## APPENDIX A

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. 50-498; 50-499 License Nos. NPF-76; NPF-80

During an NRC inspection conducted on February 24-28, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

Title 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, states, in part, that measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality are promptly identified and corrected.

Contrary to the above, during motor-operated valve testing in 1990 and 1991 a number of motor-operated valves were left in an over-thrust condition after testing without performing appropriate site-specific evaluations to determine the required prompt corrective action.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (498; 499/9206-02)(Supplement I)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR Part 2.201, Houston Lighting & Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). this reply should be clearly worked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas, this 10th of April 1992