

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II
101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

AUG 22 1979

SSINS 5400

MEMORANDUM FOR: David R. McGuire, Regional Investigator

THRU: *CM* Charles E. Murphy, Chief, Reactor Construction
and Engineering Support Branch

Jack C. Bryant Jack C. Bryant, Chief, Projects Section No. 2
Reactor Construction and Engineering
Support Branch

FROM: Robert D. Bradley, Principal Inspector
Projects Section No. 2
Reactor Construction and Engineering
Support Branch

SUBJECT: ALLEGATION RECEIVED AT SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER
PLANT - CASE FILE II - D71

This memorandum documents a discussion held with a Carolina Power and Light QA inspector at the site on August 15, 1979, during an inspection on the second shift. The inspector requested that his name not be disclosed.

The allegor is

~~_____~~ He expressed concern over management's inattention to problems he and his associates had identified, namely:

1. Excessive discharge chute deflection during concrete placement,
2. Inadequate vibration around joints and penetrations during placement.

The allegor stated these problems have been documented on nonconformance reports (NCR's) many times and the preventive action taken has been ineffective. Also, the system should have identified these two repetitive items to management under the nonconformance trend analysis review performed by supervision. Supervision has in turn let it be known that if the inspectors insist on documenting these occurrences they use the QC Field Reports instead of the NCR form. He further indicated that morale

CONTACT: R. D. Bradley
242-4190

8406010088 840403
PDR FOIA
VADEN83-413 PDR

69

AUG 22 1979

David R. McGuire


-2-

is becoming a problem due to the above noted indifference by supervision. He and his associates are concerned that the degree of indifference may increase in the coming months if something is not done at this point in time.

I inquired about the integrity of previously placed concrete and the allegor stated repairs had been satisfactorily performed where required after form removal. His concern was focused on supervision and not structural inadequacy. With that in mind, I discussed having a civil inspector from Region II visit the site, possibly next week. This was considered satisfactory by the allegor.

Pertinent information on the above was reported to J. C. Bryant at his residence on the evening of August 15, 1979.

Procedures relevant to the noted allegation were obtained for Region II use at the site on August 16, 1979.



Robert D. Bradley, Principal Inspector
Projects Section No. 2
Reactor Construction and Engineering
Support Branch