

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON D.C. 20585

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 180 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-59 POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT DOCKET NO. 50-333

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 15, 1991, as supplemented March 11, 1992, the Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Technical Specifications (TS). The requested changes would revise the schedule for visual inspection of snubbers in T.S. 4.6.1 in response to the guidance provided in the NRC's Generic Letter (GL) 90-09, "Alternative Requirements for Snubber Visual Inspection Intervals and Corrective Action." The March 11, 1992, letter provided clarifying information that did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

2.0 EVALUATION

fechnical Specifications (TS) impose surveillance requirements for visual inspection and functional testing of all safety-related snubbers. A visual inspection is the observation of the condition of installed snubbers to identify those that are damaged, degraded, or inoperable as caused by physical means, leakage, corrosion, or environmental exposure. To verify that a snubber can operate within specific performance limits, the licensee performs functional testing that typically involves removing the snubber and testing it on a specially-designed test stand. Functional testing provides a 95 percent confidence level that 90 percent to 100 percent of the snubbers operate within the specified acceptance limits. The performance of visual examinations is a separate process that complements the functional testing program and provides additional confidence in snubber operability.

The TS specifies a schedule for snubber visual inspections that is based on the number of inoperable snubbers found during the previous visual inspection. The schedules for visual inspections and for the functional testing assume that refueling intervals will not exceed 18 months. Because the current schedule for snubber visual inspections is based only on the number of inoperable snubbers found during the previous visual inspection, irrespective of the size of the snubber population, licensees having a large number of snubbers find that the visual inspection schedule is excessively restrictive.

Some licensees have spent a significant amount of resources and have subjected plant personnel to unnecessary radiological exposure to comply with the visual examination requirements.

To alleviate this situation, in Generic Letter (GL) 90-09 the staff developed an alternate schedule for visual inspections that maintains the same confidence level as the existing schedule and generally will allow the licensee to perform visual inspections and corrective actions during plant outages. Because this line-item TS improvement will reduce future occupational radiation exposure and is highly cost effective, the alternative inspection schedule is consistent with the Commission's Policy Statement on TS improvements.

The alternative inspection schedule is based on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous inspection in proportion to the sizes of the snubber populations or categories. A snubber is considered unacceptable if it fails the acceptance criteria of the visual inspection. The alternative inspection interval is based on a fuel cycle of up to 24 months and may be as long as 2 fuel cycles, or 48 months for plants with other fuel cycles, depending on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous visual inspection. The inspection interval may vary by ±25 percent to coincide with the actual outage.

In its letter dated November 15, 1991, as supplemented March 11, 1992, the licensee proposed changes to TS 4.6.1 for the snubber visual examination schedule. Since the alternative inspection schedule proposed by the licensee is consistent with the guidance provided in GL 90-09, the staff finds the proposed changes acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New York State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (56 FR 66928). Accordingly, the amendment

meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in $10\ \text{CFR51.22(c)(9)}$. Pursuant to $10\ \text{CFR}\ 51.22(b)$ no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: J. Rajan

Date: April 13, 1992

Docket No. 50-333

DISTRIBUTION: See attached sheet

Mr. Raiph E. Beedle Executive Vice President - Nuclear Generation Power Authority of the State of New York 123 Main Street White Plains, New York 10601

Dear Mr. Beedle:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT FOR JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT (TAC NO. M82183)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 180 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-59 for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated November 15, 1991 as supplemented by letter dated March 11, 1992.

The amendment revises the Technical Specification (TS) surveillance requirements regarding visual inspection of snubbers. These revisions are consistent with the guidance provided in Generic Letter 90-09, "Alternative Requirements for Snubber Visual Inspection Intervals and Corrective Actions."

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,
Original Signed By
Brian C. McCabe, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No.180 to DPR-59

2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

PDI-1:LA C/YogaB2 PDI-1: PM/m BMcCabe 2 asb Wyrberg 2

OGC BAN PDI-1:D 3/27/92 RACapras