DMB



James W Cook
Vice President - Projects, Engineering
and Construction

General Offices: 1945 West Parnall Road, Jackson, MI 49201 2 (517) 788-0453

May 25, 1984

84-03 #2

Mr J G Keppler, Regional Administrator US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
DOCKET NOS 50-329 AND 50-330
CONCRETE EXPANSION ANCHORS INSTALLED IN METAL DECKING
FILE: 0.4.9.90 SERIAL: 30296

Reference: J W Cook letter to J G Keppler, same subject:

1) Serial 28058, dated March 29, 1984

On February 28, 1984, Consumers Power Company notified your staff of a potential 10CFR50.55(e) condition involving the installation of concrete expansion anchors in metal decking.

This letter is an interim 10CFR50.55(e) report. The attachment to this letter describes the concern and summarizes the investigation and corrective action taking place.

Another report, either interim or final, will be sent on or before July 27, 1984.

Januar W. Corl

JWC/AHB/1r

Attachment 1: MCAR-82, Interim Report 1, dated May 8, 1984

CC: Document Control Desk, NRC Washington, DC

> BBurgess, NRC Resident Inspector Midland Nuclear Plant

DSHood, NRC Office of NRR Bethesda, MD

INPO Records Center

IUN 1 1984

JEO' ,

OC0584-0038A-MP01

8406050034 840525 PDR ADOCK 05000329 S PDR

OM/OL SERVICE LIST

Mr Frank J Kelley
Attorney General of the
State of Michigan
Ms Carole Steinberg
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
720 Law Building
Lansing, MI 48913

Mr Myron M Cherry, Esq Suite 3700 Three First National Plaza Chicago, IL 60602

Mr Wendell H Marshall RFD 10 Midland, MI 48640

Mr Charles Bechhoefer, Esq Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission East-West Towers, Room E-413 Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr Frederick P Cowan 6152 N Verde Trail Apt B-125 Boca Raton, FL 33433

Mr Fred C Williams Isham, Lincoln & Beale 1120 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 840 Washington, DC 20036

Mr James E Brunner, Esq Consumers Power Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, MI 49201 Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Mr C R Stephens (3) Chief, Docketing & Services U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the Secretary Washington, DC 20555

Ms Mary Sinclair 5711 Summerset Street Midland, MI 48640

Mr William D Paton, Esq Counsel for the NRC Staff U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Ms Barbara Stamaris 5795 North River Road Rt 3 Freeland, MI 48623

Dr Jerry Harbour Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission East-West Towers, Room E-454 Bethesda, MD 20014

Mr M I Miller, Esq Isham, Lincoln & Beale Three First National Plaza 52nd Floor Chicago, IL 60602 Mr D F Judd Babcock & Wilcox PO Box 1260 Lynchburg, VA 24505

Mr Steve Gadler, Esq 2120 Carter Avenue St Paul, MN 55108

Mr P Robert Brown Clark, Klein & Beaumont 1600 First Federal Bldg Woodward Ave Detroit, MI 48226 Mr John DeMeester, Esq Dow Chemical Building Michigan Division Midland MI 48640

Ms Lynne Bernabei Government Accountability Project 1901 Q Street, NW Washington, DC 20009

3/14/84

150190

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

150318

SUBJECT:

MCAR 82

Expansion Anchors Installed in Metal Decking

INTERIM REPORT 1

DATE:

May 8, 1984

PROJECT:

Consumers Power Company Midland Plant Units 1 and 2

Bechtel Job 7220

Introduction

This report addresses a potential safety concern regarding use of expansion anchors installed in metal decking (reference Quality Action Report H-010 and Safety Concern Reportability Evaluation 95).

Background

In some cases where grouting under a base plate was required (i.e., in the valley portion of metal decking), torquing of expansion anchor bolts may have been performed after the grout had reached its design strength. This raised the concern that where bolt torque is applied after the grout has hardened, the bond between the grout and anchor may prevent proper setting of the anchor's wedges.

During the investigative phase of the condition described above, an additional potential area of concern was identified regarding the shear capacity of expansion anchors installed through metal decking. Design allowables used for these installations were based on manufacturer's recommended design allowables that did not address installations into metal decking. As a result, certain installation configurations may possibly experience a reduction in shear capacity because of the way the load is transferred through the grout or rib of the metal decking.

This report addresses both bonding effects and the possible reduction in shear capacity of expansion anchors installed in metal decking.

Investigative Action

1. Bond

Testing done in accordance with Specification 7220-C-308(Q), required for response to Quality Action Request H-010, did not conclusively indicate that the wedges of the expansion anchors had been properly set during installation if the bond between the anchor and grout develops. The number of anchors installed in the valleys of metal decking, which would exhibit this bonding effect, is estimated to be around 500 anchors.

150 LSD 82

150318

Interim Report 1

Page 2

2. Shear

Testing has been performed to evaluate the shear capacity of expansion anchor bolts installed in metal decking in accordance with Specification 7220-C-309(Q). These tests indicated that for some installation configurations, the anchor exceeded 100% of its ultimate capacity. For other types of installation, the capacity ranged as low as 50% of the anchors' ultimate capacity. Additional shear tests are being planned. The number of anchors installed in metal decking being investigated for a reduction of design shear capacity is around 15,000 anchors.

Analysis of Safety Implication

1. Bond

If, during the installation process, the bond between the grout and the anchor precluded the anchor's wedges from setting properly, a maximum base plate displacement of up to 1/2 inch (depending on anchor size) may occur before the wedges set. This additional displacement has an indeterminate effect on the support component without additional evaluation of each specific installation type where this situation exists. Considering the small number of anchors installed in the valleys of metal decking, corrective action will be taken to verify that the wedges are set properly.

2. Shear

Results from initial C-309(Q) testing showed that, for some cases, the shear capacity of expansion anchors installed in metal decking was less than the allowable design load times the current factor of safety of 4. However, no cases have indicated that the allowable design load exceeds the capacity of the anchor. The need for using the factor of safety of 4 for use on expansion anchors installed on commodities other than piping is being evaluated. In addition, the effects of displacement at the allowable load using a revised factor of safety is being evaluated. Based on information to date, the reduction in shear capacity does not indicate a safety concern.

Probable Cause

1. Bond

Regarding the bond between the grout and anchor, the probable cause is that the installation torque may not have been applied

150318

MCAR 82 Interim Report 1

Page 3

before the grout had cured, thus allowing a bond to develop between the anchor and the grout. This bonding effect may have prevented the wedges of the anchor from setting properly.

2. Shear

Regarding the reduced shear capacity of expansion anchors, the probable cause is the shear capacity reduction associated with the shear load transfer mechanism.

Corrective Action

1. Bond

For base plates with expansion anchors installed in the valleys of metal decking, the anchors will be properly set. Nonconformance Report A-00154 has been issued to track this activity. A plan and schedule to develop procedures for setting the anchors are to be completed by July 11, 1984.

For future installations, the sequence for installing expansion anchors will be to apply 100% of the installation torque before grouting.

In addition, based on test data obtained from Specification 7220-C-308(Q), base plates installed on slabs and walls using expansion anchors and grout are being evaluated for the effects of the grout bonding to the anchors.

2. Shear

The extent of corrective action required for installations with potentially reduced anchor shear capacity will be based on the results from additional testing being planned and further review of the existing test data from Specification 7220-C-309(Q).

3. Bond and Shear

Based on determination of the root cause, further investigations will be performed, as applicable, to determine if similar or related conditions of these types exist on the Midland project. Results of the investigation will be stated in the next report.

150318

MCAR 82 Interim Report 1

Page 4

Reportability

This concern was reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission by Consumers Power Company as a potentially reportable condition on February 28, 1984.

Submitted by:

E.B. Poser

Project Engineering Manager

Approved by:

T.E. Johnson Civil Chief Engineer

Approved by:

E.H. Smith

Engineering Manager

Concurrence by:

for M.A. Dietrich

Project Quality Assurance

Engineer

150318

MCAR 82 Interim Report 1

Page 4

Reportability

This concern was reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission by Consumers Power Company as a potentially reportable condition on February 28, 1984.

Submitted by:

Project Engineering Manager

Approved by:

T.E. Johnson Civil Chief Engineer

Approved by:

E.H. Smith

Engineering Manager

Concurrence by:

M.A. Dietrich Project Quality Assurance

Engineer