In Reply Refer To: JYV2F035

August 12, 1981

Dear

This refers to your telephone conversation with Mr. C. E. Alderson of this office on July 29, 1981, in which your expressed concerns related to construction practices at Caroline Power and Light Company's Harris nuclear power plant site.

An enclosure to this letter documents your concerns as I understand them based on my conversation with Mr. C. E. Alderson. We have initiated an impurry regarding this matter; therefore, if the enclosure does not completely and accurately reflect all of your concerns, please contact me as soon as possible at (404) 221-5507 so that we can assure that they are adequately addressed during our inquiry. If you should call and I happen not to be available, please ask to speak to another investigator or leave a message so that I can call you back.

Regarding your request for confidentiality, let me assure you that we will make every attempt to handle this matter in such a way as to maintain your anonymity. In this respect, I would like to point out that licensee sometimes correctly guess the identity of the individual providing information to us. In such cases our policy is to neither confirm nor deny the accuracy of their guess.

In closing, we appreciate your bringing your concerns to our attention. If you have additional questions, or if I can be of further assistance to this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely.

8406010017 840403 PDR FOIA VADEN83-413 PDR

James Y. Vorse Regional Investigator

Enclosure: Statement of Concerns-2F035

RII:EIS JYVorse:cmc 8/ /81 RII:EIS CEAlderson 8/p/81

ENCLOSURE

STATEMENT OF CONCERNS-2F035

1. Concern

A prohibited substance was used to repair cracks and fill construction joints inside the containment building.

Additional Details

According to the alleger a substance referred to as "concresive" was used to repair cracks and construction joints to stop water inleakage on the 211-elevation in the "core key" area of containment. It was alleged that the repair substance contained epoxy which was not supposed to be used below the 246-elevation because of possible radiation affects on the epoxy. According to the alleger, the Construction the Concrete and the General Foreman were all aware of this,

as were the finisher foreman and the concrete finishers who performed the work.

2. Concern

A letter was provided to the NRC which erroneously stated that no epoxy was used in containment below the 246-elevation.

3. Concern

Protective coatings were improperly applied and may not adhere properly.

Additional Details

According to the alleger, the repairs referred to in 1 above, did not completely stop the water in-leakage, but the "11-S" coatings were applied anyway. The alleger does not believe the coatings will cure properly because of the moisture present.

4. Concern

Areas in concrete where placement form tie rods had been cut off ("bug holes") were not properly repaired.

Additional Details

According to the alleger, "dry patch" which was used to repair holes in concrete in Zones 3 and 4 began to crumble. A CP&L inspector (J. Tis) allegedly stopped the work because of this; however, the deficient material was subsequently covered with grout and protective coatings were applied over the surface.

5. Concern

When problems are found, Field Change Requests (FCRs) are issued to avoid the original specifications.

Additional Details

No specific examples were given; however, the alleger stated that some of the FCRs are "taken care of onsite" rather than going back to the person or organization which approved the original specifications.

6. Concern

A cold joint exists on the 211-elevation in the "core key" area of the Unit 2 containment building.

Additional Details

of approximately 3,000 yards was being made when a cold init developed. Allegedly, were overheard telling to put concrete over the joint and blend it with a vibrator. The alleger stated that other witnesses included!

7. Concern

Non-seismically-qualified gate guides were used at the intake structure when seismically qualified gate guides were required.

Additional Details

The alleger believes that an FCR may have been issued on this change.

8. Concern

Concrete was poured even though preparations for the pour were known to be inadequate.

Additional Details

According to the alleger, an exterior wall in the fuel handling area was poured over a surface that had not been properly cleaned. Dirt had not been cleaned from an area of approximately 3 to 4 square feet. A concrete inspector was alleged to have been aware of this; however, the alleger could not provide the inspector's name.

9. Concern

Sheet metal welded to seismic plates is touching reinforcing bar which may lead to damage to the reinforcement due to cathodic action.

Additional Details

This condition allegedly exists on the 236-elevation in the northeast corner of the fuel handling building (FHB) in the wall between the FHB and the "common building".

10. Concern

Installed motors were improperly protected during sandblasting operations and now have sand inside them.

Additional Details

The alleger was unable to identify the motors, but said they are located in a series of small rooms on the 236-elevation in the "RAB" building.

11. Concern

Waterproofing on the below grade portion of the turbine building wall was damaged and improperly repaired.

Additional Details

According to the alleger, it became necessary to dig up part of the backfill next to the turbine building to install conduit, thus exposing the waterproofing. Water allegedly got behind the vinyl liner and holes were cut in the liner to drain off the water. The holes were then covered with mastic. The alleger stated that were aware of this and an FCR was written, but the FCR may have been destroyed.

MEMORANDUM TO CASE FILE		
TYPE ACTION	PARTICIPANTS	PILE NO.
() GASE REVIEW STATUS	Voss / pure	DATE
() OTHER	Vorse/ALLEGE	08-17:81
	COMPRENTALITY MEQUESTED YES	1330
UMLARY		
The alleger called and	Lett a message To please	call him.
evation until he explaining That mo	the epoxy was not to be use observed a letter from epoxy had been wed by	of below the 246 on Cl+L to The NRC
CRIANT The backs	II, the aileger pointed of and but was told by it in was long for it in walling was done over the	esting week-end. That were
was asted	d and asked for it in a ling was done over the to ke t	exting wester a wester a week-end. That mono
was asked	d and asked for it in ke Iling was done over the to ketwen the FCK, bu	that the holes dould restrage where a week-end. That more thank the high copies for
was asked	d and asked for it in k Iling was done over the to ketwen the FCK, bu	that the holes dould restrang a fresh money week-end. That money the he hast copies for
was asked his files	d and asked for it in ke Iling was done over the to ketwen the FCK, bu	the light copies for
was asked his files	d and asked for it in k Iling was done over the to ketwen the FCK, bu	the lift copies for
was asked his files	d and asked for it in k Iling was done over the to ketwen the KCK, bu	the light copies for
was asked his files	d and asked for it in k Iling was done over the to ketwen the KCK, bu	the light copies for
was asted	d and asked for it in k Iling was done over the to ketwen the FCK, bu	the light copies for
was asked his files	d and asked for it in the illing was done over the to ketwen the Keck, but	the light copies for