

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 16, 1992

Docket No. 50-458

Gulf States Utilities

ATTN: Mr. James C. Deddens

Senior Vice President (RBNG)

Post Office Box 220

St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Dear Mr. Deddens:

RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1 - STATION BLACKOUT SAFETY EVALUATION SUBJECT:

(TAC NO. M68593)

The Station Blackout (SBO) Rule requires licensees to submit information as defined in 10 CFR 50.63 and to provide a plan and schedule for conformance to the SBO Rule. Gulf States Utilities Company (GSU) provided responses to the S30 Rule regarding the River Bend Station, Unit 1, by letters dated April 17. 1989, March 30, 1990, and October 18, 1991. GSU calculated a minimum acceptable SBO duration of four hours for River Bend Station and indicated that there will be no modifications necessary to attain this coping duration.

GSU's responses were reviewed by the NRC staff and by Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) under contract to the NRC. The results of the review are documented in the enclosed Safaty Evaluation (SE) (Enclosure 1) and the SAIC Technical Evaluation Report (TER), SAIC-91/1263, "River Bend Station, Unit 1, Station Blackout Evaluation" (Attachment 1 to Enclosure 1).

Based on our review of these submittals, the staff finds that the River Berd Station does not conform with the SBO Rule, the guidance of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.155, Nuclear Management and Resources Council (NUMARC) 87-00, and NUMARC 87-00, Supplemental Questions/Answers and Major Assumptions dated December 27, 1989 (issued to the industry by NUMARC on January 4, 1990). The areas of nonconformance are identified in the enclosed SE.

In addition, the following areas may require followup inspection by the NRC to verify that the implementation of any modifications and the supporting documentation which the licensee may propose as a result of this evaluation are adequate to meet the SBO Rule. The staff is developing guidance for this followup inspection to verify the following:

- Hardware, if required, and procedural modifications;
- b. SEO procedures in accordance with RG 1.155, Position 3.4, and NUMARC 87-00, Section 4;
- Operator staffing and training to follow the identified actions in the procedures:

- EDG reliability program meets, as a minimum, the guidelines of RC 1.155:
- Equipment and components required to cope with an SBO are incorporated in a QA program that meets the guidance of RG 1.155, Appendix A; and
- Actions taken pertaining to the specific recommendations noted in the SE.

The guidance provided on Technical Specifications (TS) for an SBO states that the TS should be consistent with the Interim Commission Policy Statement on Technical Specifications. The staff has taken the position that TS are required for SBO response equipment. However, the question of how specifications for the SBO equipment will be applied is currently being considered generically by the NRC in the context of the Technical Specification Improvement Program and remains an open item at this time. In the interim, the staff expects plant procedures to reflect the appropriate testing and surveillance requirements to ensure the operability of the necessary SBO equipment. If the staff later determines that TS regarding the SBO equipment is warranted, the licensee will be notified of the implementation requirements.

A revised response to the SBO Rule which addresses the areas of nonconformance should be submitted for staff review within 60 days following receipt of this letter. Subject to an acceptable resolution of the identified nonconformances, the issue of conformance to the SBO Rule remains open at the River Bend Station.

We consider the technical review under TAC No. M68593 to be incomplete. The SE contains eight recommendations which include five that require additional information (revised response).

The reporting requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under Public Law 96-511.

Please feel free to contact me at (301) 504-1324, if you desire to discuss this issue with the NRC technical staff and its contractor.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By George F. Dick George F. Dick Douglas V. Pickett, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation w/attachment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: Docket File NRC/PDR Local PDR

AToalston

MVirgilio ACRS(10) EPeyton BBoger PDIV-2 RF DPickett PDIV ? PF EJordan PHarrell, RGN-IV

PDIV-2/PM PDIV-2/D : PDIV-2/LA

NAME : EPeyton DPickett:nb/1

DATE : 1/0/92

cc w/enclosure: Winston & Strawn ATTN: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq. 1400 L Street, N.U. Washington, D.C. 20005-3502

Mr. Les England Director - Nuclear Licensing Gulf States Utilities Company St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Mr. Philip G. Harris Cajun Electric Power Coop, Inc. 10719 Airline Highway P. O. Box 15540 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70895

Senior Resident Inspector
P. O. Box 1051
St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

President of West Feliciana
- 'ice Jury
- Box 1921
St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Mr. J. E. Booker Manager-Nuclear Industry Relations Gulf States Utilities P. O. Box 2951 Beaumont, Texas 77704

Dr. Stan Shaw, Program Manager Emergency Planning and Response LA Radiation Protection Division P. O. Box 82135 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135

Mr. J. David McNeill, III William G. Davis, Eso. Department of Justice Attorney General's Office P. O. Box 94095 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9095 Ms. H. Anne Plettinger 3456 Villa Rose Drive Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806