GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY PROJECT

institute for Policy Studies 1901 Que Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009

(202) 234-9382

Bob, information.

For your information.

For your information.

November 26, 1983

Mr. Richard C. DeYoung Director, Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. James G. Keppler Administrator, Region III Inspection and Enforcement 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Gentlemen:

Some weeks ago I received a copy of a Memorandum for Region III files regarding a closed meeting held October 25, 1983, in Bethesda, with Mr. J. Selby, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. S. Howell, Executive Vice-President of Consumer Power Company (CPC).

The brief memo raises a number of significant questions which I have listed below. Some of these questions I have already expressed to members of the Region III Office of Spacial Cases, Midland Team. I would appreciate a response from you as soon as possible to these concerns.

Concerns Regarding October 25, 1983, Meeting

1. The independent management audit discussed at the meeting has, presumably, been left in the hands of CPC. GAP is concerned that, like the Stone and Webster nomination for the Q.A. soils work, the CPC nomination and the scope of the audit will be a fait accompli. The independence criteria (SECY 82-1003) adopted by the NRC at Diablo Canyon for situations such as this, requires public comment be included after the nomination of several companies. Further, since the suggestion was generated by the GAP petition filed June 14, 1983, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. 2.206, it seems minimally reasonable that public comment be permitted about the scope of the management audit.

It is appropriate to note that the NRC position on the case of Midland's problems remains one of bewilderment; see Wall Street Journal article of October 28, 1983. regarding Midland. This has been Region III's response to the cause of Midland's problems since May, 1982.

In light of the NRC's lack of insight and the obvious criticality of pin-pointing the cause of a decade of quality assurance breakdowns and unprecedented construction foul-ups, we renew the request for the NRC approval-phase of CPC's management audit to be conducted with regard for the requirements of SECY 82-1003.

8406040362 840517 PDR RICE84-96

