

UNITED STATES

August 3, 1983

Docket Nos: 50-329 and 50-330

MEMORANDUM FOR: James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator. Region III

FROM:

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing, NRR

SUBJECT: NRR COMMENTS ON MIDLAND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PLAN

In response to your memorandum of June 23, 1983, Enclosure 1 provides NRR's comments on the Midland Construction Completion Program (CCP) submittal of June 10, 1983.

We understand that NRR and 1&E comments will be combined with any Region III comments since March 28, 1983, and an NRC package of comments will be issued to CPCo. This will be followed by a public meeting which will be held prior to final NRC approval. CPCo will also be required to update the CCP to reflect NRC comments prior to final NRC approval.

We have reviewed I&E's comments provided to R. Warnick on July 8, 1983, and consider them to be appropriate. In fact, two NRR comments in Enclosure 1 (Comments 10 and 12) correspond to similar comments made by I&E.

We have also reviewed the Stone & Webster documents dated April 1. April 11, and May 19, 1983, for Stone & Webster's acceptability as a third party overviewer of the CCP. We conclude that Stone & Webster is appropriately independent and qualified. Earlier concerns about the qualifications of two individuals on the Stone & Webster team have been resolved through the provision of missing pages from one of the submittals.

Should you have q estions regarding Enclosure 1, contact Licensing Branch No. 4.

Division of Licensing

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated NRR Comments on Construction Completion Program (J. W. Cook letter to J. Keppler dated June 10, 1983)

(1) Page 2 & 35

Page 2 of CCP notes that "safety-related systems and areas of the plant will be systematically reviewed." CPCo should define or identify what it means by safety-related systems.

The brief discussion on the top of page 35 identifies a "separate organization" to carry out a spatial systems interaction (SSI) review, and notes that the SSI represents the Project response to the generic licensing issue of "important to safety" that is being handled outside of the CCP with NRC/NRR. CPCo should provide a clear definition of systems "important to safety", but not safety-related, and a description of the process they (CPCo) used to decide that systems "important to safety" can be excluded from the CCP.

(2) Page 4 - Last paragraph

This section notes that CPCo intends to schedule periodic reviews of Program status and progress with the NRC. Such meetings should be noticed and members of the public and interested parties should be provided the opportunity to attend as observers.

(3) Page 4 & 34.

The scope of the CCP is not clear. The statement in the first paragraph of the Executive Summary appears to conflict with the penultimate paragraph on page 4 and the Description Section 9.3 on page 54. The relationship of the Quality Verification Program to the CCP is also not clear, although it appears to be part of it.

(4) Page 17 - Second paragraph

Who will determine the need and extent for reinspection of the past work of an inspector failing any part of the recertification process? What criteria are used for these decisions? What information is provided to RIII to justify the decision?

(5) Page 21 - Section 4.3.2.0.

gen and

Although not quantified, it would appear that a significant portion of the CCP will be involved with verification of acceptability of inaccessible attributes. This is predominately a paper work review, but "if required" will be supplemented by NDE techiques and destructive examination. Define "if required". In view of past documentation problems and the extent of inaccessible items, the CCP should include some NDE of inaccessible items on a sampling basis.

NRR further suggests that RIII consider auditing/supplementing the Applicant's NDE conclusions with its own findings based on use of the NRC's NDE mobile van.

(6) Page 28 - Section 5.3.2

The composition of "site management". "Project management", and "management team" should be defined if not already done elsewhere.

(7) Page 32 - First paragraph

Because we do not have access to the protocol for communications used on the soils remedial activities, we are unable to comment on the appropriateness of using that protocol in dealing with the CIO team.

(8) Page 32 - Third paragraph

Will the overview of site construction activities include systems excluded from the CCP?

(9) Fage 32 - Fourth paragraph -

Justification should be provided for the size of the S&W staff for the CIO outlined in their April 1, 1983, letter to J. Cook. What criteria were used, and by whom, to establish the proposed number of S&W personnel? What restrictions and lead times would exist in the event S&W should identify the need to increase its staffing levels?

(10) Qualifications of CIO Overviewers

We recommend that provisions be made for the NRC to review the experience records of all personnel added to the S&F Team in the future.

(11) Page 34 - Section 9.3.1

Item 1 under Section 9.3 excludes NSSS installation by B&W as part of the CCP. Staff acceptance of this exclusion has been noted in the hearing to depend upon results of a future NRC audit of B&W work areas. Staff acceptance of this item should be acknowledged to be conditional. In the interim, the basis for CPCo's decision should be provided for NRC review.

(12) Page 35 - First paragraph and Page 32 - Third paragraph

**

1.

100.10

fores .

The spatial systems interaction [SSI] is proposed to be overviewed by the CIO reviewer, SaN. As indicated at an April 13, 1983, meeting, the staff understands TERA will audit portions of the Systems Interactions activities applicable to three systems. On page 32, CPCo states that the CIO will not include an overview of other third party evaluations being conducted. CPCo should clarify to what extent the CIO effort will address the three systems in the TERA scope. They should further verify whether or not TERA will audit those portions of the SSI review applicable to the three systems under the TERA scope.

HAC -CAM 164 (11.84)* NRCM 0370 REQUESTERT MAILING ADDETS US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ----. CITY STATE FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL REQUEST SAV RETURN ORIGINAL TO . YES 700 MESSACE TO NAME AND ORGANIEATION PACE MILE PHONE NUMBER VERIFICATION PHONE NUMBER Robert Warnet MUMBER UP PAGEs (INCLUDING TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS) CIT STATE AUTOMATIC T NO -MESRADE FROM MAME TELEPHONE NO. PAESIMILE PHONE NUMBER VERIFICATION TELEPHONE NUMBER 17831 E1. THAIL STOP er AUTOMATIC AUTOMATIC 780 ND HD PRECEDENCE OVERHIGHT FOUR HOURS -----ONE HOUR IMMEDIATE PECIAL INSTRUCTIONS ÷. TIME /DATE (Smmp) TRANSMITTEL . : 3 380 1 . . . p. 10 miles St. P Sabis ----18. 1 Le . -.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket Nos: 50-329 0M, OL and 50-330 DN, OL

MEMORAMDUM FOR: James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator, Region III

FROM:

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing, NRR

SUBJECT: NRR COMMENTS ON MIDLAND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM

As requested in your memo of June 23, 1983, NRR has reviewed Consumers Power Company's Construction Completion Program (CCP) dated June 10, 1983. Our comments follow:

- Page 2 of the CCP asserts that "Safety-related systems and areas of the plant will be systematically reviewed." The document does not indicate how CPCo defines or identifies safety-related systems.
- 2. The top of page 35 identifies a "separate organization" to carry out a spatial system interactions (SSI) review which responds to the generic licensing issue of "important to safety" that is being handled outside of the CCP with NRC/NRR. It is not clear how an SSI would enable identification of all systems important to safety. Also, should not the CCP include all systems "important to safety" (as presently defined in H. Denton's memo to All NRR Personnel dated November 20, 1981) and not just safety-related systems?
- 3. The scope of the CCP is not clear. The statement in the first paragraph of the Executive Summary, which included "all systems" in the scope, appears to conflict with both the penultimate paragraph on page 4 and the Description section 9.3 on page 34.
- The relationship of the Quality Verification Program (Appendix 1) to the CCP is also not clear.

We have also reviewed the Stone & Webster documents dated April 1. April 11, and May 19, 1983, for Stone & Webster's acceptability as a third party overviewer of Consumers' CCP. We conclude that Stone & Webster is appropriately independent and qualified, with the exception of two individuals, to perform this function. The two individuals excepted in qualifications are Messrs. J. P. Chawla and S. W. Baranow whose experience records were not included in any of the transmittals. The credentials of both shord d be reviewed by the NRC before approval is given for their inclusion on the inspection team. Additionally, we recommend that provisions be made for the NRC to review the experience records of all personnel added to the Stone & Webster team in the future.

6 ×

THERE STATES

We would like to arrange a meeting between Region III, NRR and I&E to discuss the acceptability or unacceptability of the CCP in view of NRC comments. This meeting would precede a public meeting in Midland to obtain public comments before a finalized NRC position is developed.

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

C:	¥.	Johnston	W.	Houston
	J.	P. Knight	D.	Muller
	F.	Rowsome	J.	Taylor
	L.	Rubenstein	D.	Ziemann



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JUL 0 8 1983

PRIM	CI	AL	ST	FF
0/0A	14	1	a	lat
A/RA CORP	-	-127	21	_
0-14	-	12		
DE	-		+	
41		1		

O CIN 5) File

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. F. Warnick, Director Office of Special Cases, Region III

FROM:

James M. Taylor, Director Division of Quality Assurance, Safeguards, and Inspection Programs Office of Inspection and Enforcement

SUBJECT: MIDLAND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM (DOCKET NOS. 50-329/330)

We have reviewed the Consumers Power Construction Completion Program for Midland as requested in your June 23, 1983 memorandum. Our comments are enclosed. The majority of the comments were discussed with J. Harrison on July 6, 1983.

In addition we have reviewed the Stone & Webster proposal to conduct the third party assessment of the Construction Completion Program. We have concluded that Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation has sufficient independence and competence to perform the third party assessment of the Construction Completion Program. However, there is a concern that the size of the proposed Stone & Webster staff to perform the third party assessment is too small. Some assurance that an adequate staff will be available to conduct the third party assessment is needed.

If you have any questions about the comments please call.

James M. Taylor, Director Division of Quality Assurance, Safeguards and Inspection Programs Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Enclosure: Comments

83672003

cc w/enclosure: D. Eisenhut, NRR T. Novak, NRR

E. Adensam, NRR

JUL 1 5 1983

COMMENTS ON MIDLAND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM

I. Comments from Reactor Construction Programs Branch

- A. Comments on Construction Completion Program
 - Of concern was the integration of the QA/QC function into the various teams. We now understand that the MPQAD representative is performing a liaison function between the team and MPQAD and actual inspections will not be performed by the MPQAD team representative. The CCP should be unambiguous concerning the function and duties of the team MPQAD representative.
 - 2. Page 11 and Page 12

Will completed ASME Code work, including N-stamp work, be subject to the reverification program? If so, this should be clearly stated.

3. Page 12

What are the differences between (a) Quality Control inspection plans, (b) Project Quality Control Instructions (PQCI's) and (c) Quality Work Plans (QWP) (referred to on page 24)?

4. Page 12

Under training of MPQAD Personnel the statement is made "Early in 1983, MPQAD decided to terminate recertification of old PQCIs except in selected cases, ...". What old PQCIs have been retained and will they be used in the CCP program?

5. Page 19

In the discussion of the team MPQAD representative it is stated "He assures validation of NCR's". Please explain what this statement means.

6. Page 20

Nonconformances are documented in Nonconformance Reports (NCRs), how will incomplete items (system status) be documented?

- 7. Has Region III reviewed the three procedures listed in Figure 4-1, Page 26? No but we will
- 8. Page 28

Under Evaluation and Management - Phase 2, need further clarification of the meaning of "The first management review for work release will be done by the management team. Subsequent status assessment results will be released by site management prior to initiation of additional completion segments."



In Star

9. Page 33

System Layup - Is equipment requiring inert gas cover being checked and maintained as recommended by the manufacturer? Is normal preventive maintenance (shafts rotated, heat applied, etc.) being performed on the equipment?

10. Page 36

For changes to the CCP does Region III feel being informed before implementation is adequate?

- B. Comments on Quality Verification Program (Appendix 1)
 - 1. Page 9

Need clarification of the statement "System/area reinspection will be supplemented by random plant-wide inspections as appropriate to establish a valid quality baseline on an expeditious basis."

2. Page 9

Last line, insert between the words "reports" and "will" the following: "that have not been dispositioned". This is to clarify that the nonconforming condition need not be reported only if there is an open NCR on the same item.

3. Page 10

Section 5.3.1, third sentence, insert between the words "reports" and "as" the following: "that have not been dispositioned". This is to clarify that the nonconforming condition need not be reported only if there is an open NCR on the same item.

4. Page 13, Section 6.4

Are material traceability aspects to be covered by this program?

- C. Comments on Appendix B
 - 1. Page 1

Why are PQCIs associated with remedial soils program listed? The CCP excepts that activity.

II. Comments from Quality Assurance Branch

Page four of the plan identifies four major safety-related work items outside the scope of the CCP. However, Section 9 of the plan (page 34)

describes activities associated with these four areas. In this regard it is recommended that:

- The extent Section 9 is or is not part of the CCP be clearly stated.
- Additional information be provided which led Consumers Power to determine that those activities identified in Section 9.3 (page 34) of the plan have demonstrated effectiveness in the Quality Program implementation.
- The quality assurance program and organization be described for controlling those activities identified in Section 9.3 (page 34).
 (Tonsumers Power could reference previously established commitments.)

If not already provided, Consumers Power should provide clear justification as to why the activities described in Section 9.3 need not be part of the CCP and why additional quality assurance controls are not necessary during the completion of these activities.

· · .



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Gar.

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. F. Warnick, Director Office of Special Cases, Region III

FROM:

James M. Taylor, Director Division of Quality Assurance, Safeguards, and Inspection Programs Office of Inspection and Enforcement

STEL'ECT:

MIDLAND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM (DOCKET NOS. 50-329/330)

The have reviewed the Consumers Power Construction Completion Program for Ficland as requested in your June 23, 1983 memorandum. Our comments are incland as requested in your June 23, 1983 memorandum. Our comments are enclosed. The majority of the comments were discussed with J. Harrison on introduct the comments were discussed with J. Harrison on

In addition we have reviewed the Stone & Webster proposal to conduct the third party assessment of the Construction Completion Program. We have third party assessment of the Construction Completion Program. We have concluded that Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation has sufficient independence and competence to perform the third party assessment of the independence and competence to perform the third party assessment of the Construction Completion Program. However, there is a concern that the Size of the proposed Stone & Webster staff to perform the third party size of the proposed Stone & Webster staff to perform the third party size sizessment is too small. Some assurance that an adequate staff will be assessment is to conduct the third party assessment is needed.

If you have any questions about the comments please call.

aylor, Director

James Maylor, Director Division of Quality Assurance, Safeguards and Inspection Programs Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Enclosure:

cc w/enclosure: . Eisenhut, MRR . Novak, NRR E. Adensam, NRR

\$367700

COMMENTS ON MIDLAND CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM

Te esser

1. Comments from Reactor Construction Programs Branch

A. Comments on Construction Completion Program

- Of concern was the integration of the QA/QC function into the various teams. We now understand that the MPQAD representative is performing a liaison function between the team and MPQAD and actual inspections will not be performed by the MPQAD team representative. The CCP should be unambiguous concerning the function and duties of the team MFQAD representative.
- 2. Page 11 and Page 12

Will completed ASME Code work, including N-stamp work, be subject to the reverification program? If so, this should be clearly stated.

3. Page 12

What are the differences between (a) Quality Control inspection plans, (b) Project Quality Control Instructions (PQCI's) and (c) Quality Work Plans (QWP) (referred to on page 24)?

4. Page 12

Under training of MPQAD Personnel the statement is made "Early in 1983, MPQAD decided to terminate recertification of old PQCIs except in selected cases, ...". What old PQCIs have been retained and will they be used in the CCP program?

5. Page 19

In the discussion of the team MFCFD representative it is stated "He assures validation of NCR's". Please explain what this statement means.

6. Page 20

Nonconformances are documented in Nonconformance Reports (NCRs), how will incomplete items (system status) be documented?

- Has Region III reviewed the three procedures listed in Figure 4-1, Page 26?
- .8. Page 28

Under Evaluation and Management: - Phase 2, need further clarification of the meaning of "The first management review for work release will be done by the management team. Subsequent status assessment results will be released by site management prior of initiation of additional completion segments."

9. Page 33

System Layup - Is equipment requiring inert gas cover being checked and maintained as recommended by the manufacturer? Is normal preventive maintenance (shafts rotated, heat applied, etc.) being performed om the equipment?

- 2. -

10. Page 36

Fon changes to the CCP does Region III feel being informed No-, we want before implementation is adequate?

2pprove)

changer.

2

ALL ...

B. Comments on Quality Verification Program (Appendix 1)

1. Page 9

Heed clarification of the statement "System/area reinspection will be supplemented by random plant-wide inspections as appropriate to establish a valid quality baseline on an expeditious basis."

2. Page 9

Last line, insert between the words "reports" and "will" the following: "that have not been dispositioned". This is to clarify that the nonconforming condition need not be reported only if there is an open NCR on the same item.

3. Page 10

Section 5.3.1, third sentence, insert between the words "reports" and "as" the following: "that have not been dispositioned". This is to clarify that the nonconforming condition need not be reported only if there is an open NCR on the same item.

4. Fage 13, Section 6.4

Are material traceability aspects to be covered by this program?

- C. <u>Comments on Appendix B</u>
 - 1. Page 1

Why are PQCIs associated with remedial soils program listed? The CCP excepts that activity.

II. Comments from Quality Assurance Branch

Page four of the plan identifies four major safety-related work items outside the scope of the CCP. However, Section 9 of the plan (page 34)

describes activities associated with these four areas. In this regard it is recommended that:

- 3. -

and station with

- The extent Section 9 is or is not part of the CCP be clearly stated.
- Additional information be provided which led Consumers Power to determine that those activities identified in Section 9.3 (page 34) of the plan have demonstrated effectiveness in the Quality Program implementation.
- The quality assurance program and organization be described for controlling those activities identified in Section 9.3 (page 34). (Consumers Power could reference previously established commitments.)

If not already provided, Consumers Power should provide clear justification as to why the activities described in Section 9.3 need not be part of the CCP and why additional quality assurance controls are not necessary during the completion of these activities.

KF4

JUN 2 7 1983

Docket No. 50-329 Docket No. 50-330

Consumers Power Company ATTN: Mr. James W. Cook Vice President Midland Project 1945 West Parnall Road Jackson, MI 49201

Gentlemen:

NRC Region III has completed a preliminary review of your June 10, 1983, submittal regarding the Construction Completion Program (CCP). Based on this review the Region has authorized Consumers Power Company (CPCo) to initiate CCP team training activities; this authorization was made per teleconference to Mr. D. Miller of your staff by Messrs. R. Gardner and J. Harrison of my staff on June 20, 1983.

A complete review and approval of the CCP final submittal will be required by the NRC prior to granting CPCo authorization to further implement the Construction Completion Program. The team training that was authorized by the NRC is at CPCo risk pending CCP approval.

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

J & Harrison

Da

ACT R. F. Warnick, Director Office of Special Cases

cc w/ltr dtd 6/10/83: See attached distribution list

OFFICE RIII

DATE 6/24/83

Gardner

RC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240

Consumers Power Company

- 2 -

cc w/ltr dtd 6/10/83: DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS) Resident Inspector, RIII The Honorable Charles Bechhoefer, ASLB The Honorable Jerry Harbour, ASLB The Honorable Frederick P. Cowan, ASLB The Honorable Ralph S. Decker, ASLB William Paton, ELD Michael Miller Ronald Callen, Michigan Public Service Commission Myron M. Cherry Barbara Stamiris Mary Sinclair Wendell Marshall Colonel Steve J. Gadler (P.E.) Howard Levin, TERA Billie P. Garde, Government Accountability Project Lynne Bernabei, Government Accountability Project



James W Cook Vice President - Projects, Engineering and Construction

General Offices: 1945 West Parnell Road, Jackson, MI 49201 + (517) 788-0453 June 10, 1983

Mr J G Keppler, Administrator, Region III Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

MIDLAND NUCLEAR COGENERATION PLANT MIDLAND DOCKET NOS 50-329, 50-330 CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM FILE 0655 SERIAL 23255

Reference

 Letter to Mr J G Keppler dated January 3, 1983, from Mr J W Cook regarding Construction Completion Program.

The enclosure to this letter is a revision to the Construction Completion Program description submitted on June 3, 1983 (Reference 1). The revisions incorporate the comments and changes suggested by Region III staff.

NEC release points following Project Management review of plans and performance on major activities are incorporated directly in the body of the text (Section 5). In addition, an expanded description of special activities, such as installation of pipe hangers and watertight doors is provided (Section 4.5). Other changes were made to provide clarification; the intent of Reference 1 has not been changed. All changes are indicated with a margin slash to facilitate identification.

The Quality Verification Program which is included as an appendix to the Construction Completion Program has been revised to provide a 100% verification program for accessible portions of items associated with the use of the Attachment 10 form.

oc0683-4032a-66

IJUN 2 2 1983

We trust that these revisions fulfill your request for clarification and incorporation of the NRC release points in the Construction Completion Program document.

James W. Cosh

JWC/DMB/klc

CC Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board CBechboefer FPCowan, ASLB JHarbour, ASLE DSHood, NRC MMCherry RWHernan, NRC RJCook, Midland Resident Inspector FSKelley HRDenton, NRC WHMarshall WDPaton, NRC JJHarrison, NRC RFWarnick, NRC BStamiris MSinclair LLBishop

CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY Midland Units 1 and 2 Docket No 50-329, 50-330

Letter Serial 23255 Dated June 10, 1983

At the request of the Commission and pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended and the Commission's Rules and Regulations thereunder, Consumers Power Company submits Revision 1 to its Construction Completion Program.

CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY

By .

J W Cock, Vice President Projects, Engineering and Construction

Sworn and subscribed before me this //th day of June 1983.

Iva C Robinson - Notary Public

Jackson County, Michigan

My Commission Expires October 1, 1986

MIDLAND NUCLEAR COGENERATION PLANT Docket No-50-329, 50-330

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM

Consumers Power Company June 10, 1983

-830630010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page	
	Executive Summary	1	
1.0	Introduction	5	
2.0	Preparation of The Plant	10	
3.0	QA/QC Organization Changes	11	
4.0	Program Planning	18	
5.0	Program Implementation	27	
6.0	Quality Program Review	30	
7.0	Third Party Reviews	31	
8.0	System Layup	33	
9.0	Continuing Work Activities	34	
10.0	Changes to The CCP	36	
Appendix 1	Quality Verification Program		

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM

Executive Summary

The Construction Completion Program has been formulated to provide guidance in the planning and management of the construction and quality activities necessary for completion of the construction of the Midland Nuclear Cogeneration Plant. Construction completion is defined in this Plan as carrying all systems to the point they are turned over to Consumers Power Company for component checkout and preoperational testing. The Construction Completion Program does not include the Remedial Soils Program which is treated in separate interactions between Consumers Power Company and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Background

The Construction Completion Program was developed in response to a number of management concerns that have been identified during the period preceding the initiation of the Program. The Midland Project had been proceeding at a high level of activity as it approached completion. The final transition from area construction to system completion, using punch lists, has been difficult for most nuclear projects. The Midland Project has not escaped these difficulties which have been compounded due to the congested space and the continuing numerous design changes, both generally attributable to the age of the Project. These factors lead to the need for improved definition of work status, increased emphasis on overall Project objectives as well as continued focus of construction and inspection resources on completion of systems for short-term milestones and increased effort to complete engineering ahead of

The Midland Project has been criticized by the NRC regional office as not having met their expectations for implementation of the Project's Quality Assurance Program. The result has been that the Project management has too often, during the months preceding this Program, been in a reactive rather than proactive posture with regard to quality assurance matters.

In recognition of these conditions, management has concluded that a change in approach was needed to effectively complete the Project while maintaining high

Objectives

The development of the Program has considered the Project's current status and recent history and attempts to address the underlying or root causes of the problems currently being experienced. In order to develop the Program the following overall objectives were established under three general headings.

Improve Project Information Status By:

- Preparing an accurate list of to-go work against a defined baseline.

2

- Bringing inspections up-to-date and verifying that the quality of completed work is acceptable.
- Maintaining a current status of work and quality inspections as the Project proceeds.

Improve Implementation of the QA Program By:

- Expanding and consolidating Consumers Power Company control of the quality function.
- Improving the primary inspection process.
- Providing a uniform understanding of the quality requirements among all parties.

Assure Efficient and Orderly Conduct of the Project By:

- Establishing an organizational structure consistent with the remaining work.
- Providing sufficient numbers of qualified personnel to carry out the program.
- Maintaining flexibility to modify the Plan as experience dictates.

Description

The Construction Completion Program entails a number of major changes in the conduct of the final stages of the construction process and can be described in summary as a two-phase process.

First, after certain necessary preparations, the safety-related systems and areas of the plant will be systematically reviewed. This first phase will be carried out on an area-by-area basis, but will be accomplished mainly by teams organized with systems responsibility and a separate effort to verify the completed work. The product from this phase of the program will be a clear status of remaining installation work and a current inspection status which provides quality verification of the existing work. The teams organized to the responsible organizational units to complete the work.

In order to achieve its complete set of objectives, the Program contains a number of activities and elements that support and are linked to the two major phases described above. The major components of the Plan, which are discussed in more detail in the balance of this report, can be described as follows:

- A significant reduction in the construction activity in the safetyrelated portion of the plant, material removal and a general cleanup has been carried out in preparation for installation and inspection status assessment and quality verification activities.
- A review has been made of equipment status to assure that the proper lay-up precautions have been implemented to protect the equipment until the installation work is completed.
- The integration of the Engineer/Constructor QC function into the Midland Project Quality Assurance Department (MPQAD) under Consumers Power Company management has been completed.
- MPQAD is carrying out a recertification program of QC inspectors, and review of the inspection procedures to be utilized.
- The completion teams are being organized, staffed and trained according to procedures developed to define the team's work process.
- The completion teams will 1) accomplish installation and inspection status assessment, 2) complete installation and ensure quality inspections are performed and 3) determine that all requirements have been met prior to functional turnover for test and operation.
- Quality verification of completed work will be carried out in parallel with installation and inspection status activities of the completion teams.
- A series of management reviews are being carried out to carefully monitor the development and conduct of the Program and to revise the plan as appropriate.
- Review and resolution will proceed on outstanding issues related either to QA program or QA program implementation as raised by the NRC or third party overviews of the Project.
- Third party reviews are being undertaken to monitor Project performance and to carry out the NRC's requirements for independent design verification.

Status

The Program was initiated on December 2, 1982 by limiting certain ongoing safety-related work and starting preparations for the phase-one work of status assessment and quality verification activities. Since the Program also has incorporated a number of commitments made to the NRC during the period prior to December 2, 1982, activities in support of these commitments such as QC - integration into MPQAD and the recertification of QC inspectors, had been

Milestones for each element of the Plan are enumerated in the text. In general, preparation for the Phase 1 activities are in place and the

management reviews are being held. A pilot team is developing the procedures and training requirements. It is expected that the Phase 1 will begin shortly.

The Program provides for the Phase 1 results on an area, system, or partial system to be reviewed and evaluated prior to initiating Phase 2 system completion work on that system or partial system. Management will monitor both process readiness and Phase 1 evaluation results.

The major areas of continuing safety-related work outside the Construction Completion Program are NSSS construction as performed by B&W Construction Co, HVAC work under the Zack subcontract, the Remedia' Soils Program and postturnover punch list work released to Bechtel Construction by Consumers Power Company.

During the continuing implementation of the Program in 1983, the NRC Region III can use the Plan to monitor safety-related construction activities at the site. Since a substantial portion of the Plan directly relates to commitments made to NRC mailsgament, Consumers Power Company intends to schedule periodic reviews of Program status and progress with the NRC.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Construction Completion Program has been formulated to provide guidance in the planning, and implementation of the construction and quality activities necessary for completion of the construction of the Midland Nuclear Cogeneration Plant. Construction completion is defined in this Plan as carrying all systems to the point they are turned over to Consumers Power Company for component checkout and preoperational testing. The Construction Completion Program does not include the Remedial Soils Program which is treated in separate interactions between Consumers Power Company and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The Construction Completion Program will be referred to as the Program in this document which contains the Plan for Program development and implementation.

5

Background

The Construction Completion Program was developed in response to a number of management concerns that were identified during the period preceding the initiation of the Program. The Midland Project had been proceeding at a high level of activity as it approached completion. The final transition from area construction to system completion, using punch lists, has been difficult for most nuclear projects. The Midland Project has not escaped these difficulties which have been compounded due to the congested space and the continuing numerous design changes, both generally attributable to the age of the Project. These factors lead to the need for improved definition of work status, increased emphasis on overall Project objectives as well as continued focus of construction and inspection resources on completion of systems for short-term milestones and increased effort to complete engineering ahead of

The Midland Project has been criticized by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission regional office as not having met their expectations for implementation of the Project's Quality Assurance Program. The result has been that the Project management has too often, during the months preceding this Program, been in a reactive rather than proactive posture with regard to quality assurance matters.

In recognition of these conditions, Consumers Power Company concluded that a change in approach is needed to effectively complete the Project while maintaining high quality standards.

Objectives

The development of the Program has considered the Project's current status and recent history and attempts to address the underlying or root causes of the problems currently being experienced. In order to develop the Program, the following overall objectives were established under three general headings. - The Program must:

Improve Project Information Status By:

- Preparing an accurate list of to-go work against a defined baseline.

 Bringing inspections up-to-date and verifying that the quality of completed work is acceptable. 6

 Maintaining a current status of work and quality inspections as the Project proceeds.

Improve Implementation of the QA Program By:

- Expanding and consolidating Consumers Power Company control of the quality function.
- Improving the primary inspection process.
- Providing a uniform understanding of the quality requirements among all parties.

Assure Efficient and Orderly Conduct of the Project By:

- Establishing an organizational structure consistent with the remaining work.
- Providing sufficient numbers of qualified personnel to carry out the Program.
- Maintaining flexibility to modify the Plan as experience dictates.

Plan Contents

The Program was initiated on December 2, 1982 by limiting on-going work on Q-systems to pre-defined tasks and preparing the major structures housing Q-systems for an installation and inspection status assessment and verification of completed work. The relationship of the major elements of the Plan is shown in Figure 1-1. The sections of the Plan address the following major activities:

The buildings are being prepared for a status assessment of incomplete work and verification of completed work.

A new quality organization that integrates the QA and QC functions under a Consumers Power Company direct reporting relationship has been established. As part of this transition, the Engineer/Constructor QC inspectors are being recertified to increase confidence in the quality inspection performance.

The overall Plan for the Program is being developed in two major phases.

The first phase includes:

 A team organization assigned on the basis of systems developed to determine present installation and inspection status. The installation status assessment includes a comparison of partially

installed work to current design and identification of remaining work items for completion. The inspection status assessment includes the Team Quality Representative requesting MPQAD to perform additional inspections using recertified inspectors on partially completed or completed work to bring inspections up to date. A closely coordinated effort involving the Engineer/Constructor and Consumers Power Company (QA/QC, testing and construction) personnal will improve quality performance. Separate teams are also being assigned to work area type commodities such as cable trays and doors. (ie, commodities not related to a particular system.)

 The quality verification of completed work initiated on a 100% basis using re-certified inspectors.

The second phase includes:

- Work completion, following quality verification, installation and inspection status assessment under responsibility of the team organization.
- An integration of the QC inspection process for new work with the completion work to ensure adequate quality performance.

The first phase implementation of the Program will be initiated with a review of the process, procedures and team assignments that will be used. The plan for verification of completed work will be reviewed separately. Verification of completed and previously inspected work will be carried out by MPQAD in accordance with the Quality Verification Plan, in coordination with the team effort. The teams will conduct the installation and inspection status assessment; as part of this effort MPQAD will be requested to bring inspections up to date on partially completed or completed work. Following Phase 1 completion of the first verification and status assessment segment, a management review will be made of the evaluation of the initial Phase 1 results and the process and procedures for Phase 2 activities. In second phase Program implementation, the assigned team will plan and carry out the remaining work needed for completion including QC

The adequacy and completeness of the quality program will be reviewed, as appropriate, on an ongoing basis, taking into consideration questions raised by NRC inspections and findings by third party reviewers.

Independent assessments of the Midland Project will provide management and NRC with evaluations of Project performance.

The on-going work to protect plant equipment and systems will be augmented as necessary to provide adequate protection during implementation of this Plan.

Work on Q-Systems has been limited to specific activities. This limitation permits important work to proceed outside of the Construction Completion Program while allowing building preparation for status assessment and verification activities on that work which is under the Construction Completion Program.

8

Summary

The program is a comprehensive plan to complete the Midland Nuclear Cogeneration Plant in a manner that assures the licensibility of the plant when construction is complete. Cost and schedule for completion of the Midland Project are also a concern for Consumers Power Company. The Company believes that the most efficent way to project completion is to understand the current plant status, establish the requirements to finish the project and complete the work according to these requirements. Thus the theme of the Construction Completion Program to verify past work and proceed on future work with improved performance is consistent with this philosophy.

FIGUNE 1-1

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM SCHEMATIC



2.0 PREPARATION OF THE PLANT

2.1 Introduction

The preparation of the Plant cleared the auxiliary, diesel generator and containment buildings and the service water pump structure of materials, construction tools and equipment and temporary construction facilities.

2.2 Objective

To allow improved access to systems and areas for the Program activities.

2.3 Description

The preparation activities minimize obstacles and interferences for the Program activities. This is being accomplished through the following steps.

- Limitation of Q-work to specific activities and areas defined in Section 9 resulting in substantial work force reduction.
- Removal and storage of construction tools and equipment, and temporary construction facilities (scaffolding, etc) from the buildings identified in Section 2.1.
- Removal, control and storage of uninstalled materials from the buildings identified in Section 2.1.
- Appropriate housekeeping of all areas following material and equipment removal.

The preparation for each area will be complete before initiating further Program activity. The on-going work described in Section 9 will continue as scheduled during the preparation of the Plant for CCP activities.

2.4 Milestones

Complete preparation of affected areas of the plant. (Complete)

3.0 QA/QC ORGANIZATION CHANGES

3.1 Introduction

The Consumer Power Company's Midland Project Quality Assurance Department (MPQAD) was expanded to assume direct control of site

3.2 Objectives

project quality functions including Engineer/Constructor QC except ASME. The new organization is described below. The transferred QC

Inspectors are being recertified as part of this transition.

Establish New QA/QC Organization

Establish an integrated organization which includes the transition of Engineer/Constructor QC to MPQAD while accomplishing the

- 1. Establish direct Consumers Power Company control over the QC
- 2. Establish the responsibilities and roles of the QA and QC Departments in the integrated organization.
- 3. Use qualified personnel from existing QA and QC departments and contractors to staff key positions throughout the integrated

Recertify QC Inspectors

Ensure that those Quality Control inspection personnel transferring to MPQAD will be trained and recertified in accordance with MPQAD Procedure B-3M-1.

3.3 Description

Establish New QA/QC Organization

A new organization was implemented under Consumers Power Company and has been described in the appropriate Topical Report (CPC-1A), the FSAR and quelity program manuals (Volume II, BQAM and NQAM). Changes to CPC-1A were approved by NRC on March 14, 1983.

Features of the new organization include:

- 1. Lead QC Supervisors report to a QC Superintendent who reports to the MPQAD Executive Manager. Any required support from Bechtel Corporate QC and QA functions (except ASME N-Stamp activities) is provided at the level of the MPQAD Executive Managar.
- 2. The MPQAD Executive Manager will review the performance of lead

Revision 1

m10683-4033a-66-168

- QA will develop and issue Quality Control inspection plans and be responsible for the technical content and requirements of such plans. QC will be responsible to implement these plans.
- QA will continue to monitor the Quality Control inspection process to insure that program requirements are satisfactorily implemented.
- MPQAD will continue to use Bechtel's Quality Control Notices Manual (QCNM) and Quality Assurance Manual (BQAU) as approved for use on the Midland Project.
- ASME requirements imposed upon a contractor as N-Stamp holder will remain with that contractor. MPQAD QA will monitor the implementation of ASME requirements.

An organization chart (Fig 3-1) showing current reporting relationships is attached. The official organization chart is contained in project procedures.

Training of MPQAD Personnel

MPQAD initiated a program in late 1982 to retrain and recertify all Engineer/Constructor QCE's (Inspectors) to existing PQCIs. A significant number of QCE's have been recertified under this process. Early in 1983, MPQAD decided to terminate recertification **P** old PQCIs except in 1983, cases, focus efforts on completing the review and revision of PQCIs, and then train and recertify to the new FQCIs.

MPQAD current plans are to re-train and re-certify all inspectors to the revised PQCIs. As a part of this activity, the Project Quality Control Instructions (PQCI) are undergoing a complete review to assure:

Attributes that affect the safety and reliability of specific components, systems and structures are identified for verification.

Accept/reject criteria are clearly identified.

Appropriate controls, methods, inspection and/or testing equipment are specified.

Requisite skill levels are required per ANSI N45.2.6 or SNT-TC-1A.

After the PQCIs are revised as necessary, Quality Control Engineers (Inspectors) are being trained and must pass an examination and demonstration successful completion, each inspector is being certified to perform . inspections to those PQCIs in which he was trained.

The adequacy of PQCIs prior to training is assured by the following programmatic requirements:

 The PQCI evaluation effort is being conducted under the direction of MPQAD QA personnel. MPQAD Procedure E-3M was issued April 11, 1983 and establishes the responsibilities and requirements for the preparation, revision, and control of PQCIs by QA personnel.

As a part of the initial PQCI revision process, Project Engineering does a review of the PQCI for MPQAD to assist in ensuring that attributes that affect safety have been identified for inspection, and further to ensure that the PQCI is consistent with the specification requirements and that clarifications are made to specifications wherever necessary. The final responsibility for the content of the inspection plan remains with MPQAD-QA.

- 2. Whenever a PQCI is revised, the revision is evaluated to determine if a pilot run for testing the implementing capability of the PQCI is required. If a pilot run is required, the PQCI is tested by a team from QA, QC and Training. Based on this pilot run, the PQCI may be further revised.
- Once the PQCI is ready for issue, an effectivity date is established in conjunction wit the Training Department.
 - A. For PQCIs on which training was not previously conducted, the training and certification process is then started.
 - B. For PQCIs on which training and/or certification was previously conducted, a determination is made as to the need for retraining or recertification. When a revised PQCI is issued, it is evaluated in accordance with established procedures to determine if retraining and recertification is required. Based on this evaluation, appropriate action is taken.
- During the training process, student questions (see below) are solicited and monitored. Based on this, further revision to a PQCI may be initiated.

Steps taken to ensure all questions raised during PQCI training sessions are resolved prior to certification include:

- The development of an MPQA Department "Statement of Training Policy." A copy of the current Policy is included as Figure 3-2.
- The Policy Statement is handed out at the start of each class and reviewed with the trainees.
- 3. Statement 2 of the Policy deals with student questions. Instructors handle many questions as a routine part of a class. However, when an instructor is faced with questions he cannot answer, he makes note of them for subsequent resolution with the students.

- 4. When the instructor determines the need, a QA Engineer, Project/Resident Engineer or other resource person is scheduled to participate as part of the class and answer questions raised by the students.
- 5. If there are unanswered questions at the end of the scheduled class time, an evaluation is made by the instructor as to whether training can nevertheless be considered complete and the examination given without jeopardizing the students opportunity to satisfactorily write the exam.
- Even if the examination can be given, prior to answering questions, the questions are still tracked and answered prior to certification.
- 7. When a trainee indicates that he is not prepared to take an examination or a performance demonstration, he shall not be administered the examination or performance demonstration until his specific concerns are resolved.


NOTE: THIS CHART IS INTENDED TO INDICATE ONLY THE INTEGRATION OF THE BECHTEL OC FUNCTION.

"QA DEPARTMEN" STATEMENT OF TRAINING POLICY

FIGURE 3-2

. is the objective of the MPQAD Training Department to provide training that meets the needs of the trainees. To help meet these needs the following

 Personnel who are required to acted classroom training shall not be administered in examination without 100% classroom attendance. 100% attendance is defined as total classroom time less instructor excused in writing by the trainees supervisor and approved by the appropriate
Training Supervisor.

- 2. When trainees have pertinent questions that relate to the training subject matter the instructor shall take action to answer the questions or obtain the answers and provide them to the students prior to final examination or certification as appropriate.
- 3. The time required for self-study prior to examination shall be determined and scheduled by the appropriate Training Coordinator, based on the duration of the lesson and complexity of the subject.
- 4. The instructor will review the class evaluation sheets or a composite to determine the acceptability of the training prior to administering the exam to the class. If judged unacceptable, the exam will not be administered until appropriate action has been taken.

 When a trainee indicates that he is not prepared to take an examination or a performance demonstration he shall not be administered the examination or performance demonstration until his specific concerns are resolved.

STUDENT HANDOUT

Recertify QC Inspectors

The training and recertification process for QC Inspectors as just discussed satisfies commitments made during the September 29, 1982 public meeting with the NRC. Those inspectors transferred from the Engineer/Constructor to MPQAD are trained and examined in accordance with MPQAD Procedure B-3M-1. Upon satisfactory completion of the training and examination requirements, inspection personnel will be certified for the Project Quality Control Instruction(s) (PQCI(s)) they are to implement. Inspection personnel are certified on a schedule which supports ongoing work and system completion team activities.

Where individual inspectors fail any part of the recertification process an evaluation will be made of the cause of the failure and based on that evaluation, a determination will be made of the need and extent for reinspection of the individual inspector's past work.

3.4 Milestones

Establish New Organization

Transfer the Bechtel QC Organization to MPQAD. Complete

Submit changes to Topical Reports and quality program manuals to NRC.

Complete

Recertify QC Inspectors

Specify the revised training and examination requirements for certification (B-3M-1) Complete

4.0 PROGRAM PLANNING

4.1 Introduction

The detailed planning for the major portion of the Construction Completion Program is described in this section.

Planning in support of Phase 1 consists of the activities to set up a team organization, process and procedures to assess the installation and inspection status of Q-systems, Q-components and Q-structures (Section 4.2) and to verify the quality status of hardware installed and inspected prior to December 2, 1983, (Section 4.3).

The Phase 2 planning effort covers the process and procedures that will be used by the team organization for completion work (Section 4.4). The procedures to integrate the quality program requirements with completion work are covered (Section 4.5).

4.2 Team Organization (Phase 1)

4.2.1 Introduction

The planning for team organization consists of procedures preparation and team organization and training for an installation and inspection status assessment.

4.2.2 Objectives

- Establish and implement a team organization ready to inspect and assess work for installation and inspection status.
- Develop the organizational processes and procedures necessary to implement the team approach for status assessment.
- Provide training to ensure required inspection and installation status assessment activities are satisfactorily performed.

4.2.3 Description

Team Organization

The team organization structure will vary depending upon the assigned scope of work. The assigned scope of work will be made on the basis of systems, specific items such as hangers and commodities that are installed and tracked on an area basis such as conduit, cable tray supports and watertight doors. (For example, see Bechtel Field Procedure FPG9800, "Buik Hanger Organization Charts".) The organization will

consist of a team supervisor and personnel as appropriate from field engineering, planning, craft supervision, project engineering, MPQAD and Consumers Power Company Site Management Office. The team may be augmented by procurement personnel, subcontract coordinators and turnover coordinators.

Teams are assigned a specific scope of work and held accountable for status assessment and overall completion within this scope. The scope includes the requirements to develop a viable working schedule and insure early identification and resolution of problem areas. Project processes and procedures are being reviewed and modified to incorporate the team organization. The team MPQAD representative is responsible for providing the QA/QC support for the team. He receives scheduling direction from the Team Supervisor but receives all other direction from and reports to management within MPQAD. To support the team, he analyzes the quality requirements and plans the QC activities to integrate them with the team effort. He assures the necessary PQCI's and certified inspection personnel are available for performing the inspections. He assures validation of NCR's. He maintains cognizance of the quality status of the verification activities.

Pilot teams are being utilized to develop and test processes and procedures during the development stage to assure that Program objectives can be met. This also provides practical field input to assure that efficient and workable methods are used.

Team members are physically located together to the extent practicable to improve communication, status assessment, problem identification and problem resolution. The MPQAD representative, however, will continue to report to MPQAD management and will maintain a permanent physical assignment within the MPQAD area.

Team Training

The construction training procedure (FPG-2.000) has been revised to incorporate the training requirements of the CCP. The procedure sets down specific requirements for type of training and subject matter for each organization element. The training requirements by type and subject are defined in a matrix for each organization, management and staff level including craftpersons. The training matrix will be approved by Consumers Power Company.

The team training includes the major elements described below:

- 1. General training will be provided in
 - A. Quality requirements for nuclear work
 - B. Requirements of the CCP
 - C. Safety orientation
 - D. Inspection and work procedures

Training in Items (A) through (C) and selected parts of (D) will be conducted in a formal setting and will be given to all personnel including the craftpersons.

In addition, a "tool box" training session will be conducted at least monthly for the craftpersons by the foreman. The subject matter will be developed by the training coordinator, and will include information regarding quality issues across the job.

 Training in the procedures used to govern the performance of work will be conducted for designated field engineering, support personnel and craft personnel as defined in the training matrices.

Formal training will be conducted for identified procedures that define the control of designated work processes, procedures for control of special processes and requirements for inspection and acceptance of completed work. Formal training includes classroom or field demonstration/discussion sessions.

Documentation of Nonconformances

Non-conformances on the finished portion of partially completed work identified during the status assessment will be documented on Non-conformance Reports (NCR's).

4.2.4 Milestones

- Complete assignment of team supervisors and Complete members to designated systems.
- . Complete organization description and pro- Complete cedures for team functions.
 - Set up training program for teams.

4.3 Quality Verification (Phase 1)

4.3.1 Introduction

The verification program is the activity undertaken to establish, using a variety of methods, that the hardware installations completed and inspected prior to December 2, 1962 have an acceptable quality status and that prior inspections were performed in an acceptable manner.

4.3.2 Objectives

The objectives of the verification program are to:

- Develop and implement a verification inspection plan using reviewed/revised PQCI for completed and inspected work which considers:
 - Re-inspection of accessible items for quality verification.
 - b. Verification of acceptability of inaccessible attributes by a review of documentation, overinspection results and past corrective actions and supplementary to these reviews, if required, by NDE techniques and destructive examination.

4.3.3 Quality Verification Program Description

The Quality Verification Program is provided in Appendix 1 of this document.

The quality verification program is based on a 100% reinspection of accessible attributes and review of documentation for inaccessible attributes. At some future date, once the quality level of completed work has been established, Consumers Power Company will make a determination as to whether or not further verification efforts can appropriately be based on less than a 100% reinspection program.

When Consumers Power Company believes that sufficient justification exists for a reduction in the 100% commitment, it will recommend such a reduction to the NRC in accordance with the statistical sampling plan described in an appendix to the Quality Verification Program.

4.3.4 Milestone

Issue Quality Verification Plan

Complete

4.4 Completion Planning (Phase 2)

4.4.1 Introduction

Establish completion processes, prepare procedures and expand training to cover completion work.

4.4.2 Objective

The objectives of completion planning are as follows:

- Establish processes and interfaces for work completion.
- Prepare procedures defining tasks of each completion team.
- Train team members by expanding upon training received previously for inspection and status assessment.
- Establish scheduling methods to be used during completion activities.

4.4.3 Description

The team organization (developed in Section 4.2) and the processes and procedures will be extended to accomplish the completion work.

Training will be conducted to assure that supervisors understand the team objectives and their role. Emphasis will be placed on completion of all work in accordance with the design and procedural requirements, and the change process to be used when the design or the procedures must be modified.

Completion work will be identified and released for construction using a controlled process to ensure that new work does not cover up existing nonconformances or items that have not been inspected or re-inspected. This process is described in Section 4.5.3 and 4.5.4.

4.4.4 Milestone

 Complete team procedures and training program for initiation of completion work.

4.5 QA/QC Completion Planning (Phase 2)

4.5.1 Introduction

The QA/QC completion activity covers the planning to support completion work.

4.5.2 Objectives

Establish in-process inspection program and complete review and modification of PQCIs.

4.5.3 Description

The QC in-process inspection program will be directly coordinated with construction work plans for new work to insure that inspection points are integrated with the installation schedule. The identification of applicable PQCI's and required inspection points will be used by system completion teams to insure that QC inspections are adequately scheduled into the process. The completion team quality representative will be responsible for providing the interface between the completion team and MPQAD to insure that quality requirements are satisfied.

Procedure for Control and Release of New Work

The process for release of work will be controlled by procedures that ensure that the requirements of the Construction Completion Program are met prior to initiation of new work. The requirements for release of work include; checking, review and approval to ensure that verification and status assessment activities are completed and that the new work activity will not cover up (make inaccessible) items that have existing nonconformances. These procedures are identified in Figure 4-1. They define the overall process for identification and approval prior to release of work. These procedures require an identification of equipment or items that may be affected by the new work package and a check to see that there are no existing nonconformances or incomplete inspections on these items.

The interactions between project management, the completion team and the QA/QC organization are as follows. Prior to Phase 1, quantification of Q items will be performed by the completion team. The completed items will be identified to the QA/QC organization for the association of closed IRs and subsequent verification during Phase 1. The remaining items will be placed in an incomplete category and will be the basis for the status assessment by the completion team during Phase 1. A commodity list will be prepared as the Phase 1 verification and status assessment activities are carried out and will result in a documented status for each system/area.

This documented status will form the basis for site management review prior to release for Phase 2 completion work. Construction work plans (CwPs) for new work will be prepared based on the lists as they are developed.

There are several major steps in the preparation and approval of the CWP. Each CWP will have a comparable Quality Work Plan (QWP) that defines the quality activities. Inspection hold points will be identified and included in the CWP. Following initial preparation of the CWP, the package is taken by the team quality representative. The inspection hold points are reviewed and approved according to MPQAD procedure and a QWP is initiated for this work activity. The QWP contains the inspection records that will be required for that work activity. A review will be performed to ensure existing nonconformances or uninspected work are not covered up. The review will be based on the steps in the three procedures identified in Figure 4-1. After the CWP is returned to construction, and the QWP is prepared, work can proceed.

4.5.4 Special Procedures

As the detailed planning for CCP implementation has developed, it has become apparent that certain activities involving installation of some bulk commodities can be performed most efficiently if performed by a specialized team set up for that specific commodity.

A team organization for status assessment and subsequent installation of pipe hangers has been formed. This team will work under procedures that provide for meeting all conditions imposed on the system team organization. The same procedure for control and release of new work described in Section 4.5.3 will be in effect for this activity. Since the status assessment and verification of all items in an area will not be complete prior to initiating hanger work, the area release contains special provisions to ensure existing non-conformances or uninspected work is not covered up. Essentially, each Construction Work Plan (CWP) will contain a specific review and check that the new work will not effect status assessment or verification for existing installation.

The installation of water tight doors can also be performed outside the system team organization but will be governed by the same procedures for control and release of new work. These procedures will ensure that there is no coverup of existing non-conformances or uninspected work.

It will also be desirable to allow installation of specific items on systems <u>critical</u> to the turnover schedule prior to full release of an area for Phase 2 work. In these limited cases, the procedures identified in Figure 4-1, provide for a full examination in the CWP of each item and identification of items that might be covered up. This information will be used by MPQAD and the team organizations to ensure each item

that might be covered up will be status assessed and/or inspected and completed prior to release of the CWP.

In each of the cases described above, management reviews will be held, third party and NRC release points identified in, Section 5.0 will be adhered to. These activities all meet the requirements identified in Section 10.0 for CCP activities.

4.5.5 Milestone

- Complete procedures for integration of inspection points with construction work process.
- Complete procedures for control and release of new work.

FIGURE 4-1

Procedures for Controlling Release for New Work

Procedure Area Release for Construction	Organization Construction	Purpose
(FIG 7.500)		These three procedures together
Construction Work Plans (FPG 7.300)	Construction	ensure proper completion of verification and status assessment activities prior to initiation of new work and ensure no

Control, Release and Handling of Construction Work Plans and Quality Work Packages (T-3) MPQAD cover-up of existing nonconformances

5.0 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

5.1 Introduction

The implementation of the Phase 1 Construction Completion Program activities will be initiated after management reviews of the overall process insures that Project performance and quality objectives have been addressed. The Phase 1 work will then be carried out by the various teams and inspection personnel in accordance with the procedures described in the preceding sections. The verification and installation and inspection status assessment of an area, system or partial system will be followed by a review of results and a second management review before initiating the Phase 2 completion work. NRC hold points have been placed in the process. These hold points have been established to give the NRC confidence in the effectiveness of the CCP implementation. Third party (Section 7.0) hold points will be determined after the NRC has approved the

5.2 Objectives

The objectives to be met are:

- Establish the present installation completion and quality status.
- Integrate the construction and quality activities for all remaining work.
- Improve performance in demonstrated conformance to quality goals in all system completion work.
- Establish a management involvement that ensures program committments are properly defined and carried out.
- Provide NRC with confidence in the projects ability to complete the plant.

5.3 Description

The preceding sections have objectives that establish the prerequisites for the implementation of the Construction Completion Program. The Project Management reviews (identified in Figure 1-1) and NRC release are described in this section.

5.3.1 Management Review - Phase 1

Project management will conduct formal reviews of the plans for implementation activities prior to initiation of team activities for the Phase 1 work. Each major activity (systems and area completion, pipe hangers, etc) described in Section 4.0 will be reviewed. These reviews will ensure that identified project management and quality issues have been adequately addressed by specific actions and that Program

Revision 1 mi0683-4033a-66-168

.

E

objectives are met. The reviews will cover the process for both 1) the verification of completed inspection activity and 2) the installation and inspection status activity.

NRC Hold Point

Upon completion of each Phase 1 management review and resolution of open items, NRC will release the activity to proceed. This process will allow the Project to establish NRC confidence in the project's preparation and ability to proceed.

Phase 1 Implementation

The existing installation and inspection status and verification of completed work will be established in accordance with the plan presented in Section 4.

5.3.2 Evaluation and Management Reviews - Phase 2

The installation and status assessment will be performed on a system and/or area basis. Prior to the start of Phase 2 a review will be held of the CCP activities to date and of the results of the initial verification and status assessment activities. In addition, the plans and procedures for Phase 2 implementation will be reviewed. This evaluation assures management that the project is prepared to release new work. The first management review for work release will be done by the management team. Subsequent status assessment results will be released by site management prior to initiation of additional completion segments. Reports will be made to Project management at regularly scheduled meetings.

NRC Hold Point

NRC will release Phase 2 activities to proceed following completion of the Phase 2 management reviews and releases described above.

Phase 2 Implementation

This activity starts completion for turnover. Work will be scheduled as installation and inspection status assessments are completed and reviewed. Correction of identified problems will be given priority over initiation of new work. as appropriate, and the completion teams will schedule their work based on these priorities.

The plant will be divided into many distinct modules and the CCP sequence will be applied to each module. As a result, there will be situations in the plant where Phase 2 activities will be occurring immediately adjacent to an area undergoing Phase 1 activities.

Third Party Construction Implementation Overview

The Phase 1 management reviews and the initial Phase 2 management review will be audited by the Construction Implementation Overview Third Party as described in Section 7.3.

5.4 Milestones

.

- Complete Management review and initiate implementation of plan for verification of completed inspections.
- Complete Management review and initiate implementation of plan for status assessment.
- Complete Management review of initial verification and installation and inspection status results and initiate systems completion work.
- Satisfy the NRC hold points.
 - Establish third party hold points.

6.0 QUALITY PROGRAM REVIEW

6.1 Introduction

The adequacy and completeness of the quality program is reviewed as part of the ongoing Project management attention to quality. These reviews consider questions raised by NRC inspections or findings raised by third party evaluations.

6.2 Objective

Address issues raised by internal audits, NRC inspections and third party assessments. Program changes, if needed, will be evaluated and, as findings are processed, will be factored into the Project work.

6.3 Description

Consumers Power Company believes Midland QA program is sound. From time to time, questions arise on detailed aspects of the program or program implementation. The normal process of addressing these issues ensures that all necessary information is provided to NRC and that internal confidence in the program is maintained.

The recent inspection of the diesel generator building has raised several issues of programmatic concern. These are in the areas of material traceability, design control process, Q-system related requirements, document control and receipt inspection. Project management has directed that an expeditious evaluation of these issues to be considered as part of the management review prior to initiation of Phase 2. Items identified in the NRC D/G Bldg inspection report are addressed and being resolved through the normal process of closing the inspection findings. Any corrective action or program changes will be implemented as appropriate in Project work on a schedule provided in the inspection report response.

The Project will also receive, from time to time, findings from third party assessments (Section 7). These findings or recommendations may also result in program modification or adjustments. Corrective action taken by the Project will be implemented on a schedule stated in the response to these findings.

7.0 THIRD PARTY REVIEWS

7.1 Introduction

This section describes third party evaluations and reviews that are planned to assess the effectiveness of design and construction activity implementation. Third party reviews being conducted as pert of the Remedial Soils Program are not included in this activity.

7.2 Objectives

To assist in improving Project implementation and assessment of Midland design and construction adequacy, consultants will be utilized in order to:

- Provide continuous monitoring and feedback to Management of Project performance.
- Identify any activities or organizational elements needing improvement.
- Improve confidence (including the NRC's and the public's) in overall Project adequacy.

7.3 Description

The use of consultants to overview Project design and construction activities with particular emphasis on construction is part of the effort to improve the Project's implementation of the quality program. Specifically, the plan overview employs the use of consultants for three separate functions: (1) To carry out a selfinitiated evaluation (SIE) of the entire Project under the INPO Phase I program, (2) to utilize a third party Construction Implementation Overview (CIO) of ongoing site construction activities to provide monitoring of the degree of implementation success achieved under the new program and (3) to conduct a third party Independent Design Verification (IDV) Program. Only the CIO is described in this section.

Construction Implementation Overview

A third-party Construction Implementation Overview (CIO) is being undertaken using, as a model, the program developed specifically for the underpinning portion of the soils remedial work. The overview was initiated by retaining an independent firm, having considerable experience and depth of personnel in the nuclear construction field. The consultant's overview team is located at the Midland Plant site and observe the work activities being conducted in accordance with this Plan. The overview will continue until Consumers Power and the NRC have confidence in the adequacy of the implementation of the Consumers Quality Assurance Program for the Midland Project.

Findings identified by the installation overview team will be made available to the NRC in accordance with established procedures. The protocol for communications between the parties will be the same as used on the soils remedial activities.

In order to ensure the Project's readiness to undertake the major steps in the Construction Completion Program (CCP), the CCP includes provisions for management review at key points in the process. The review will examine plans for future implementation and ensure that programs and processes are thorough, complete and correct. To provide the NRC with additional assurance that the CCP processes have, in fact, been and will be implemented as described, the duties of the third party CIO will include responsibility for audits of Project performance of these management reviews of the CCP process. The CCP implementation will not proceed beyond these points until the third party overviewer has documented their satisfaction with our readiness to proceed, including satisfaction with our initial response to any audit findings, in their weekly reports or other memoranda.

The CIO will also overview site construction activities while in residence, although the significant focus will be on the implementation of the CCP. The exception is that the CIO will not include an overview of the other third party evaluations being conducted.

Consumers Power Company has proposed that Stone and Webster (S&W) be the organization to perform the CIO. This is based on the fact that S&W is considered technically capable to perform the activities both in terms of the individual team proposed and in the corporate depth to support this effort. They are presently conducting an independent overview of the soils remedial activities and have been found acceptable by the NRC for corporate independence.

7.4 Milestones

Construction Implementation Overview

Define scope Select consultant Mobilize CIO Team

Complete Complete Complete

8.0 SYSTEM LAYUP

8.1 Introduction

Perform system lay-up activities to protect plant equipment.

8.2 Objectives

Expand the protection of completed and partially completed plant systems and components until plant start-up, to take into account any special considerations during the starus assessment.

8.3 Description

Procedures and instructions are provided in the Testing Program Manual to protect equipment during the on-going installation and test work. These were extended to cover special considerations associated with the Program implementation. Both the pre- and postturnover periods are covered. System and component integrity is ensured through existing programs and implementation of control and verification procedures.

In summary, these procedures and instructions require: Test Engineers to complete walkdowns of Q-Systems (in the auxiliary, diesel generator and containment buildings and the service water pump structure), paying particular attention to systems/components that are open to the atmosphere (eg open ended pipes, open tanks, missing spools, disconnected instrument lines, etc). Systems that have been hydrotested but are not currently in controlled layup require action to place the system in layup. Layup consists checking to ensure that system water conditions are within specification followed by moisture removal and closing the system from the atmosphere.

8.4 Milestones

· Complete the layup preparation walkdown

Complete

9.0 CONTINUING WORK ACTIVITIES

9.1 Introduction

This section describes the activities that are proceeding in accordance with previously established commitments during the implementation of the Program.

9.2 Objectives

- Maintain installation and support effort that will alleviate work interference in congested portions of the plant and facilitate completion and protection of equipment on systems turned over to Consumers Power Company.
- Meet previous NRC commitments on activities which do not impede the execution of the Program.
- Provide design support for orderly system completion work and resolution of identified issues

9.3 Description

Those activities that have demonstrated effectiveness in the Quality Program implementation will continue during implementation of the Construction Program.

These are:

- NSSS Installation of systems and components being carried out by B&W Construction Company.
- HVAC Installation work being performed by Zack Company. Welding activities currently on hold will be resumed as the identified problems are resolved.
- Post system turnover work, which is under the direct control of Consumers Power Company, will be released as appropriate using established work authorization procedures.
- Hanger and cable re-inspections which will proceed according to separately established commitments to NRC.
- 5. Remedial Soils work which is proceeding as authorized by NRC.
- Design engineering which will continue for the Midland Plant as will engineering support of other project activites.

Other programs that are not a part of the Construction Completion Program (CCP) will be integrated with the CCP effort as required for overall project coordination and control by Midland Project Site Management Office.

A separate organization of design engineers (presently existing) will carry out spatial systems interaction (SSI) review and examination. Although not part of the CCP, this will be done in coordination with the activities of the CCI. The conduct of the SSI is not a prerequisite to either Phase 1 or Phase 2 of the Construction Completion Program. This program is being overviewed by the CIO as described in Section 7.3. The SSI represents the Project response to the generic licensing issue of "important to safety" and is being handled outside of the CCP with NRC NRR.

- 9.4 Milestones

These activities are proceeding with schedules that are independent of this Plan.

10.0 CHANGES TO THE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION PROGRAM

10.1 Introduction

The mechanism for obtaining approval to initiate activities that do not meet the requirements of the CCP is described in this section.

10.2 Objectives

Establish a management control to ensure that any activities that do not meet the requirements of the CCP are reviewed and approved prior to initiation.

10.3 Description

A procedure (MPPM-19) is being issued to control changes to the CCP. The procedure will provide that Q work activity outside the exceptions defined in Section 9.0 will meet the requirements of the CCP. Any changes to the defined CCP process will receive management review and approval for any deviation from the CCP requirements. The requirements that must be maintained for work activities under the CCP are:

- A. Management reviews are scheduled and held of (1) activity planning for verification and status assessment and (2) results of status assessment and planning prior to new work activity.
- B. A process is in place to ensure that no existing nonconformances will be covered up by new work activities.
- C. Procedures to control work definition and release including definition of inspection requirements and inspection hold points are in place.
- D. Inspection and construction personnel involved must have received all required training.

Any work activity that does not meet these conditions will be considered a change. A change will be reviewed by the Construction Implementation Overviewer. The NRC Region WI menegements will be informed prior to implementation.

Cappinges to the CCP

INCONTROLLED

OUALITY VERIFICATION PROGRAM MIDLAND NUCLEAR COGENERATION FLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

Index of Topics

1: Purpose

2. Scope

- 2.1 Remedial Soils Activities
- 2.2 EVAC Activities
- 2.3 Cable Routing and Identification Keinspection
- 2.4 ASME Hanger Reinspection
- 2.5 Baw Construction Activities
- 3. References
- 4. Definitions
- 5. Fregram Content
 - 5.1 Detailed Scope
 - 5.2 Methodology
 - 5.3 Identification of Deficiencies
 - 5.3.1 Deficiencies Found During Reinspection of Accessible Attributes
 - 5.2.2 Deficiencies Found During Reinspection of Inaccessible Attributes
- 6. Special Program Elements
 - 6.1 Cable Reinspection
 - 6.2 In Process Inspection Notices and Discrepancy Reports
 - 6.2.1 Attachment 10 Forms
 - 6.3 Exceptions to this Plan
 - 6.4 Purchasca Material
- . 6.5 Inaccessible Attributes

Rev. 2, 6/16/83 Ph0-03-001-4-0107

8306300112

APPENDIX 1

CUALITY VERIFICATION PROGRAM MILLANT NUCLEAR COGENERATION PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

lnues of Topics

- 7. Documentation and Reports
 - 7.1 Documentation of Results
 - 7.2 Documentation of Nonconfermances
 - 7.2.1 Trending
 - 7.3 Reports
 - 7.3.1 Reports to Executive Manager MPCAL
 - 7.3.2 Reports from Executive Manager 1242D
 - 7.3.3 Reports to NRC and Construction Implementation Overview Tear

- 8. Implementation
 - 8.1 Organizational Responsibilities
 - S.1.1 MPCAD BOP CA
 - 8.1.2 MPCAD LOF QC
 - 8.1.3 MPCAD Site Audit Section
 - 8.1.4 MPQAD QA Administration and Training

9. Appendices

- A. List of TQCIs
- B. POCIs to be Verified by Documentation Review
- C. Statistical Sampling Plan

Rev. 1, 8,10,83 Ph0-83-0014A-Q107

UNCONTROLLED

1

QUALITY VERIFICATION PROGRAM

Midland Nuclear Cogeneration Plant Units 1 and 2

- <u>Purpose:</u> To confirm through a verification program under the direction of Consumers Fower Company, the acceptable quality status of safety related procurement and construction activities completed and inspected by the Engineer-Constructor quality control personnel prior to December 2, 1982.
- <u>Scope:</u> This program will cover all closed Inspection Records of inspections performed by the Engineer-Constructor quality control personnel on safety related material, systems, components and structures of the Midland Nuclear Cogeneration Plant Units 1 and 2 prior to December 2, 1982, except:
 - 2.1 Remedial Soils Work, which has been under the direction of Consumers Power Company Quality Assurance (QA) personnel since August, 1982.
 - 2.2 HVAC work, which has been under the direction of Consumers Power Company QA personnel since the major reorganization in June 1981.
 - 2.3 Verification of cable routing, identification and other accessible attributes which is being done on a 100% reinspection basis in accordance with FQCI E-4.6.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0014A-0107 2.4 Verification of ASME hangers which will be done under a separate reinspection program as proviously conmitted to the NRC on November 15, 1981 and March 29, 1983. This program requires 100% reinspection of all hangers with closed IR's as of December 1982. This program will be conducted under the direction of Consumers Power Company QA personnel.

2

2.5 B&W Construction Company activities which have been performed under the D&W Quality Assurance Program.

3. References:

- 3.1 Regulatory Guide 1.58, Rev 1, Qualification of Nuclear Power Inspection, Examination and Testing Personnel.
- 3.2 MPGAD Procedure E-3%, Preparation and Approval of Project Quality Control Instructions

4. Definitions:

Attachment 10

A form previously utilized to Document Welkdown statusing on specified Piping systems prior to Hydrostatic or Pneumatic Testing.

1.ev. 1, 0,10/83 F16-83-0014A-0107 Licerepancy Report (DR):

A form similar to the IPIN previously used to report inprocess nonconfermances.

Inaccessible:

An item or attribute of an item which, due to its physical location or configuration, cannot be physically or visually reinspected without removing and thereby invalidating installed work. Under the Quality Verification Program, this includes those fiend of stoributes normally inspected in process and which subsequent construction processing makes inaccessible, eg, piping fit-up, rect weld and subsequent layers under the cover pass, anchor bolt hole drilling, internal cleanliness, embedmont in concrete, etc. Inaccessible does not include those items which can reasonably be reached by scaffold erection, limited access (rances; areas which require the physical size of the inspection personnel to be limited or those items that can be viewed by removal of access sever er pateld, eg, electrical constitut cobinets, conduit bones, etc.

Lev. 1, 6, 10/83 PhC453-CO10A-CLOT The inaccessibility of sttributes covered by insulation or coatings will be handled on a case by case basis. When such coverings can be practically removed and replaced and where their particular reinspection is required to establish an acceptable level of confidence of the quality of a particular attribute, the coverings will be removed. Items which fall into this category and are scheduled for verification in accordance with plan requirements will not be considered inaccessible unless so approved on a case by case basis by the Executive Managor - 1704D.

In Process Inspection Notice (IPIN):

A form previously used to record nonconforming conditions or work teturned to construction forces for revork prior to completion of inspection activities for the item in question.

Inspection by Attributes:

Inspection whereby the iter or attribute is classified simply as conforming or nonconforming without Tagazi 2 T the Granes of nonconformation

Lev. _, C, 10.03 FLC-63-0014A-C117

Inspection Record (11.) :

A report that scopes the inspection to be performed, relating it to a specific PQCI and a system, component, structure or portion thereof and which records the results of inspections.

5

Nonconformance:

deficiency in characteristic, cocumentation or protocdure which renders the quality of an item unacceptable or indeterminate.

Nenconformance Report (NCR):

A document used for reporting nonconforming conditions.

Topulation:

The entire quantity of closed Inspection Records (IP) as of December 2, 1982 relating to a specific PCCI.

Project Quality Control Instruction (PQCI):

The document that provides (unlity Control Engineers (CCEs) with specific direction as to attributes to be vorified, how they are 5 be verified and the coceptance of the

Rev. 2. 6/10/85 PR0483-00144-0117 Reinspecticr:

As used in this Verification Program, reinspection means a complete review of requisite documentation and a physical or visual recheck of accessible inspection attributes covered by a specific PQCI or a review of applicable inspection records and related quality documentation where attributes are not accessible.

As used in this program, verification refers to the overall process of establishing the quality acceptance of the total population of completed and inspected work through combinations, as applicable, of efforts such as re-inspection, documentation review, review of past efforts to investigate and resolve problems, analysis of past overimspection results and, it necessary, NDE techniques and destructive examination.

Verification:

÷.;

Rev. 2, 6,10,11 PRC-83-001-A-0107 5. <u>Program Content:</u> As identified in Section 2, Scope, Consumers Power Company (CPCo) will concuct a Quality Verification Program of safety related procurement and construction work in which the prior 'OCS' inspections have been performed under the direct supervision of the Engineer-Constructor. Such inspections were performed in accordance with approximately 100 PQCIs, as listed in Appendix A, that specified the inspection requirements to be achieved by Quality Control (QC) Personnel. As noted in section 5.1, this listing includes all inspections completed by the Engineer-Constructor prior to December 2, 1982, including those encluded from this program for reasons stated herein. The Quality Verification Program has the purpose of establishing a quality baseline for the completion of construction of the Midland Project.

7

5.1 Detailed Scope: The program will include approximately 100,900 IRs subject to the Quality Verification Program, for which the Engineer-Constructor has a record of completed inspections as documented by closed Inspection Records (IR) and for which no other 100% verification activity has taken place or is scheduled to take place. There are approximately 147,500 closed IRs of which approximately 14,700 were for reinspections which cocurred due to design charge, construction rework, etc., and approximately 31,900 which are excluded, due to previous commitments under the Ecmedial Soil, EVAC, Cable routing and identification and ADE Hanger Programs. Where a reinspection has occurred on a specific item or

Rev. 2. 6/10/85 PRG483-CC14/-CLC7 attribute the verification will relate to the latest IR. In addition, prior to the use of PQCIs, Material Receipt Inspections (NRI), Field Inspection Flans (FIP) and Welding Inspection ML-5 forms were used as quality instructions and records. These also will be used for quality verification. Where applicable, the results of the inspections will be grouped with like PQCIs. Otherwise they will be treated as separate populations.

Methodology: This program will confirm the acceptable quality 5.2 status of completed work and establish the validity of prior inspections. To accomplish this, accessible attributes of items covered by completed Iks will be reinspected to the lastest design requirements with PQCI's which have been reviewed and/or revised as necessary to assure clarity of acceptance criteria and uniformity of implementation. For inaccessible attributes, the original inspection documents will be reviewed for evidence of acceptability, and justification will be developed as described in section 6.5 to establish hardware quality and support the validity of inspections associated with such PCCIs. Each IR relates to a specific PQCI. PQCIs are organized by discipline and further structured to activities within that discipline, e.g., there are separate PCCIs and corresponding IRs for preplacement, placement and post-placement inspections of concrete. Closed IEs related to each PQCI provide a population of like activities. Closed IRs are those where the Engineer-Constructors 100% inspection of construction and installed hardware has been completed.

Dev. 1, 6,10,23 TLC/85-00144-0107 To assess the validity of these past completed inspections, and verify the hardward quality, CFCo will initiate a 100% refrspection of the population to provide adequate confidence that safety related systems components and structures will perform satisfactorily in service.

The initial 100% veinspection effort will be based on a systems/area orientation to provide a quality baseline for subsequent construction completion activities. System/area reinspections vill be supplemented by random plant-wide inspections as appropriate to establish a valid quality baseline on an expeditious basis.

At some future date, once the quality level of completed work has been established, CPCc will make a determination as to whether or not further verification efforts can appropriately be based on less than a 100% reinspection program.

When CPCo believes that sufficient justification emists for a reduction in the 100% commitment, it will recommend such a reduction to the NRC in accordance with the statistical sampling plan attached as Appendix C.

5.3 <u>Identification of Deficiencies</u>: Any nonconforming condition observed during the implementation of this program other than those previously identified on surrenformance reports, will be identified

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PAC-83-0014/-0107 9

by a nonconformance report and will be dispositioned in accordance with established procedures.

5.3.1 Deficiencies Found During Reinspection of Accessible

Attributes: Reinspections will be conducted in accordance with POCIs which have been reviewed and/or revised since implementation of the Construction Completion Program (CCP) and in accordance with current design drawings and specifications. An acceptable reinspection will validate both the hardware quality and the prior IR. Any deficiencies, other than those previously identified on nonconformance reports as a result of prior inspections, will be identified on a nonconformance report which will be traceable to both the verification and original IR and the item or attribute in question. When a nonconformance documents a difference between the as built condition of the unit and the referenced design drawing or specification, a further check will be made to determine the cesage basis against which the IP was originally completed, as well as the current stage of construction, to further establish the validity of the criginal IR.

5.3.2 <u>Deficiencies Found During Reinspection of Documentation</u> for Inaccessible Attributes: The verification process for inaccessible attributes is discussed in faction 6.5. As

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 FRC482-CC14A-CL07 noted in that section, any documentation deficiencies will be recorded on the new IR, entered on a nonconferrance report and cross referenced to the original IR.

o. Special Program Elements

- 6.1 <u>Cable Reinspection:</u> As noted in Section 2, Scope, reinspection of routing and identification of installed cables is underway and is being performed 100% for all accessible attributes per FQC1 E-4.0. Other electrical work, including cable tensioning and terminations, on which inspections have been completed by the Engineer Constructor will be handled in accordance with this program. This includes FQC1s E-1.0, E-1.1, E-1.60, E-2.0, E-2.1, E-3.1, E-3.0, E-6.0, E-6.2, E-6.6 and E-6.6.1. These FQC1s are further defined and affected quantities of 11s are shown in Appendix A.
- 6.2 IPIN and DR: IN accordance with approved precedures the QC inspection process has used in the past In Process Inspection Notices (IPIN) and Discrepancy Reports (DR) rather than Nonconformance Reports (NCR) to record nonconforming conditions noted by the inspector on work returned to construction for rework. The process required that IPINs be dispositioned before the Inspection Record could be closed. Eccause the use of IPINs and DRs reiser the possibility that a complete inspection may not have been periormed on lieus of attributes covered by IRs with creating iPINs or DRs.

Rev. 2, 6/16/83 FRG-81-00147-0107 all such IRs will be created as a unique population and will be reinspected 100%. IPINs are no longer used in the inspection process. Discrepancy Reports (DF) were used prior to the use of the IPINs. They are no longer in use, but are recorded and will be treated the same as the IPIN.

6.2.1 Attachment 10 Forms: Attachment lo's were used in conjunction with hydrostatic/Pneumatic Test Procedures as a punchlist for a defined Hydrostatic or Pneumatic Test, and included line numbers, drawing numbers and test boundaries. The Attachment 10 was not intended to le the quality document that identified documented acceptance by the QCE of subsequent action taken to correct punchlist deficiencies identified during the walkdown process. These deficiencies were intended to be tracked on other quality documents, such as Nonconformance Reports, Inspection Reports, atc. In order to verify that this use of the Attachment 10 did not comprenise the quality of installed hardware, all completed hare ware inspections documented on closed IRs failing within the system boundaries identified on existing Attachment 10 forms will be 100% verified during the Quality Verification Program.

Rev. 2, 6/10/33 PR0483-0014A-0107 12
6.3 Exceptions to this Program: Exceptions to this Program shall not be taken unless such exceptions can be fully justified. One such example would be a case where objective evidence is available of a CFCo overinspection of the the Engineer-Constructor's inspections and which demonstrates effective quality control and provides the basis to verify acceptability of the items or attributes covered by these past IRs.

Where such exceptions are proposed to be taken, a special report will be prepared by the MPQAD-QA General Superintendent for review and approval of the Executive Manager-MPCAD. This report will contain full justification for the exception and documentation of objective evidence to support the exception. The Executive Manager-MPQAD will inform the NRC Region III whenever he has made a decision to allow such an exception to the Program prior to implementing the exception.

6.4 <u>Purchased Naterial:</u> Furchased safety related material and components whether source inspected or inspected upon receipt are subject to this Program for verification of completed receipt inspections performed by the Ergineer-Constructor prior to Decemier 1, 1551. In many cases, purchased itoms have been installed and are not fully accessible for reinspection; however inaccessible interfaces will have been demonstrated and their functional acceptability proven through installation and subsequent testing. Accessible features will be teinspected in acceptance with this Program.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PRC-03-00144-QL07

The total number of IRs associated with PQCI E-1.00, Material Receiving Inspection, is approximately 12,000. In addition, prior to the introduction of PQCI R-1.00, approximately 150 MRIs and 20 FIPs were used for receipt inspection, covering approximately 700 items. Based upon further review, receipt inspections covered by MRIs will either be grouped with like items covered by PQCI R-1.00 or be reinspected separately. FIPs were also used for construction activities and will be treated separately under this plan. Where materials such as rebar, certain structural members or features of components are inaccessible for reinspection, documentation will be reviewed in accordance with this Program.

14

6.5 <u>Inaccessible Attributes:</u> There are 57 PQCIs which cover activities that are deemed to be inaccessible for reinspection. These include rebar installed in placed concrete, containment building tendon reinspection, and PQCIs relating to surveillance of subcontractor activities. A complete listing of these is given in Appendix 1 to this Program. A brief statement as to why attributes of these IRs are considered inaccessible and why verification by documentation review is appropriate appears in Appendix 3. Documentation review is appropriate appears in Appendix 3. Documentation review is appropriate Spears in Appendix 3. Documentation review for these FQCIs will be reviewed as indicated in this Pro-gram, in accordance with a revised PQCI or checklist specifically developed for review of documentation. These PQCIs, either in-dividually or by groups, will be reviewed and specific detailed furtification will be developed to verie the optifity

Rev. 2. 6/10/53 PR0493-001-1-0107 status of associated hardware. This will be done by a combination or methods, applied as necessary to achieve verification, including validation of prior inspections through documentation review, reinspections of attributes that may still be accessible, a review of past overinspections, a review of past activities to resolve problems, and if required, application of NDE techniques or limited destructive examinations. This justification, or recommendations for additional varification activities, where this justification carnot be established, will be provided by the NPQAD-QA General Superintendent to the Encourive hanager-NPQAD for decision and approval. Deficiencies in documentation will be reported or nonconformance reports, the disposition of which will determine further actions necessary. These actions will include special testing programs as required to satisfactorily establish the quality acceptance of this category of PQCIs.

7. Documentation and Reports:

7.1 Documentation of Results: Results of reinspections and document reviews will be recorded on new IRs opened specifically for this purpose. Each such new IR will be cross-reference to the closed original IR. A proper notation will be made on the new IR to identify whether the existing original inspection covered by the IR was validated, rejected or is indecorrinate. The new IR will provide the basis to document the quality status of the items or attributes being reinspected.

Rev. 2, 6/10/93 FLC481-6014A-0107

- 7.2 <u>Documentation of Nonconformances:</u> Nonconforming conditions observed during reinspection activities will be documented on a nonconformance report and appropriately analyzed for management attention. This includes instances where a design or construction modification has occurred since the Inspection Record was closed and a new IR not yet opened. (Note discussion in Section 5.2.1)
 - 7.2.1 <u>Trending:</u> Deficiencies noted during the verification process will be trended as appropriate for analysis and management information.

7.3 Reports:

7.3.1 <u>Reports to Executive Manager-NPC/D:</u> A weekly status report will be made jointly by the CPCo BOP Quality Control (QC) Superintendent and Quality Assurance (QA) General Superintendent to the Executive Manager - Midland Project Quality Assurance Lepartment (MPQAD) summarizing the results of the program. The report will note the completed Inspection Reports by the unique PQCI number, Monconformance Reports issued and identification of attribute(s) crusing the nonconformance(s).

Rev. 2. 6/10/83 PRC-83-0014A-CLUT

- 7.2.2 <u>Reports from Executive Manager-MPCAD:</u> The Executive Manager-MPCAD will inform the CPCo Site Manager, the Engineer-Constructor Project Manager, and the Vice President, Projects, Engineering and Construction, of the statuc of the quality verification program on a biweekly basis and will provide them with a formal monthly report of the verification effort. As appropriate, he will also report on the Acceptability of completed work as it may be impacted by monconformances.
- 7.3.3 <u>Reports to NRC and Construction Implementation Overview</u> <u>Team</u>: The Executive Manager-MPQAD will provide copies of the monthly reports noted in section 7.3.2 to NRC Region III and the Construction Implementation Overview Team.
- <u>Implementation</u>: This program will be implemented under the direct control of NFGAD through procedures approved and issued according to normal programmatic requirements.
 - 8.1 Organizational Responsibilities: The Executive Manager-HTQAD has total overall responsibility and authority for the development and implementation of all quality related aspects of this verification program. He will be responsible for scaling that the implementation place of the program is coordinated with other project departments as required to assure project support for this plan commensurate with overall project poils.

1.6.1.6,16/83 31.0487-00140-0107

- 8.1.1 <u>MPQAD BOP CA:</u> is responsible for the programmatic elements of the verification program including, but not limited to, procedure development, PQCI review and approval, nonconformance review, analysis of results, justification for document review, verification of inaccessible attributes, program content modifications and certifying that the verification has been completed for a given area or system, and performing tanagement overview of the reinspection process with appropriate cocumentation of results.
- 8.1.2 <u>NPCAD BOP OC</u>: is responsible for program implementation including, but not limited to, conducting the reinspection activities with CC personnel that socially Regulatory Guide 1.55, Rev 1, which requires personnel certification in accordance with ANSI N-5.2.6 (no person will reinspect activities for which he performed the original inspection), reporting results to the Executive Manager-LB(AD, reporting nonconformances to MaCAD-DUP CA, and coordinating with Construction Services and Consumers Site Management Office to establish schedule priorities for reinspection activities.
- 8.1.3 <u>MPCAD Site Audit Section</u>: is responsible for formal audits of the overall verification program implementation.

TET . 1. 0, 10/83 TFC153-0014A-0107

8.1.4 <u>MPQAD - QA Administration and Training:</u> MPQAL Procedures will be developed in accordance with programmetic requirements to direct implementation of this plan.

hev. 2. 6/10/83 Ph0-83-0014A-0107

.

APPENDIX A Page 1 of 20

PQCI /	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
C-1.02	Compacted Backfill	181			Hardware & documentation under remedial soils program
C-1.09	Inspection of Crack for BWST Foundation Ring Vall	5			Hardware & documentation under remedial soils program
C-1.10	Insp of Grouting and Dry Packing	1873	!	1	Surface condition and documentation
c-1.11	Drilling & Grouting Rebar	66		x	
C-1,20	Concrete Preplacement Inspection	767	•		Inspection of remaining unplaced concrete areas plus past documentation
C-1.21	Inspection of Reinforcing Steel	259	•	•	Inspection of accessible rebar plus past documentation
C-1,72	Inspection of Reinforcing Steel at Construction Joints	19	1	,	Inspection of accessible rebar at remaining joints plus past documentation
1/83					

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0014F-0107

KEY:

1 Document-Review documentation for completeness

* Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 2 of 20

POCT / POCT TITLE **OUANTITY** DOC'T HARDWARE REMARKS C-1.30 Concrete Placement Inspection 780 + x C-1.31 Inspection of Concrete Activities 246 ٠ x C-1.40 Concrete Post Inspection of concrete Placement Inspection 1002 ٠ surfaces plus documentation + C-1.50 Installation and Testing Inspection for proper of Expansion Anchora 4987 + . installed condition C-1.51 Retest Verification of **Drop In Expansion Anchors** 54 * x C-1.52 Reinspection of Seismic Category | Pipe Support Expansion Anchors 294 1 x C-1.53 Reinspection of **Expansion Anchors for** Seismic Cat I Support () KEY:

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PE0453-0014F-01.07

* Document-Review documentation for completeness

1 Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 3 of 20

A LIST OF ALL POST'S UITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

-	MICI TITLE	VIIANTITY	POC*T	IIARDWARE	REMARKS
.56	Reinspection of Rock Bolt Installation	20	•1	×	
. 60	Concrete brilling and Cutting Reinforcing Strel	325	••	×	
.70	Installation of Pressured Concrete Pipe	. *	-1	×	
.80	Installation of Concrete Unit Masonry	102	+1	*	
18.	Installation of Concrete Unit Masoury	6£1	•	×	
06.	Installation of SUI Sluice Cates	c			
00	Plant Area Newatering	65		llare	dware and documentation er remedial soils program

Fev. 2, 6/10/83 FE0581-00154-01.02

KEY: Document-Review documentation for completeness Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 4 of 20

A LIST OF ALL. POCT'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

C-2.02 Permanent Gravel 17 Ilardware and under remedia C-2.03 Drawlown Recharge 1 0ne time test C-2.03 Drilling Q-Listed 1 soils program C-2.05 Drilling Q-Listed 1 soils program C-2.05 Drilling Q-Listed 14 Remedial Soil. C-2.06 Drilling Q-Listed 14 Remedial Soil. C-2.10 Structural Steel 121 ± intributes plusted G-2.10 Structural Steel 121 ± intributes plusted G-2.11 Installation of 0 ± ± intributes plusted G-2.11 Installation of 121 ± ± intributes plusted G-2.11 Installation of 121 ± ± intributes plusted G-2.11 Installation of 1302 ± ± intributes plusted G-2.20 Field Fabrication of 1502 ± × intributes G-2.21 Field and Offsite 0 5 × intributes G-2.21 Field and Offsite	I I DAI	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	1,300	HARDWARE	REMA
C-2.01 Drawdown Recharge 1 One time test soils program Test 1 soils program C-2.05 Prilling Q-Listed 14 Remedial Soil C-2.10 Structural Steel 13 2 Inspection of C-2.10 Structural Steel 121 2 2 Kecetion 121 2 4 4 Katertight and 0 2 1 1 Katertight mons 0 2 2 1 G-2.10 Field Fabrication of Mittight mons 0 5 5 G-2.11 Installation of Mittight mons 0 5 5 G-2.21 Field Fabrication of Hiscellaneous Steel 1502 5 5 G-2.21 Field Fabrication of Hiscellaneous Steel 0 5 5	C-2.02	Permanent Gravel Packed Wells	"			Nardware and under remedia
C-2.05Prilling q-Listed Areas for Underpinning Operations14Remedial SolisGperations14Remedial SolisC-2.10Structural Steel Ercetton121±Inspection of attributes pluC-2.11Installation of Watertight and Airtight Doors121±±C-2.20Field Fabrication of Miscellancous Steel1502±×C-2.21Field Fabrication of Miscellancous Steel05×	C-2.03	Drawdown Recharge Test	-			One time test soils program
C-2.10 Structural Steel 121 ± Inspection of attributes plue Freetion 121 ± ± Inspection of attributes plue C-2.11 Installation of Watertight and Airtight Doors 0 ± ± attributes plue C-2.20 Field Fabrication of Hiscellaneous Steel 1502 ± × × C-2.21 Field and Offsite Fabrication of Hiscellaneous Steel 1502 ± × C-2.21 Field and Offsite Fabrication of Kellaneous Steel 0 × ×	C-2.05	Prilling Q-Listed Areas for Underpinning Operations	14			Remedial Soils
C-2.11 Installation of Watertight and Airtight Doors 0 C-2.20 Field Fabrication of Hiscellancous Steel 1502 ± C-2.21 Field and Offsite Fabrication of Reinforcing Steel 0	C-2,10	Structural Steel Erection	121	•	•	Inspection of a attributes plue
C-2.20 Field Fabrication of Hiscellancous Steel 1502 ± x C-2.21 Field and Offsite Fabrication of Reinforcing Steel 0	C-2.11	Installation of Watertight and Airtight Doors	=			
C-2.21 Field and Offsite Fabrication of Reinforcing Steel 0	C-2.20	Field Fabrication of Hiscellancous Steel	1502	+1	×	
	c-2.21	Field and Offsite Fabrication of Reinforcing Steel	c			

EEV. 2, 6/10/83 PED481-0016F-00.07

KEY: Document-Review documentation for completeness Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes X Hardware-Attributes not accessible for reinspection

APPENDIX A Page 5 of 20

	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARF	hEM ava
6-2,55	Load Monitoring of the Feedwater/isolation Valve Pit Rod & Rock Bolt	0			Remedial Soils Program
C-3,01	Installation Inspection of Spent Fuel Storage Racks	20			Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
C-3.07	Installation Inspection of Spent Fuel Storage Racks	8	t		Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
6-3,03	Inspection of Test for Acceptability of the Spent Fuel Rack Cells	0			
C-4.10	Batch Plant Inspection	929	•	x	
C-5,10	Shear Connector Installation	503	:	x	
C-6.00	Mechanical Splicing of Reinforcing Bars	787		x	

A LIST OF ALL PQCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

x Hardware-Attributes not acessible for reinspection

 \mathbf{x}

APPENDIX A Page 6 of 20

ection of Reactor ilding Liner Plate spection of Surface eparation Application ach Up & Repair of ating	10 908	:	x	
spection of Surface eparation Application ach Up & Repair of ating spection of	908			
spection of			×	
contamination Coat r Concrete	17			Inspection of surface condition plus documentation
spection of Surface paration Application ichup & Repair of itings Reactor Bidg mer Plate	0			
tallation-Post				
istoning Components	40	2	×	
t Tensioning tem Stressing	309		x	
	tallation-Post sloning Components t Tensioning tem Stressing	tallation-Post slouing Components 40 t Tensioning tem Stressing 309	tallation-Post sloning Components 40 ± t Tensioning tem Stressing 309 ± KEY:	tallation-Post sloning Components 40 ± x t Tensioning tem Stressing 309 ± x KEY:

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 7, 6/10/83 PR045--0014F-01.07

! Document-Review doc mentation for completeness

! Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 7 of 20

PQC1 /	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
C-9,20	Containment Eldg Tension Reinsp	п	:	×	
CW-1,00	Welding & NDE of "Q" Listed Non ASHE Items	301			Inspection of surface condition and radiographs
	Auro Items	101	1		plus documentation
E-1.0	Installation of Conduit Boxes and				Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
	Supports	4716			and the property documentation
E-1.1	Installation of				Inspection of accessible
	Boxes	. 9	:	:	attributes plus documentation
E-1.60	In Process Inspection				
	of Electrical Item Installation	85	:	×	
E-2.0	Installation of				Inspection of accessible
	Cable Tray and Wireway	1368			attributes plus documentation
E-2.1	Installation of				Inspection of according
	Tray Supports	799	:	:	attributes plus documentation
10/31 4F-QL07			EEY: ! Docume ! Hardwa	nt-Revlew d re-Reinspec	ocumentation for completeness t accessible attributes

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

18

.

APPENDIX A Page 8 of 20

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

1

rqc1 /	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY)0C'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
E-3.0	Final Electrical Area Completion Activity	0			
E-3.1	Electrical System Turnover Activities	108	:	x	
E-4.0	Installation of Electric Cables	7954	•	x	Inspection of accessible attributes has been accomplished under cable routing & ID program
8-5,0	Cable Terminations	12361	:	t	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
2-6.0	Installation of Electric Equipment and Instrumentation	346	÷	1	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
8-6.1	Modification of Electric Equipment	209	1	:	Combine with RW 1.10 Inspect accessible attributes plus documentation

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PE0483-0014F-0107

KEY:

? Document-Review documentation for completeness

1 Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 9 of 20

IQCI I	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
E-6.2	Installation of Terminal Boxes	108	:	•	Inspect accessible attributes plus documentation
E-6.6	Installation of Electric Penetrations	127	1		Inspect accessible attributes plus documentation
E-6.6.1	Installation of Feed Through Assy's for Elec Penetration	388	1	•	Inspect accessible attributes plus documentation
E-6.7.1	Installation of Batteries & Racks	9	:	•	Inspect accessible attributes plus documentation
RW-1.10	Modification to Electrical Equipment	144 .	1	1	Combine with E-6.1 Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
1-1.10	Installation of Instruments	159	t	:	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
1-1.00	Installation of Mechanical Equipment	н	t	,	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Fev. 2, 6/10/83 PE0483-6014F-01.07

.

KEY:

* Document-Review documentation for completeness

* Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 10 of 20

PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	BENADYO
Installation of Rotating Equipment	28	1	1	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
Installation of Cranes	1	1	•	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
Complete Installations of Mechanical Equipment	2	ł	•	luspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
Disassembly Reassembly and Modification of Systems and Components	4		•	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
Welding and NDE of Hechanical Equipment	0			
Piping Completed Line Installation	80	1	•	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
Piping Subassembly Field Installation RW	1858	2	1	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
	PQCI TITLE Installation of Rotating Equipment Installation of Granes Complete Installations of Mechanical Equipment Disassembly Reassembly and Hodification of Systems and Components Welding and NDE of Hechanical Equipment Piping Completed Line Installation Piping Subassembly Field Installation RW	PQCI TITLEQUANTITYInstallation of Rotating Equipment28Installation of Cranes1Complete Installations of Mechanical Equipment1Disassembly Reassembly and Hodification of Systems and Components4Welding and NDE of Mechanical Equipment0Piping Completed Line Installation80Piping Subassembly Field Installation RM1858	PQCI TITLEQUANTITYDOC'TInstallation of Rotating Equipment28±Installation of Granes1±Complete Installations of Mechanical Equipment1±Disassembly Reassembly and Modification of Systems and Components4±Welding and NDE of Hechanical Equipment0±Piping Completed Line Installation80±Piping Subassembly Field Installation RM1858±	PQCI TITLEQUANTITYDOC'THARDWAREInstallation of Rotating Equipment28±±Installation of Cranes1±±Installation of Cranes1±±Complete Installations of Mechanical Equipment1±±Disassembly Reassembly and Hodification of Systems and Components4±±Piping Completed Line Installation80±±Piping Subassembly Field Installation RM1858±±

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 2, 6/10/81 PR0481-0014F-01.07

KEY:

* Document-Review documentation for completeness

* Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 11 of 20

PQCI /	POCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
P-1.20	Piping Subassembly Shop Fab & Rework	994	1	•	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentatio
P-1.30	Valve and Inline Component Install	1247	:	•	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
1-1.60	In Process Insp Fab/Installation Fework of Piping	167		x	
P-2.00	Pipe Component Supports Flual Setting	5	1	<u>.</u>	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
r-2.10	Pipe (Component) Support installation	7057			
P- 2.20	Pipe (Component) Support: Fabrication	6460	1	1	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
1-2,30	Pipe (Cemponent) Support F119/P129 Walkdown	6			Closed IR's from P-2.10 and P-2.20 will be reinspected to requirements of P-2.30 where installed
10/63 41-01.07			kEY: 2 Docume 1 Hardwa	nt-Review d re-Ecluspec	ocumentation for completeness t accessible attributes

A LIST OF ALL FOCT'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

APPENDIX A Page 12 of 20

PQCI I	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
PF-1.10	Pipe Flange Installation and Rework	820		:	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
PI-1.40	Field Fabrication and Installation of Piping Related Instrumentation	204	:		Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
1-2.40	Off-Site Fabrication/ Weld of Pipe Related Instrument Supports	84		1	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
PIW- 1.00	Welding and NDE of Instrument Tubing and Fittings	642	±		Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
rw-1.00	Fab/Weld/Heat Treat and NDE of ASME 111 Piplog	31014	1	2	Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation
8-1.00	Material Receiving Inspection	12007			Inspection of accessible attributes plus documentation

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 7, 6/10/83 PR0483-0014F-01.07

KEY:

1 Document-Review documentation for completeness

! Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 13 of 20

1 1201	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
R-1.60	Receiving Area and Storage Facilities Inspection	45	,	×	Walk through of existing conditions plus documentation
R-2.00	Receiving Inspection for MSSS Equipment	198		x	
R-2.10	Receiving Inspection for NSSS Equipment	42		x	
R-2,20	Receiving Inspection for NSSS Equipment Documentation	217	:	x	
S-1,00	Storage Area/ Facilitles Surv	67		,	Walk through of existing conditions plus review of documentation
SC-1,05	Naterial Testing Services	306		x	

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PE048 1-0014F-01.07

KEY:

1 Document-Review documentation for completeness

* Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 14 of 20

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

PQC1 /	PQC1 TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
SC-1.06	Recoating Work of Cont				
	Bldg Liner Plate.				
	Misc Steel, and Pipe				
	Hanger Attachment	0			
	R(100-1-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0				
SC-1.07	Agreement for Tech				
	Services for Soils				
	Laberatory Testing	0			
		<u>80 1 20 1 1 1</u>			
SC-1.10	Earthwork Subcentract				
	Surveillance	0			
SC-1.11	Concrete and Unit				
	Masonry Sarface Sub/				
	Contract Surv	405			
			<u> </u>	Â	
SC-1.14	Subcontract Survelllance				
	of Installation of				
	Underplaning	0			
C-1.16	Field Erected Storage				
	Tanks/Subcontract				
	Surveillance	108			
			*	×	

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PE0483-0014F-QL07

KEY:

! Document-Review documentation for completeness

! Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 15 of 20

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

I POCI TITLE	VELITIAN	DOC'T	HARDWARE	DUNADVC
8.00 Subcontractor Surv of Installation of Soil and Crack Monitoring Devices	58			Remedial Soils Program
.00 Measuring and Testing Equipment Lahoratory Surveillance Inspection	Ŧ.	+1	×	
.03 Heat, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Subcouract Surveillance	R2R	•1	×	
.04 Field Erected Component . Cooling Water Surge Tanks Subcontracts Surveillance	108	+	×	
17 Pield Fabricated Incore Installation Tanks Subcontract Survelliance	183	-1	×	
01 NDE-Subcontractor SurvetHance	92	-1	*	
	KE	Y:		

Rev. 2, 6/10 PE0481-0014F

! Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 16 of 20

POCI / POCI TITLE QUANTITY DOC'T HARDWARE REMARKS T-1.00 lydrostatic and Pneumatic Leak Testing 460 <u>*</u> ĸ T-1.10 Final Cleaning of Interior Surfaces of Piping, Mech Equipment and Instrumentation 0 T-5.00 Lift Test for Cranes 0 W-1.00 Welding, Heat Treat-Inspection of accessible ment and Non Destructive attributes, radiography plus Examinat !on 20251 * * documentation V-1.60 Area Inspection Of In Process Activities For Velding Q-Listed And ASHE III Items 164 * x C-1.01 Excavation in Q-Soil Area NA Remedial Soils Program

A LIST OF ALL PQCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Fev. 7, 6/10/83 PR0483-0614F-01.07

٠

KEY:

2 Document-Review documentation for completeness

! Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

x Hardware-Attributes not acessible for reinspection

APPENDIX A Page 17 of 20

A LIST OF ALL. POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

1 1204	POCI TITLE	VTITNAUQ	1,000	HARDWARE	RFMARKS
C-2.01	Gravel Packed Wells	224			Documentation and hardware is under remedial soils program
c-2.22	Field Fabrication Of Reinforcing Steel	0			
20°C-3	Inspection Of The Feedwater Iselation Valve Pit Jacking Operation	VN			Remedial Soils program
0.1-05	Installation Of Conduit & Box For Buder Finning Data Aquisitions System	. 3			Documentation and hardware is under remedial soils program
0.4-11	Installation Of Electrical Gables For Under Pinning Data Aquisition System	1			Documentation and Hardware is under remedial soils program
0.2-11	Cable Termination For Under Pinning Data Aquisition System	871			Documentation and Hardware is under remedial soils program
/81					

Rev. 2, 6/1 PR0483-0014

± Hardware-Reinspert accessible attributes × Hardware-Attributes not acessible for reinspection

APPENDIX A Page 18 of 20

roci I	PQCI TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS
EU-6.0	Inscallation Of Instruments For Under Pioning Data Aquisitions				Documentation and Hardware is under remedial soils program
	System	25			
EU-6.1	Installation Of Instrument Supports For Under Pinning Data Aquisitions				Pocumentation and Hardware is under remedial soils program
	System	29			
16-1.0	Instrument Checkout	67			Documentation and Hardware is under remedial solls program
84-1.00	Storage & Maintenance Of Material Released				Remedial soils program
	To Mergentine	NA			
RS-1.00	Storage & Haintenance Of Natorial Released				Remedial soils program
	To Spencer, White & Prentis	NA			

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0014F-0107

.

KEY:

* Document-Review documentation for completeness

1 Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 19 of 20

PQCT #	PQC1 TITLE	QUANTITY	DOC'T	HARDWARE	REMARKS	
SCH-1.0	Crack Homitoring Of			- 25	Documentation and the bar	
	The Feedwater Isolation				under remediat soils program	
	Valve Pits Sub-				ander remedial softs program	
	Contract Surveillance	36				
SD-1.0	Monitoring, Reducing and		1			
	Reporting Under Pinning				Documentation and Hardware is	
	Instrument Data Sub-				under remedial soils program	
	Contracts Surveillance	189				
UP						
C-1.004	Welding And NDE Of				Documentation and Hardware is	
	"Q" Material	8			under remedial solls program	
up						
:-1.008	Excavation And Lagging				Documentation and Hardware is	
	Of Access Pits				under remedial soils program	
	Piers and Drifts For UP	1				
IP						
-1.010	Field Fabrication Of Steel				Documentation and Dardware 1	
	Sets For Under				under remedial soils program	
	Pinning Of Aux Bldg					
	& FIVP	5				
/#3						
-01.07						

A LIST OF ALL POCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PE0483-0014F-0L07

KEY:

* Document-Review documentation for completeness

1 Hardware-Reinspect accessible attributes

APPENDIX A Page 20 of 20

A LIST OF ALL PQCI'S WITH QUANTITY AND REINSPECTION INFORMATION

The Remedial Soils Program has initiated the following additional PQCI's for which there are no Engineer-Constructor IR's, inspections have all been conducted by CPCo supervision

WP-C-1.002	IIP-C-1.011	UP-C-1.019	SD-2 0
III'-C-1.003	UP-C-1.012	UP-C-2.003	
III-C-1.005	UP-C-1.013	UP-C-2.004	
WP-C-1.006	UP-C-1.014	UP-C-2.005	
III'-C-1.007	WP-C-1.015	UP-C-2.0u7	
UP-C-1,009	UP-C-1.016	UP-C-2.008	
UP-C-1.011	UP-C-1.017	UP-C-2.009	
HP-C-1.019	UP-C-1.018	UP-C-2.010	
WP-C-1.020		UP-C-2.019	
HP-C-1.023		MP-C-2.042	
		UP-C-2,150	
		UP-C-3.001	
		RM/RS-1.00	

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0481-0614F-01.07

.

APPENDIX B Page 1 of 10

APPENDIX B

INCONTROLLED

POCIs To Be Verified by Review of Documentation Only

The following PQCIs are deemed inaccessible for attribute reinspection. Hardware acceptability will be established by documentation validation where possible and by supplemental verification efforts where documentation review alone does not establish hardware acceptability:

1. Remedial Soils Program

C-1.02 - Compacted Backfill

C-1.09 - Inspection of Crack for BWST Foundation Ring Wall

C-2.00 - Plant Area Dewatering

C-2.01 - Gravel Packed Wells

C-2.02 - Permanent Gravel Packed Wells

C-2.05 - Drilling in Q-Listed Areas for Underpinning Operations

EU-1.0 - Installation Of Conduit and Boxes For UP Data Acquisition System

EU-4.0 - Installation Of Electrical Cables for UP Data Acquisition System

EU-5.0 - Cable Termination for UP Data Acquisition System

EU-6.0 - Installation Of Instruments For UP Data Acquisition System

EU-6.1 - Installation Of Instrument Supports For UP Data Acquisition System

IC-1.0 - Instrument Checkout For UP Data Acquisition

SCM-1.0 - Crack Monitoring Of FW Iso Valve Pits Subcontractor Surveillance

Rev 2, 6/10/33 PRC-83-0013A-QL07

APPENDIX B Page 2 of 10

SD-1.0 - Monitoring, Reducing and Reporting UP List. Data Subcontractor Surveillance UP-C-1.004 - Welding And NDE of Q-Material UP-C-1.005 - Excavation & Lagging of Access Pits, Piers and Drifts For UP

UP-C-1.010 - Field Fabrication Of Steel Sets for UP Of Aux. Building and FIVP.

The above PQCIs relate to the remedial soils program which has been established as a separate project and for which inspections have been performed under the direction of MEQAD since August 1982. Soils work and related documentation have been reviewed by MEQAD for acceptability and corrective measures instituted where required.

2. Reinspection of Expansion Anchors and Rock Bolt Installation.

C-1.51 - Retest Verification of Drop In Expansion Anchors
C-1.52 - Reinspection of Seisnic Category I Pipe Support Expansion Anchors.

C-1.56 - Reinspection of Rock Bolt Installation

The above PQCIs relate to reinspections which have been completed and results reported to the NRC.

3. In-Process Activities.

Rev 2, 6/10/83 PRC453-0013A-QL07

APPENDIX B Page 3 of 10

E-3.1 - Electrical System Turnover Activities
E-1.60 - In Process Inspection of Electric Item Installation
R-1.60 - Receiving Area and Storage Facilities Inspection
W-1.60 - Area Inspection Of In Process Activities For Welding
Q-listed and ASME III Items
S-1.00 - Storage Area/Facilities Surveillance
P-1.60 - In Process Inspection of Fabrication/Installation Rework of Piping

The above PQCIs relate to in-process activities where affected work would now be completed and any reinspection would be of completed work covered by other PQCIs, e.g., FQCIs E-6.0, W-1.00 and PW-1.00. In the cases of R-1.60 and S-1.00, these are an inspection or surveillance of general facilities maintenance which can be repeated, but not on a basis which would have any meaning relative to conditions existing when the inspections were made. In short, a single inspection can attest to conditions existing today without relation to past conditions.

4. Surveillance of Subcontractor Activities.

SC-1.05 - Material Testing Services SC-1.11 - Concrete and Unit Masonry Surface Subcontract Surveillance SC-1.16 - Field Erected Storage Tanks Subcontractor Surveillance

Rev 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0012A-0107

APPENDIX E Page 4 of 10

- SC-8.00 Subcontractor Surveillance of Installation of Soil and Crack
 Monitoring Devices
- SI-1.00 Measuring and Testing Equipment Laboratory Surveillance Inspection
- SM-1.03 EVAC Subcontract Surveillance
- SM-1.04 Field Erected Component Cooling Water Tank Subcontractor Sur eillance
- SW-1.01 NDE Subcontractor Surveillance
- SM-1.17 Field Fabricated Incore Installation Tank Subcontractor Surveillance

The above PQCIs all relate to surveillance of subcontractor activities. Where work has not been completed, such surveillance activities can be repeated when safety related work resumes. Otherwise, they can be evaluated only by a review of documentation and a single walk down of affected areas for assessment of current inplace conditions, but not of past activities. In addition, SM-1.03 - EVAC Subcontractor Surveillance, relates to activities outside the scope of this quality verification program. In depth participation by CPCo continues in this work.

5. Hydrostatic and Pneumatic Leak Testing.

T-1.00 - Hydrostatic and Pneumatic Leak Testing

CFCo has already conducted an extensive evaluation of hydrostatic and pneumatic look testing and corrective actions relative to such evaluation are being conducted separately from this reinspection program.

Rev 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0013A-QL07

APPENDIX B Page 5 of 10

6. Special "One Time Only"Testing.

C-2.03 - Drawdown Recharge Test.

This is a test required to have been performed once and which demonstrated acceptable results. The remedial soils program which is not within the scope of this verification program would provide any necessary justification for a repeat of such a test.

7. Previously Documented Responses to the NRC.

C-6.00 - Mechanical Splicing of Reinforcing Bars

This PQCI relates to necessary inspections of the "Cadweld" process of mechanically splicing reinforcing steel. The constructor's processes were the subject of extensive investigation by the NRC in 1973 and 1974 which determined that corrective action had been identified and implemented including requalification of personnel, review of work instructions for Class I work, CPCo QA review of work procedures, and audits of Class I work. Affected mechanically spliced rebar is now inaccessible due to concrete placement. CPCo overinspection of any continued use of this process in remaining construction will be a continuing process.

C-7.00 - Erection of Reactor Building Liner Plate

Rev 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0013A-QLCT

APPENDIX B Page 6 of 10

This PQCI relates to the preparation and installation of steel plates which provide the inner surface for the containment building. The liner is now inaccessible, being backed up by reinforced concrete on the outside and nuclear coated on the inside. Extensive review was made by CPCo in 1974 of the accuracy of liner plate records. Controls implemented after NRC investigation were evaluated and found satisfactory. In 1977, a deformation of liner plate occurred due to freezing of an embedded construction water line. This resulted in selected removal and replacement of steel liner plates. Quality of the liner plate installations have been verified through radiography, and extensive CPCo involvement in the installation and repair. The NRC has reviewed actions taken and closed its reports on the installation of steel liner plates.

C-1.11 - Drilling and Grouting of Rebar

This PQCI provides documented instructions for the drilling and grouting of reinforcement steel and in itself is a corrective action for previously cited deficiencies that such a procedure did not exist. Its usage is documented evidence of the implementation of corrective action.

C-5.10 - Shear Connector Installation

This PQCI is used to assure that the proper installation of shear connectors has been accomplished which tie the supporting beams, steel and concrete floor decking into a composite structure. Since the shear

Rev 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0013A-QL07

APPENDIX B Page 7 of 10

connector serves as concrete reinforcement, it is not visible once the concrete is placed. NRC reviewed corrective actions relative to installation problems with Nelson stud shear connectors and closed reports relative to this problem. PQCI 5.10-IRs document accomplishment of required inspections.

C-8.50 - Inspection of Surface Preparation Application Touch Up and Repair of Coating

This PQCI addresses the preparation of concrete surfaces and the application of a coating to seal the surface to prevent contamination being absorbed into the concrete. Once the coating is applied, the surface preparation cannot be examined. The final coating can be examined for presence but not for the process steps that applied the coating.

C-1.60 - Concrete Drilling and Cutting Reinforcing Steel

This PQCI describes the quality control steps necessary in drilling concrete to minimize cutting of reinforcing steel. Completion of the PQCI-IR identifies whether proper inspections were made and results encountered and documented. Since the holes will have been drilled, and items either nounted in the holes or the holes grouted, it is not possible to physically inspect the concrete or the reinforcement. This is particularly true where expansion anchors have been used which cannot be nondestructively removed.

ker 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-C013A-CLC7

APPENDIX B Page 8 of 10

8. Post Tensioning Requirements.

C-9.00 - Installation-Post Tensioning Components
 C-9.10 - Post Tensioning System Stressing
 C-9.20 - Containment Building Tension Reinspection

These PQCIs document the re-routing of tenden sheathing, tenden installation and tensioning. CPCo identified a problem to the NRC in 1977 indicating the misplacement of two tenden sheaths and the emission of two sheaths. The misplacement of the two sheaths brought about approved re-routing of the tendens. The emitted sheaths were replaced. The NRC conducted a special investigation of the corrective measures in May 1977 and deemed them acceptable. A final 50.55(e) report was issued by CPCo in August 1977.

9. Concrete Placement Activities.

C-1.30 - Concrete Placement Inspection C-1.31 - Inspection of Concrete Activities

The POCIs relate to inspections during placement of concrete. Where concrete has been placed, inspections will be made in accordance with C-1.40 "Concrete Post Placement Inspection." Where concrete has not been placed, a preplacement inspection will be required before placement when construction is resumed.

Rev 2. 6/10/83 FEC483-0012A-QL07

APPENDIX E Page 9 of 10

C-1.80 Installation of Concrete Unit Masonry C-1.81 Installation of Concrete Unit Masonry

These PQCIs relate to the installation of concrete block walls many of which have been removed as a result of subsequent plant modifications. The remaining walls can be inspected for presence of the wall and visual quality but not for the process controls necessary to properly erect them.

C-4.10 - Batch Plant Inspection

This PQCI was prepared for necessary controls of concrete batch plant activities. The batch plant has now been removed from the site. Concrete necessary for completion of the plant is procured from an offsite supplier. Currently concrete is procured only for the Soils program and for non-Q construction. Reinspection is limited to review of documents of past operations. Adherence to this PQCI will be enforced on procured concrete for balance of plant safety related constructions when construction is resumed.

10. Field Fabrication

C-2.20 - Field Fabrication of Miscellaneous Steel.

This PQCI addresses fabrication of steel which will have been consumed and erected into items which will be inspected if accessible, under other PQCIs.

Rev 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0013A-QL07
APPENDIX B Page 10 of 10

11. NSSS Receiving Inspection Activities.

R-2.00 - Receiving Inspection for NSSS Equipment R-2.10 - Receiving Inspection for NSSS Equipment R-2.20 - Receiving Inspection for NSSS Equipment Documentation

These PQCIs address the constructor's receiving inspection of components and materials used by the NSSS supplier constructor. In general, the items will have been installed by that contractor. Any accessible attributes will have been confirmed by activities of the NSSS constructor.

12. Other.

C-1.70 - Installation of Pressured Concrete Pipe

This PQCI covered the installation of the main water line from the river to the cooling pond. This line is now submerged as the pond is full. Inspection of internal surfaces could be performed through use of divers. Integrity has been demonstrated through use of the system.

E-4.0 - Installation of Electrical Cables

One hundred percent reinspection of installed cables has been completed and reported under a separate program. Documentation has not yet been reviewed.

Rev 2, 6/10/83 FE0483-0013A-QL07

UNCONTROLLED APPENDIX C

Page 1 of 16

STATISTICAL SAMPLING PLAN INDEX OF TOPICS

- 1.0 Purpose
- 2.0 Scope
- 3.0 References
- 4.0 Definitions
- 5.0 Plan Content
 - 5.1 Detailed Scope
 - 5.2 Description of Sampling
 - 5.3 Sampling Process
 - 5.4 Sampling Tables
 - 5.5 Determination of Lot Sizes
 - 5.6 Sample Selection
 - 5.7 Substitution
 - 5.8 Increased or Reduced Sampling
 - 5.9 Treatment of Reinspection Deficiencies
 - 5.10 Deficiencies Found During Reinspection of Documentation

6.0 Decumentation and Reports

- 6.1 Documentation of Results
- 6.2 Documentation of Nonconformances
- 6.3 Reports
- 7.0 Implementation

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 FR0483-00143-0107

APPENDIX C Page 2 of 16

SAMPLING PLAN FOR CPCC QUALITY VERIFICATION PROGRAM

1. Purpose:

To provide a statistically valid method, under the direction of Consumers Power Company, of confirming the acceptable quality status of safety related procurement and construction activities completed and inspected by the Engineer-Constructor Quality Control personnel prior to December 2, 1982.

2. Scope:

This plan applies to closed Inspection Records (IR's) related to specific Project Quality Control Instructions (PQCI's) where the quantity of closed IR's is in excess of one hundred and for which there are no other ongoing or planned programs to confirm quality.

3. References:

MIL-STD-105D Change Notice 2 (March 1964), Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes.

US NRC ILE Bulletin 79-02, Reinspection of Anchor Bolts. MIL-HDEK-53-1A 1 FEB 1982 - Guide for Attribute Lot Sampling and MIL-STD-105.

4. Definitions:

Fopulation:

The entire quantity of closed (IR's) relating to a specific PCCI.

Fev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-00148-QL07

APPENDIX C Page 3 of 16

Time Centered:

Romogeneity:

The term used to describe the ordering of lots, and items within a lot, based upon the time sequence in which an IR was initiated

Homogeneity implies that a series of units of product should be alike or similar in nature. Homogeneity under this plan will be achieved by utilizing specific project Quality Control Instruction (PQCI) categories covering like activities and generally within a defined time period.

Acceptance Number (AC):

The number of nonconformances permitted to be found in a sample of a lot without rejecting the lot for a specific acceptable quality level.

Rejection Number (Re):

The number of nonconformances found in a sample of a lot that requires rejection of the lot for a specific acceptable quality level.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR6483-00145-0107

APPENDIX C Page 4 of 16

Acceptable Quality Level (AQL): The AQL is the maximum percent of

nonconformances that, for the purpose of sampling inspection, can be considered satisfactory as a process average.

Attribute:

An attribute is a characteristic or property which is appraised in terms of whether it does or does not comply with a given requirement.

Inspection by Attributes:

Inspection for which the item or attribute is classified simply as conforming or nonconforming without regard for the degree of nonconformance.

Limiting Quality (LQ):

The term applies to sampling plans that provide not less than a specified percentage of quality protection. Consumers Power Company has selected an LQ of five percent which provides 95% confidence that at least 95% of inspection elements of the lot/population will be acceptable.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PE0483-00145-0107

APPENDIX C Page 5 of 16

A quantity of items, such as completed inspection records covering the same activity, equal to or less than the total population and representing a subdivision of that population.

Nonconformance:

A deficiency in characteristic, documentation or procedure which renders the quality of an item unacceptable or indeterminate.

Pa - Probability of Acceptance:

The probability of accepting a lot with s predetermined percent defective, when a given sample plan is used.

Random Sample:

A sample taken from a population or lot in which each of the items has an equal chance of being selected, regardless of its quality. If the units in a lot have been arranged without bias as to their quality a sample drawn anywhere in the lot will meet the requirements for randomness ¹. PQCI's are logged in accordance with the date they ware opened, totally independent of the

(1 Mil-Hebk - 53 -14 Fara 12.2)

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 FR0483-0014B-0107

Lot:

APPENDIX C Page 6 of 16

resulting quality, thus sampling by logged date or other means meets this requirement.

Sampling Plan:

A sampling plan indicates for a given lot size the number of items or components from each lot (sample size or a series of sample sizes) which are to be inspected from the lot and the criteria for determining the acceptability of the lot.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0-83-0014B-0107

AFPENDIX C Page 7 of 16

5.0 Plan Content

- 5.1 Detailed Scope: This sampling plan applies to closed Engineer-Constructor IR's related to specific Project Quality Control Instruction (PQCI's) for Balance of Plant safety related materials, components, systems and structures, which are not covered by other ongoing programs to confirm quality. It is applicable to closed IR's where the quantity of closed IRs for a given PQCI is in excess of 100 and where it has been demonstrated by one hundred percent inspection of a significant portion of each population that the accepted quality level of that population has been established. The specific PQCIs and quantities of closed IRs that make up this total population are identified in Appendix A. That appendix also indicates whether both hardware and documentation are planned to be verified or whether documentation alone is planned to be reviewed because of inaccessibility of hardware features.
- 5.2 <u>Description of Sampling</u>: Sampling inspection is that type of activity in which units of product are selected at random and examined for one or more quality attributes. Sampling inspection is an acceptable way of determining the conformance or nonconformance of items to specified quality requirements. The amount of inspection can be increased where the product quality is deteriorating or reduced where the level of quality is high².

(2 ME1-Hdbk - 53-1A)

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-0014E-QL07

APPENDIX C Page 8 of 16

Statistical sampling methods force one hundred percent verification of quality whenever the required quality level has not been attained. The statistical methods proposed herein are designed to provide 95 percent confidence that the inspectable elements of the entire population are acceptable based upon the acceptability of items or attributes previously 100 percent inspected to provide a satisfactory quality baseline. This is consistent with past NRC recommendations related to reinspections of safety related items³ and will produce results at least equivalent to those expected from 100% inspection.

The statistical quality control methods proposed are in accordance with MIL-STD-105D Tables I, IIA and VIIA. MIL-STD-105D is probably the most widely used sampling standard in the United States. This Program is a rigorous application of statistical quality control methods to assess the quality of nuclear power plant construction.

(3 NCR ISE Bulletin 79-02, Appendix A)

Rev. 2. 6/10/83 FRC453-00148-0107

2.

APPENDIX C Page 9 of 16

5.3 Sampling Process: The application of statistically valid sampling plans requires lot sizes to be large enough to permit taking of a sample quantity sufficient to limit the risk of accepting nonconforming items. When quantities are not large enough, one hundred percent reinspection will be performed. Because of the Limiting Quality planned to be used, populations of PQCI items are required to be greater than 50 to be eligible for sampling further; however, CP Co has committed to performing 100 percent inspection of PQCIs having 100 or less IRs. In addition, populations to be sampled must be first qualified by having demonstrated acceptable quality levels through one hundred percent inspection of a quantity of items sufficient to provide adequate confidence the existing quality level is acceptable. When 100% inspections have established this confidence, CPCo will consider that the one hundred percent inspection of a significant portion of each PQCI has established a valid basis for statistical sampling of any remaining quantities.

The statistical sampling plan will be conducted as follows: Two lots for each PQCI will be sampled at normal sampling levels in accordance with MIL-STD-105D, Tables I, IIA and VIIA to a limiting quality of 5 percent at a 95 percent confidence level. If these two successive lots validate that the required level of quality has been maintained, remaining lots will be sampled to the same criteria, but at reduced sampling levels per MIL-STD-105D, Table IIA.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PRC483-0014B-0107

APPENDIX C Page 10 of 16

The Executive Manager may recommend to the NRC discontinuance of further sampling where quality levels have demonstrated that past Engineer-Constructor inspections have provided acceptable control of quality.

- 5.3.1 <u>Switching:</u> The sampling plan will include switching procedures to provide Consumers Power Company the protection provided by the tightened plan, when evidence that the desired quality level is below prescribed levels and the advantage of the reduced plan, when evidence that the desired quality level has been achieved. Due to the known quantities of specific PQCI's available for sampling (noncontinuous production run) the following switching rules will be implemented:
- Establish acceptable base quality level through 100% reinspection.

o Single normal plan for two lots.

- o From single normal, switch to single reduced, after acceptance of two consecutive lots. Switch back to single normal after the first rejected lot.
- o From single normal, switch to single tightened, after the first rejected lot for two consecutive lots, then switch back to single normal if both lots are acceptable. If either or both of the single tightened lots are rejected switch to 100* inspection of lots, until two consecutive lots are accepted.

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-00143-0107

APPENDIX C Page 11 of 16

5.4 <u>Sampling Tables</u>: The following tables indicate sampling information for Single Normal, Single Reduced and Single Tightened sampling plans:

SINGLE NORMAL

Pepulation Lot Size N	Sample Size	Accept Number Ac	Reject Number Re	
2-50	ALL	0	1	
51-500	50	0		
501-1200	80	õ	;	
1201-3200	125	2		
3201-10.000	200	3	2	
10,001-00	315	ĩ	8	

SINGLE REDUCED

2-50	ALL CO	20	0	
51-500	20		č	1
501-1200	32		0	
1201-3200	50		1	
3201-10,000	80		1	
10,001-00	12	5	3	4

SINGLE TIGHTENED

0-80	A11	0	1
80-500	80	C	1
500-1200	125	Ċ	
1201-3200	200	3	200.2
3201-10,000	315	5	6
10,001-00	500	10	11

APPENDIX C Page 12 of 16

The specific PQCIs and total quantities of closed Inspection Records to which these lot and sample sizes apply are included in Appendix A to the Quality Verification Program.

5.5 Determination of Lot Sizes: A reinspection lot is a collection of units of product (closed inspection records of like activities) from which a sample is drawn and inspected to determine conformance with the acceptance criteria and may differ from a collection of units designated as a lot for other purposes such as production or procurement⁴. The size of the lot is one of the factors that determines the sample size to be used in sampling inspection. For this program the formation of each lot is planned to be at least equal to the normal sample size for the entire population; thus for a population of 1000, the minimum lot size would be 80; the optimal lot size would be 281 or greater.

Normally the total quantity of the population will not be a direct multiple of the lot size. After dividing the population quantity

(4 Mil-Hdbk - 53 Para 6.4.1)

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-00145-QL07

APPENDIX C Page 13 of 16

by the lot quantity, any residual quantity may be combined with the last lot, or be treated separately for sampling conv. dence so long as the sample size is in accordance with MIL-STD-105D. Lots will be time centered. The purpose of this is to further enhance homogeneity for each lot and to identify and isolate conditions which may have occurred in specific time periods during construction of the Midland Plant. This method of stratifying samples and lots, yields more information for corrective action than sampling the entire population. Quantities used for determining lot sizes will exclude inspection records where reinspections have occurred, since this will preclude counting the same item twice. A limited number of PQCI's cover like activities. These will be grouped, where appropriate, to provide a single population. An example of such grouping would be PQCI's E-6.1 and RX-1.00, "Modification of Electrical Equipment."

5.6 <u>Sample Selection</u>: Samples will be selected by dividing the lot size by the sample size indicated by MIL-STD-105D Tables I and IIA for normal sampling. For example, for a lot of 500, the sample size is 50. In this case any of the first 10 IRs and every tenth IR for a specific PQCI would be selected for reverification. This assures randomness, since the manner of filing is totally independent of the quality of the item and of the person selecting the sample, and all IRs have an equal chance of selection. It also provides a cross section as related to time, since the IRs are

Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PRC483-00145-QL07

APPENDIX C Page 14 of 16

logged by the dath they were opened. Where there are multiple lots of the same size, the same method may be used, so that each sequential lot is time centered with the preceding lot and each item sampled is time sequenced within the lot.

- 5.7 <u>Substitution:</u> Where accessibility is found to inhibit inspection of attributes of a specific item intended for sample reinspection, the Executive Manager-MPQAD has sole authority to direct the selection of a substitute random item for reinspection from the same lot, or in the event that no item(s) is accessible for reinspection, a documentation review of the inaccessible item(s). Justification for this substitution will be documented.
- 5.8 <u>Areased or Reduced Sampling:</u> The Executive Manager-MPQAD has authority to direct 100% reinspection at any point where the ability to conduct a valid sample reinspection is determined to be impractical. Switching to reduced or tightened sampling will require prior approval by the Executive Manager-MPQAD in accordance with criteria described in this plan.
- 5.9 <u>Treatment of Reinspection Deficiencies in Verification Sampling</u> <u>Program</u>: Deficiencies identified by reinspections will be recorded on a nonconformance report and promptly reported to MPQAD-QA and others for processing per procedure. The party responsible for recommending the initial disposition of the nonconformance will

Rev. 2, 6/10/53 PR04\$3-0014B-QL07

APPENDIX C Page 15 of 16

review the intended disposition with MPCAD-CA prior to further processing of the nonconformance. The purpose of this MPQAD-QA review is to insure proper treatment of the monconformance in the sampling analysis. Deficiencies determined to be acceptable to "use as is" will be evaluated by Project Engineering to determine whether the design criteria requirement which the attribute failed to meet will be modified to clarify the inspection requirement. If Project Engineering modifies the requirement on a generic basis, the deficiency will be considered "acceptable" for purposes of sample analysis. The final decision as to whether the deficiency constitutes a sample defect will be made by the Executive Manager-MPQAD. This decision and its justification will be documented.

5.10

Deficiencies Found During Reinspection of Documentation for Inaccessible Attributes: The verification process for inaccessible attributes is discussed in Section 6.5 of the Quality Verification Plan. As noted in that section, any documentation deficiencies will be noted on the verification IR, entered on a nonconformance report and cross referenced to the original IR. The treatment of sampled lots containing nonconformances will be determined on a case by case basis and further verification requirements will be determined taking into account the disposition of the nonconforming condition.

APPENDIX C Page 16 of 16

6.0 Documentation and Reports

Documentation of Results: Results of sampling reinspection will be 6.1 documented on IR's and statused to specifically identify the PQCI, the lot number, the quantity in the lot, the quantity inspected, the quantity found acceptable, the NCR's identifying any deficiencies and the results of the nonconformance disposition, and acceptability of the lot.

Documentation of Nonconformances: Nonconforming conditions will be reported and dispositioned in accordance with approved procedures. Disposition of the nonconformances will include necessary actions to be taken on the balance of the lot; e.g., screen balance of the lot for the rejected attributes, or 100% inspect the balance of the lot.

6.3

6.2

Reports: The results of the sampling plan for each lot related to each PQCI will be included in reports made by the CPCo BOF Quality Control Superintendent and the Quality Assurance General Superintendent QA as described in section 7.3 of the Quality Verification Program.

7.0 Implementation: This plan will be implemented as directed by the Executive Manager NPQAD. The organizational responsibilities are the same as shown in section 8 of the Quality Verification Program. In addition, MPQAD BOF Quality Control shall have the responsibility of selecting the IR's to be sampled from lot sizes predetermined by MPQAD-QA. Rev. 2, 6/10/83 PR0483-00145-QL07



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

AUG 1 9 1983

Docket No. 50-329 Docket No. 50-330

Consumers Power Company ATTN: Mr. James W. Cook Vice President Midland Project 1945 West Parnall Road Jackson, MI 49201

Gentlemen:

By letter dated June 10, 1983, Consumers Power Company (CPCo) submitted its proposed Construction Completion Program (CCP) for the Midland Nuclear facility. The program as submitted was a compilation of all prior CPCo submittals with revisions incorporated.

The NRC has completed a review of the June 10, 1983, CCP submittal. As a result of this review, the following comments were developed. Prior to final approval of the CCP, the responses to these comments must be incorporated into the CCP.

- A. Comments on Construction Completion Program
 - 1. Executive Summary

The scope of the CCP is not clear. The statement in the first paragraph of the Executive Summary appears to be in conflict with the penultimate paragraph on page 4 and the Description Section (9.3) on page 34. In addition, the relationship of the Quality Verification Program to the CCP is not clear. Clarify the scope of the CCP and define the relationship of the Quality Verification Program to the CCP.

2. Page 2

830.829051

- a. Page 2 of the CCP states that, "safety-related systems and areas of the plant will be systematically reviewed." Define or identify what is meant by the term "safety-related systems and areas."
- As stated in the CCP, phase 1 implementation will be on an area-by-area basis, but will be accomplished mainly by teams organized with systems responsibility. Our concern deals with the interface between the area-by-area basis and the systems basis. Provide assurance that all safety related systems and components of the plant are covered during phase 1.

3. Page 3

The description of the major components of the CCP does not include NRC Hold Points. Describe the NRC Hold Points to be covered prior to initiating phase 1 and phase 2 activities.

-2-

4. Page 4

- a. As stated in the CCP, the major areas of continuing safetyrelated work outside the CCP includes post-turnover punch list work. Provide assurance that these post-turnover list activities are minor and not major.
- b. This section states that CPCo intends to schedule periodic reviews of Program status and progress with the NRC. Provide assurance that such meetings will be noticed such that members of the public and interested parties will be provided the opportunity to attend as observers.

5. Page 7

As stated in the CCP, during phase 2 implementation the assigned team will plan and carry out the remaining work needed for completion including QC inspections. Since we understand that QC inspections will not be performed by the CCP teams, clarify the teams involvement in QC inspection activities during phase 2.

6. Page 8

In describing the limitation to work on Q-Systems, the CCP states that this limitation permits important work to proceed outside the CCP. Describe the measures to be taken to prevent nonconforming items, in areas covered by the CCP, from becoming inaccessible due to ongoing work.

7. Figure 1-1

The CCP schematic does not identify the NRC Hold Points. Revise this schematic to include the phase 1 and phase 2 Hold Points.

8. Page 11 - Section 3.1

As stated in the CCP, MPQAD was expanded to assume direct control of QC except ASME. Clarify the boundaries of MPQAD and CCP controls over "N" stamp activities and non-"N" stamp activities.

9. Page 12 - Section 3.3.5

As stated in the CCP, MPQAD will continue to use Bechtel's Quality Control Notices Manual (QCNM) and Quality Assurance Manual (BQAM). Provide clarification as to the decision not to use the Consumers QA manual. In addition, describe the measures taken to provide assurance that the Bechtel and Consumers manuals are in agreement.

10. Page 13 - Paragraph 2 and Paragraph 3.B

Regarding the revision to PQCI's, identify in the CCP:

- a. That the documentated basis for the determination of the need (or lack thereof) for pilot runs will be available for review by the NRC.
- b. That the documented basis for the determination of the need (or lack thereof) for retraining or recertification of affected QC inspectors will be available for review by the NRC.
- 11. Page 17

Describe the criteris to be used in determining the need and extent for reinspection of the past work of an inspector failing any part of the recertification process. Also identify who will make the determination and the manner in which the determination will be documented.

12. Page 19

- a. As stated in the CCP, the scope of team work activities includes the requirements to ensure early identification and resolution of problem areas. In view of the fact that phase 1 allows only the identification (and not the resolution) of problems, clarify this statement.
- b. In the discussion of the team MPQAD representative, it is stated, "He assures validation of NCR's." Explain what this statement means.

13. Page 20

a. As stated in the CCP, tool box training sessions will be conducted at least monthly. Address the adequacy of the tool box training sessions and the manner in which the sessions will be documented. Consumers Power Company

AUC 1 9 1983"

b. As stated in the CCP, nonconformances are documented in nonconformance reports. Identify how incomplete items (system status) will be documented.

- 4-

14. Page 21 - Section 4.3.2.b

Although not quantified, it would appear that a significant portion of the CCP will be involved with verification of acceptability of inaccessible attributes. This is predominantly a paperwork review, but "if required" will be supplemented by NDE techniques and destructive examination. In view of past documentation problems and the extent of inaccessible items, explain why the CCP should not include some NDE of inaccessible items on a sampling basis. Also define "if required."

15. Page 24 - Section 4.5.4

Identify the critical systems referred to in this section.

16. Page 28

Identify the composition of project management, site management and management teams. Also clarify the scope of the phase 2 management reviews.

17. Page 32

Identify whether the CIO review of site construction activities will include systems excluded from the CCP.

18. Page 33

Clarify the extent of measures taken to maintain and protect equipment in system layup.

19. Page 34 - Section 9.2

Clarify the second sentence.

- 20. Page 35
 - a. Clarify the extent to which the third party CCP overview will address the three systems in the TERA scope and revise, if necessary, the statement on page 32 of the CCP which states that the CIO will not include an overview of the other third party evaluations being conducted.

Consumers Power Company

- b. Provide your rationale for not including in the CCP systems and equipment that are important to safety but that are not safety-related.
- c. Provide a definition of your understanding of the term "systems important to safety."
- 21. Page 36 Section 10.3

Clarify this section to provide assurance that changes to the CCP will not be implemented without prior NRC review and approval.

B. Comments on Quality Verification Program (QVP)

- 1. Page 1 Section 2
 - a. Clarify the scope of the QVP in regards to the implementation of IPIN's in Soils, HVAC, and B&W work activities.
 - Clarify the reinspection requirements for partially completed IR's.
- 2. Page 9
 - a. Clarify the statement "System/area reinspection will be supplemented by random plant-wide inspections as appropriate to establish a valid quality baseline on an expeditious basis."
 - b. As stated in the CCP, any nonconforming condition observed during the implementation of this program other than those previously identified on nonconformance reports will be identified by a nonconformance report. Clarify this statement to provide assurance that the nonconforming condition need not be documented only if there is an open NCR on the same item.
- 3. Page 10 Section 5.3.1

As stated in the CCP, any deficiencies, other than those previously identified on nonconformance reports as a result of prior inspections, will be identified on a nonconformance report. Clarify this statement to provide assurance that the deficiency need not be documented only if there is an open NCR on the same item.

4. Page 13 - Section 6.4

Identify whether material traceability aspects are to be covered by this program.

Consumers Power Company

- 6 -

AUG 1 9 1983

C. Comments on Appendix B

1. Page 1

Provide clarification as to the reason why PQCI's associated with the remedial soils program are listed since the CCP excepts that activity.

Should you have any questions regarding this letter please contact Mr. R. F. Warnick of my staff.

Sincerely,

James G. Keppler

Regional Administrator

cc: DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS) Resident Inspector, RIII The Honorable Charles Bechhoefer, ASLB The Honorable Jerry Harbour, ASLB The Honorable Frederick P. Cowan, ASLB The Honorable Ralph S. Decker, ASLB William Paton, ELD Michael Miller Ronald Callen, Michigan Public Service Commission Myron M. Cherry Barbara Stamiris Mary Sinclair Wendell Marshall Colonel Steve J. Gadler (P.E.) Howard Levin (TERA) Billie P. Garde, Government Accountability Project Lynne Bernabei, Government Accountability Project