

Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-254

Docket No. 50-265

As a result of the inspection conducted during the period November 17, 1983, through January 24, 1984, the following violations were identified.

- A. Technical Specification 3.7.C.1 requires that secondary containment integrity shall be maintained during all modes of plant operation except when all of the following conditions are met: (a) The reactors are subcritical and Technical Specification 3.3.A is met; (b) The reactor water temperature is below 212°F and the reactor coolant systems are vented; (c) No activity is being performed which can reduce the shutdown margin below that specified in Technical Specification 3.3.A; and (d) The fuel cask or irradiated fuel is not being moved in the reactor building.

Section 1.0.X of the Technical Specifications defines secondary containment integrity and specifies as one of its conditions that at least one door in each access opening is closed.

Contrary of the above, from 7:45 a.m. on November 10, 1983, until 1:15 p.m. on November 15, 1983, secondary containment integrity was not maintained in Unit 1 when two interlock doors in an access opening to the reactor building were improperly positioned open. During this period Unit 1 was operating at full power.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

- B. Technical Specification 6.2.A.1 requires that detailed written procedures shall be prepared and approved covering normal startup, operation, and shutdown of the reactor, and other systems and components involving nuclear safety of the facility.

Contrary to the above, the licensee did not have an operating procedure that addressed the actions to be taken to change the configurations of the main steam isolation valve room to or from its secondary containment status.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement I).

8406010189 840517
PDR ADOCK 05000254
G PDR

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

MAY 17 1984

Dated _____

RFWarrick for

C. E. Norelius, Director
Division of Project and
Resident Programs