

60FR39R3  
8/1/95

① RECEIVED

AUG 28 AM 10:42

RULES REVIEW & DIR. BR.  
USNRC

DS09.10

R. Borchardt



**TU**ELECTRIC

Log # TXX-95222  
File # 10010, 10132

**C. Lance Terry**  
Group Vice President, Nuclear

August 25, 1995

Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch  
Division of Freedom of Information and Publication Services  
Office of Administration  
Mail Stop: T-6D-59  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES)  
DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446  
COMMENTS ON REVIEW OF REVISED NRC SYSTEMATIC ASSESSMENT OF LICENSEE  
PERFORMANCE (SALP) PROGRAM

Gentlemen:

TU Electric appreciates the opportunity to comment on the current SALP process. We have been favorably impressed with the revisions to the SALP process. We believe the revisions to the process have more properly distributed the functional areas into four relatively equal components and helps us to focus our resources in the appropriate areas of the SALP.

TU Electric has similarly been favorably impressed with the directness of the abbreviated SALP Report and the candid dialogue at the SALP presentation meetings.

TU Electric is concerned that the SALP process and the new Integrated Performance Assessment Program (IPAP) appear to be redundant in both the function and scope. TU Electric is concerned about the effects of this

9508300233 950825  
PDR ADOCK 05000445  
PDR

TXX-95222  
Page 2 of 2

redundancy on our resources. TU Electric believes that the NRC should reconsider the need for IPAP or the SALP in light of this redundancy.

Sincerely,

*C. L. Terry*

C. L. Terry

By: *Roger D. Walker*

Roger D. Walker  
Regulatory Affairs Manager

RJA/ra

c- Mr. T. J. Polich, NRR  
Mr. William H. Rasin, NEI