Southern Nuclear Operating Company Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Telephone 205 868 5086

> Southern Nuclear Operating Company the southern cleatric system

 Woodard President Jy Project

January 27, 1992

10 CFR 50.73

Lockat No. 50-348

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Crseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1 Licensee Event Report No. LER 91-012-00

Gentlemen:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Licensee Event Report No. LER 91-012-00 is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73. If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

Woodard

JDU/BHW:map 1804

Enclosure

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. G. F. Maxwell

9202030167 PDR ADOCK 5

U.S. KOLLEAR REGULATORY COM					RY COMMISSION	OMMISSION APPROVED ONU NO. 3150-0104 EXPIR55: 4/30/92						
5 A .	LICI	INDER EV	ENT R	EPOR	r. (1	JER)						
ACILITY FAME (1) Joseph M. Farley Flant - Unit 1					0 5 0 0 3 4 8 1							
Procedural Ina	dequa	cies for Ve	rifying	the I	nterl	ock Act	ion of the RHR Sy	stem From	the RCS			
SVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPJRT DATE (7)]					OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)							
TONTH DAY YEAR	YEAR SEQ NUM REV			MONTH DAY		YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)			
					1.1.1		J. M. Farley-Uni	t 2	0 2 0 0 0 3 6 4			
1 2 2 9 9 1	91	012	00	01	27	92			05000			
OPERATING	THIS	REPORT 15 SI	BMITTED	PURSUA	NT IO	THE REQ	UIREMENTS OF 10 CFR	(11)	And an other property of the second se			
MODE (9) 9	20	1.402(0)		20.4	05(c)	and stated to be a class of	50.73(a)(2	(IV)	73.71(b)			
OWER	20	.405(a)(1)(13	50.3	6(0)(1	1	50.73(a)(2	(Y)	73,71(c)			
LEVEL 100	20	20.405(a)(1)(ii) X 20.405(a)(1)(iii) X 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 20.405(a)(1)(v)			6(0)(2)	50.73(a)(2	(vii)	OTHER (Specify in			
	20				3(a)(2	2(1)	50.73(a)(2	(viii)(A)	A) Abstract below) 5)			
	20				3(a)(2	2(11)	50.73(a)(2	(viii)(B)				
	150				3(8)(2)(111)	50.73(a)(2)(x)				
I ME			LILENS	CE CUN	INCL	UK INIS	CEN (IE)	1	LEDUNCE DUMBER			
innt.								AREA CODE	LEFRUNE NUMBER			
D N Maximum Car		Management	March 1999	Dimet				SOL	ann ever			
D. N. Morey, Ge	ner u	COMPLETE :	NUCLEAR	Flant	H FAT	LINE DE	CRIBED IN THIS RED	200	033-3130			
energy and a second		T HANNELE	DEP-201	I I	our car	LUNE DE	Providence and		Treners I			
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPO	ONENT	TURER	TO NPRO	s		CAUSI	SYSTEM COMPONENT	TURER	TO NPRDS			
				1.1		18.54						
and a second second second	-											
		1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	12.11	1.1					The second second			
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT SXPECTED (14)					MONTH DAY							
And the second s								SUBMISSIO	N			
YESC / Yes, c.	aplet	e EXPECTED S	UBMISSION	DATE	2 []	X NO		DATE (15)				
BSTRACI (IT												

On 12-29-31, during a procedure review, it was discovered that FNP-2-STP-11.5, "RHR Suction Valve Automatic Isolation 1 t", did not include a verification that the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) loop to Residual Heat Removal (RHR) pump suction valves Q2E11MOV8701A, Q2E11MOV8701B, Q2E11MOV8702A and Q2E11MOV8702B could not } opened from the main control board (MCB) when RCS pressure is above the autom closure setpoint (700 psig). The verification of this interlock is part 3 required surveillance testing associated with Technical Specif 4.5.2. In addition, prior to 3-1-88 this requirement had not been incorpe and into FNP-1-STP-11.5 for the corresponding system in Unit 1 and therefy as surveillance had not been performed.

This event was caused by procedural inadequacy.

FNP-2-STP-11.5 has been revised to provide verification of the interlock and to include this as an acceptance criterion. Unit 1 procedure FNP-1-STP-11.5 previously contained a step to verify the interlock but not as part of the acceptance criteria. The Unit 1 procedure has also been revised to include verification of the interlock as an acceptance criterion.

(6-69) LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUATION	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION				APPROVED CHE NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LE	PAGE (3)									
		YEAR	SEQ NUM	REV	and a second second	T						
Joseph M. Farley Plant - Unit 1	05000348	9 1	012	0.0	2 0	3						

Plant and System Identification

Westinghouse · Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

Summary of Event

On 12-29-91, during a procedure review, it was discovered that FNF-2-STF-11.5, "RHR Suction Valve Automatic Tsolation Test", did not include a verification that the RCS loop to RHR pump suction valves Q2E11MOV8701A, Q2E11MOV8701B, Q2E11MOV8702A and Q2E11MOV8702B [BF] could not be opened from the MCB when RCS pressure is above the automatic closure setpoint (700 psig). The verification of this interlock is part of the required surveillance testing associated with Technical Specification 4.5.2. In addition, prior to 3-1-88 this requirement had not been incorporated into FNF-1-STF-11.5 for the corresponding system in Unit 1 and therefore the surveillance had not been performed.

Description of Event

On 12-29-91, a senior reactor operator was performing procedure reviews of FNP-1-STP-11.5 and FNP-2-STP-11.5. During the reviews it was noted that the Unit 1 procedure erified that the RCS loop to RHR pump suction valves were interlocked closed following automatic closure at a pressure between 700 and 750 psig. The Unit 2 procedure did not verify this interlock function for valves Q2E11MOV8701A, Q2E11MOV8701B, Q2E11MOV8702A and Q2E11MOV8702B as required per Technical Specification 4.5.2.

Bistables connected to the RCS pressure transmitters isolate the RHR system from the RCS by operating relay contacts which close the isolation valves at 700 psig and prevent them from opening at pressures above 402.5 psig. Upon discovery of the potential procedure inadequacy, maintenance work requests (MWRs) were generated and the interlock contacts for the bistables set at 402.5 psig were verified open for all four Unit 2 isolation valves. With the contacts open, the valves cannot be opened with the MCB handswitch.

Additional investigation on 12-29-91 revealed that Instrumentation and Control (I&C) surveillance procedures FNP-2-STP-201.16 and FNP-2-STP-201.17 performed on the RCS wide range pressure transmitters Q2E11PT402 and Q2E11PT403 verify that the required bistables actuate at the correct pressures. Verification that the interlock relay contacts are open and that the bistables actuate properly ensures the RHR pump suction valves cannot be opened with the MCB handswitch when system pressure is above 402.5 psig.

NRC Form 366A (6-89) LICENS TEX	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					APPROVED OME NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92							
FASILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (5) PAGE							E (3)			
			YEAR	1	SEQ NUM		REV			-			
Joseph M. Farley Plant -	Unit 1	05000348	9 1		012		0.0	3	ÐF.	3			

Further review also found that prior to 5-1-88 the Unit 1 procedure FNP-1-STP-11.5 did not test the interlock function.

Cause of Event

This event was caused by procedural inadequacy.

Reportability Analysis and Safety Assessment

This event is reportable because surveillance required by T chnical Specification 4.5.2 was not performed adequately.

Upon discovery of the potential procedural inadequacy, MWRs were generated and the 402.5 psig interlock relay contacts were verified open for all four Unit 2 isolation valves. Therefore, any inadvertent manipulation of the MCB handswitches for the valves would not have opened the valves.

The required bistables were previously demonstrated to actuate at the correct pressures. Verification that the interlock contacts are open and that the bistables actuate properly ensures the interlock will function properly thus satisfying the surveillance requirement.

Surveillance on Unit 1 was verified current and adequate.

The appropriate procedures have been revised to provide verification of the RHR interlock action.

There was no effect on the health and safety of the public.

Corrective Action

FNP-2-STP-11.5 has been revised to provide verification of the required interlock and to include this as an acceptance criterion. Unit 1 procedure FNP-1-STP-11.5 previously contained a step to verify the interlock but not as part of the acceptance criteria. The Unit 1 procedure has also been revised to include verification of the interlock as an acceptance criterion.

Additional Information

Both units were operating at approximately 100% power on 12-29-91.

This event would not have been more severe if it had occurred under different operating conditions.