

Int. of Mosbaugh

CTT W/ROB/W501  
DOCKETED  
USNRC

Book discussion of inv. in staff meetings (MONDAYS 10-11 AM) - at 11:27 AM 27-P4:33

T.S. not present - Top Secret more, ) - OFFICE (T-4-54) SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

- It is completely different than the reg. NRC.

(B) said what he was going to say and do. This is how he told the negro what to do.

- Obviously he (B) has been instructed what to say.

What we did with the values was completely correct. The company is behind us. We did it for ALANA

No knowledge of

(B) said he would take a tape recorder. T.S. put briefing packages together

Domby gave B.P. to MOSBAUGH. (Before interview)

Think everyone got the same package

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION GPC

Docket No. 50-424/425-OLA-3 EXHIBIT NO. II-115

In the matter of Georgia Power Co. et al., Vogtle Units 1 & 2

Staff  Applicant  Intervenor  Other

Identified  Received  Rejected Reporter KHW

Date 7/14/95 Witness Mosbaugh

p. 2.

GP didn't have anything - only supported Skip's story.

inst -> look on docs. These are the important docs. to review of y interview.

at xerox (D) showed (M) Wartybaum info - re: dilution "have you seen this?" "Back in '86 they did this!" (D) had 1 influence - (M) in y discussions

Beckel + Schwaartz - met with DOMBY prior to interview

(M) saw them with (D)

dealing with were just dly. w - (D) w y S references. nfc.

All of the manager tier of staff was given a briefing package.

① doesn't know if there was a meeting  
with the G + lower end plant  
personnel.

② was being interviewed because of his  
PRB involvement

Burch inv. - ③ may have come in

Representation was covered in meeting  
co atty  
a firm  
or so on

inference that you should have an  
atty present.

④ wrote memo about your testimony

TYNAN - 1<sup>st</sup> SHOWED TO MOSBACH  
AUFDC CAMP - 2<sup>nd</sup> " " " "

⑤

probably violated civil liberties.  
(think it was passed out at staff meeting)  
There got some distribution.

TYNAN rewrote. / ⑥ doesn't have copy of signed  
and TYNAN could remember it.

p. 3

original required notification of night if called at home by NRC.

Sharing of interview info by DCMBY  
 B was not relating specifics of interviews. Should general question (eg. they're asking about the opening of the valves) - in large conf. room - <sup>2<sup>nd</sup> FLOOR ADAMS BLD.</sup>  
 I don't think L only was present.

No knowledge of L only going on transcripts with interviewees with others.

NO KNOWL. THAT D GAVE TRANSCRIPTS TO MENDOTTA

2 or 3 times  
 saw D in a number of "closed-door" meetings w/ B + S. Prior to interviews

Attempt to control or direct int. of witnesses:  
 on Busch case - D told me to make positive statement about the target of GA Pub & MGMT  
 did because he suggested it  
 "in clear conscience"

P 4

① <sup>copy of</sup> transcript was all marked up with changes. ① suggested changes in ok  
 ① said some things could be viewed in a poor light. Someone could get the wrong impression.  
 ① said, "this just cleans it up."

① told ② that he told ③ that  
 1) skip didn't: what are you trying to do ...  
 2) GPC's position was weak.

Just before Aufderhaar's interview - ① told him that ② would not represent him. ① wanted ③ + ~~that~~ was upset. ① asked ④ to be with him during the interview.

flavor of ① belief was that ② only would not represent him because he had damaging information.

① thinks that someone thought

p5

A) was the alleged

NORMS — <sup>Brent</sup> QUICK IS SUPERVISOR OF SYSTEMS —

— computerized doc. tracking system —  
results to my be able to get into norms

Return of tapes

always the possibility that there is  
information on these tapes.