

General Offices Selden Street, Berlin Connecticut

P.O. BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270.

Re: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii) January 17, 1992

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Locument Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: Facility Operating License No. DPR-65

Docket No. 50-336.

Licensee Event Report 91-010-01

Gentlemen:

This letter forwards update Licensee Event Report 91-010-01.

Very truly yours.

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

Stephen E. Scace Director, Millstone Station

SES/RABILIS

Attachment: LER 91-010-01

co: T. T. Martin, Region I Administrator

W. J. Raymond, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 G. S. Vissing, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 2

280160

P.828873553

IE 22

NRS Form 300		AUCLEAL REQUILATORY OF	A Alexander		100/8/0 (24.00 17.00	-	-						
LICE	NSEE EVENT REP		MANAGES (BC-77)	######################################									
FACILITY MASSE MI	Millstone Nuclear I	ower Station Unit 2			6 0 0 0		1 00 01						
Trice (4) Reimalysi	s of Main Steam I	ine Break Exceed C	ontainment f		And Andreas	Linkinda.							
EVENT CATE (8)	LEB BURBUR II	and the second supplier of the later of the second	indicate and property and a second	THE PARTY NAMED AND ADDRESS OF	ACLITED BIVOL	VED 18.	-						
MONTH DAY YEAR	YEAR WEGLENTIAL HARMEN	PREVENCEN MODIFIE DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		1 5 0 0	1-01-1-1						
101891	9 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 1 7	912			1 5 1 0 1 0	Managa Managa Managa da						
DEPERATING I	THIS REPORT IS BEING	STATISHMEN CHARLES	THE REQUIREME	NTS OF 10 OPE									
4-40/5/WE 1801	20.462(to	20.402163		50.79(8)(B)(ic)		78.71(6)							
POWER LIGHT	20-406(81(310)	\$0.86min.		60-78(a)(2)-(v)	-	19.71(0)							
AND 11010	SO MORTHITALIN	30.88(01(2)				C-THEP	Specifical						
	29.406(6)(5)(0)	50.78(4)(()(1)		50 79 (6) (E) (900)	(6)	Test, fall	DMI(DH BES) (A C FLETO MAN(A)						
	20.405(AT(1))(ii)	X RD DROKENTON		50.70(6)(0)(0)	(8)								
	285,496 (a) (310) (3	- 50 Parentaria		NO TROUBERRY			THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1						
HAME		CICEMBEE CONTA	CT FOR THE LER	(12)	-	EPHONE IA							
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPS	- I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I	E FOR EACH COMPONER?	FALURE DESCRI	1	MANUFAC- M	EPCETABLE TO Nº403							
111				111									
				111	1-1-1								
	BUPPLEMENTAL	REPORT EXPECTED (14)			PARTIE NAME OF THE PARTIES.	MONTH	DAY YEAR						
T) vee of one comme	NA EXPECTED BUBLISH	ON DATE: 110			EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (18)	112	311 91						
the brightness of the second super-	the state of the s	(fely fifteen single-space ty	NAME OF THE PARTY				FILE						
was made conce has confirmed the non-conservative assumptions, des that this condition was made to the (Mode 2). The A Justification to stationing a dedi- JCO documents	rning a reanalysis of the assumptions with respect to poign limits for contain was reportable a NRC, and the unitexisting main stead or Continued Operated reactor operate basis for reason	its, with the plant of the main steam his made for the existioner level, break size animent pressure and a condition outside timmediately communities breaker analytion (JCO) was devotor to close the mainable assurance that an basis value for all	ne break evening (1979) mailer, and single is temperature to the design beenced an ordisis is acceptable to allow the edwater his with the actions.	t inside the tall in steam line active failure could be excusive of the perfy downposte for Mode with a unit to dock valves for solla ded	containment. breaker ana Using mon reeded NNI lant. An Im- ser to approx 2 operation. return to po- ollowing any licated operati	The reallysis were restricted determediate simulately over open reactor to the control of the co	malysis ve rmined Report 3°c power attor by rip. This						
Short term corre Containment Isol hardware change block valves folio components, it with 1992 refuelir	ctive hardware cha lation Actuation is a timinale the nec- owing a reactor trip till not be possible ing outage. Change	nges to automaticall gnal (CIAS) have be sessity to station a diagram to perform all of the sor which hardware changes will	close the ma en installed a edicated react illability of sev previously plants available	ain feedwater nd tested. A or operator t eral long 'ea lanned pernu will be install	block valves As stated in to close the n d time qualifi inem hardwa ed during the	given a he JCO, nain feed ied repla- re chang	these water cement ex during						

U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION

EXAMES 4:30:35

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Estimated burden per response to comply with the intermation objection request 60.0 hrs. Forward comments reparding pursen estimate to the Records and Reports (Management Branch (ph.630) J. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the Pasenwick Regulator Project (2150-0104). Office of Management and Budget Washington, DC 20560.

								AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P									
FACUTY NAME (1)			Ne								161			PARE	81		
								vê Ali		NUMBER NUMBER		REVESION NUMBER					
Milistone Nuclear Power Station									_		-						
Unit 2	0	5	0	0	0	3 3	6	91		0 1 1 0		011	012	OF U	6		

TEXT (If more space is (equitied use applicate) NRC Form 366A st. (17)

Description of Event

On October 18, 1991, at 1305 hours, with the plant in Mode 1 at 100% power, a reportability determination was made concerning a reanalysis of the main steam line break event inside the containment. These re-analyses has shown that the assumptions made for the existing (1979) main steam line break analysis were non-conservative with respect to power level, break size, and single active failure. Using more restrictive assumptions, design limits for containment pressure and temperature could be exceeded.

The existing (1979) main steam line break analysis assumes a postulated double-ended (6.3 R²) break of the main steam line between the steam generator outlet and the steam line flow restrictor at hot zero power, with the worst single active failure being a failure of a diesel generator and the resultant loss of one-half of the emergency safeguards features which reduce containment pressure (1 containment spray pump and 2 containment air recirculation fans). The peak containment pressure and temperature for this analysis is predicted to be 47 psig and 274°F.

It has been determined that the limiting containment pressure and temperature are attained by postulating a double-ended break of the main steam line between the steam generator outlet and the steam line flow restrictor at full power, with the single active failure being a failure of the main feedwater regulating valve of the affected steam generator to close. This analysis also assumed operator actions to secure feedwater to the affected steam generator at 10 minutes following the reactor trip. The peak containment pressure and temperature for this analysis is predicted to be 92 psig and 427°F. These results are beyond the containment design pressure and temperature of 54 psig and 289°F.

An immediate report was made to the NRC and the unit immediately commenced an orderly downpower to approximately 3% power (Mode 2) by plant operators. The existing main steam line break analysis is acceptable for Mode 2 operation. No automatic or manual safety systems were required to respond during this event.

II. Cause of Event

The cause of the event has been determined to be an incorrect assumption, made in the FSAR analysis, that the limiting condition, for the containment response Jue to a Main Steam Line Break (MSLB), was not zero power. This incorrect assumption was based upon the judgement that at hot zero power the steam generators contain the largest inventory of hot water and thus, resulted in the largest discharge to the containment. However, recent MSLB sensitivity studies have shown that this assumption is not limiting.

As a result of the planned steam generator replacement, the containment response due to a MSLB was being reviewed. In order to assess the impact of the new steam generators, the current analysis results were used to benchmark new steam generator and containment models. During the benchmarking of the current analysis modeling the current steam generators, it was discovered that the hot zero power condition was not limiting.

The peak containment temperature is highly dependent on the moisture carryover that occurs from the break. Moisture carryover is important in that with a significant moisture carryover the containment temperature will be limited to the saturation temperature corresponding to the containment pressure. If no moisture carryover occurs and pure steam is discharged, superheating will occur and containment temperature will not be limited to the saturation temperature.

At hot zero power, the large steam generator inventory will assure that moisture carryover will occur for most break sizes. However, at full power, with the reduced inventory, moisture carryover is not predicted to occur. Thus, for peak containment temperature, the limiting condition would be full power.

NAC FROM SEEA

U. B. TODLEA & REQUIATION Y COMMUNICION

ARPROVED DIVIS NO. 3160+0104

Betimated burder per response to comply with this imprimation object on issues. \$0.0 ms. Forward community repairing burden estimate to the Records and Records Management Evanon (p.630). U.S. Nuclear Regulates, Commission. Washington. \$0.20656. and to the Paperwork Regulates Protect (2.65%-0.1m). Office of haracterists in the Burder Vivashvorton 2.0560.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

PACLITY NAME OF	50001	11,0391	8.121	Acres 1		-	ER NUMBER	-41		19.43	38 17
					YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER		
Milstone Nuclear Power Station								100			
	0 5	0101	0 3	3 6	9 1		0 1 1 1 0		0 1 1	0 3 OF	1110

FERT Of more space is required the appropriate IARC Form 366A st 117

Further, the limiting single failure is dependent upon the power level. At hot zero power, the main feedwater regulating valve will be closed at the initiation of the event and would remain closed throughout the transient. Thus, at zero power, the main feedwater regulating valve is not subject to a failed open condition. However, at full power, the main feedwater regulating valve would be open at the initiation of the event and thus would be subject to a failed open condition. With a main feedwater regulating valve failure, the feedwater addition could more than offset the difference in initial inventory between full power and hot full power. Thus, the lamiting condition for maximum mass discharge to the containment would be full power with a failure of the main feedwater regulating valve.

These factors were not taken into account in performing the FSAR analysis. Further, they were not explicitly taken into account in the MSLB analysis performed to support the TMI action plan item to implement an automatic system for initiation of auxiliary feedwater nor the response the NRC. Inspection and Enforcement Bulletin 80–04 where additional MSLB spectrum studies were requested.

In response to an NRC request for information on automatic initiation of the auxiliary feedwater system made on December 21, 1979, the design basis steamline break analysis was revuluated. In the analysis the additional mass releases to the containment due to publiary feedwater addition were added to the FSAR case and shown to have no impact on the peak containment pressure and temperature. Since this study was aimed at only assessing the impact of the new automatic initiation system, the original FSAR assumptions were not reevaluated. This was supported by evaluations done by the NSSS vendor. Combustion Engineering. This analysis was submitted to the NRC on January 27, 1980. Since the information requested in the I&E Bulletin 80-04 issued in February 1980 was very similar to the request made in December 1979, it was assumed that this analysis was also sufficient to response to the Bulletin. Therefore, no new analysis was performed for the Bulletin. A Safeti Evaluation Report was received from the NRC on Octoby 7, 1982. The non-conservative assumptions were not discovered until the MSLB was reviewed to eval rate the impact of the planned steam generator replacement.

It should be recognized that in determining the cause of this event, reliance has been placed upon the available documentation for the analysis and evaluations performed in the 1974–1980 time period. Because these evaluations were performed over ien years ago, not all of the documentation has been retrieved. However, from the documentation that we have retrieved, we believe we have been able to reconstruct the logic used to justify the previous submittal and have determined the root cause of the event.

III. Analysis of Event

This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)B), which requires the reporting of any event or condition that results to the nuclear power plant being in a condition outside the design basis of the plant.

The safety consequences of this event are the potential overpressurination of the containment with subsequent damage to the containment structure and safety related equipment required for rule shutdown of the plant from a postulated MSLB event. The safety consequences are minimal, however, upon consideration of containment design margins, safety related equipment qualifications, and standard post trip operator actions.

In considering the safety consequences of this event the following items were addressed

(4/10) * p+m 3664.

U.S. MUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED DAMENO 3 60-0108 EXPRES 4 40 92

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Estimated bussen per resuprise to opening with this information collection request 50 % Will Fig. with commercial separating bussen estimate to the Records and Reports Mahagement Branch (p-520) (J. S. Nuclear Reporterly Commission, Westington DC 2055s, and re the Paperistry Republical Project (2150-colls). Office of Manuferrant and Buspet Wastington, DC 2050s.

RADIUTY MAME IN

Millstone Nuclear Power Station

0 6 0 0 0 0 3 2 6 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 4 OF 0 6

TEXT III more space is required, use appropriat little form \$65A \$1 117

(a) Containment Structural Integrity. The Millistone 2 containment consists of a presuressed, reinforced concrete cylinder and dome connected to an supported by a massive teinforced concrete toundation slab. The containment was designed for an internal pressure of 54 psig, and was tested to 62 psig during the structural integrity test. The working stress design method was used to design the containment structure for various load cases, including the case of a design pressure of 54 psig. The containment structure was checked or to tored loads and load combinations, including the case with a 1.5 load factor on the design pressure, which corresponds to 81 psig. The code requires that "strength be adequate to support the factored loads and that servicability of the structure at the service load level be assured," (ACI=318=71 Commentary Section 9.1.1)

The ultimate capacity of containments has been studied and documented by many sources recently. In general, the anticipated ultimate capacity of a containment structure has been found to be 2 to 2.5 times design pressure. NUREG-1150 entitled "Severe Accident Risks: An Assessment for Five U.S. Nuclear Power Plants," studies the ultimate capacity of typical containment structures. Included in this study was Zion, which is a prestressed containment, with a design pressure of 47 psig. The evaluation determined that a lower bound on the ultimate capacity was around 100 psig (a factor of 2). These detailed studies have taken into account material strengths being higher than assumed, code allowables being conservative, as well as a detailed evaluation of structural behavior during beyond design basis events. A similar detailed study has not been performed for Millstone 2, but the same factors which contribute to a lower bound ultimate capacity of 2 to 2.5 times design exist in the Millstone 2 containment structure. This evaluation of studies relative to ultimate capacity further substantiates that the containment can support the factored load case and beyond. These postulated load cases are beyond the design basis of the containment structure, but within the overall load carrying capability of the structure.

(b) Equipment Qualification. The electrical equipment in the containment required for safe shutdown following all MSLB events has been qualified to 10CFR50.49 requirements with temperatures ranging from 324°F to 448°F and pressures ranging from 70 to 127 psig. The original containment qualification profile for this equipment was based on a LOCA event with a maximum temperature of 280°F and pressure of 54 psig.

For a full power MLSB with no automatic feedwater isolation and no operator actions to isolate feedwater for 10 minutes, the predicted peak containment pressure and temperature is 93 psig and 427°F. Although this temperature and pressure would have exceeded the qualification of required equipment, we have determined that equipment required to be available to mitigate this event would have remained operable.

Thermal analysis has shown for the predicted short duration temperature peak at superheated conditions that the surface temperature of safety related equipment will not rise above the saturated temperature of the partial steam pressure in containment. This method of analysis has been presented by the NRC in NREG 0588 paragraph 1.2(5). NUREG 0510 and NUREG 1511. There have been a number of vendor test results which have also demonstrated this phenomenon. The postulated partial steam pressure of the containment during the accident is estimated by subtracting 14.7 pst. i.e., the initial partial atmospheric air pressure, from the absolute pressure of the accident analysis. The resulting maximum steam temperature during the above MSLB accident is thus predicted to be 322°F. At this temperature, all of the required safe shurdown equipment in containmen, would be qualifiable.

The predicted MSLB accident pressure of 93 psig is slightly higher than the qualification pressure of some of the sale shutdown equipment in containment. The lowest qualification pressure of this equipment is 70 psig. In general, electrical equipment is more sensitive to higher temperature and humidity than higher pressure. The following equipment has been analyzed for operability with this pressure condition:

Estimated by den per response to comply, with tridernation between sequent for 0 are foreign comments for 0 are foreign comments to part to the field and flepons. Management brainer (p-50h). U.S.

Milistone Nuclear Power Station					
Milistone Nuclear Power Station	FACILITY NAME (1)				FASE 3
Milistone Nuclear Power Station		NEAR	BEGLENTIAL. NA. BABRER	REVEICE NUMBER	
	Millstone Nuclear Power Station	-			

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

- (1) Westinghouse Containment Air Recirculation (CAR) Fan motors would have been operating prior to the pressure peak. The increase in the CAR fans brake horsepower, due to the higher
- (2) Conas Electrical Penetrations are qualified to pressures in excess of 110 psig. They would have
- (3) ASCO Solenoid valves control various containment isolation valves. Following containment isolation, these valves would be deenergized and would remain deenergized through the pressure
- (4) Foxboro and Rosemount pressure transmitters are used to transmit pressure and level signals to effected by higher static pressure, they would remain operable.
- (5) Wood RTD's monitor RCS t imperigive. The operability of an RTD is not affected by pressure changes of the magnitude expected by the MSLB
- (6) Inadequate Core Cooling Monitoring System consists of in-core heated junction thermocorpies to manuar reactor vessel water level and core exit thermocouples to monitor core outly. temperature. The system does not contain any pressure sensitive components

for all MSLB events.

- (c) Main Feedwater Block Valves. Evaluation of the original valve specification for the main feedwater block valves. 2-FW-42A and 2-FW-42B, indicated that the valves would have closed in the event of a main feedwater regulating valve failure coincident with a MSLB. Specifically, the worst case differential pressure was determined to be 991 psid, which is significantly less than the original valve
- (d) Operator Actions. The Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) for Standard Post Trip Actions trig. This configuration requires verification that the main feed regulating valves are closed. The contingency actions state. "IF main feed flow is excessive. THEN (i) Manually close main feed

The standard post trip actions are normally completed within 2 to 5 minutes following a reactor trip. from exceeding 54 psig, standard post trip operator actions would have isolated resolvator much laster than the 10 minutes assumed in the re-analyzed MSLB events

	125 F			
	4		36.	ñ,
761	3001			

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED DIMB NO 3180-0108 EXPRES: 4-30-02

Estimated burden per Naburse to pamply with this intermation pollection rebuset 50.0 hrs. Fixward comments reparting burden estimate to the Flacords and Reports Ashagement Branch (p-630), 1/6, Nables Regulatory Commission, Washington Do 20556, and to the Paramwork Reduction Project (3160-0104). Office of Management and Budget, Washington, Do 7, No.

EACEUTY NAME 111	DOCKET NUMBER (2)				-	-	- L	LER NUMBER (6)				_	PAGE (II)					
Millstone Naclear Power Station	1. 1								YE	A,ER		PECARE	REPI		PRE VISIES NUMBER	7		
	0	6	0	6	0	3	3	6		1	411	01	110	-	0/1	016	OF	114 6

TEXT (if more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A st [17]

Corrective Action

A Justification for Continued Operation (JCO) was developed to allow the plant to return to power operation by stationing a dedicated reactor operator to close the main feedwater block valves following any reactor trip. A main steam line break event was analyzed for a double-ended break at full power, with a failure of the main feedwater regulating valve to close on the affected steam generator. This analysis assumed operator action to close the main leedwater block valves within 15 seconds following the reactor trip with a 10 second closure time of the valve. The peak containment pressure and temperature for this case is predicted to be 54 psig and 413°F. This JCO documents NNECo evaluation of operator actions following a reactor trip, feedwar r block valve operation under postulated accident conditions, containment, actural integrity, and equipment environmental qualification. Diagnostic testing of the motor operated main feedwater block valves was performed in accordance with established procedures developed under the Northeast Utilities Generic Letter 89-10, "Motor Operated Valve Test Program. This JCO provides reasonable assurance that, with the actions of a dedicated operator, the containment pressure will remain below the design basis value for all main steam fine break events, although the predicted MSLB temperature peak exceeds the containment qualification temperature of 289°F, all of the required safe shutdown equipment is qualifiable based on a maximum saturated steam temperature of 285°F. Given this justification for continued operation, the unit was returned to power operation on October 22, 1991.

As part of the JCO, short term corrective hardware changes to automatically close the main feedwater block valves given a Containment Isolation Actuation Signal (CIAS) have been installed and tested. As stated in the JCO, these hardware changes eliminate the necessity to station a dedicated reactor operator to close the main feedwater block valves following a reactor trip. Due to the unavailability of several long lead time qualified replacement components (main feedwater block valves and motor control cemer buckets), it will not be possible to perform all of the planned permanent hardware changes during the 1992 refueling outage. Changes for which hardware is available will be installed during the 1992 refueling outage. The remaining long term hardware changes will be installed during the 1994 refueling ontage. Following these changes, the predicted MSLB peak containment pressure and temperature will be equal to or less than 54 psig and 413°F, therefore, the required safety shundown electrical equipment will remain qualifiable.

A revised response to fE Bulletin 80-04 will be submitted in 1992 to update our previous submittal for containment response and return to power for M\$LB events.

Additional Information

There were no failed components during this event

Similar LERs: 77-23, 80-05, 83-07, 85-01 and 86-10

Main Feedwater Regulating Valves

Copes-Vuican P-200-12 Angle

Size 1st inch 900# EHS Code:

Main Feedwater Block Valves

Manufacturer:

Size 18 mch 900#