primary system water into the broken steam generator (Figure 37). The RCS pressure (Figure 38) decreases and at 49 seconds the reactor scrams due to high steam generator narrow range level. The steam generator secondary system and the main feedwater system are isolated and startup feedwater is initiated. Steam generator pressure (Figure 39) increases to the setpoint of the secondary PORVs valves which begin relieving secondary steam to the environment at 107 seconds. Steam generator water level (Figure 40) increases due to startup feedwater injection and decreased heat transfer after reactor scram. The broken steam generator water level increases more than the unbroken steam generator due to the addition of primary system water, as well as feedwater.

The core makeup tank direct vessel injection line is opened to the RCS at 55 seconds (Figure 41) on a low pressurizer level signal. The CMT water injects in recirculation mode due to the density difference between the cold CMT water and the hot RCS water (Figure 42). As



DRAFT



RCS water is drawn into the CMT, the CMT water temperature increases. The recirculation injection mode allows the CMT to inject without decreasing the water level in the tank (Figure 43).

The passive RHR heat exchanger is actuated by the CMT injection signal (Figure 44). The heat removal by the PRHR decreases and maintains the primary system and faulted steam generator pressure below the secondary system relief valve setpoints, stopping the loss of coolant from the system at 132 seconds. The flow between the primary and secondary systems fluctuates around zero (Figure 37), and the full primary system water level is maintained throughout the transient (Figure 45). The secondary safety valves do not open since the pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 39). The water level in the core makeup tank (Figure 43) does not decrease due to the recirculation injection mode. Therefore, automatic depressurization of the RCS does not occur.

#### 4.0 Sensitivity Cases

The cases presented in this section examine variations in the plant conditions and in the modeling to demonstrate that the base results of the analysis are robust. The cases examine the sensitivity of the results to break elevation, and variations in the effectiveness of the PRHR heat removal. All the sensitivity cases will be based on the SG5 base case. A summary of the sensitivity case accident sequence timing is presented in Table 2.

#### 4.1 Case SG5B - Break Elevation Sensitivity

Case SG5B is initiated by a five-tube multiple SGTR at the top of the steam generator tube bundle. Each of the breaks in the base cases were assumed to occur at the top of the tubesheet. The results of the MAAP4 analysis are presented in Figures 46 through 54. As in the base case, the CMTs remain full of water throughout the transient (Figure 52) and the PRHR heat removal (Figure 53) stops the loss of coolant though the break. Therefore, no ADS actuation is predicted. The pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 48). The results do not show a sensitivity to break elevation.



DRAFT



## 4.2 Case SG5max - Maximum PRHR Heat Exchanger Performance

Case SG5max is initiated by a five-tube multiple SGTR at the top of the tubesheet. The maximum expected PRHR performance is modeled. The base cases model best-estimate PRHR performance. The MAAP4 results of the analysis are presented in Figures 55 through 63. As in the base cases, the CMTs remain full of water throughout the transient (Figure 61) and the PRHR heat removal (Figure 62) stops the loss of coolant through the break. Therefore, no ADS actuation is predicted. The pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 57). The results do not show a sensitivity to the increase in PRHR heat removal.

## 4.3 Case SG5min - Minimum PRHR Heat Exchanger Performance

Case SG5min is initiated by a five-tube multiple SGTR at the top of the steam generator tubesheet. The heat removal capability of the PRHR heat exchanger is assumed to be the minimum expected performance. The base cases model best-estimate PRHR performance. The MAAP4 results of the analysis are presented in Figures 64 through 72. As in the base case, the CMT remains full of water throughout the transient (Figure 70) and the heat removal of the PRHR (Figure 71) stops the loss of coolant through the break. Therefore, no ADS actuation is predicted. The pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the safety valve actuation pressure throughout the entire accident scenario (Figure 66). The results do not show a sensitivity to a significant decrease in PRHR heat removal.

#### 5.0 Case SG5A - Manual ADS Actuation

This section presents a variation of the multiple-SGTR case in which the operator manually actuates the automatic depressurization system. This case is presented to show that the actuation of the ADS system will not cause the core to uncover in the multiple-SGTR accident scenario.

Case SG5A is initiated by a five-tube multiple SGTR at the top of the steam generator tubesheet. The operator is assumed to manually depressurize the RCS at 1800 seconds. This time was chosen as a point in which the SG5 base case had become relatively stabilized. Both flow paths of each of the first three ADS stages are assumed to open sequentially. Two of four stage four ADS valves are assumed to open. The results of the analysis are presented in Figures 73 through 81.



DRAFT



The transient progresses like the base case until 1800 seconds when the operator manually actuates the ADS system. The first stage flow paths are open at 1865 seconds causing the pressure in the primary system and faulted steam generator to fall (Figure 74). As the RCS pressure falls, water from the faulted steam generator secondary flows into the RCS (Figure 73). During this backflow from the steam generator, the accumulators and the CMTs (Figures 77 and 78) are injecting into the downcomer, providing boration to the RCS. The second and third stage flow paths open at 1980 and 2100 seconds, respectively. The accumulator water is depleted at 2580 seconds. The CMT injection continues throughout the draining of the faulted steam generator into the RCS.

The fourth stage flow path opens when the CMT water level (Figure 79) reaches a low-low setpoint at 3771 seconds. IRWST injection begins at approximately 5500 seconds. The RCS water level never falls below the top of the core.

## 6.0 Failure of the Secondary PORVs to Open

Each of the base one through five tube multiple-SGTR cases is analyzed assuming the failure of both of the secondary PORVs to open. The results of these cases are presented in Figures 82 through 126. A summary of the accident sequence timing for the PORV failure cases is presented in Table 3. In each of the cases, the failure of the PORVs allowed the secondary pressure to increase to the 1100 psig opening setpoint of the secondary safety valve. Following opening of the secondary safety valve the event progressed to a stable state in the same fashion as cases in which the steam generator PORVs were modeled to open.

#### 7.0 Conclusions

One- through five-tube multiple steam generator tube rupture cases are analyzed with MAAP 4.0 without crediting any operator actions. The base cases presented in section 3 show that the heat removal by the passive RHR stops the loss of coolant from the primary and secondary systems, and the CMT level is maintained throughout the transients since there is no cold leg voiding to break the siphon in the balance line. The CMT injects in recirculation mode with no reduction in level. Therefore, no automatic ADS signal is generated by a low level in the CMT. In each case, the secondary system PORV opens and the pressure in the faulted steam generator remains below the secondary side safety valve opening setpoint. Therefore, the safety valve remains closed throughout the analyses demonstrating that the AP600 is not susceptible to



DRAFT



containment bypass due to a stuck open safety valve consequential to a multiple-SGTR. The sensitivity analyses presented in section 4 show that the conclusions are also valid considering high and low variations in passive RHR heat removal capacity and location of the tube rupture.

In the event that ADS is actuated manually during the accident sequence, the analysis in section 5 shows that the core remains covered throughout the accident. The accumulators and the CMTs provide boration to the RCS during the backflow of water from the faulted steam generator as the RCS depressurizes. IRWST water injection, and later, passive containment cooling water recirculation will maintain water coverage of the core over the long term. No core damage is predicted.

## 8.0 References

- Letter, Thomas J. Kenyon (NRC) to Nicholas J. Liparulo (Westinghouse), Dated September 23, 1992.
- 2. Letter, Thomas J. Kenyon (NRC) to Nicholas J. Liparulo (Westinghouse), Dated June 1, 1994.
- 3. Letter ET-NRC-92-3748, N.J. Liparulo to Dr. Ivan Selin dated September 17, 1992.
- EPRI Research Project Number 3131-02, "MAAP4 Modular Accident Analysis Program for LWR Power Plants Computer Code Manual," May 1994.



DRAFT



					Table 1					
Summary	of	AP600	Multiple	Steam	Generator	Tube	Rupture	Base	Case	Analyses

	Number of Failed Tubes							
	1	2	3	4	5			
Time of Reactor Trip	132 sec	92 sec	74 sec	58 sec	49 sec			
Time of CMT Actuation	192 sec	111 sec	84 sec	67 sec	55 sec			
Time of PRHR Actuation	202 sec	115 sec	85 sec	68 sec	57 sec			
Time SG PORV Open	222 sec	152 sec	143 sec	119 sec	107 sec			
Time SG Relief Terminated	536 sec	286 sec	239 sec	185 sec	132 sec			



DRAFT



# Table 2 Summary of AP600 Multiple Steam Generator Tube Rupture Sensitivity Case Analyses Five Tube Multiple-SGTR

	Case						
	Base	PRHR Max	PRHR Min	Break Elevation			
Time of Reactor Trip	49 sec	49 sec	49 sec	49 sec			
Time of CMT Actuation	55 sec	55 sec	55 sec	55 sec			
Time of PRHR Actuation	57 sec	57 sec	57 sec	57 sec			
Time SG PORV Open	107 sec	107 sec	107 sec	107 sec			
Time SG Relief Terminated	132 sec	132 sec	131 sec	132 sec			



DRAFT



				Table 3					
Summary of	AP600	Multiple	Steam	Generator	Tube	Rupture	PORV	Failure	Analyses

	Number of Failed Tubes							
	1	2	3	4	5			
Time of Reactor Trip	132 sec	92 sec	74 sec	58 sec	49 sec			
Time of CMT Actuation	192 sec	111 sec	84 sec	67 sec	55 sec			
Time of PRHR Actuation	202 sec	115 sec	85 sec	68 sec	57 sec			
Time SG Safety Valve Open	439 sec	246 sec	210 sec	163 sec	153 sec			



AP600 1 Tube Cold Side SGTR at Tubesheet Tube Rupture Break Flow









\*

... Figure 7

AP600 1 Tube Cold Side SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Level



AP600 1 Tube Cold Side SGTR at Tubesheet PRHR Heat Removal

----- PRHR

--- Decay Heat



AP600 1 Tube Cold Side SGTR at Tubesheet RCS Water Level



AP600 2 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet Tube Rupture Break Flow





## ... Figure 12



7.0 1000 2000 3000 4000 Time (sec)



AP600 2 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Mass Flowrates





AP600 2 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet RCS and CMT Water Temperatures

\_\_\_\_\_ RCS Core Water

--- CMT Water



AP600 2 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Level



.



٩

d

.

AP600 2 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet RCS Water Level



AP600 3 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet Tube Rupture Break Flow



AP600 3 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures RCS

- --- Faulted SG
- ---- Unfaulted SG



AP600 3 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet Faulted Steam Generator Pressure

- \_\_\_\_ Faulted SG
- ---- Safety Valve Setpoint
- --- PORV Setpoint





1.11

AP600 3 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Mass Flowrates

\_\_\_\_ Dischage Line

---- Balance Line










ot Tubesheet SGTR Level 3 Tube Cold Side Mul RCS Water AP600



AP600 4 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet Tube Rupture Break Flow



Χ.

AP600 4 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures RCS

---- Faulted SG

---- Unfaulted SG





c )



### ...Figure 32

AP600 4 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Mass Flowrates

— Dischage Line

---- Balance Line



AP600 4 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet RCS and CMT Water Temperatures

RCS Core Water

--- CMT Water



AP600 4 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Level



AP600 4 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet PRHR Heat Removal

PRHR

--- Decay Heat







AP600 5 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet Tube Rupture Break Flow



.

AP600 5 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures RCS ---- Faulted SG ---- Unfaulted SG







.



.

•AP600 5 Tube Cold Side Multiple SGTR at Tubesheet CMT Water Mass Flowrates



---- Balance Line









•



.....

. ...





AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Break Elevation Sensitivity RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures

RCS

--- Foulted SG

---- Unfaulted SG







AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Break Elevation Sensitivity CMT Water Mass Flowrates

— Dischage Line

--- Balance Line





5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Break Elevation Sensitivity CMT Water Level AP600



AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Break Elevation Sensitivity PRHR Heat Removal



--- Decay Heat







AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Mox PRHR HX Sensitivity Tube Rupture Break Flow



AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Max PRHR HX Sensitivity RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures RCS ---- Faulted SG ---- Unfaulted SG









.



AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Max PRHR HX Sensitivity






---- PRHR ---- Decay Heat







AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Min PRHR HX Sensitivity Tube Rupture Break Flow



.

AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Min PRHR HX Sensitivity RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures RCS RCS RCS RCS

- ---- Unfaulted SG







AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Min PRHR HX Sensitivity





---- Balance Line





1. 6.07











ε.

AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Monual ADS at 1800 sec Tube Rupture Break Flow





AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Manual ADS at 1800 sec Faulted Steam Generator Pressure

- ----- Foulted SG
- ---- Safety Valve Setpoint
- --- PORV Setpoint





κ.

.

AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Manual ADS at 1800 sec CMT Water Mass Flowrates

\_\_\_\_ Dischage Line

---- Balance Line



AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Manual ADS at 1800 sec Total Accumulator Injection Mass Flowrate



AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Manual ADS at 1800 sec IRWST injection Mass Flowrate







APôOO 5 Tube Multiple SGTR - Manual ADS at 1800 sec RCS Water Level



Ľ,

AP600 1 Tube SGTR with PORV Failure Tube Rupture Break Flow



AP600 1 Tube SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures

- ---- Faulted SG
- ---- Unfaulted SG







# AP600 1 Tube SGTR with PORV Failure CMT Water Mass Flowrates

- \_\_\_\_ Dischage Line
- ---- Balance Line



--- CMT Water



AP600 1 Tube SGTR with PORV Failure CMT Water Level



AP600 1 Tube SGTR with PORV Failure PRHR Heat Removal

PRHR

--- Decay Heat



AP600 1 Tube SGTR with PORV Failure RCS Water Level



AP600 2 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure Tube Rupture Break Flow



AP600 2 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures

RCS

- --- Faulted SG
- ---- Unfaulted SG





.

AP600 2 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure Steam Generator Downcomer Water Level

- Faulted SG
- ---- Unfaulted SG





AP600 2 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and CMT Water Temperatures .

— RCS Core Water

--- CMT Water






AP600 2 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure PRHR Heat Removal

- PRHR
- ---- Decoy Heat



AP600 2 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS Water Level



AP600 3 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure Tube Rupture Break Flow



AP600 3 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures

- RCS
- ---- Faulted SG
- ---- Unfaulted SG







# AP600 3 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure CMT Water Mass Flowrates







--- CMT Water



AP600 3 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure CMT Water Level . .





PRHR

--- Decay Heat



AP600 3 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS Water Level



AP600 4 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure Tube Rupture Break Flow



AP600 4 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures RCS RCS RCS ---- Faulted SG ---- Unfaulted SG









- \_\_\_\_ Dischage Line
- --- Balance Line





AP600 4 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and CMT Water Temperatures

riguis II.

AP600 4 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure CMT Water Level





PRHR

--- Decay Heat











AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and Secondary Systems Pressures ----- RCS ----- Faulted SG

---- Unfaulted SG









- \_\_\_\_ Dischage Line
- ---- Balance Line





AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS and CMT Water Temperatures

RCS Core Water





AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure PRHR Heat Removal

PRHR

--- Decay Heat



AP600 5 Tube Multiple SGTR with PORV Failure RCS Water Level .

