

# PEREY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

10 CENTER ROAD PERRY, OHIO 44081 (216) 259-3737 Mail Address PO. BOX 97 PERRY, OHIO 44081

Michael D. Lyster VICE PRESIDENT - NUCLEAR

January 21, 1992 PY-CE1/NRR-1442 L

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

> Perry Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-440 LER 91-027

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 91-027 for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant.

Sincerely,

Michael D. Lyster for

MPL:RWG:ss

Enclosure: LER 91-027

cc: NRC Project Manager NRC Sr. Resident Inspector NRC Region III

27010022 720121 PDR ADDCK 05000440 Operating Company & Cleveland Electric Illuminoting

TE22 1/1

IRC FORM 38 16-890	646							U.S. NU	CLEAR H	EGULATOR	COMMISS	HON .		APPROVED	048 40 3150-01	0.4
				LICE	NSEE	EVE	INT REP	ITRC	LER)				ESTIMAT INFORM COMMEN AND RE REGULA THE PAI OF MAN	EXP TED BURDEN PER ATION COLLECTIO ITS REGARDING BU PORTS MANAGEMI TORY COMMISSIO PERWORK REDUC AGEMENT AND BUI	HES 4/30/92 HESPONSE TO ( IN HEQUEST SO JPDEN ESTIMATI ENT BRANCH IPS IN WASHINGTON ION PROJECT . DGET WASHINGT	COMPLY WITH THIS 0 NRS FORWARD TO THE RECORDS 301 US NUCLEAR DC 20555 AND TO 1550-01581 OFFICE ON 9C 20503
PACILITY NA	ASE (1)	- 1 -			Die		Hard & 3	a national de las garant		inen sentintan in h	enalizet dite ater atega ta			DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	PAGE (1)
rerry	NU	016	ar r	ower	1.79	0.01	Unit (					-		0 5 0 0	0 4 14 16	1 OF 110
Plant			lown	Due	10.1	1 100	alation	Waxa	n Rea	tom P	no Ru		no.			
EVENT	DATE	151	TOMIS		LER MU	MRERI	ua di ba sorri B	REI	PORTDA	18 (7) I	and the state of t		OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	LVED IN	
MONTH D	A 7 ]	YEAR	4 48.	AH	-	NTIAL	RE VISION	MONTH	DAY	TEAR		F #.	CILITY NA	MES	DOCKET NUMBE	PI(S)
					1 40	ABE M	INU MISE II								0 15 10 11	
1 2 2	2	91	1 9	1-	0	2 7	- 010	0 1	211	9 2	1999 (All Barrison )				0 15 10 10	101 1 1
OPERAT	ING		7 116	REPOR	17 18 50	BARTTE	D PURBUANT	O THE R	LOUIREN	ENTS OF 10	CFR 5 /0	Chard a	me or more	of the followings it	()	and an and a second second second
ROOM	(#1	_		20.402	(8)			20.406	¢1		X	58.7	9642(2)(1+)		73.75(6)	-
POWER				20.406	<b>₩</b> 4(1310		Name of Concession	50.3812	10			56.7	34a1(2)(v)		73,71(e)	
101	hand	Y1	windersteinen eine	20.409	(#1(31(6)		-	55.3814	117)			59.7	Raj(2)(vs)		OTHER S	Decily in Abstract in Text NRC Form
			-	20.408	4#1(11(18)		and the second	50,731a	1022111		-	50.7	Nat(2)(ens)	LAT	366A1	
			-	20,406	dell'iter		and the second se	50.734	121101			50.7	Marchiter			
	****	******			States and a state of		and an	ICENSEE	CONTAC	T FOR THE	LEM (12)		and the local definition of		de ante constante de la consta	
NAME					********	and the second		and the second second	Cramera arrent		and in a field of ever			and the second	TELEPHONE NU	WEER
Henry	. L.	He	grat	. O	mpli	anc	e Supern	/isor	. Ext	ensior	6185			21 11 6	21 51 91	-1 31 71 31 7
		Carlory Married		ale Con IP See agen 1	CON	PLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH C	CANPONEN	(T FAILLAR	DESCRIBE	D 18 1	THIS REPO	(6.7 ) 7.90		and a second
CAUSE SY	STEN	:00	WPUNEA	(T	NENUP TURE	AC R	REPORTABUE TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	co	WPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	NEPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
X A	h A	V	T <sub>1</sub> V		1 2	9	s Y			В	KIE	P .	s <sub>1</sub> X <sub>1</sub>	1	N	
XE	A F	81	KI B		GL 11	81	8	-			1.	1	1 1	1111		
Protocological and				u e or reserve	÷L.	PLEN	ENTAL REPORT	EXMECT	ED (14)					SXRECT	ED MONT	H DAY YEAR
YES ///		amaier	* EXPE	TED SU	##IS3/C	N DAT	ei.	5	00					DATE D	61	1.1.1
ABSTRACT /	Luner 1	0 1400	a mener	(x, xaa	nter merer	y Pittern	un <b>e</b> re colecie ryo	www.com /s	maar (16)			a nel constante da			and the second second second	

On December 22, 1991, at 0205 hours, a manual reactor scram was inserted per Integrated Operating Instruction (IOI) - 8 due to a non-isolable break in the Circulating Water System (N71) piping. The break occurred on a section of 36 inch fiberglass reinforced plastic pipe which supplies cooling water to the auxiliary condensers. At 0259, the shift supervisor declared an Alert based on reports of rising water level received and indications available in the Control Room. All required notifications were made regarding the Alert declaration.

Equipment anomalies and malfunctions which occurred after the manual scram was inserted are summarized in the text of this LaR. The cause of the pipe rupture was attributed to a combination of factors which included a pre-existing flaw in the fiberglass, functional degradation of a pipe support and improper installation of an O-ring gasket at the fiberglass to steel transition flange. The plant was restarted on January 3, 1992 after repairs were made to the affected piping and associated supports. Additionally, the equi, ment anomalies and malfunctions which occurred after plant shutdown were investigated and corrective actions taken where appropriate.

NRC FORM	LICENSEE LEVENT REPORT	(LER)	APPROVED OM8 HD. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/93 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REDARDING BURDEN ESTIMATS TO THE RECORPA AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT REANCH (P.530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20858, AND TO THE PAPETYORK REDUCTION PROJECT 3150-01640. OFFICE
FACILITY	VANE (1)	OOCKET NUMBER (2)	DE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON DE 20903.
Perr	ry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0  5   0   0   0   4	
TEXT ill mo	m spece is required, use edditioner NRC Form 3664/sr (17)	er de sousike een het een die e	al March and a Sal Salah Aras ( March March Angel and a salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah s
	On December 22, 1991 a fast reactastrophic rupture of a 36 in of the event, the plant was in percent of rated thermal power approximately 1024 psig and sat	actor shutdown wa nch condenser cir Operational Cond with the Reactor turated condition	is initiated following the culating water line. At the time lition 1 (Power Operation) at 100 Pressure Vessel [RPV] at 15.
44.5	(See Appendix A for chronologic	cal sequence of e	vente)
	At 0138 hours on December 22, 3 100 percent power upon complete an annunciator was received for Control Room received reports and that the start-up transform reported at that time, that a Unit 1 start-up transformer. A cooling tower basin was rapidly pressure were oscillating cons (N71) [KE] configuration. Dece also noted.	1991, reactor pow ion of a weekly s r low circulating that the motor an mer deluge system large vapor cloud At 0157, Control y decreasing and iderably for the reasing vacuum in	er was increased from 99 to purveillance test. At 0152 hours, y water chamber level. At 0154 the d diesel fire pumps had started had initiated. It was also was seen in the vicinity of the Room personnel observed that the that pump amperage and discharge existing Circulating Water System o the "A" auxiliary condenser was
	At 0200 hours, the Control Room reactor power to 80 percent. " "A" auxiliary condenser could be The Control Room personnel the the "B" auxiliary condenser. " of large amounts of water in the upon the above considerations, Instruction (IOI) - 8, "Shutdow reduced and a manual scram was	m Unit Supervisor This action was t be isolated to st reafter noticed t There were subsec he transformer ya the US directed wn by Manual Reac inserted at 0205	(US) ordered a decrease in taken with the assumption that the top the suspected system leakage. That vacuum was also decreasing in quent reports to the Control Room and and Turbine Building. Based entrance into Integrated Operating tor Scram." Reactor core flow was 5.
	A plant operator later reported the 36 inch circulating water elevation. As a result, the U secured at 0210 hours. Reactor bypass valves in accordance wi Control. Reactor pressure con Relief Valves (SRVs). The Rea utilized to augment pressure co were initiated due to Level tra- control. No additional rod mo inserted during the manual scr secured.	d to the Control pipe inlet to the S ordered the A a r pressure was be th the Plant Emer trol was subseque ctor Core Isolati ontrol. Six (6) ansients experier tion was experier am. At 0224 the	Room that a large leak existed at a Heater Bay at the 620 foot and B circulating water pumps sing controlled by opening steam (gency Instruction (PEI) - B13, RPV ently transferred to the Safety ion Cooling System (RCIC) was also Level 3 automatic scram signals need while using SRV pressure need as all control rods were fully "C" circulating water pump was
	At 0259, the shift supervisor groundwater level. Although t	declared an Alert he actual groundy	t due to reported rising water levels never reached the

FACULITY NAME (1)         FACULITY NAME (1)         Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1         TEXT of more space of a second are additione NRC form 30642/100         Text of more space of a second are additione NRC form 30642/100         height specified by the Emergency Supervisor's judgment there was a activation. The initial NRC not a Alert declaration. Follow-up no intervals thereafter in addition Region III and NRR personnel. A officials were also made in a time December 22, 1991.	ER) OCKETNL def 12) y Plan for Alert d sufficient justifi ification was made tifications were m to telephonic inf ll required notifi mely manner. The	EXPIRES ADDRESS ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO DOMPLY WITH THI INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SOO HRS. FORWARL COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORD AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (FS30) US NUCLEAN REQUILATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 2050 I ER NUMBER ISI VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVERSION DC 2050 LER NUMBER ISI VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVERSION VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVERSION VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVERSION COLLET NUMBER ISI PAGE (3) COLLET NUMBER ISI ECLARATION, IN THE Shift cation for the Emergency Plan at 0311 hours to report the ade at approximately 1 hour ormation requested by both cations for state and local Alert was terminated at 1151 on
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 TEXT of more services a required, and authorse ARC form 3084 20 (17) height specified by the Emergency Supervisor's judgment there was activation. The initial NRC not: Alert declaration. Follow-up no intervals thereafter in addition Region III and NRR personnel. A officials were also made in a tip December 22, 1991.	y Plan for Alert d sufficient justifi ification was made tifications were m to telephonic inf ll required notifi mely manner. The	LER NUMBER IS     PAGE (2)       YEAR     SEQUENTIAL NUMBER     REVISION NUMBER       (9) 1     0     217     0     0     3     0F     1       eclaration, in the Shift cation for the Emergency Plan at 0311 hours to report the ade at approximately 1 hour ormation requested by both cations for state and local Alert was terminated at 1151 on
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 TEXT of more served, an exemption ARC form 3864 (107) height specified by the Emergency Supervisor's judgment there was activation. The initial NRC not Alert declaration. Follow-up no intervals thereafter in addition Region III and NRR personnel. A officials were also made in a tip December 22, 1991.	y Plan for Alert d sufficient justifi ification was made tifications were m to telephonic inf ll required notifi mely manner. The	eclaration, in the Shift cation for the Emergency Plan at 0311 hours to report the ade at approximately 1 hour ormation requested by both cations for state and local Alert was terminated at 1151 on
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 TEXT of once a second, as addition ARC form 3864 (107) height specified by the Emergency Supervisor's judgment there was activation. The initial NRC not Alert declaration. Follow-up no intervals thereafter in addition Region III and NRR personnel. A officials were also made in a tin December 22, 1991.	y Plan for Alert d sufficient justifi ification was made tifications were m to telephonic inf ll required notifi mely manner. The	eclaration, in the Shift cation for the Emergency Plan at 0311 hours to report the ade at approximately 1 hour ormation requested by both cations for state and local Alert was terminated at 1151 on
Text of once a maximum war advance NRC form 3884 a) (17) height specified by the Emergenc Supervisor's judgment there was activation. The initial NRC not Alert declaration. Follow-up no intervals thereafter in addition Region III and NRR personnel. A officials were also made in a tip December 22, 1991.	y Plan for Alert d sufficient justifi ification was made tifications were m to telephonic inf ll required notifi mely manner. The	eclaration, in the Shift cation for the Emergency Plan at 0311 hours to report the ade at approximately 1 hour ormation requested by both cations for state and local Alert was terminated at 1151 on
<ul> <li>Various equipment malfunctions of inserted and are briefly descrive.</li> <li>III. Apparent Cause of Pipe Rupture</li> <li>The catastrophic failure of the on December 22, 1991, occurred in the point where the pipe transit was located in a yard area where Heater Bay building.</li> <li>Several probable causes were evabelieved that no individual cause An eight (8) inch axial groove of area of high stress c ncentration failure. Additional factors com pipe support to function as an athe transfer of undesirable load with incorrect installation of a fiberglass and steel piping, whielbow. Preventive measures to a incorporated into the pipe repair</li> <li>IV. Equipment Malfunctions and Anoma As previously stated, various equector shutdown on December 22, occurrences is provided below.</li> <li>A. Electrical Equipment</li> <li>1. Bus L11 Failure to Tranunce</li> </ul>	nd anomalies occur ed in Section IV b 36 inch auxiliary n a fiberglass elb ions from fibergla the pipe exits th luated individuall al factor solely p n the pipe elbow e n and the primary tributing to the f nchor point for th ing stresses to th n O-ring in the tr ch placed addition ddress identified r process. lies uipment problems w 1991. A brief di	red after the manual scram was below. circulating water supply line for in the pipe just prior to as to carbon steel. The pipe be ground prior to entering the y and in combination. It is erecipitated the pipe failure. Exterior was determined to be an contributor to the ultimate ailure were the failure of a be steel piping, which allowed be fiberglass elbow, coupled ansition area between the bal stress on the fiberglass causal factors were were experienced after the fast scussion of the significant

L1006 and (2) opening 13.8kV breaker L1202 and closing breaker L1009. Both of these breaker automatic transfer schemes are driven by the same relay logic. The L1202 to L1009 transfer properly occurred, and the L1102 and L1006 transfer failed. Upon inspection of 13.8kV breaker

NRC FORM MEA	U.S.A	UCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	and the design of the second se
(6-89)			APPROVED OM& NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT ( TEXT CONTINUATION	LER)	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGRAPHING BURJEN SENARTE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.530). US NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20585, AND TO THE FARENWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3)500104; DFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)
			YEAR SEGUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER
Perry Nuclear	Power Plant, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 4 0	911-0127-0100140-10
TEXT III more spece a recuired, use	additionel NRC Form 366A's/ (17)		
	L1006, maintenance fou spring charging switch position. Maintenance mechanism had broken. successfully completed Several additional pro of Bus L11 to transfer	nd that its closing es, fuses, etc., we determined that a The part was repla blems occurred as a and were resolved	g springs were discharged. All ere found to be in proper subcomponent of the breaker aced and a retest was a direct result of the failure when power was restored to the
	bus. They are as foll	OVS:	when power was restored to the
	<ul> <li>a. CRD Pump B tripped of oil pressure" r</li> <li>b. Switch S112 (345kV open due to loss o</li> <li>c. Various containmen Protection System</li> </ul>	due to the momenta elay. Main Transformer of f power to the moto t isolations occurs (RPS) Bus "B" and o	ary de-energization of the "loss disconnect switch) would not or which operates the switch, red duc to the loss of Reactor other low voltage buses:
	<ul> <li>Reactor Water</li> <li>Reactor Water</li> <li>Backup Hydroge</li> <li>Balance of Fla</li> <li>A Control Room Eme</li> </ul>	Clean Up Sample Line n Purge nt rgency ventilation	recirculation initiation
	occurred as a resu	lt of losing 120 V	AC Panel K-1-N.
2.	Motor Feed Pump (MFP)	Breaker Failure to	Close
	The MFP breaker logic the event. With the t will feed water into t Level 8 is reached. A reset the Level 8 trip trip/reset action occu sixteenth trip reset,	was set in AUTO-STA wo Reactor Feed Pur he reactor vessel of fter a short period signal and the MFD cred 15 times over the MFP did not au	ART response mode at the time of mps turbines tripped, the MFP continuously or until a vessel d of time, the operator can P will again auto start. This a two hour period. On the tomatically start.
	Subsequent Engineering did not reveal any ano close on the sixteenth examination of the bre	review of the MFP malies which expla- close actuation de aker's anti-pump co	motor's breaker control logic in the breaker's failure to emand. This review included ontrol logic.
	In addition, the break satisfactorily using t disassembled and conta and operated several t problems were found.	er was removed from he breaker testing cts were inspected imes in the test p	m the cubicle and cycled equipment. The breaker was . The breaker was reassembled osition in the switchgear. No
and the second second			

3. Startup Transformer Deluge Initiation

This Fire Protection System feature functioned per design when the rate

NRC FORM 366A (6-09)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMBIND 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4:30/92 ESTIMATED SURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY P W THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SCO HHS F ENAPS COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECEINCIS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F 530) US NK. SKR RECULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20:55, A* 2 TO THE FAREWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (JISDOLL), DF FIGE OF MANADEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.		
· LICENSEE EV	VENT REPORT (LER)			
PACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	
Denny Nuclear Davis Dise		VEAR SEQUENTIAL MEUGON NUMBER NUMBER		
rerry nuclear rower rian	0  5  0  0  4  4  0	911-01217-010	015 OF 110	

of rise sensors detected a rapid temperature rise when the comparatively hot N71 water (approximately 80 - 85 degrees Fahrenheit) hit the much cooler transformer. The amount of water and location of water contact did not pose a problem as evidenced by the continuous operation of Startup Transformer 100-PY-B.

- 4. Equipment Problems Resulting from Water Intrusion
  - a. Several instruments and a power distribution component in the Emergency Service Water Pumphouse (ESWPH) were damaged by water which entered the building through a series of conduits. This was the only known safety-related equipment affected as a result of flooding. The water which entered the conduit originated in an electrical manhole which became flooded during the pipe rupture event. The affected equipment was repaired or : placed.
  - b. Several instruments on the non-safety related control rod hydraulic skids became partially submerged from water which entered the Intermediate Builling. A walkdown was performed to determine if any other equipment may have been affected based on the maximum height of the water observed in the building. Potentially affected equipment will be meggered and inspected.

### B. Mechanical Equipment

1. Scram Discharge Volume Failure to Drain

The scram discharge volume (SDV) failed to drain following the scram due to a failed stem coupling on the outboard drain valve 1C11-F0181. The coupling joins the actuator stem to the disc stem. A notification was made to the NRC at 2225 hours on December 22, 1991 to report the SDV drain valve failure pursuant to the requirements of IE Bulletin No. 80-14. The valve was repaired in accordance with instructions provided in GE Service Information Letter (SIL) 422.

2. Instrument Air Pressure Not Maintained During Event

It was originally believed that a problem existed in the Instrument Air System due to an inability to maintain system pressure above 86 psig with a 'cram inserted and the Safety Relief Valves being cycled. A detailed evaluation of the sequence of events, system pressure and overall system response was performed. The analysis concluded that the system had functioned as designed during the event and the Unit 1 Instrument Air Compressor was able to supply all required air for important equipment manipulations. The analysis revealed interrelations associated with operating pages of the compressors which were not immediately understood.

This is, for early the distribution of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state		And in the second se			
CENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	CENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION				
		THE PARENWORK REDUCTION PROJECT DISORIDAL OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DIGCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (8)	PAGE (3)		
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0  5  0  0  0  4  4  0	911 0 2 7 0 0	016 08 1 0		
C. Structural The only significant struct confined to the pipe support where the subject piping en around the yard area struct Additional areas affected damaged and minor housekeep	tural damage resulti rt discussed previou xited the ground. S tures was displaced included a concrete ping problems from d	ng from this event wa soly and the soil in t some of the soil and s as a result of the fl walkway which was par lisplaced silt and det	the area tone used tooding. tially oris.		

## V. Safety Analysis

None of the equipment problems or anomalies described impacted equipment required to safely shutdown the plant; therefore, this analysis will focus mainly on the flooding aspects.

The water discharged by the 36" diameter N71 line break located north of the Heater Bay at approximately 620' elevation, generally flooded the yard are in the immediate vicinity of the break. Approximately one to two feet of water could have existed for a short duration at the west boundary of the flooded area.

## A. Normal Design Flow Path

Normally, most of the water from the break would be dissipated by surface run-off towards low lying areas away from the plant. (For this break, most of the water would run-off in the north and north-west direction and some in the north-east direction). Some of the water would seep through the class B/C fill (at a very slow rate, as Class B/C fill is nearly impervious) around the building and reach the Underdrain system. The Underdrain system consists of a 1'-O" thick porous concrete mat under the building foundations and a 12" diameter porous pipe routed around the perimeter of the plant. The porous pipe carries the collected water to nine (9) individual pumps located in manholes spaced around the nuclear island. The water collected in the manholes would be pumped to the gravity discharge piping (36" to 48" diameter steel pipe, at El. 588' [high point] to El. 579' [low point]). In the unlikely event of the failure of all nine (9) pumps, the water level in the manholes would rise to El. 588' and be drained to the ES\_PH via the gravity discharge piping. The underdrain system is designed for a postulated break in the circulating water system (12'-0" diameter fiberglass pipe) and is sized to handle the flow from such a break. The break in the 36" diameter pipe which occurred above grade was determined to be bounded by the break postulated for the design basis of the Underdrain system.

#### B. Estimate of Actual Flow Path

A walk-down conducted on December 22, 1991, revealed that the cover for the manhole #20, immediately to the west of the N71 pipe break, had been left open. This provided a direct and a much more rapid path for some of the flood water to the Underdrain system. This along with the water that seeped

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	(LER)	APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATES BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 600 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDER ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IF 5301. 0.5 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20585. AND TO THE FARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT DISO.0164. OFFICE			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (L)	OF MANAG	SEMENT AND BUDGET WAS	HINGTON, DC 20803.	
		YEAR	BEQUENTIAL MEVISIO	PAGE (3)	
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 15 10 10 10 14 14 10	ol 11-	012121-010	0 17 OF 1 10	
pumps was exceeded for some received in the Control Roo El. 568.5′).	time (this explains m after the break; t	s the h the ala	igh water lev rm is set a'	el alarm	
The pumped discharge portion to a more rapid flow from t anticipated by design. How the pumped discharge system level below El. 590'. The function, has been shown to	n of the Underdrain he break (due to the ever, this did not c is not the primary Gravity Discharge sy be adequate to hand	system e open f create a system ystem, dle a b	was probably manhole) than a safety conc for keeping designed to p reak in the N	subjected ern since the water erform this 71 system	

which envelopes the current break (discussed above). Further, the ground water level was lowered to El. 568.5' soon after the break as confirmed by a walkdown on December 24, 1991, and piezometer water level readings 'aken on December 26, 1991. This confirms that the Underdrain system performed its function as designed.

Additionally, due to the open manhole #20, there is a possibility that the capacity of the gravity discharge portion of the Underdrain system was temporarily exceeded. This would result in the water level rising above El. 590' in the manhole. However, this water would be discharged to the lake via the Gravity Discharge system before it could fill the porous concrete and the Class A fill to El. 590'. Thus, the water level could not have exceeded El. 590' (design basis of the Underdrain system).

The path of ingress of water to the plant has been determined to be as follows:

- Below El. 590', water most probably entered the safety related buildings through the holes/tears in the waterstops/water proofing membranes at the rattle spaces and piezometer tubes. The amount of in-leakage was also somewhat aggravated for this occurrence by the temporary loss of power to sump pumps within the buildings.
- 2. Above El. 590' all the water came into the plant when the electrical manholes filled and water can back through the duct banks into the plant, into the Service Water pump house and into the ESW pump house. The amount of water intrusion above El. 590' was insignificant and us such had no safety consequences. The cables in the electrical manholes were specified to operate for forty years submerged in water. The only safety-related equipment was in the ESW pump house where water entered into the building at the south east zone Junction Box JB1-2114. Water then passed through a series of conduits and boxes and ended up in Motor Control Center (MCC) EFIA12 causing the failure of a space heater transformer. Although this had no safety consequences, it is significant because of water which flowed into a safety-related

NRC #0RM 388A (6-89)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED ONE NO. 31 EXPIRES 4/30/9/	60-0104 I	
LICENSCE EVENT REPOR TEXT CONTINUATIO	RT (LER) IN	ESTIMATE DURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH T INFORM" ON COLLECTION REQUEST BOC HRS FORWA COMME & REGARDING BURDEN BETIMATE TO THE L JOS AND REPLATS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.830) U.S. NUCLE REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20805. AND THE FAREHWORK REQUCTION PROJECT DIBOGIDAL OFF OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON DC 20803.		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKEY NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (5)	EL SDAR	
		REAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION		
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 15 10 10 16 .1 41 (		DIA OF 1 10	

switchgear. The inlet point for this water has been sealed to prevent any future occurrence.

The extent of in-leakage to plant structures can be attributed to a very rapid entry of flood water into the open manhole, causing the Underdrain system to fill up rapidly. It should also be noted that, for the most part, the floor drains were able to dissipate the water adequately. Thus the items designed to keep the buildings free of water performed in an acceptable manner. The actual flood path for this break was not the path anticipated by design, largely due to the open manhole; however, the systems designed to handle flooding performed adequately as demonstrated by the fact that no essential safety-related equipment was lost as a result of the flooding. Therefore, this event is not considered to be safety significant.

VI. Similar Events

There have been no catastrophic piping failures or similar events for which a plant shutdown was initiated.

- VII. Corrective Actions
  - A. The following corrective actions were developed to address the causal factors associated with N71 pipe rupture described in Section III above.
    - The fiberglass elbow for the Auxiliary Condenser inlet piping was replaced with an identical elbow from Perry Unit 2. The replacement elbow was inspected for potential defects prior to installation and increased in thickness to enhance its pressure capacity. The auxiliary condenser discharge piping elbow will be evaluated to determine the need for any additional reinforcement prior to the end of RF03. An evaluation was performed to justify interim operation.
    - 2. Careful attention was paid to the correct assembly of the material transition flange O-ring to ensure proper flange mate-up. The auxiliary condenser discharge line was inspected and found to have a similar flange mating problem. This line will be reworked to correct the problem prior to the end of RF03. An evaluation was performed to justify interim operation.
    - 3. A modification was performed to significantly upgrade the supports for the auxiliary condenser inlet and discharge lines. The support modification and the replacement elbow reinforcement were completed prior to starting up the N71 system.
  - B. The following corrective actions were taken to address the items discussed in Section IV of this LER.

		present westernetworkersteinsteinsteinstein ein sein westernetworkersteinen einer
INES FORM 386A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 'LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SOD HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F530) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PRASHINGTOP DC 20565, AND TO THE FARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT SOCIOL, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHING N. DC 20563.
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (8) PADE (3)
	비행 감독했다. 관계 집에 가지 않는 것이다.	VEAR SEGUENTIAL NEVERON NUMBER NUMBER
Perry Nuclear	Power Plant, Unit 1 0 15101010141410	91 1 - 01217 - 0 10 10 10 OF 110
TEXT IN more space is required, use	additional NAC Form 3864 \$1 (17)	hail haid an da an d
1.	As described previously in Section IV.A.1 which caused the failure of Bus L11 to the replaced and breaker L1006 was successful	l, the breaker subcomponent cansfer after the scram was lly retested.
2.	As described in Section IV.A.2, the contr Pump (MFP) was reviewed and did not revea breaker was disass mbled and inspected. satisfactorily retested. No equipment pr further actions were deemed necessary.	rol logic for the Motor Feed al any anomalies. The MFP The breaker was reassembled and roblem was identified and no
3.	For the Startup Transformer deluge initia Section IV.A.3, no corrective actions wer response to the event was per design.	ation discussed in ce required since the system
4.	The wetted equipment in the Emergency Ser repaired or replaced as required (see Sec a modification was performed to seal the pathway for water intusion in the ESW pur for the junction box which filled with wa	rvice Water (ESW) pumphouse was ction IV.A.4.a). Additionally, conduits which provided the mphouse and add a drainage port ater during the event.
5.	Affected equipment in the Intermediate Bu Section IV.A.4.b, will be inspected and m	uilding, described in meggered as necessary.
6.	With regard to the Scram Eischarge Volume Section IV.B.1, a replacement coupling we the instructions provided in GE Serv a 1 Additional instructions were added to as proper implementation during the installa	e (SDV) Drain Valve discussed in as installed in accordance with Information Letter (SIL) 422. sociated work order to ensure ation process.
7.	As described in Section IV.B.2, the revie operation determined that the overall sys was per design. Therefore no additional item.	ew of Instrument Air System stem response during the event l actions are required for this
8.	The soil adjacent to the damaged N71 pipe direction of Engineer on department person damage described in the second IV.C was the with regard to plant systems or structure yard area will be required to rake display sidewalk. Completion of these items will with ongoing plant activities.	ing and support was replaced per onnel. The remaining structural or in nature and had no effect es. Cosmetic repairs to the aced stones and repair a damaged 1 be prioritized commensurate
Addi	tionally, all licensed and non-licensed p ning on this event as part of regualifica	lant operators will receive tion training.

Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified in the test as [XX].

Duck.	A NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED GRAE NO 3160	LOT GH			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	(LER)	ERPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDIN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST DOU HRS FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDIN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REFORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (PADD) U.F. NUCLEAR RECULATORY COMMISSION WARHINGTON DC 20555 AND TO THE PARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (STROJOM) DFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WARHINGTON DC 20503				
ADILITY NAME (1)	DIRCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (E)	PAGE (3)			
	일 문화된 것 같은 문화	VERB DEDUCTION REVISION				
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 15 0 0 0 0 4 4 0	91 1 - 0 217 - 0 10	1 10 OF 110			
EST ill more space a reaun≈a, ice edationel NRC Form 3064 si 117i	APP					
CHRONOLO Circulat	GICAL SEQUENCE OF EV ing Jater System Pup December 22, 1991	ENT? ture				
0154 - Automatic start of Diesel Fi Delug System Initiation on	re Pump and Motor Dr Main Transformer.	iven Fire Pump; Indic	ation of			
0200 - Lov Pressure Indications on Tover Basin Lov Level alarms cavitation reported. Operation	Circulating Water Pu s; Major rupture iden tors reduce power to	mp discharge pressure tified on Circ Vater 80%,	; Cooling System and			
0205 - Reduced Recirculation Flow accordance with ICT-8.	to 52 MLBS/MR and ini	tiated manual <sup>n</sup> eactor	Screm in			
0210 - Secured A and B Circulating	Water Pumps.					
0224 - Secured C Circulating Water	Pump.					
0225 - Manually Closed Outboard MS Relief Valves. Level maint	IVs; Established pres ained using Motor Foe	ssure control using Sa ed Pump.	afety			
0259 - ALERT declared in accordance	e with Emergency Plan	n				
0400 - After level 8 trip caused b mainthin RPV level.	y SRV cycling, MFP fo	ailed to restart. RC	IC used to			
0737 - Shutdown Cooling Establishe	ed using RHR loop A.					
1107 Entered Cold Chutdows						
1107 - Entered Cold Suddown.						
1151 - Terminated ALERT; Entered 2	Recovery phase.					

-----