



**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF  
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST  
(CONTINUATION)**

FOIA NUMBER(S)

**FOIA - 95-188**

DATE

**JUL 17 1995**

**PART II. B - APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS**

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendix(es) B are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) and for the reason(s) given below pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and 10 CFR 9.17(a) of NRC regulations.

- 1. The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order. (Exemption 1)
- 2. The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC. (Exemption 2)
- 3. The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. (Exemption 3)
  - Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
  - Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
- 4. The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (Exemption 4)
  - The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
    - The information is considered to be proprietary information pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(1).
    - The information was submitted and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(2).
- 5. The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. (Exemption 5). Applicable Privilege:
  - Deliberative Process. Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
  - Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation.)
  - Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client.)
- 6. The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Exemption 6)
- 7. The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (Exemption 7)
  - Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding because it could reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators. (Exemption 7 (A))
  - Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Exemption 7(C))
  - The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. (Exemption 7 (D))

OTHER

**PART II. C - DENYING OFFICIALS**

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(b) and/or 9.25(c) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The persons responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECRETARY	IG
<i>Dr Andrew J. Bates</i>	<i>Acting Assistant Secretary of the Commission</i>	<i>Appendix B</i>		<b>X</b>	

**PART II. D - APPEAL RIGHTS**

The denial by each denying official identified in Part II.C may be appealed to the Appellate Official identified there. Any such appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals must be addressed, as appropriate, to the Executive Director for Operations, to the Secretary of the Commission, or to the Inspector General, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an "Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decision."

APPENDIX A  
(RECORDS RELEASED)

1. 1/30/95 Memo for Commissioner de Planque from Eileen McKenna  
Subject: Briefing on Core Shroud Cracking (1 page)
2. -- Slide on Shroud Finding (1 page)
3. -- Unsigned letter from Roy Anderson to Dear Editor  
(3 pages' enclosing 5/3/93 To All Brunswick Nuclear  
Plant Employees (2 pages)

APPENDIX B  
(RECORDS WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY)

1. 1/26/95 Memo for Commissioner Kenneth Rogers from Morton Fleishman with comments on SECY-94-276 (1 page) (EXEMPTION 5, DELIBERATIVE PROCESS)
2. 1/31/95 Memo for Commissioner Rogers from Morton Fleishman with suggested questions for February 1, 1995 Commission Briefing relative to the requested subject (1 page) (EXEMPTION 5, DELIBERATIVE PROCESS)
3. 10/26/93 Memo for Chairman Ivan Selin from Jose Ibarra, Briefing on Brunswick Shroud Cracking and Larger Implications, Wednesday 27, 1993, (2 pages) (EXEMPTION 5, DELIBERATIVE PROCESS)
4. --- Diagram labeled "Oyster Creek Shroud Repair" MPR Proprietary (1 page) (EXEMPTION 4)