

Intervenor's Exhibit II-76 B (Tape 259)

'95 JUL 27 P2:47

NRC-GPC Joint Version

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

McCoy: The other thing is he got into this business about there's some examples (inaudible) and if you haven't heard this you might want to hear it now rather than hear it in there. They got one example in particular where we went 5 hours into a 3.0.3 without logging it or taking any action.

Bockhold: Without reducing power.

McCoy: Without power reducing. They asked George how long he would you go without reducing power.

Bockhold: And George told them that depending upon the situation that I might go as long as 1 hour before I had to shutdown. He didn't like that at all.

McCoy: He said, "Could you do a controlled shutdown?"

Bockhold: I said absolutely, no if's, and's, or but's about it.

McCoy: We had a lot of discussion on that at the time.

Bockhold: I'd get power right down off the reactor and hell, trip at 20%. Manual trip.

McCoy: So anyway all of that--

Bockhold: I didn't bring up the manual trip because I think that would have ended up in non-conservative decisionmaking, manual tripping--

McCoy: They're going to write this up in their report, there's no doubt in my mind. That would be the flavor (inaudible).

Bockhold: The, uh, what set them off on this was, is that one individual said "don't have to do anything".

McCoy: "Don't do anything for three hours."

Bockhold: For three hours. That's really got them upset, got their motor going.

McCoy: And they, and they went back and said when we started talking to them, we tried to say well that's not true and we immediately call the Duty Manager and the Duty Manager calls the Executive VP and he calls the President of the company when you get into one of those situations if there's a threat of a shutdown in addition to that, we notify the load dispatcher and all that, that's

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Int

Docket No. 50-424/425-OLA-3 EXHIBIT NO. II-76 B

In the matter of Georgia Power Co. et al., Vogtle Units 1 & 2

Staff  Applicant  Intervenor  Other

Identified  Received  Rejected Reporter CIR

Date 7.6.95 Witness Mosbaugh

9508170262 950706  
PDR ADOCK 05000424  
T PDR

routine practice and everybody knows that and he said "that's just a lie" he didn't say it in those words, but he said we both sat there and this shift supervisor told us he'd do absolutely nothing for 3 hours.

Swartzwelder: Did they talked to,-they talked to (inaudible) people? The one the one-

McCoy: So, this is the one guy any way, we, we uh, we drew our battle lines (inaudible).

Voice: The one guy -

Bockhold: Next one, uh, ESF reportability. They're going to make this into some type of observation. (inaudible)

McCoy: (Laughing) Somewhere we had that equipment (inaudible).

Bockhold: Yeah. That was the point we came apart.

McCoy: (Laughing)

Bockhold: We were very polite, but we were going back and forth "how can you make this thing a concern". ESF reportability. Basically we're non-conservative because we didn't follow the corporate position. Okay, which was in error and the Hatch project--

McCoy: How can you have a corporate position on one project and not on the other. We had all kinds of interesting discussion (inaudible)] then we went on--

Bockhold: But this is aimed at the corporate office being non-conservative. Bottom line on that one.

McCoy: I don't know.

(Group laughter)

Bockhold: You may not agree with it but, that was back behind his mind.

(Group laughter)

McCoy: Anyway.