## UNITED STATES

## ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION DIVISION OF COMPLIANCE REGION III Thy ROOSEVELT ROAD REGION III



April 10, 1974
H. D. Thornburg, Chief, Field Support and Enforcement Branch Directorate of Regulatory Operations, Headquarters

RS\&EB ACTION REQUEST NO. III/74-14
MIDLAND - ALAB 106, CONDITIONS 2, 3, AND 4
Consistent with our recent discussion regarding this matter, we are proceeding in the following manner:

ALAB 106, Conditions No. 2 and No. 3
Each quarterly report received will be evaluated in terms of the scope and qualifications of $Q A / Q C$ personnel. Evaluation memos will be forwarded to you with reference to each quarterly report subsequent to the last quarter of CY 1973.

## ALAB 106, Condition No. 4

Review nonconformance and audit reports submitted by Consumers Power Company on a monthly schedule. Approximately one-half of the reports are to be selected for detailed review (on the basis of indicated significance) to determine the adequacy of: (1) control of noncomformance procedures, (2) proper and adequate corrective action, (3) generic QA/QC program implementation considerations, and (4) identification of violations to applicable requirements.

Any potential problems, identified during the detailed review discussed in the preceding paragraph, are to be "checklisted" and are to be reviewed during routine site inspections, which are currently on a three-week schedule.
The results $c^{s}$ the review of nonconformance and audit reports in the Regional office (disposition) will be maintained in the inspector's files, whereas the results of site review of selected matters (preceding paragraph) will be reported by attachment to inspection reports.
W. E. Vetter, Chief

Reactor Construction Branch
ce: J. G. Keppler

