514

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD
Before Administrative Judges
James A. Laurenson, Chairman
Dr. Jerry R. Kline
Mr. Frederick J. Shon

USMEC

*84 JUN -7 A10:53

In the Matter of

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,)
Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning Proceeding) June 4, 1984

RESPONSE OF GOVERNOR MARIO M. CUOMO, REPRESENTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK, IN OPPOSITION TO "LILCO'S Motion to Submit Supplemental Exhibit or to Strike New York Exhibit 3"

The State of New York hereby opposes LILCO's motion to submit a supplemental exhibit, and LILCO's alternative motion to strike New York State's exhibit 3. The joint motions are dated May 22, 1984.

This controversy revolves around Contention 24.R. The essence of Contention 24.R is: "LILCO has no agreement with the State of Connecticut under which the State agrees to plan for, recommend or implement protective actions for the portions of the ingestion exposure pathway EPZ that are in Connecticut." (Emphasis added.) LILCO's proposed exhibit EP 38 does not add any new "context," "clarification" or "additional information" concerning Contention 24.R, contrary to LILCO's bald assertion on lines 10, 11, 24 and 25 on page 3 of its motion. Thus, LILCO's motion should be denied.

DS03 GOEN add: JOCA

8406070312 840604 PDR ADOCK 05000322 PDR Chronologically, the first letter that was created in response to Contention 24.R was a letter dated December 15, 1983. The letter was sent by Mr. Mancuso of the State of Connecticut to Mr. DeVito of the New York State Division of Military and Naval Affairs. This New York State agency is only one of several New York State agencies involved in disaster planning. A copy of this letter is attached hereto and is marked "A." LILCO attached this letter to its prefiled, written testimony concerning Contention 24.R (Attachment 28).

LILCO's motion to submit a supplemental exhibit, on the last two lines of page 1 and on the first line of page 2, characterizes this letter as a "discussion" of the State of Connecticut's willingness to provide support and assistance in the event of an emergency at Shoreham. However, the letter does more than purport to be a discussion or negotiation. The letter actually states: "[T]his letter serves as a letter of agreement between the State of Connecticut and the State of New York" and "The Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) will exchange information with the New York Department of Health " (Emphasis added). On page 28, line 4, of LILCO's prefiled, written testimony concerning Contention 24.R, LILCO also represented that this letter constituted a "letter of agreement" with the State of New York.

The State of New York refuted these unilateral assertions of LILCO and the State of Connecticut when it introduced

New York State's exhibit EP 3 into evidence. The express denial of an agreement between the states of Connecticut and New York was in the form of a letter from Dr. Axelrod (Chairman of the New York State Disaster Preparedness Commission and Commissioner of Health of the State of New York) to Mr. Mancuso, dated March 30, 1984. Dr. Axelrod emphatically stated: "I am advising you that the State of New York has not entered into any agreement to exchange information with the State of Connecticut for the purpose of implementing the LILCO plan." (Emphasis added.) A copy of this letter is attached hereto and is marked "B."

LILCO's proposed exhibit EP 38 does nothing to counter
Dr. Axelrod's statement in his letter of March 30, 1984 concerning the intentional absence of any agreement. LILCO's
proposed exhibit EP 38 does nothing to counter the allegation
in Contention 24.R either. In fact, LILCO's proposed exhibit
EP 38 adds credence to Dr. Axelrod's statement and the essence
of Contention 24.R by stating: "My letter to Director DeVito
does not purport to serve as a letter of agreement between
the State of Connecticut and the State of New York concerning
the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station." Mr. Mancusc's incidental
statement pertaining to NUREG-0654 also has nothing to do with
a "letter of agreement," which is the essence of Contention 24.R
and Dr. Axelrod's letter of March 30, 1984. LILCO's proposed
exhibit EP 38 does not add any new "context," "clarification"

or "additional information" concerning Contention 24.R, contrary to LILCO's bald assertion on lines 10, 11, 24 and 25 on page 3 of its motion. LILCO's motion should be denied.

Incidentally, LILCO's claim that Dr. Axelrod should have copied LILCO on his letter of March 30, 1984, is totally without basis. When a person writes a letter in reference to an earlier letter, that person is under no obligation to provide all of the recipients of the earlier letter with a copy of the later letter. A person always is free to correspond with whom he wishes. In addition, the carbon copy recipients of Mr. Mancuso's first letter of December 15, 1983, are nothing but unintelligible alphabet letters, none of which even resemble "L", "I", "L", "C", "O". See attachment "A" to this pleading for the actual carbon copy list. LILCO's footnote one on page 2 of its motion is so irrational, it should be disregarded.

EILCO's alternative motion to strike New York State's exhibit EP 3 is without basis for the reasons set forth above. Dr. Axelrod's letter of March 30, 1984 is not "misleading" as LILCO incorrectly asserts on the last line of page 3. Dr. Axelrod's letter, which denies the existence of any agreement between the states of Connecticut and New York, could not be any more direct and accurate or relevant to Contention 24.F.2 and LILCO's related testimony. In addition, LILCO's motion is untimely. LILCO had an ample opportunity to move to strike

New York State's exhibit EP 3 before it was admitted into evidence. LILCO should not be permitted to contemplate indefinitely whether it should oppose the admission into evidence of a New York State exhibit. LILCO's alternative motion to strike should be denied.

The State respectfully urges that LILCO's motion to submit a supplemental exhibit, and LILCO's alternative motion to strike New York State's exhibit EP 3, be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

MARIO CUOMO Governor of the State of New York

FABIAN PALOMINO, ESQ. Special Counsel to the Governor of the State of New York

BY:

RICHARD J. ZAHNLEUTER, ESQ.
Assistant to the Special Counsel
to the Governor of the State
of New York

Albany, New York



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICE OF CIVIL PREPAREDNESS

December 15, 1983

Mr. Donald A. DeVito, Director Office of Disaster Preparedness State of New York Division of Military and Naval Affairs Public Security Building, State Campus Albany, New York 12226

Dear Mr. DeVito:

Subject: Letter of Agreement, Interstate Radiological Assistance, Shoreham Nuclear Power Plant

In accordance with NUREG-0654, FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1, Section II. A. 3, this letter serves as a letter of agreement between the State of Connecticut and the State of New York.

The 50-mile Ingestion Pathway Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) of the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, New York, extends into Connecticut. Thereore, the Connecticut Office of Civil Preparedness agrees to support and rovide radiological assistance in Connecticut in the event of a radiological related emergency and will respond in Connecticut to requests for radiological assistance from licensees, federal, state and local (county)

The State of Connecticut will collect samples and interdict food, water. and milk within potentially affected areas of the Shoreham 50-mile EPZ, as required, within the boundaries of the State of Connecticut. The Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) will exchange information with the New York Department of Health; Connecticut DEP point of contact is Mr. Arthur Heubner, telephone number (203) 566-5668.

for information or questions, please contact Mr. Frank Grandone, thief of Plans and Operations, of this office, at (203) 566-2074.

> Sincerely Frank Mancuso

> > Frank Mancuso State Director

Opns. file-RERP-ltr. of Agreement

OEP-RCU

DHS-DEMS

Dept. Agri.

Dept. Consumer Prot. 360 Broad Street -- Hartford Connecticut 06115

Equal Opportunity Empiover





DAVID AXELROD, M. 7

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH ALBANY

March 30, 1984

Dear Mr. Mancuso:

I have recently become aware of your letter of December 15, 1983 to Mr. Donald DeVito. Your letter purports to serve as a "letter of agreement between the State of Connecticut and the State of New York" concerning the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station. Specifically, your letter purports to be an agreement to exchange information with the New York State Department of Health in the event of a nuclear accident at Shoreham.

Neither the New York State Department of Health nor the New York State Disaster Preparedness Commission have over entered into any agreement with the State of Connecticut to exchange information in the event of a nuclear accident at Shoreham.

In addition, the Governor of the State of New York has determined that the State of New York will not participate in the implementation of any radiological emergency response plan for Shoreham unless it has been endorsed by the affected communities. The LTLCO radiological emergency response plan has been prepared without the assistance of the State of New York and submitted by LTLCO to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission without the approval or endorsement of the State of New York. The State of New York is opposing the approval of this LTLCO plan in engoing Nuclear Regulatory Commission licensing proceedings for Shoreham.

Consequently, as Commissioner of Health of the State of New York and in my role as Chairman of the New York State Disaster Preparedness Commission, I am advising you that the State of New York has not entered into any agreement to exchange information with the State of Connecticut for the purpose of implementing the LILCO plan.

Sincerely,

David Axelrod, M.D. Commissioner of Health Chairman, MYS Disaster Preparadness Commission

Mr. Frank Mancuso
State Director
Office of Civil Preparedness
Department of Public Safety
State of Connecticut
360 Broad Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD
Before Administrative Judges
James A. Laurenson, Chairman
Dr. Jerry R. Kline
Mr. Frederick J. Shon

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,
Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning Proceeding)

June 4, 1984

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that one copy of the "RESPONSE OF GOVERNOR MARIO M. CUOMO, REPRESENTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK, IN OPPOSITION TO 'LILCO's Motion to Submit Supplemental Exhibit or to Strike New York Exhibit 3'" has been served to each of the following this 4th day of June 1984 by U. S. Mail, first class, except as otherwise noted:

- ***James A. Laurenson, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555
- ***Dr. Jerry R. Kline
 Administrative Judge
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, D. C. 20555
- ***Mr. Frederick J. Shon
 Administrative Judge
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, D. C. 20555

Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro 9 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

***W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. Hunton & Williams P. O. Box 1535 707 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23212 Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

James B. Dougherty, Esq. 3045 Porter Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20008

Mr. Brian McCaffrey
Long Island Lighting Company
Shoreham Nuclear Power Station
P. O. Box 618
North Country Road
Wading River, New York 11792

Martin Bradley Ashare, Esq. Suffolk County Attorney H. Lee Dennison Building Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11788

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20555

***Bernard M. Bordenick, Esq.
David A. Repka, Esq.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Stuart Diamond Environment/Energy Writer NEWSDAY Long Island, New York 11747

Stephen B. Latham, Esq. Twomey, Latham & Shea P. O. Box 398 33 West Second Street Riverhead, New York 11901 Marc W. Goldsmith
Energy Research Group, Inc.
400-1 Totten Pond Road
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue, Suite K San Jose, California 95125

Honorable Peter F. Cohalan Suffolk County Executive H. Lee Dennison Building Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11788

Ezra I. Bialik, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
New York State Department of Law
2 World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Stewart M. Glass, Esq.
Regional Counsel
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
26 Federal Plaza, Room 1349
New York, New York 10278

Nora Bredes
Executive Director
Shoreham Opponents Coalition
195 East East Main Street
Smithtown, New York 11787

***Eleanor L. Frucci, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

***Herbert H. Brown, Esq.
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Karla J. Letsche, Esq.
1900 M Street, N. W., Suite 800
Washington, D. C. 20036

Spence Perry, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Washington, D. C. 20472

RICHARD J. ZAHNLEUTER
Assistant to the Special Counsel
to the Governor of the State
of New York
Executive Chamber
State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

*By Hand

**By Federal Express

***By Telecopier

****By U.S. Fxpress Mail

Albany, New York