

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20585

# ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC. DOCKET NO. 50-313

# ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNIT NO. 1

# AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 156 License No. DPR-51

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) dated October 15, 1991, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

 Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-51 is hereby amended to read as follows:

# (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 156, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

 The license amendment is effective as of 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John T. Larkins, Director Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III, IV, and V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 15, 1992

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 156

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-51

# DOCKET NO. 50-313

Revise the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

REMOVE PAGES	INSERT PAGES
vi 66i 110e 110f 110g-2	vi 66i 110e 110f 110g-2 110g-3
*****	1109-4

4. 4.1	SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL	
4.18.1	UPPER TUBE SHEET VIEW OF SPECIAL GROUPS PER SPECIFICATION 4.18.3.8.3	11002
5 1 1	MAXIMUM (TWA BOUNDARY FOR RADIOACTIVE RELEASE CALCULATION (170LUSI AREA)	111a
6. 1	PARAMENT ORGANIZATION CHART	119
6.2-2	FUNCTIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR PLANT OPERATIONS	120

### 3.16 Shock Suppressors (Snubbers)

### Applicability

This technical specification applies to all shock suppressors (snubbers). The only snubbers excluded from this requirement are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed, would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

### Objective

To assure adequate shock suppression protection for primary coolant system piping and any other safety related system or component under dynamic loads as might occur during an earthquake or severe transient, while allowing normal thermal motion during startup and shutdown. This is done by assuring the operability of those shock suppressors installed for that purpose.

### Specification

- 3.16.1 With one or more applicable snubbers inoperable, within 72 hours either:
  - a. Replace or restore the inoperable snubbers to an OPERABLE status and perform an engineering evaluation of the attached components per Specification 4.16.1.f or,
  - b. Perform a review and evaluation which justifies continued operation with the inoperable snubber(s) and perform an engineering evaluation of the attached component(s) per Specification 4.16.1.f or,
  - c. Declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate ACTION statement for that system.

#### Ba. C

Shock suppressors are designed to prevent unrestrained pipe motion under dynamic loads as might occur during an earthquake or severe transient, while allowing normal thermal motion during startup and shutdown. The consequence of an inoperable shock suppressor is an increase in the probability of structural damage to piping as a result of a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. It is therefore required that all shock suppressors required to protect the primary coolant system or any other safety system or compenent be operable during reactor operation.

Because the shock suppressor protection is required only during low prolability events, a period of 72 hours is allowed for repairs, replacements or evaluations. If a reveiw and evaluation of an INOPERABLE snubber is preformed and documented to justify continued operation, and provided all design criteria are met with the INOPERABLE snubber, then the INOPERABLE snubber would not need to be restored or replaced. In case a shutdown is required, the allowance of 36 hours to reach a cold shutdown condition will permit an early shutdown consistent with standard operating procedures.

### 4.16 SHOCK SUPPRESSORS (Snubbers)

### Applicability

This technical specification applies to all shock suppressors (soubbers). The only snubbers excluded from this requirement are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

### Objective

Verify an acceptable level of operability of the shock suppressors protecting the primary system and any other safety-related system or component.

### Specification

4.16.1 The following surveillance requirements apply to all applicable shock suppressors.

### a. Inspection Types

As used in this specification, type of snubber shall mean snuloers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

### b. Visual Inspections

Sn bers may be categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these categories (inaccessible and accessible) may be inspected independently according to the schedule determined by Table 4.16-1. The visual inspection interval for each category of snubber shall be determined based upon the criteria provided in Table 4.16.1.

### c. Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria

Visual inspections shall verify (1) that there are no visible indications of damage or impaired operability, and (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional and (3) fastners for the attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are functional. Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections shall be classified as INOPERABLE and may be reclassified OPERABLE for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, providing that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as found condition and determined operable per Specifications 4.16.1.d or 4.16.1.e, as applicable. However, when the fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered, the snubber shall be determined inoperable and cannot be determined operable "ia functional testing for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval. All snubbers connected to a common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be evaluated for operability if any snubber connected to that reservoir is determined to be inoperable.

### d. Functional Tests

At least once each refueling shutdown a representative sample of snubbers shall be tested using the following sample plan.

At least 10% of the snubbers required by Specification 3.16.1 shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.16.1.e, an additional 10% of the snubbers shall be functionally tested until no more failures are found or until all snubbers have been functionally tested.

The representative samples for the functional test sample plans shall be randomly selected from the scubbers required by Specification 3.16.1 and reviewed before beginning the test of the review shall ensure as far as practical that they are representative of the various configurations, operating environments, range of sizes, and capacities. Snubbers placed in the same locations as snubbers which failed the previous functional test shall be retested at the time of the next functional test but shall not be included in the sample plan. If during the functional testing, additional sampling is required due to failure of only one type of snubber, the functional testing results shall be reviewed at that time to determine if additional samples should be limited to the type of snubber which has failed the functional testing.

### TABLE 4.16-1 SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

### NUMBER OF INOPERABLE SMUBBERS

Population per Category E. (Notes 1 and 2) (	Column A xtend Interval Notes 3 and 6)	Column B Repeat Interval (Notes 4 and 6)	Column C Reduce Interval (Notes 5 and 6
1	7	0	1
80	0	0	2
100	0	1	4
150	0	3	8
200	2	5	13
300	5	12	25
400	8	18	36
500	12	24	48
750	20	40	7.8
1000 or grea	ter 29	56	109

- Note 1: The next visual inspection interval for a snubber category shall be determined based upon the previous inspection interval and the number of INOPERABLE snubbers found during that interval. Snubbers may be categorized, based upon their accessibility during power operation, as accessible or inaccessible. These categories may be examined separately or jointly. However, categories must be determined and documented before any inspection and that determination shall be the basis upon which to determine the next inspection interval for that category.
- Note 2: Interpolation between population per category and the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is permissible. Use next lower integer for the value of the limit for Columns A, B, and C if that integer includes a fractional value of INOPERABLE snubbers as determined by interpolation.

### TABLE 4.16-1 (Continued) SNU3BER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

- Note 3: If the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval may be twice the previous interval but not greater than 48 months.
- Note 4: If the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column B but greater than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval shall be the same as the previous interval.
- Note 5: If the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is equal to or greater than the number in Column C, the next inspection interval shall be two-thirds of the previous interval. However, if the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is less than the number in Column C but greater than the number in Column B, the next interval shall be reduced proportionally by interpolation, that is, the previous interval shall be reduced by a factor that is one-third of the ratio of the difference between the number of INOPERABLE snubbers found during be previous interval and the number in Column B to the difference in the numbers in Column I and C.
- Note 6: Specified surveillance intervals may be adjusted plus or minus 25 percent to accommodate normal test and surveillance schedule intervals up to and including 48 months, with the exception that inspection of inaccessible snubbers may be deferred to the next shutdown when plant conditions allow five days for inspection. See Note 7 for definition of interval as applied to snubber visual inspections. The provisions of Specification 4 regarding surveillance intervals are not applicable.
- Note 7: Interval as defined for the shock suppressors (snubbers) visual inspection surveillance requirements is the period of time starting when the unit went into cold shutdown for refueling, and ending when the unit goes into cold shutdown for its next scheduled refueling. This period of time is nominally considered to be an 18 month period, or a 24 month period based on the type of fuel being used. However, the period of time (interval) could be shorter or longer due to plant operating variables such as fuel life and operating performance.

### BASES

All safety related snubbers are required to be operable to ensite that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety related systems and then only if their failure, or failure of the system on which they are installed, would have no adverse effect on any safety related system.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to plant systems. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies based upon the number of INOPERABLE snubbers found during the previous inspection in proportion to the sizes of the various snubber populations or categories and the previous inspection interval us specified in NRC Generic Letter 90-09, "Alternative Requirements For Snubber Visual Inspection Intervals and Corrective Actions". Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the result of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other cnubbers that may be generically susceptible, and verified by inservice functional testing, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are c. a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to rejection of the snubber by visual inspection, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation and vibration.

When a snubber is found inoperable, an engineering evaluation is performed, in addition to the determination of the snubber mode of failure, in order to determine of any safety related component or system has been adversely affected by inoperability of the snubber. The engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether or not the snubber mode of failure has imparted a significant effect or degradation on the supported component or system.

If a review and evaluation of an INOPERABLE snubber is performed and documented to justify continued operation, and provided that all design criteria are met with the INOPERABLE snubber, then the INOPERABLE snubber would not need to be restored or replaced.



# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

# ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-368

# ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNIT NO. 2

# AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 129 License No. NPF-6

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:

- A. The application for amendment by Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) dated October 15, 1991, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
- B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
- C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
- D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
- E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

 Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-6 is hereby amended to read as follows:

# (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 129, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licenses shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. The license amendment is effective as of 30 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John T. Larkins, Director

Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III, IV, and V

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 15, 1992

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 129

## FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-6

### DOCKET NO. 50-368

Revise the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE	PAGES	INSE	RT PAGES
3/4	7-22	3/	4 7-22
5/4	7-23		4 7-23
	****		4 7-23c
	****	3/	4 7-23d
B 3/4	75	B 3/	4 7-5

This page intentionally left blank.

### 3/4.7.8 SHOCK SUPPRESSORS (SNUBBERS)

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.8 All snubbers shall be OPERABLE. The only snubers excluded from this requirement are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed, would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3 and 4. MODES 5 and 6 for snubbers located on systems required OPERABLE in those MODES.

ACTION: With one or more applicable snubber inoperable, within 72 hours either:

- a. Replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to an OPERABLE status and perform an engineering evaluation of the attached component(s) per Specification 4.7.8.f or,
- b. Perform a review and evaluation which justifies continued operation with the inoperable snubber(s) and perform an engineering evaluation of the attached component(s) per Specification 4.7.8.f or,
- Declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate ACTION statement for that system.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.8 Each applicable snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program and the requirements of Specification 4.J.S.

### Inspection Types

As used in this specification, type of snubber shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

### Visual Inspections

Snubbers may be categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these categories (inaccessible and accessible) may be inspected independently according to the schedule determined by Table 4.7.8-1. The visual inspection interval for each category of snubber shall be determined based upon the criteria provided in Table 4.7.8-1.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

### c. Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) there are no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, and (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional and (3) fastness for the attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are functional. Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections shall be classified as INOPERABLE and may be reclassified OPERABLE for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, providing that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as found condition and determined OPERABLE per Specifications 4.7.8.d or 4.7.8.e, as applicable. However, when the fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered, the snubber shall be determined inoperable and cannot be determined OPERABLE via functional testing for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval. All shubbers connected to a common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be evaluated for operability if any snubber connected to that reservoir is determined to be inoperable.

### d. Functional Tests

At least once each refueling shutdown a representative sample or snubbers shall be tested using the following sample plan.

At least 10% of the snubbers required by Specification 3.7.8 shall be functionally tested either in place or in bench test. For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.7.8.e, an additional 10% of the snubbers shall be functionally tested until no more failures are found or until all snubbers have been functionally tested.

The representative samples for the functional test sample plans shall be randomly selected from the snubbers required by Specification 3.7.8 and reviewed before beginning the test. The review shall ensure as far as practical that they are representative of the various configurations, operating environments, range of sizes, and capacities. Snubbers placed in the same locations as snubbers which failed the previous functional test shall be retested at the

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

time of the next functional test but shall not be included in the sample plan. If during the functional testing, additional sampling is required due to failure of only one type of snubber, the functional testing results shall be reviewed at that time to determine if additional samples should be limited to the type of snubber which has failed the functional testing.

#### e. Functional Test Acceptance Criteria

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

- Activation (restraining action) is achieved within the specified range in both tension and compression, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel:
- Snubber bleed, or release rate where required, is present in both tension and compression, within the specified range;
- Where required, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is within the specified range in both directions of travel; and
- For snupbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement.

Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

# f. Functional Test Failure Analysis

An evaluation shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The results of this evaluation shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers irrespective of type if they may be subject to the same failure mode.

For the snubbers found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached were adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber in order to ensure that the component remains capable of meeting the designed service.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to activate or fails to move, i.e., frozen-in-place, the cause will be evaluated and, if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the same type subject to the same defect shall be evaluated in a manner to ensure their OPERABILITY. This requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated in Specification 4.7.8.d for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

# g. Preservice Testing of Repaired, Replacement and New Snubbers

Preservice operability testing shall be performed on repaired, replacement or new snubbers prior to installation. Testing may be at the manufacturer's facility. The testing shall verify the functional test acceptance criteria in 4.7.8.e.

In addition, a precarvice inspection shall be performed on each repaired, replacement or new snubber and shall verify that:

- There are no visible signs of damage or impaired operability as a result of storage, nandling or installation;
- The snubb( load rating, location, orientation, position setting and configuration (attachments, extensions, etc.), are in accordance with design;
- 3) Adequate swing clearance is provided to allow snubber movement:
- 4) If applicable, fluid is at the recommended level and fluid is not leaking from the snubber system;
- 5) Structural connections such as pins, bearings, studs, fasteners and other connecting hardware such as lock muts, tabs, wire, and cotter pins are installed correctly.

# h. Snubber Seal Replacement Program

The seal service life of hydraulic snubbers shall be monitored to ensure that the service life is not exceeded between surveillance inspections. The expected service life for the various seals, seal materials, and applications shall be determined and established based on engineering information and the seals shall be replaced so that the expected service life will not be exceeded during a period when the snubber is required to be OPERABLE. The seal replacements shall be cocumented and the documentation shall be retained in accordance with Specification 6.10.2.

### TABLE 4.7.8-1 SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

### NUMBER OF INOPERABLE SNUBBERS

	Column A tend Interval otes 3 and 6)	Column B Repeat Interval (Notes 4 and 6)	Column C Reduce Interval (Notes 3 and 6)
1	0 *	0	1
80	0	0	2
100	0	1	4
150	0	3	8
200	2	5	13
300	5	12	25
400	8	18	36
500	12	24	48
750	20	40	7.8
1000 or great	er 29	56	109

- Note 1: The next visual inspection interval for a snubber category shall be determined based upon the previous inspection interval and the number of INOPERABLE snubbers found during that interval. Snubbers may be categorized, based upon their accessibility during power operat in, as accessible or inaccessible. These categories may be examined separately or jointly. However, categories must be determined and documented before any inspection and that determination shall be the basis upon which to determine the next inspection interval for that category.
- Note 2: Interpolation between population per category and the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is permissible. Use next lower integer for the value of the limit for Columns A, B, and C if that integer includes a fractional value of INOPERABLE snubbers as determined by interpolation.

### TABLE 4,7.8-1 (Continued) SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

- Note 3: If the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval may be twice the previous interval but not greater than 48 months.
- Note 4: If the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column B but greater than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval shall be the same as the previous interval.
- Note 5: If the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is equal to or greater than the number in Column C, the next inspection interval shall be two-thirds of the previous interval. However, if the number of INOPERABLE snubbers is less than the number in Column C but greater than the number in Column B, the next interval shall be reduced proportionally by interpolation, that is, the previous interval shall be reduced by a factor that is one-third of the ratio of the difference between the number of INOPERABLE snubbers found during the previous interval and the number in Column B to the difference in the numbers in Column B and C.
- Note 6: Specified surveillance intervals may be adjusted plus or minus 25 percent to accommodate normal test and surveillance schedule intervals up to and including 48 months, with the exception that inspection of inaccessible snubbers may be deferred to the next shutdown when plant conditions allow five days for inspection. See Note 7 for definition of interval as applied to snubber visual inspections. The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 regarding surveillance intervals are not applicable.
- Note 7: Interval as defined for the shock suppressors (snubbers) visual inspection surveillance requirements is the period of time starting when the unit went into cold shutdown for refueling, and ending when the unit goes into cold shutdown for its next scheduled refueling. This period of time is nominally considered to be an 18 month period, or a 24 month period based on the type of fuel being used. However, the period of time (interval) could be shorter or longer due to plant operating variables such as fuel life and operating performance.

### TABLE 3 7-4

# SAFETY RELATED HYDRAULIC SNUBBERS

(DELETED)

#### BASES

following all credible accident conditions. The OPERABILITY of this system in conjunction with control room design provisions is based on limiting the radiation exposure to personnel occupying the control room to 5 rem or less whole body, or its equivalent. This limitation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 19 of Appendix "A", 10 CFR 50.

### 3/4.7.8 SHOCK SUPPRESSORS (SNUBBERS)

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to systems. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies based upon the number of INOPERABLE snubbers found during the previous inspection in proportion to the sizes of the various snubber populations or categories and the previous inspection interval as specified in NRC Generic Letter 90-09, "Alternative Requirements For Snubber Visual Inspection Intervals and Corrective Actions". Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the result of such early inspections arformed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection where results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubbers that may be generically susceptible and verified by inservice functional testing, that snubber may be exempted from being counted as inoperable. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to rejection of the snuber by visual inspection, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation and vibration.

When a unubber is found inoperable, an engineering evaluation is performed, in addition to the determination of the anabber mode of failure, in order to determine if any safety-related component or system has been adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber. The engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether or not the snubber mode of failure has imparted a significant effect or degradation on the supported component or system.

If a review and evaluation of an INOPERABLE snubber is performed and documented to justify continued operation and provided that all design criteria are met kill the INOPERABLE snubber, then the INOPERABLE snubber would not need to be instored or replaced

BASES

To provide further assurance of snubber reliability, a representative sample of the installed snubbers will be functionally tested during plant shutdowns at 18 month intervals. These tests will include stroking of the snubbers to verify proper piston movement, lock-up and bleed. Observed failures of these sample snubbers will require functional testing of additional units. To minimize personnel exposures, unabbers installed in areas which have high radiation fields during shutdown or in especially difficult to remove locations may be exempted from these functional testing requirements provided the OPERABILITY of these snubbers was demonstrated during functional testing at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date.

### 3/4.7.9 SEALED SOURCE CONTAMINATION

The limitations on removable contamination for sources requiring leak testing, including alpha emitters, is based on 10 CFR 70.39(c) limits for plutonium. This limitation will ensure that leakage from byproduct, source, and special nuclear material sources will not exceed allowable intake values.

# 3/4.7.10 FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of the fire suppression systems ensures that adequate fire suppression capability is available to confine and extinguish fires occurring in any portion of the facility where safety related equipment is located. The fire suppression system consists of the water system, spray and/or sprinklers, and fire hose stations. The collective capability of the fire suppression systems is adequate to minimize potential damage to safety related equipment and is a major element in the facility fire protection program.

In the event the portions of the fire suppression systems are inoperable, alternate backup fire fighting equipment is required to be made available in the affected areas until the inoperable equipment is restored to service.

In the event the fire suppression water system becomes inoperable, immediate corrective measures must be taken since this system provides the major fire suppression capability of the plant. The requirement for a twenty-four hour report to the Commission provides for prompt evaluation of the acceptability of the corrective measures to provide adequate fire suppression capability for the continued protection of the nuclear plant.