

November 26, 1991

Docket Nos. 50-259
and 50-296

LICENSEE: Tennessee Valley Authority

FACILITY: Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 3

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE SEPTEMBER 25, 1991 MEETING WITH THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY REGARDING THE DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM FOR BROWNS FERRY UNITS 1 AND 3 (TAC NOS. M80687 AND M80688)

A meeting was held at the NRC Headquarters in Rockville, Maryland on September 25, 1991, between representatives of the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) and the NRC to discuss the Design Baseline Verification Program (DBVP) for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) Units 1 and 3. Enclosure 1 lists the meeting attendees. Enclosure 2 provides a copy of the hand-outs provided by TVA.

TVA described the BFN Unit 1 and 3 DBVP in a letter dated June 13, 1991. TVA had reviewed the DBVP as implemented at BFN Unit 2. The Unit 2 program is being performed in two phases, and Phase II will be complete during the next Unit 2 refueling outage. TVA plans to complete Phases I and II of the DBVP for BFN Units 1 and 3 prior to restart of each unit. For BFN Unit 2, TVA evaluated differences between as-designed and as-constructed drawings for reconciliation with the design basis. For BFN Units 1 and 3, the as-constructed drawings will be directly reconciled with the design basis. From this reconciliation, TVA will produce new "as-designed" drawings. Page 10 of Enclosure 2 provides a summary of the differences between the BFN Unit 2 program and the revised DBVP planned for BFN Units 1 and 3. The revised DBVP for BFN Units 1 and 3 appears to be consistent with or more comprehensive than that previously accepted for BFN Unit 2.

Original signed by

Joseph F. Williams, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-4
Division of Reactor Projects - 1/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. List of Attendees
- 2. Copy of TVA Hand-outs

9112090418 911126
PDR ADDCK 05000259
P PDR

OFC	: PDII-4/LA	: PDII-4/PM	: PDII-4/PM	: PDII-4/D	:
NAME	: MSanders <i>me</i>	: Tross <i>TR</i>	: JWilliams <i>JW</i>	: FHebdon	:
DATE	: 11/26/91	: 11/26/91	: 11/26/91	: 11/26/91	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
Document Name: BFN 9/25 MTG SUMMARY

cc:

Mr. Marvin Runyon, Chairman
Tennessee Valley Authority
ET 12A
400 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. John B. Waters, Director
Tennessee Valley Authority
ET 12A
400 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. W. H. Kennoy, Director
Tennessee Valley Authority
ET 12A
400 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. W. F. Willis
Senior Executive Officer
ET 12B
400 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

General Counsel
Tennessee Valley Authority
ET 11H
400 West Summit Hill Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Dwight Nunn
Vice President, Nuclear Projects
Tennessee Valley Authority
3B Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dr. Mark O. Medford
Vice President, Nuclear Assurance
Licensing and Fuels
Tennessee Valley Authority
6B Lookout Place
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Mr. Edward G. Wallace
Manager, Nuclear Licensing
and Regulatory Affairs
Tennessee Valley Authority
5B Lookout Place
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Mr. O. J. Zeringue, Site Vice President
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P. O. Box 2000
Decatur, Alabama 35609

Mr. R. R. Baron, Site Licensing Manager
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P. O. Box 2000
Decatur, Alabama 35609

Mr. J. A. Scalice, Plant Manager
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
Tennessee Valley Authority
P. O. Box 2000
Decatur, Alabama 35609

Chairman, Limestone County Commission
P. O. Box 188
Athens, Alabama 35611

Claude Earl Fox, M.D.
State Health Officer
State Department of Public Health
State Office Building
Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Charles Patterson
Senior Resident Inspector
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 12, Box 637
Athens, Alabama 35611

Tennessee Valley Authority
Rockville Office
11921 Rockville Pike
Suite 402
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Mr. Dan A. Nauman
Senior Vice President, Nuclear Power
6N 38A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Distribution

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
F. Miraglia 12-G-18
J. Partlow 12-G-18
S. Varga 14-E-4
G. Lainas 14-H-3
F. Hebdon
M. Sanders
J. Williams
T. Ross
B. Wilson RII
J. Weschelberger 17-G-21
P. Kellogg RII
C. Patterson RII
OGC 15-B-18
E. Jordan MNBB-3701
ACRS (10)

ENCLOSURE 1

TVA/NRC Meeting

September 25, 1991

NAME

Organization

Roger Huston	TVA-Rockville	301-770-6790
Bill Crouch	TVA-Mechanical/Nuclear	205-230-5840
C. Gayle Creamer	TVA-Restart Eng. Mgr.	205-230-5801
Rich N. Lilleston	TVA-Design Integration	205-230-5800
Joe McCarthy	TVA-Restart Lic. Mgr.	205-729-2703
Jeff Rinne	TVA-Electrical	205-230-5870
Joe Williams	NRR/DRPE/PDII-4	301-492-1470

AGENDA AND ATTENDEES
TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>SPOKESMAN</u>
INTRODUCTIONS AND AGENDA	J. E. McCARTHY
BACKGROUND AND COMPARISON BETWEEN THE UNITS 1 AND 3 PROGRAM AND THE UNIT 2 PRECEDENT	R. N. LILLESTON
CONCLUSION	C. G. CREAMER
DISCUSSION	OPEN

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

TOPIC	SPOKESMAN
BACKGROUND	R. N. LILLESTON
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• THE UNIT 2 AND COMMON DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP) WAS DEVELOPED TO ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING CONCERNS:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- INCONSISTENCIES BETWEEN AS-CONSTRUCTED AND AS-DESIGNED DRAWINGS- THE PLANT CONFIGURATION WAS NOT RECONCILED WITH THE DESIGN BASIS- EXTERNAL REVIEWS INDICATED WEAKNESSES IN SOME PLANT MODIFICATIONS	

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>SPOKESMAN</u>
BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)	R. N. LILLESTON

- THE SCOPE OF THE UNIT 2 DBVP:
 - PHASE I - THE SAFE SHUTDOWN BOUNDARY PRIOR TO RESTART
 - PHASE II - THE REMAINDER OF THE SAFETY RELATED EQUIPMENT PRIOR TO RESTART FROM THE NEXT REFUELING OUTAGE
- THE OBJECTIVES OF THE UNIT 2 DBVP WERE:
 - TO RE-ESTABLISH THE PLANT'S DESIGN BASIS
 - EVALUATE THE PLANT CONFIGURATION TO ENSURE IT SATISFIES THE DESIGN BASIS

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>SPOKESMAN</u>
BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)	R. N. LILLESTON

- THE OBJECTIVES OF THE DBVP WERE ACCOMPLISHED BY:
 - 1) ESTABLISH THE DESIGN BASIS INPUT
 - 2) ESTABLISH THE PLANT CONFIGURATION
 - 3) EVALUATE THE PLANT CONFIGURATION AGAINST THE DESIGN BASIS
 - 4) ISSUE THE OUTPUT DOCUMENTS

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>SPOKESMAN</u>
BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)	R. N. LILLESTON
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• STEP 1 - ESTABLISH THE DESIGN BASIS INPUT<ul style="list-style-type: none">- COMMITMENTS/REQUIREMENTS DATA BASE- DESIGN CRITERIA DOCUMENTS- SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS CALCULATIONS- ESTABLISH ESSENTIAL CALCULATIONS- ESTABLISH TEST REQUIREMENTS	

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

TOPIC	SPOKESMAN
BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)	R. N. LILLESTON
• STEP 2 - ESTABLISH THE PLANT CONFIGURATION	
- WALKDOWNS	
- VERIFICATION OF ELECTRICAL LOGIC	
- TESTING	

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

TOPIC	SPOKESMAN
BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)	R. N. LILLESTON
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• STEP 3 - EVALUATE THE PLANT CONFIGURATION AGAINST THE DESIGN BASIS<ul style="list-style-type: none">- FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION OF DRAWINGS VERSUS DESIGN REQUIREMENTS- EVALUATE CONFIGURATION THROUGH TESTING- EVALUATE UNIMPLEMENTED OR PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED DESIGN CHANGES- IDENTIFY OPEN ITEMS	

TVA/WRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

TOPIC	SPOKESMAN
BACKGROUND (CONTINUED)	R. N. LILLESTON
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• STEP 4 - ISSUE THE OUTPUT DOCUMENTS<ul style="list-style-type: none">- RESOLVE OPEN ITEMS- DRAWINGS- SYSTEM EVALUATION REPORTS- FINAL REPORT	

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>SPOKESMAN</u>
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE UNITS 1 AND 3 PROGRAM AND THE UNIT 2 PRECEDENT	R. N. LILLESTON
• DESCRIBED IN TVA'S JUNE 13, 1991 SUBMITTAL	
• SHOWN IN THE ATTACHED COMPARISON	

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES

UNIT 2 PROGRAM	UNITS 1 AND 3 PROGRAM
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• SAFE SHUTDOWN BOUNDARY PRIOR TO RESTART • DEVELOPED COMMITMENTS/REQUIREMENTS DATABASE • DEVELOPED ESSENTIAL CALCULATIONS • EVALUATED DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE AS-DESIGNED AND VERIFIED AS-CONSTRUCTED DRAWINGS • GENERATED DESIGN CRITERIA DOCUMENTATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• SAFETY RELATED EQUIPMENT PRIOR TO RESTART • UPDATE UNIT 2 COMMITMENT/REQUIREMENT DATABASE AND INCORPORATE UNITS 1 OR 3 SPECIFIC COMMITMENTS • REVISE EXISTING CALCULATIONS WHENEVER POSSIBLE • UTILIZE VERIFIED AS-CONSTRUCTED DRAWINGS DIRECTLY • VALIDATE APPLICABILITY OF UNIT 2 DOCUMENTATION TO UNITS 1 AND 3 AND INCORPORATE ANY UNIQUE COMMITMENTS OR REQUIREMENTS

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

TOPIC	SPOKESMAN
CONCLUSION	C. G. CREAMER
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• THE DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM FOR UNITS 1 AND 3 WILL RESULT IN THE SAME LEVEL OF ASSURANCE AS THE UNIT 2 PROGRAM• THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE UNITS 1 AND 3 PROGRAM AND THE UNIT 2 PRECEDENT<ul style="list-style-type: none">- INCREASED RESTART SCOPE- ADD UNITS 1 AND 3 SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS• TVA DOES NOT CONSIDER A SPECIFIC SER NECESSARY	

TVA/NRC MEETING - SEPTEMBER 25, 1991
DESIGN BASELINE VERIFICATION PROGRAM (DBVP)

	TOPIC	SPOKESMAN
DISCUSSION		OPEN