IRC Form	364				LIC	ENSEE EVE	NT REP	PORT	(LER)	U.S. NU A	CLEAR REGULATO	RY COMMISSIO 3150-0104
ACILITY	NAME (1	Citie	s Nu	clear Powe	er Stati	on Unit 1				OCKET NUMBER	(2) 10121514	PAGE (3)
ITLE (4)			-							1-1-1-	Let PP	1-10
S	econo	dary	Cont	ainment Po	otential	Problem	10 1	_	OTHER		VED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	MONTH DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NAM	IES	DOCKET NUMBER	(5)
					NO MELL		-	_	None		0 5 0 0	0
0 5	0 1	84	8 4	006	00	0 5 1 2	8 4	CEB 8. 10		f the fallowing 15	0 15 10 10	0111
OPE	RATING	1	20	402(b)	D PURSUANT T	20.405(c)	NTS OF 10	CFM 8: 10	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	if the following) (1	73.71(b)	
POWE	•		20	.406(a)(1)(i)		50.36(e)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e)	
(10)	0	010	10 20.406(a)(1)(ii)			50.36(c)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)			OTHER (Spe below and in	city in Abstract Text, NRC Form
			20	.406(a)(1)(iii)	V	50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)		H	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A	0	366A)	
			20	.406(a){1){v}	<u>h</u>	50.73(a)(2)(iii)		H	50.73(a)(2)(x)	e star p	a mina	
					L	ICENSEE CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)		1		
NAME										AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NUM	IER
-	(Gary	Sped	1						31019	6 5 4 1-	1212:41
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	T (13)	1 1	
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	MANUFAC. TURER	TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
		1	11	Lui					1.1.1	111		
	1	1							111	1111		
				SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECT	ED MONTH	DAY YEA
YE	s (If yes, c	ompiete E	XPECTED	SUBMISSION DAT	E)	Y NO				DATE (1	5)	
		Main in a and 1 Secon event unit Since with Conta	Perfo Stea comm Turbi dary , in outa sta valv	ormance o m Isolatio unication ne Buildin Containmu light of ges, reven tion proce e mainten nt during	f mainte on Valve via the ng. Alt ent was Seconda aled a p edures d ance pro valve d	enance on es (MSIV), e main ster hough bot not requi ary Contain otential lid not ad ocedures, lisassemble	Turbin requi am pip h unit red at nment for Se dress a proo y and	ne Isc iring bing b ts wer t the valve econda Secor cedure pipe	olation V. valve di between ti re in Col time, a e disasse ary Conta adary Con e assurin removal	alves (IS sassembly he Reacto d Shutdow review of mbly duri inment pr tainment g Seconda was imple	SV) and (, resulted or Buildin () and this ng single coblems. concerns ary emented.	d g

LICENSE	E EVENT	REPORT	(LER)	TEXT	CONTINUATION	
---------	---------	--------	-------	------	--------------	--

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

e. 1	e. r	1.00		-	-	41.60		we	
	-	-	-	-	1000	10000			
ж		11 12	- Si -	- 14	/31	/346	6 C - 1		

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LE	R NUMPER (6)	PAGE (3)			
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION			
Quad-Cities Nuclear								
Power Station Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 2 5 4	8 4	-	0 0 6	- 010	0 2 OF	01	2
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)								-

Event Description

NRC Form 366A

On May 1, 1984, at 0800 hours, while performing maintenance on Turbine Isolation Valves (ISV) Main Stop Valve 1 and Main Stop Valve 2, Station Maintenance personnel noticed air flowing through the opened valve bedies. Upon alerting Operating personnel, it was quickly discovered that concurrent with the ISV work, there was also a Main Steam Isolation valve (MSIV) in the MSIV room which was disassembled for maintenance. This resulted in a communication via main steam piping between the Reactor Building and Turbine Building. Since both units were in Cold Shutdown, at this time, and the requirements established in Technical Specification 3.7.C.1 were met, Secondary Containment was not required and therefore, not affected by this event. A review of this event, in light of Secondary Containment valve disassembly during single unit outages, reveals a potential for Secondary Containment problems.

Cause

The cause of this deviation is procedural inadequacy. Personnel were not accustomed to relating valve maintenance with a potential Secondary Containment flow path, and Station procedures did not address Secondary Containment concerns with valve maintenance procedures.

Corrective Action

The NRC was notified the same day the problem was recognized. A procedure (QMP 100-45) assuring Secondary Containment during valve disassembly and pipe removal was implemented the following day. The procedure for use of Nuclear Work Requests (QAP 1500-2) is being changed to instruct Operating Engineers to signal pertinent Work Requests with stamps, that read "Caution-This Can Affect Secondary Containment".

This corrective action is deemed adequate to prevent recurrence. Occurrences of this type could have happened in the past, however, standard Turbine maintenance practices have always included a temporary plug for valves opened for maintenance. The temporary plug has afforded a degree of Containment integrity.



Commonwealth Edison Ouad Cities Nuclear Power Station 22710 206 Avenue North Cordova, Illinois 61242 Telephone 309/654-2241

NJK-84-177

May 23, 1984

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Reference: Quad-Cities Nuclear Power Station Docket Number 50-254, DPR-29, Unit One

Enclosed please find Licensee Event Report Number (LER) 84-006 for Quad-Cities Nuclear Power Station.

This report is submitted to you in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73(a)(2)(ii), as a condition concerning a Secondary Containment potential problem.

Respectfully,

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY QUAD-CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION

ato all

N. J. Kalivianakis Station Superintendent

NJK: JV/bb

Enclosure

cc B. Rybak A. Morrongiello INPO Records Center ANI Library

