



**Commonwealth Edison**  
Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station  
22710 206 Avenue North  
Cordova, Illinois 61242  
Telephone 309/654-2241

*DCD*

RLB-91-282

December 2, 1991

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

Reference: Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station  
Docket Number 50-254, DPR-29, Unit One  
Docket Number 50-265, DRP-30, Unit Two

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 91-022, Revision 00, for Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station.

This report is submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73(a)(2)(v)(d). The licensee shall report any event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident.

Respectfully,

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY  
QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION

*R. L. Bax*  
R. L. Bax  
Station Manager

RLB/TB/plm

Enclosure

cc: J. Schrage  
T. Taylor  
INPO Records Center  
NRC Region III

*IEZ*  
*11*

DEC 9 1991

STMGR 282 1001RR

9112160061 911204  
PDR ADOCK 05000254  
S PDR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Form Rev 2.0

Facility Name (1) Quad Cities Unit One Docket Number (2) 0 5 0 0 0 0 2 5 4 Page (3) of 1  
 Title (4) "B" Train LR HVAC Emergency Filtration Unit Unable To Attain Proper dT Across Heater Due to Excessively Conservative dT Requirements.

Event Date (5)			LER Number (6)			Report Date (7)			Other Facilities Involved (8)	
Month	Day	Year	Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number	Month	Day	Year	Facility Names	Docket Number(s)
11	04	91	91	022	00	11	02	04	Quad Cities Unit Two	05000265

OPERATING MODE (9) 4 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10CFR (Check one or more of the following) (11)

20.402(b)	20.405(c)	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)
20.405(a)(1)(i)	50.36(c)(1)	X 50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)
20.405(a)(1)(ii)	50.36(c)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	Other (Specify in Abstract below and in Text)
20.405(a)(1)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	
20.405(a)(1)(iv)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	
20.405(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)	

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

Name	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Michael Harms Ext. 2159	AREA CODE 3 0 9 6 5 4 - 2 2 4 1

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPD'S	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPD'S

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

X [Yes (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)] NO

Expected Submission Date (15) 0 6 1 5 9 2

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

ABSTRACT:

On November 4, 1991 at 1940 hours Unit One was in the RUN mode at 100 percent of rated core thermal power. Unit Two was also in the RUN mode at 79 percent of rated core thermal power. At this time, the Control Room [VI] (CR) "B" Train Air Filtration Unit (AFU) was declared inoperable. During the surveillance testing, the heater [EHTR] failed to attain a 15 degree Fahrenheit differential temperature (dT). An Emergency Notification System (ENS) phone call was completed at 2104 hours per 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(iii)(D).

Technical Specification Amendments 133 and 128 were approved and implemented on November 18, 1991 following a 30 day comment period.

The cause of the failure to reach a differential temperature is unknown. A supplemental report will be submitted at a later date.

Corrective actions included the new Technical Specification heater dT requirements, revising the monthly surveillance to incorporate the new requirements, and performing the monthly operability surveillance.

This report is being submitted to comply with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v).

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			Page (3)	
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number		
Quad Cities Unit One	0   5   0   0   0   2   5   4	9   1	-   0   2   2	-   0   0	0   2	OF   0
TEXT Energy Industry Identification System (EIIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]						

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION:

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor - 2511 MWT rated core thermal power.

EVENT IDENTIFICATION: "B" Train CR HVAC Emergency Filtration Unit Unable To Attain Proper dT Across Heater Due To Excessively Conservative dT Requirements .

A. CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit: One                                  Event Date: November 4, 1991          Event Time: 1940  
Reactor Mode: 4                              Mode Name: RUN                                  Power Level: 100%

This report was initiated by Deviation Report D-4-91-135.

RUN Mode (4) - In this position the reactor system pressure is at or above 825 psig, and the reactor protection system is energized, with APRM protection and RBM interlocks in service (excluding the 15% high flux scram).

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On November 4, 1991, at 1940 hours Unit One was in the RUN mode at 100 percent of rated core thermal power. Unit Two was also in the RUN mode at 79 percent of rated core thermal power. While performing QOS 5750-2, "Control Room Emergency Filtration System Monthly Test," a differential temperature (dT) of 14.41 degrees Fahrenheit was recorded across the heater [EXTR] for the Control Room [VI] (CR) "B" Train Air Filtration Unit (AFU). A dT of 15 degrees or greater must be obtained to comply with Technical Specification 4.8.H.2.b. The "B" Train AFU was declared inoperable and QOS 5750-02, "Control Room Emergency Filtration System Inoperable Outage Report," was initiated. An Emergency Notification System (ENS) phone call was completed at 2104 hours per 10CFR.72(b)(2)(iii)(D).

On November 18, 1991, Technical Specification Amendment 133 to DPR-29 and Amendment 128 to DPR-30, which had been requested prior to the event and which reduced the required heater dT, were received. Concurrently, QOS 5750-2, "Control Room Emergency Filtration System Monthly Test," was performed. At 1833 hours, the "B" Train AFU was declared operable and the Outage Report was terminated. The heater dT recorded during performance of the surveillance was 16.82 degrees Fahrenheit at a flow of 2000 Cubic Feet per Minute (CFM). The required dT at this flow rate per the new Technical Specification amendments is 13.5 degrees Fahrenheit.

Between November 4, 1991 and November 18, 1991 no maintenance was done on the system. An investigation was conducted to determine the reason for the different differential temperatures between the two dates. This investigation has been inconclusive.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DUCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						Page (3)	
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number					
Quad Cities Unit One	0   5   0   2   0   2   5   4	9   1	-   0   2   2	-   0   0				0   2	OF   0

TEXT Energy Industry Identification System (EIIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]

C. APPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT:

This report is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v). Technical Specification 3.8.H.1 requires that the CR Emergency Filtration System, including at least one booster fan [FAN], be operable at all times when secondary containment integrity is required. Technical Specification 4.8.H.2.b. required that the AFU demonstrate a heater dT of 15 degrees Fahrenheit or greater.

Technical Specification Amendments 133 and 128 were submitted after the first heater event in September 1991. After the 30 days comment period the Technical Specification change was approved and implemented by the station on November 18, 1991. The cause of the event is unknown. A supplemental report will be submitted at a later date.

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

The safety significance of the event was minimal. A dT of 14.41 degrees at a flow rate of 2000 CFM would have satisfied the new Technical Specification requirements of 13.5 degrees. This being true, the AFU would have been able to fulfill its design function during event or during the worst Design Basis Accident (DBA).

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The immediate corrective actions were to declare the "B" Train AFU inoperable and initiate the appropriate Outage Report.

Additional corrective actions were to await the new Technical Specification requirements for heater dT, revise the monthly surveillance to incorporate the new requirements, perform the monthly surveillance, and declare the "B" Train AFU operable. All of these corrective actions have been completed.

Further corrective actions will be to submit a supplemental report at a later date (NTS #2542009113501).

F. PREVIOUS EVENTS:

Three previous events where the required heater dT was not met have been documented. These events are as follows:

1) DVR 4-1-90-048 (LER 90-12).

The cause of this event was believed to be a defective heater but was never substantiated by data that would point to this conclusion. Checks on the heater performed by the EMD proved that the heater was functioning properly.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			Page (3)		
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number			
Quad Cities Unit One	0   5   0   0   0   2   5   4	9   1	-   0   2   2	-   0   0	0   2	of	0
TEXT	Energy Industry Identification System (EIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]						

- 2) DVR 4-1-91-110 (LER 91-17)  
The causes of this event were believed to be a marginally sized heater for the dT required and a broken dP indicator.
  
- 3) DVR 4-1-91-127 (LER 91-19)  
There were believed to be two causes of this event. The first cause appeared to be a design deficiency involving the thermowells of the Resistance Temperature Devices (RTDs) used to measure the dT across the heater. The second cause appeared to be the High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters that were partially fouled due to normal usage.

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

There were no component failures associated with this event.