Dean L Quarnme Site Manager Midland Project

```
Mr J J Harrison
Chief, Midland Section
Region III
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
79 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137
MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER GWO }702
NCR'S FROM THE FCR/FCN REVIEW FOR SWO'S
File: 0505.2 UFI: 03*05*06 Serial: CSC-7367
    0460.3 73*10*03
    0250 99*04
```

Per your request, attached is a summary of the $N C R^{\prime}$ s identified as a result
of the recent $F C R / F C N$ review.

cc: | JEKarr, CIO |
| :--- |
| RAWells, MPQAD |
| BHPeck, MEC |
| NIReichel, MEC |
| RJCook, NRC Site |

| FROM | DATaggart, Midland |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE | February 21, 1984 |
| SUBJECT | MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER PROJECT - IDENTIFIED |
|  | NOR's FROM THE FCR/FCN REVIEW FOR SWO's |

## consumers power company

INTERNAL Correspondence
DAT-21-84

CC KJGill, Midland PDMilano, Midland BMPalmer, Midland

Attached for your information please find a summary of the NCR's which were. identified as a result of the recent $F C R / F C N$ review. Should you have any specific questions regarding these items please feel free to contact Pat Milano.


```
DISTRIBUTION:
RAWells, Midland JLWood, Midland
DLQuamme, Midland GEParker, M1oland
HPLeonard, Midla.ad RCSember, Midland
JKMeisenheimer, Midland NReichal, Midland
WRBird, P-14-418A
```

JLWood, Midland GEParker, Midland RCSember, Midland NReichal, Midland Derry, Midland

|  | NCR\# Discipline | Nonconformance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C-00913 Mechanical | Penetrations drilled and rebar cut in isolation valve pit wall and Turbine Building wall w/o prior Project approval, as required by Spec C-231. |
|  | C-00024 I \& C | Contrary to note on Drawing $J-3150(Q)$ Instrument Support, 2LT-3976BB2, 10cated $4^{\prime \prime}$ east of required location. Tolerance was only $\pm 2^{\prime \prime}$. |
|  | C-00933 Electrical | Wire number change to blue conductor of cable 0BB6601B proposed by FCN E-5298. FCN was not clearly disapproved by Project Engineering and thus, the disapproved change was incorporated into Drawing E-900. E-900 calls for wire tag now to be "6". Drawing E-37 identifies wire number as "5G1". |
|  | C-00934 Electrical (Replaced by NCR -01012 | FCN E-5744 retised sizes of terminal boxes 2 J 1109 and 2 J 1110 from $30^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime} \times 8$ " to $30^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. However, $F C N$ not attached to Drawing E-46 at time of installation and thus, wrong box size could be installed. NCR C-01012 written on the same problem which superceded this NCR. |
|  | C-00935 Electrical | Drawing E-791 provides dimensional plans for raceway supports. E-748 provides dimension sections for raceway support3. FCF E-6 39 was not incorporated into Drawings E-748(Q), Sheets $1 \& 2$. Dimensions on E-741(Q) do not agree with $\mathrm{E}-748$ (Q) sht 1. Proposed connection details on FCR-E-639 for E748, Sh. 2, were disapproved Status of supports indeterminate. |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{H}-\text { กn128-77 } \\ & \text { Cfvil } \\ \text { (HVAC) }\end{array}$ | FCR C-3538 written against detail $7^{\text {n }}$ 902 at one specific location. The $F C n$ was dispositioned to be a revision for all locations. However, the FCR was not attached to Drawing C-902. |


|  | NCR | Discipline | Nonconformance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | H-00134-2Z | Civil <br> (HVAC) | Same as H-00133-2Z but for different hanger. |
| 8. | H-00135-2Z | Civil <br> (HVAC) | Same as H-00133-2Z but for different hanger. |
| 9. | H-00175-2Z | Civil <br> (HVAC) | FCN C924 never incorporated into Drawing C-953(Q) as so stated in disposition. |
| 10. | H-00176-22 | Civil <br> (HVAC) | FCN C-878 never incorporated into Drswing C-935(Q) as so stated in disposition. |
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NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIQN
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$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Docket Nos.: } & 50-329 \mathrm{OM}, \mathrm{OL} \\
\text { and } & 50-330 \mathrm{OM}, \mathrm{OL}
\end{array}
$$

MEMORANDUM FOR: Atomic Safety and Licensing Board for the Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2
(C. Bechhoefer, J. Harbour, F. Cowan)

FROM: $\quad . . . \quad$ Thomas M. Noyak, Assistant Director for Licensing Division of Licensing

SUBUECT: MIDLAND ISSUES, BOARD NOTIFICATION 84-019
(1) Lifting of Soils Remedial Stop Nork Order
(2) Potential $50.55(e)$ Involving Differential Settlement of Diesel Pedestals and Diesel Building
(3) Crack Monitoring

This Notification is provided in accordance with NRC procedures regarding Board Notifications and is deemed to provide new information material and relevant to issues in the Midland $O M-O L$ proceeding. This information concerns the licensee's January 19, 1984, lifting of the soils remedial stop work order; the 'icensee's December 14, 1983, reporting of a potential $50.55(\mathrm{e})$ condition involving differential settlement between the diesel pedestals and the diesel building itself; and a follow-up of the crack monitoring issue. Details of these items are provided in Enclosure 1. The staff will provide follow-tp to the Board on these issues when available.


## Enclosure: <br> As stated
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UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
Enclosure 1
199 ROOSEVELT GOAO
GLEN ELLYN. ILLINOIS s0117

January 25, 1984

| MEMORANDUM FOR: | D. G. Eisenhut, Director, Division of Licensing, |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | R. F. Warnick, Director, Office of Special Cases |
| SUBJECT: | RECOMENDATION FOR NOTIFICATION OF LICENSING BOARD |

In accordance with present NRC procedures regarding Board Notifications, che following information is being provided as constituting new information relevant and material to the Midland OM/OL proceedings. This information deals Wii the licensee's January 19, 1984, lifting of the soils remedial stop work order; the 11cacsee's December 14, 1983 reporting of a potential 50.55(e) condition involving differential settlement between, the diesel pedestals and the diesel building itself; and a followup of the crack monitoring issue.
A. The pertinent facts that relate to the lifting of the soils stop work are as follows:

1. On October 22, 1983, the licensee issued stop work number FSW-38 on all remedial soils work because of problems with referencing of drawings and specifications in the Bechtel FCR/FCN process. This created an indeterminate condition with respect to work that has been or could be performed.
2. This stop work was one of ane stop work orders which halted all safecy-related activities at the Midland site. They were issued as the result of a quality assurance audit of the design document control system (Board Notification dated October 25, 1983).
3. The licensee reviewed potential impact of hardware and plant equipment to ensure it was built to the proper drawings. No significant construction problems ware found in the review and the drawing change and review process has been changed to improve the processing of the engineering documents.
4. ?rofect corrective actions vera zaviaved by Stone \& Webster, the independent assessment organization, and were found to be acceptable.
5. Stop work was lifted in the soils aria on January 19, 1984.
6. Mergattine and Spencer, White $\&$ ?rants *ill begin rehire of ce:scruceion rockers as work resuras.


$$
\text { : }: 1: 6 \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{tan}
$$

B. The pertinent facts that relate to the $5: .55$ (e) are as follows:

1. On October 14, 1983, RIII receivec $2=$ allegation by the way of a GAF affidavit that Sechtel had not take: into account the effect that the anticipated differential settlecent between the diesel pedestals and the diesel building itself would have on associated connecting equipment.
2. On November 3,1983 , RIII requested $\ i R R$ assistance in pursuing this allegation.
3. On December 2, 1983, CPCo was notified of the allegation for the purposes of obtaining design information for NRR's review of the allegation.
4. On December 14, 1983, CPCo notified RIII of a potential 50.55 (e) on this matter.
5. On January 13, 1984, the licensee issued the official 50.55 (e) report to the NRC.
6. The 50.55 (e) report states that Bechtel discovered this deficiency during a system review of the diesel generator on November 21, 1983.
7. A meeting is tentatively scheduled for February, 1984, to pursue this issue.
8. Furthermore, the 11 censee's 50.54 ( $f$ ) response to Question 18, Revision 5, dated February 2, 1980, states, "Piping will be designed to accomodate the expected future Cifferential settlement ${ }^{*}$, between the diesel pedestals and the building structure. In the 50.55 (e) report the licensee states, "requirements for differential settlement between the Diesel Generator Building Structure and Diesel Generator Pedestals were not accounted for in the design of the piping equipment conduits, and pipe supports."
9. The Region III staff plans to follow up on this matter as a routine inspection item.
C. The pertinent facts that relate to the crack monitoring issue are as follows:
10. The NRC staff during the Stone \& Webster public meeting on November 10, 1983, imposed a hold point on resuming soils remedial underpinning until the crack monitoring issue was resolved. This resulted from the NRC's review of Stone \& Webster's weekly reports which indicated some problems in the crack monitoring area.
11. On November 30, 1983, the licensee provided RIII with an update of the crack monitoring issue. In summary, the licensee indicated that QA/QC inspections and overviews were incomplete for crack mapping. This resulted in the issuance of 59 NCR 's and 11 QAR's that included a magnitude of problems for example:
a. Inadequate specification, procedures and Project Quality Control Instructions (PQCI's)
b. Some cracks vere not being monitored
c. Some cracks were not idencified
d. $Q A / Q C$ inspection functions not completed
e. Crack mapping issues were not being resolved in a timely zanner -.
12. During an dSLB hearing session on December 3, 1933, Mr. D. Hood, NRR Project Manager, verbally notified the ASLB of this condition.
13. On Deceaber 6, 1983, RIII documented this as a formal hold potat in a letter to CPCo.
14. On December 23, 1983, corrective actions taken on crack mappinz vere reviewed by Stone \& Webster and were found to be acceptable.
15. On December 29, 1983, a letter to CPCo from RIII documented the completion of the review of the corrective actions taken, fourd thea to be acceptable, and released the NRC hold olnc.
16. The NRC Hold Point was released prior the licensee releasing 1:3 stop work of October 22, 1983, and therefore, the NRC hold poinc had no impact on the licensee's schedule.

If you have any questions or desire further information regarding this zatcer, plase call me.

> NFWamniee
> R. F. Warnick, Director
> Office of Special Cases

