

The Light company

Houston Lighting & Power

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

January 30, 1992
ST-HL-AE-3987
File No.: G26
10CFR50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

South Texas Project
Unit 2
Docket No. STN 50-499
Licensee Event Report 91-010
Regarding Automatic Reactor Trip and Safety
Injection Actuation due to Low Pressurizer Pressure

Pursuant to 10CFR50.73, Houston Lighting & Power (HL&P) submits the attached Licensee Event Report 91-010 regarding an automatic Reactor Trip and actuation of the Safety Injection system due to low pressurizer pressure. This event did not have adverse impact on the health and safety of the public.

An extension of the due date for this LER to January 30, 1992, was granted on January 23, 1992, by Mr. A. Howell of NRC, Region IV.

If you should have any questions on this matter, please contact Mr. C. A. Ayala at (512) 972-8628 or me at (512) 972-7205.

William J. Jump
William J. Jump
Manager,
Nuclear Licensing

JMP/lf

Attachment: LER 91-010 (South Texas, Unit 2)

9202030182 920130
PDR ADDOCK 05000499
S PDR

LER:92013001.U2

A Subsidiary of Houston Industries Incorporated

JE 22

Houston Lighting & Power Company
South Texas Project Electric Generating Station

ST-HL-AE-3987
File No.: G26
Page 2

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region IV
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011

Rufus S. Scott
Associate General Counsel
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 61867
Houston, TX 77208

George Dick, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

INPO
Records Center
1100 Circle 75 Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

J. I. Tapia
Senior Resident Inspector
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
P. O. Box 910
Bay City, TX 77414

Dr. Joseph M. Hendrie
50 Bellport Lane
Bellport, NY 11713

J. R. Newman, Esquire
Newman & Holtzinger, P.C.
1615 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

D. K. Lacker
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756-3189

D. E. Ward/T. M. Puckett
Central Power and Light Company
P. O. Box 2121
Corpus Christi, TX 78403

J. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee
City of Austin
Electric Utility Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767

K. J. Fiedler/M. T. Hardt
City Public Service Board
P. O. Box 1771
San Antonio, TX 78296

Revised

10/11/91

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-830), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) **South Texas, Unit 2** DOCKET NUMBER (2) **0 5 0 0 0 4 9 9 1** PAGE (3) **0 7**

TITLE (4) **Automatic Reactor Trip and Safety Injection Actuation due to Low Pressurizer Pressure**

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)													
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)												
1	2	4	9	1	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	9	2		0	5	0	0	0

OPERATING MODE (9) **1** THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more of the following) (11):

20.402(b)	20.405(c)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	60.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)
20.405(a)(1)(iii)	60.58(c)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	60.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)
20.405(a)(1)(iv)	60.58(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/>	60.73(a)(2)(vi)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 386A)
20.405(a)(1)(v)	60.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/>	60.73(a)(2)(vii)(A)	
20.405(a)(1)(vi)	60.73(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/>	60.73(a)(2)(vii)(B)	
20.405(a)(1)(vii)	60.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/>	60.73(a)(2)(viii)	

POWER LEVEL (10) **0 1 6**

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Charles Ayala - Supervising Licensing Engineer	5 1 2 9 7 2 - 8 6 2 8
AREA CODE	

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRCDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRCDS	
A	A	B	P	C	V	W	1	2	0	NO

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO

EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)

MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On December 24, 1991, at 1644 hours, Unit 2 was operating at 30% Rated Thermal Power (RTP) when pressurizer spray valve PCV-655C failed open. This ultimately caused an automatic reactor trip and Safety Injection (SI) actuation on low pressure at 1648 hours from 16% RTP. Three Reactor Coolant Pumps (RCPs) were secured to terminate the transient. All available safety equipment performed as designed and no actual injection to the reactor occurred. The cause was disengagement of the feedback arm linkage to the valve stem connecting plate on the pressurizer spray valve controller. Locking nuts were added to the spray valve feedback arm linkage connecting screws. Ongoing corrective actions include improving maintenance work instructions, conducting plant management reviews with personnel to discuss the event, and providing training on lessons learned from the event.

LER\92013001.U2

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

LER NUMBER (6)

PAGE (3)

South Texas, Unit 2

0 5 0 0 0 4 9 9 9 1 - 0 1 0 - 0 0 0 2 OF 7

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 386A's) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

At 1644 hours on December 24, 1991, while Unit 2 was at 30% Rated Thermal Power (RTP), both pressurizer spray valves were modulating to control pressure. Pressurizer heaters 2D and 2E were in the ON position, and heater 2C was in the automatic position. The feedback arm linkage on Pressure Control Valve (PCV) PCV-655C, Loop A spray valve, became disengaged from the valve stem connecting plate. This caused the available Instrument Air to be ported to the valve actuator forcing the spray valve to the open position. Spray flow increased causing the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) pressure to decrease. As pressure decreased, backup heaters 2A and 2B energized, activating a control room annunciator.

In response, a licensed operator verified that the pressurizer heaters were on, that pressure indication instruments were operating properly (reading approximately 2180 psig), and that demand on spray valves was at 0%. However, the operator noticed that the "red" open indication light was still present on both spray valves. The operator placed the two spray valve controllers in manual and verified that there was no demand on the controllers.

The operators anticipated that securing two reactor coolant pumps in the loops feeding pressurizer spray would stop the depressurization transient. The Unit Supervisor (US) and Shift Supervisor (SS) ordered a power reduction to less than 10% power to enable the two reactor coolant pumps to be tripped without generating an automatic reactor trip. A low pressurizer pressure automatic reactor trip occurred at 16% RTP and 1648 hours, before the downpower maneuver could be completed. A safety injection, accompanied by a containment isolation, also occurred at this time. RCPs 2A and 2D were stopped manually.

The operators implemented Emergency Operating Procedures and stabilized the plant. The SI and Phase A isolation signals were reset and instrument air was resupplied to the Reactor Containment Building. Due to the mode of failure of spray valve PCV-655C the valve again failed open on resupply of instrument air. Reopening of spray valve PCV-655C initiated a second depressurization event. RCP 2B was secured and the transient was terminated at 1742 hours. The unit was returned to normal operating pressure and temperature.

LER\92013001.U2

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20545, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) South Texas, Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 4 9 9 9 1	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
			0 1 0	0 0	0 3	OF 0 7

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT: (Continued)

The initiation of the Safety Injection System did not inject coolant into the RCS because the minimum pressure reached was 1725 psig and the shutoff head of the High Head Safety Injection (HHSI) pumps is 1680 psig (plus 20 psig suction pressure).

Loop A spray valve, PCV-655C, was found with the feedback arm linkage disengaged from the valve stem connecting plate. Loop D spray valve PCV-655B indication limit switch was found to be out of adjustment. The feedback arm linkage on PCV-655C was reattached to the threaded connecting plate and a locking nut was added. The limit switch on PCV-655B was adjusted and a locking nut was added to the feedback arm linkage. Both spray valve controllers were then calibrated, stroked, and observed to stroke fully and smoothly. Additionally, the valve open position indication lights were verified to operate properly.

Unit 1 was inspected on December 30, 1991, and both pressurizer spray valves were found to have a similar feedback arm linkage arrangement to that found in Unit 2. On December 31, 1991, the screw on each Unit 1 spray valve linkage arrangement was replaced with a longer screw and locking nuts.

Investigation of the event identified that the last maintenance work package relevant to the spray valve feedback linkage prior to this event was worked in early December, 1991, near the end of the Unit 2 refueling outage. The work instructions did not provide specific details for how to disassemble and reassemble the feedback linkage, nor did they include a copy of the vendor manual drawing. The Configuration Change Log indicates that the controller was disconnected and verified to be disconnected, and that upon completion of the valve work, the controller was reconnected and verified to be reconnected.

This work package provided instructions for checking the limit switch/position indication settings for spray valve PCV-655B. The indications were verified to operate properly. Subsequent to the maintenance being performed, limit switch settings for PCV-655B went out of adjustment providing the control room with a false open signal.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 600 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503

FACILITY NAME (1) South Texas, Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 4 9 9 9 1 - 0 1 0 - 0 0 0 4	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
					0 4	OF 0 7

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT: (Continued)

The pressurizer spray valve controller attachment as-built configuration differs from the configuration depicted in the applicable vendor documentation. Although this discrepancy did not contribute directly to this event, it indicates that Station configuration management of this type of actuator should be addressed.

The time between the event initiation (2235 psig and decreasing) and the automatic reactor trip was 281 seconds. Even though power was being reduced rapidly, review determined that the operators should have initiated a manual reactor trip before the automatic system was challenged. With existing plant indications, duration of the continuing transients and need for repair of the malfunctioned spray valves, operators should have recognized the need for a conservative judgement to manually trip the reactor. Operator training emphasizes the responsibility to manually initiate a reactor trip and/or other ESF actuation to avoid relying on automatic functions.

CAUSE OF EVENT:

The immediate cause of the depressurization event was the disengagement of the feedback arm linkage from the pressurizer spray valve controller. The root cause of the event was maintenance personnel error due to not adequately tightening the linkage and not adequately verifying that the linkage had been properly reassembled. The root cause of the automatic reactor trip was the operators' failure to properly assess Plant conditions and manually trip the reactor prior to the automatic trip.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST, 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) South Texas, Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 4 9 9 9 1 - 0 1 0 - 0 0 0 5	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

Reactor trips and safety injections are reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

The Unit 2 depressurization transient is bounded by the Inadvertent Opening of a Pressurizer Safety or Relief Valve event discussed in Section 15.6.1 of the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). The results of the analysis show no fuel failure occurs as a result of the depressurization.

The causes of the event are known and the plant responded as designed. However, as discussed in the Description section, the pressurizer spray response under various RCP operation combinations was not expected and must be fully addressed in plant documents and procedures.

Westinghouse guidance used for development of operating procedures and training indicates that stopping the RCP in an affected spray loop will stop spray flow and depressurization. This guidance did not consider the impact of the fourteen (14) foot core and 8000 horsepower (hp) RCP motors at the South Texas Project (STP). STP hydraulic studies, after the event, indicate that the large core and RCP motors require that three RCPs be secured, as in this event, before pressurizer spray flow is open. The South Texas Project units are the only fourteen foot Westinghouse cores in the United States.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

In response to the plant trip, the following actions have been or are being taken:

1. Locking nuts were added to spray valve feedback arm linkage connecting screws in both units. This action is expected to preclude feedback arms from disengaging in the future.
2. An operating policy statement has been issued regarding operation during off-normal and emergency conditions which requires operators to avoid unnecessary challenges to and reliance upon plant actuation systems. The policy will help to ensure that a conservative approach is used by Operations to avoid automatic plant trips.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) South Texas, Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0500049991-010-0006 OF 07	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: (Continued)

3. The Plant Manager will review this event with Shift Supervisors and emphasize management's policy regarding operation during off-normal and emergency conditions. This will be completed by March 6, 1992.
4. To reinforce the lessons learned from this event, which include management expectation that operators avoid reliance on automatic trip functions and lack of attention to detail in proper reassembly of the controller, training shall be provided through the following programs:

 - Licensed Operator Initial and Regualification (Requal)
 - Non-Licensed Operator Initial and Requal
 - Management and Technical Staff new and continuing training
 - Maintenance I&C, Electrical, Mechanical continuing training

The last scheduled training session will be completed by July 31, 1992.
5. Maintenance planners will be directed, through a Planner's Guide, to include relevant vendor manual pages (or highlight the relevant pages if supplying the entire manual) with work packages and to direct the performer to stop work if the field installation is different and document the deviation as a non-conformance report. The Planner's Guide will be issued by January 31, 1992. Plant procedures shall be revised by April 30, 1992, to formally implement this requirement.
6. To verify proper configuration control, Engineering shall inspect a sampling of other pneumatic valve actuators and attached controllers, compare them to the vendor manuals (design documents), and verify the adequacy of the installations. This task will be completed prior to the end of the next refueling outage for each Unit.
7. Hydraulic studies have been completed to explain and establish the reactor coolant pump (RCP) configuration required to stop spray flow under conditions of spray valve failure. The appropriate plant documents, procedures, and training will be identified; any changes will be scheduled for implementation by February 14, 1992.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) South Texas, Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 4 9 9 9 1	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
		9 1	- 0 1 0	- 0 0	0 7	OF 0 7

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The feedback arm linkage described in this event is a Bailey Control Systems, type AP2 Characterizable Pneumatic Positioner. The valve to which it is connected is manufactured by Fisher. The complete assembly was provided to South Texas by Westinghouse.

Unit 2 LER 90-012 documents an investigation into a feedwater regulating valve feedback arm linkage disengagement event at South Texas Unit 2 which resulted in a steam generator overflow and feedwater isolation event. The cause of LER 90-012 was inadequate maintenance. The corrective actions were limited to inspections and adjustment of feedwater regulating valves and feedwater regulating bypass valves, and a maintenance training bulletin on the "Importance of Proper Tightening of Terminations and Hardware Fasteners". The corrective action taken for LER 90-012 did not prevent this event from occurring. Prior to this event the corrective action program at South Texas was under review by executive management. A recommendation to revise the program is being implemented to create a new corrective action group reporting to the Plant Manager utilizing Event Response Teams for significant plant events.

Spray valve transients have occurred at Crystal River (1991), Diablo Canyon (1990), and Indian Point (1984 & 1985). Some of these events involved mechanical binding caused by valve stem deformation. Others involved disengagement of feedback arms.

HL&P has requested that Westinghouse review this event for 10CFR21 reportability in light of similar incidents in the industry. In response, Westinghouse has formally initiated a Potential Issue evaluation.