meh/r&t UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 3 CONTINUATION OF DISCUSSION OF 4 APPEAL BOARD DECISION ON TMI-1 5 6 PUBLIC MEETING 7 8 Room 1130 9 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 10 Friday, June 1, 1984 11 12 The Commission met, pursuant to recess, at 11:50 a.m. COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: 13 NUNZIO PALLADINO, Chairman of the Commission 14 VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner THOMAS ROBERTS, Commissioner 15 JAMES ASSELSTINE, Commissioner FREDERICK BERNTHAL, Commissioner 16 STAFF AND PRESENTERS SEATED AT COMMISSION TABLE: S. CHILK 18 J. ZERBE J. MONTGOMERY 19 R. LEVI M. MALSCH 20 U. PLAINE 21 22 23 8406110020 840601 PDR TOCFR PDR

24-914

DISCLAIMER

This is an unoffical transcript of a meeting of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission held on June 1, 1984 in the Commission's office at 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. The meeting was open to public attendance and observation. This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, or edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

The transcript is intended solely for general informational purposes. As provided by 10 CFR 9.103, it is not part of the formal or informal record of decision of the matters decussed. Expressions of opinion in this transcript do not necessarily reflect final determinations or beliefs. No pleading or other paper may be filed with the commission in any proceeding as the result of or addressed to any statement or argument contained herein, except as the Commission may authorize.

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Please come to order.

The purpose of this morning's meeting is to discuss the draft order prepared by the General Counsel and our Office of Policy Evaluation, requesting the parties' views on whether the Commission should continue to attempt to make an immediate effectiveness decision on TMI-1 restart.

I would like to express regret on the Commission's behalf for the very short notice of the meeting. We earlier had scheduled a closed adjudicatory meeting to discuss the Appeal Board decision, and in the course of preparing for that meeting, it became clear that additional topics needed to be addressed. Therefore, yesterday we scheduled this public meeting.

Do other Commissioners have opening remarks?

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: No.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right. At this time, then,
I wonder if I might turn the meeting over to OGC to discuss
the draft order.

MR. PLAINE: Mr. Chairman, the purpose of the order is to deal with an issue of time. The Commission had originally thought that it could, somewhere in the month of June, come to some decision on immediate effectiveness in the TMI-1 matter, and the advent of the ALAB on a number of the important issues has made a difference in the possibility

of doing this.

The order that we have prepared is something that could possibly try to save getting the effectiveness matter reached in the month of June, but on a fairly tight schedule.

If we follow the merit decision course as laid out by the Board or some other course that may be laid out, why, it isn't going to be possible at all to touch this in June. So, the only reason for this order would be not to coerce you into making any decision on which course you should follow but to perhaps save you the possible option of trying for a decision in June.

Now, with that in mind I should make it clear that if you agree to this order, it does not necessarily bind you to any course of action because once you have heard from the parties, you might decide that it isn't going to be possible to do this. And hence, this is only for that limited purpose.

I might say that it doesn't bind you to any one of the three options that have been laid out in another paper that we gave you. It simply preserves the possibility that you might go with Option 3. Hence, the thrust of the order is that the Commission would decide to request the parties to comment on whether in view of ALAB-772 and all other relative information, including the investigative report by the Office of Investigations, the management

concerns which led to making the '79 shut-down orders immediately effective have been sufficiently resolved so that the Commission should lift the immediate effectiveness of those orders prior to the completion of review of any appeals from ALAB-772. The parties would be given time to comment on this and the Commission would also find at this point that it is no longer necessary to issue a draft management decision for comment.

R

That is, essentially, the thrust of the order.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: There was one question raised with regard to the order in the last paragraph of the order. It says, "Accordingly, after reviewing the parties' comments, the Commission will determine by June 29, 1984 whether to issue an effectiveness decision prior to completion of any merits review of ALAB-772."

It may turn out that there is reason to do it before or after that date, and it was suggested to cross out the date. You say, "Accordingly, after reviewing the parties' comments, the Commission will determine whether to issue an effectiveness decision prior to completion of any merits review of ALAB-772," for the flexibility.

I don't know what comments people have on that section.

MR. PLAINE: I see no harm in striking it.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: That's acceptable to me.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay, other questions or discussions?

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: I guess for myself, I have four problems with the order that lead me to conclude that I'm not going to vote for it. Maybe now is as good a time as any just to highlight what those are.

The first problem I have is the problem I have raised in the past. This order, I think, continues to inappropriately confuse the kinds of decisions that the Commission ultimately has to make on restart. It talks again in terms of an immediate effectiveness decision.

I don't think that's the kind of decision the

Commission has to make here. I think it has to make a

decision on the merits. The Commission has to find that there
is sufficient information on the issues that are relevant
to the shut-down order, the order that this plant be kept
shut down.

For the Commission to find that those issues have been satisfactorily resolved to permit restart, and I think that's more than the kind of immediate effectiveness decision that we typically make in operating license cases.

Once again, I have a concern about the way that the Commission's decision is being couched.

Second, I have said in the past that I am skeptical that the Commission will have the information it needs by

the end of this month to make a decision on restart, and I guess I am even more pessimistic about that now, based upon my review thus far of the Appeal Board decision on management competence and integrity.

I think, by the way, that this order -- contrary to what the General Counsel suggests -- really does tend to go more towards the third option. I think it's a bit more than just preserving the availability of all options. It tends to focus the Commission in a particular direction.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I think changing that date doesn't necessarily do that.

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Well, changing the date simply removes, I think, the more explicit nature of the concern.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: But it does ask for parties'

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: It does.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: -- on whether or not we think we can go with an immediate effectiveness.

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: That's right.

I guess the third concern I have is the time period. You are asking for parties' comments in two weeks on whether the information that is now available, including the vast amount of information that is outside of the record, the formal record of the proceeding is sufficient to permit

a restart decision. That is an awful lot of material. We have released very recently some fairly voluminous OI reports. Some of those reports still are not available, the ones that have been completed. There is still at least one that has not been released yet, and we have a couple more that are coming, that I don't think even are expected before the middle of June when the comments are due from the parties.

I think the two-week time period is unrealistically short.

And the fourth concern I have is that I have already decided, at least for myself, that the steam generator tube repair hearing has to be completed before I am prepared to make a restart decision. At least in my own mind, that convinces me that the June date is not possible and therefore I don't see a strong justification in my own mind for this very expedited approach in terms of asking for comments in order to try and make some kind of a decision -- whether it is by the end of June or very shortly thereafter.

So, I recognize that my own view on the steam generator issue is different from others on the Commission, and that factor isn't all that relevant for others.

But for those four reasons, I guess I am not in favor of proceeding with this order at this time.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where does that hearing stand?

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: I think the hearing itself

has not yet started. I think they are still in the discovery
phase.

MR. LEVI: The staff and licensee have moved for
summary disposition of all contingents. That motion is
pending before the Licensing Board.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I would vote for this order. I think the fact that the time period for comments is short has two points to be made in that regard:

One, much of the information has already been made available for a considerable period of time.

Two, as in the past, parties could always ask for extension, and if the extension is a reasonable request, especially when we recognize whatever other documents might be issued. So, I think there is a remedy for that.

And I think it does provide the maximum amount of flexibility in our proceeding. So, I would strongly vote for it.

Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, are you planning to vote on this?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: 1 was planning to vote on it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I guess I'm going to abstain simply because I don't want to be in the position of either putting the decision off to a time beyond when I will be here, or trying to hang onto it.

I have been ready to vote on this thing for some years now.

(Laughter)

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Any time the Commission is ready. I think I made that clear.

I would just make a comment on the dates here.

You are talking about getting responses back on the 15th, or in the case of the staff, the 18th. Jack Zerbe earlier told us that he wasn't going to supply his office's views on the opinion until after he had seen these comments. So, it is going to be some days after that, which doesn't leave you a whole lot of time.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That's why I --

MR. ZERBE: That was on the merits, you are talking about, you were talking about this morning. I said that we would not know what to do on the ALAB --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I see.

MR. ZERBE: -- until we saw the parties' comments on the ALAB. That's what you are referring to.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I'll leave it to you.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I would vote for the order.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Mr. Chairman, I am not going to vote at all, I am not going to participate in a vote at this time. I have one document in front of me here dated May 31; I have two others dated May 30. I was otherwise

Court Reporting • Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902 • Balt. & Annap. 269-6236

occupied last night and otherwise occupied and pre-occupied this morning.

I think it is simply not good practice for us to make hasty decisions on what I think was a rather piece of rapid -- not to say "hasty" -- work by OGC, and I intend to discuss this matter further with my legal counsel.

I would be happy to attempt to reach a decision any time, beginning with the beginning of this afternoon's meeting or the end of it, or any time early next week. But I think we ought to be adequately informed before we vote on a matter of this importance and I am not going to vote at this time.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: What are the procedures?

You carry it today, Joe, you got two votes for, one against,
one not participating, and one abstaining.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Not if the others don't participate at all.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The problems are that next week there is considerable travel.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes, let's worry about the practicality of getting the vote here, and I'm certainly going to vote as soon as I can satisfy myself that the course that is suggested here is the best course. I have studied it, but I haven't satisfied myself yet and I'm not going to vote until I have a chance to do that.

1	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let me ask you something
2	else, what do you expect to get from the parties, aren't the
3	views that you are going to receive fairly predictable?
4	(Laughter)
5	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: They may be.
6	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I mean, is it just going
7	through the motions here?
8	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, I don't think so. I
9	think there are important points and trying to give as much
10	time to the parties as we can.
11	We have another problem, the travel starts on the
12	part of at least one Commissioner at 3:30.
13	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: At 3:30?
14	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We could
15	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, as far as I am
16	concerned
17	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Would you be ready by then?
18	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I would hope so, yes. I
19	still think it's unwise. There really is no reason to act
20	on this today, except I understand the problems with travel
21	early next week. Have we explored the possibility that we
22	might get together for some brief period of time next week,
23	even in view of various Commissioners' travel plans?
24	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: If you say did we exhaust
25	the possibilities, you never really exhaust the possibilities

because people's plans change. 2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I won't be here for a 3 couple of days, but don't let that stop you. 4 (Laughter) 5 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: But Commissioner Roberts --COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Inaudible) 6 7 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, if Victor intends 8 to abstain in any case, then clearly your actions need not 9 depend on his travel plans. 10 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, but there are other travel plans. Unfortunately, I didn't pick up the one 11 12 that handles the travel plans, or at least this copy doesn't. 13 Yes, Commissioner Roberts is out Monday and Tuesday; Gilinsky is out Wednesday. Thursday, we have 14 crowded everything in that we possibly can because on Friday 15 you travel. I don't know that we can crowd any more in. 16 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Let's see --17 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: What are you doing, are you 18 not participating? 19 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I intend to participate, but 20 I'm not going to vote until I feel I can cast an informed 21 vote. 22 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Tom is asking, at this 23 meeting right now, what is --24

FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

Court Reporting • Depositions

D.C. Area 261-1902 • Balt. & Annap. 269-6236

25

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: At this meeting right now --

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: -- are you abstaining or are you not participating? 2 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, if I have to protect 3 my right to vote, then I will vote against it at this time. If that's the question, maybe somebody needs to --CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now, would you be able to 6 tell us at 2 o'clock whether or not you are prepared to vote? COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I would hope so, yes. 8 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay. Well, then I guess we 9 are at the point where, if we want to vote further, we'll 10 have to reconvene on this matter at 2 o'clock. 11 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's fine with me. 13 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right, anything further to 14 come before us at this time? 15 All right, thank you. We'll stand adjourned. 16 (Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m., the meeting of the 17 Commission was adjourned, to reconvene at 2 o'clock p.m. on 18 the same day.) 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

2

1

(2:20 p.m.)

3

4

5

6

7 R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Please come to order.

This meeting is a continuation of this morning's meeting in which we were addressing the draft order requesting the parties' view on whether the Commission should continue to attempt to make an immediate effectiveness decision on TMI-1 restart.

This morning, there were two individuals who were in favor; one who was against; one who abstained, and Commissioner Bernthal requested time to consider further. So, maybe at this time we should ask Commissioner Bernthal if he has considered further.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes, I have, and I apologize for the delay. As you know, I missed a closed meeting this morning because I had another commitment, and required some additional time to study the issue.

I am going to vote in favor of the order going out, and I'll just say, I don't like it.

(Laughter)

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I think we are faced with a Hobson's choice here between a number of what I consider to be undesirable alternatives. But I have not found a desirable alternative, I must say, in the bunch.

What you can say for this order is that it offers

FREE STATE REPORTING INC. D.C. Area 261-1902 . Balt. & Annap. 269-6236

1	us the widest possible flexibility for some short period
2	of time here. I also believe that Jim or Victor, I guess it
3	was, is quite correct that the comments we are likely to
4	receive are somewhat predictable.
5	But at least this does give us time to study what
6	options might be available to us further. So, I am going to
7	vote in favor of the order.
8	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Your comment that you don't
9	like it, I think
10	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That is a summary statement,
11	I guess.
12	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: has implications that there
13	has been pressure on you to do one thing or the other.
14	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You better take it and run,
15	Joe.
16	(Laughter)
17	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Sound advice, Victor, sound
18	advice.
19	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I am sorry, but there are
20	implications there that I think ought to be cleared up. It is
21	your vote.
22	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Joe, let me
23	(Laughter)
24	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I shouldn't be so summary
25	in my comment, I guess. The point is that it really is a case

1	that I think we have no good options before us. We are now
2	faced with a series of undesirable options. I might have
3	preferred to have simply delayed action further and tried to
4	seek a better alternative and one may not have come up.
5	And since I was unable to come up with one in the time that
6	we had allotted here, I am going to go with the option that
7	I think preserves our flexibility.
8	That is what I mean when I say I don't like it.
9	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay, thank you.
10	Well, then, could we confirm the votes on going
11	forward with the draft order that was prepared by OGC/OPE
12	and was discussed this morning?
13	All those in favor of issuing the order indicate
14	by saying aye.
15	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Aye.
18	Those opposed?
19	COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: No.
20	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And the abstention.
21	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I am obstaining.
22	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I should have indicated that
23	that was without the date in there that we had agreed to.
24	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes, that's right.
25	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay, anything further to come

1	before us on this matter?
2	COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: No.
3	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right, thank you. We
4	will adjourn this meeting and will convene the next meeting.
5	(Whereupon, at 2:22 p.m. the meeting of the
6	Commission was adjourned to proceed with other business.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

This is to certify that the attached proceedings

before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Continuation of Discussion of Appeal Board

Decision on TMI-1

Date of Proceeding: June 1, 1984

Place of Proceeding: Washington, D.C.

Were held as herein appears and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the Commission.

M. E. Hansen (Reporter)

FREE STATE REPOR

	hhhhhhhhhhhhh	<u>Intribition</u>	daladadah	11/1/1/1/1/1/			
				3/84			
TPANSMITTAL TO:	Document Contro	ol Desk, 016	Phillips				
ADVANCED COPY TO:	The Public Document Room						
DATE:	6/4/84						
FROM:	SECY OPS BRANCH		c: OPS File C&R (Nata)	ie)			
Attached are copies of a Commission meeting transcript(s) and related meeting document(s). They are being forwarded for entry on the Daily Accession List and placement in the Public Document Room. No other distribution is requested or required. Existing DCS identification numbers are listed on the individual documents wherever known.							
Meeting Title: Discus	sion of Perso	al Boa	ed Decu	uon_			
on TM1-1	ALAB-772	00	rtion				
Meeting Date:	++ (losed				
			CS Copies each checked)				
		Copies	each checked)				
ITEM DESCRIPTION:		Advanced to PDR *	Original Document	May			
1. TRANSCRIPT		*	Document	be Dup*			
w/		1 *	1				
2.		:					
		*					
3.		:					
4.		- :					
		*					
5.		:		-			
(PDR is advanced one copy	of each document,		*Verify if	in DCS,			
two of each SECY paper.)			and chang "PDR Avai	e to lable"			