May 29, 1984

DOU DIE

Dockets Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

Docket File
Reading File
Gray File
DEisenhut
OELD
NRC PDR
LPDR
RIngram

ACRS 10 PKadambi

CMiles

Distribution:

LHarmon TBarnhart 8 DBrinkman WJones EJordan JNGrace RDiggs HDenton

MR. J. T. Beckham, Jr. Vice President, Nuclear Generation Georgia Power Company P. O. Box 4545 Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Dear Mr. Beckham:

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NUREG-0313, REVISION 1 IN HATCH UNITS 1 & 2

The Generic Letter 81-04, dated February 26, 1931, requested that you review all ASME-Code Class 1 and 2 pressure boundary piping, safe ends, and fitting materials, including the weld metal in Hatch Units 1 & 2 to determine if they were in compliance with the guidelines in NUREG-0313, Revision 1 "Technical Report on Material Selection and Processing Guidelines for BWR Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping," dated July 1980. By letters dated June 29, 1981 and January 7, 1983 you provided information in response to this Generic Letter.

The NRC Staff has completed the review and evaluation of your responses to the request. We concluded that your responses did not fully meet the guidelines set forth in NUREG-0313, Revision 1. However, because of the recent industry-wide experience and the other current industry-wide activities to mitigate the IGSCC (including the inspection conducted in responses to regulatory actions; e.g., Bulletins 82-03, 83-02 and the confirmatory orders) that are not reflected in the licensee's responses, we conclude that the question regarding whether or not NUREG-0313 Revision 1 guidelines are being met is moot at this time. We are transmitting the enclosed Safety Evaluation Report, which also includes a Technical Evaluation Report prepared by our contractor, EG&G, for your information and to assist you in preparing your responses to Generic Letters 84-7 and 84-11. These two Generic Letters address this issue in terms of both short term reinspection and the replacement of affected system piping in your plant.

Sincerely,

George W. Rivenbark, Acting Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 4 Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated
***See previous concurrence

cc w/enclosure See next page ORB#4:DL PKadambi;ef 05/2/84

***ORB#4:DL GRivenbark 05/24/84

***AD:OR:DL GLainas 05/25/84 Dockets Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

MR. J. T. Beckham, Jr. Vice President, Nuclear Generation Georgia Power Company P. O. Box 4545 Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Dear Mr. Beckham:

Distribution:
Docket File
Reading File
Gray File
DEisenhut
OELD
NRC PDR
LPDR
RIngram
ACRS 10

PKadambi

CMiles

LHarmon TBarnhart 8 DBrinkman Wlones EJordan JNGrace RDiggs HDenton

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NUREG-0313, REVISION 1 IN HATCH UNITS 1 & 2

The Generic Letter 81-04, dated February 26, 1981, requested that you review all ASME-Code Class 1 and 2 pressure boundary piping, safe ends, and fitting materials, including the weld metal in Hatch Units 1 & 2 to determine if they were in compliance with the guidelines in NUREG-0313, Revision 1 "Technical Report on Material Selection and Processing Guidelines for BWR Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping," dated July 1980. By letters dated June 29, 1981 and January 7, 1983 you provided information in response to this Generic Letter.

The NRC Staff has completed the review and evaluation of your responses to the request. We concluded that your responses did not fully meet the guidelines set forth in NUREG-0313, Revision 1. However, because of the recent industry-wide experience and the other current industry-wide activities to mitigate the IGSCC (including the inspection conducted in responses to regulatory actions; e.g., Bulletins 82-03, 83-02 and the confirmatory orders) that are not reflected in the licensee's responses, we conclude that the question regarding whether or not NUREG-0313 Revision 1 guidelines are being met is moot at this time. We are transmitting the enclosed Safety Evaluation Report, which also includes a Technical Evaluation Report prepared by our contractor, EG&G, for your information and to assist you in preparing your responses to Generic Letters 84-7 and 84-11. These two Generic Letters address this issue in terms of both short term reinspection and the replacement of affected system piping ir your plant.

Sincerely,

Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director for Operating Reactors Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure See next page ORB#4:DL PKadambi;ef 05/14/84

ORB#9:DL GRivenbark 05/19/84 AD: OR: DL GLainas 05/2/84 cc w/enclosure(s):

G. F. Trowbridge, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Ruble A. Thomas Vice President P. O. Box 2625 Southern Company Services. Inc. Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Ozen Batum Southern Company Services, Inc. Post Office Box 2625 Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Chairman Appling County Commissioners County Courthouse Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. L. T. Gucwa Georgia Power Company Engineering Department P. O. Box 4545 Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. H. C. Nix, Jr. General Manager Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Georgia Power Company P. O. Box 442 Baxley, Georgia 31513

Regional Radiation Representative EPA Region IV 345 Courtland Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 1, P. O. Box 279
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Charles H. Badger Office of Planning and Budget Room 610 270 Washington Street, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334

J. Leonard Ledbetter, Commissioner Department of Natural Resources 270 Washington Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334