EAR REGUL

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY 1 7 1984

CENTRAL FILE

50-352

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Vincent S. Noonan, Chief Equipment Qualification Branch Division of Engineering
THRU:	Goutam Bagchi, Section Leader Equipment Qualification Branch Division of Engineering
FROM:	Arnold Lee Equipment Qualification Branch Division of Engineering
SUBJECT:	TRIP REPORT FOR SEISMIC QUALIFICATION REVIEW TEAM (SQRT) PLANT SITE AUDIT - LIMERICK 1

The Seismic Qualification Review Team (SQRT), consisting of staff from Equipment Qualification Branch (EQB), and from Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), the consultant, conducted a plant site audit at Limerick 1 Nuclear Station on January 17 to January 20, 1984. The purpose of the audit is two-fold: (1) to perform a plant site review of the seismic and dynamic qualification methods, procedures, and results for selected safety-related mechanical and electrical equipment and their supporting structures, (2) to observe the field installation of the equipment in order to verify and validate equipment modeling employed in the qualification program.

The background, review procedures, findings and the required follow-up actions are summarized below. A list of attendees at the conference is contained in Attachment I, and a list of the equipment selected for audit is shown in Attachment II.

Vincent Noonan

* .*

1. Background

The applicant has described the equipment qualification program in Sections 3.9 and 3.10 of the Final Safety Analysis Report, consisting of dynamic testing and analysis, used to confirm the ability of seismic Category I mechanical and electrical (includes instrumentation, control and electrical) equipment and their supports, to function properly during and after the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) specified for the plant

The plant site review was performed to determine the extent to which the qualification of equipment, as installed in Limerick 1, meets the current licensing criteria described in IEEE 344-1975, "Recommended Practices for Seismic Qualification of Class IE Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations," and Regulatory Guides 1.92, "Combining Modal Responses and Spatial Components in Seismic Response Analysis, "1.100, "Seismic Qualification of Electrical Equipment for Nuclear Power Plants," and the Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) Section 3.10. Conformance with these criteria is required to satisfy the applicable portions of the General Design Criteria in 1, 2, 4, 14, 18 and 30 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50, as well as, Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 and Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 100.

II. Review Procedures

Prior to the site visit, the SQRT reviewed the equipment seismic qualification information contained in the pertinent FSAR sections and the reports referenced therein. A representative sample of 25 pieces of safety-related mechanical and electrical equipment, including 12 in NSSS and 13 in BOP scopes as shown in Attachment II, were selected for the plant site review. The review consisted of field observations of the actual equipment configuration and its installation, followed by the review of the corresponding test and/or analysis documents.

Observation of the field installation of the equipment is required in order to verify and validate equipment modeling employed in the qualification program. Brief technical discussions were held during the review sessions to provide SQRT's feedback to the applicant on the equipment qualification. An exit conference was held to summarize and conclude the plant site visit.

III. Review Endings

. .

In general, the site audit revealed that the applicant's seismic and dynamic equipment qualification program had been adequately implemented. For the 25 equipment items subjected to detail auditing, the SQRT found their qualification to be acceptable with the exception of certain equipment specific details (see Section IV, Follow-Up Actions) which would need to be further clarified by the applicant. Summaries of the SQRT review for each equipment item are presented in Attachment III, the INEL Evaluation Report.

IV. Follow-Up Actions

In order to complete our review we have requested the applicant to address the following issues:

(1) RCIC Steam Turbine Assembly (Equipment Specific Issue)

(a) The turbine governor and electrical accessories as originally installed at Limerick Unit 1, must be upgraded to be similar to the turbine which was tested.

(b) There were two qualification tests performed. In the first test program, #8 taper pins were used for coupling-end alignment. One of these pins failed after an accumulated test time of about 15 minutes. The turbine for the second test program, which was a success, used #9 taper pins and lock plates for the pedestal bolting.

(c) The existing trip and throttle (T&T) valve in the Limerick Unit 1 turbine has a General Electric S&K trip solenoid (push to trip), whereas the successful test turbine used a Thrombetta trip solenoid (pull to trip). During the first qualification test program, with Thrombetta assembly, it became necessary to increase spring stiffness to 25 lb/inch in order to prevent trip latch separation during the resonance search tests. The T&T valve solenoid should be replaced with Thrombetta assembly and stiffness checked or justification provided

(d) In the first test program, it was evident that structural improvements were required in the turbine auxiliary piping. These were implemented in the second test program which was successful. However, each turbine installation has somewhat of a unique piping arrangement. For the turbine oil piping adequacy, therefore, the Limerick Unit 1 as installed piping should be reviewed and adequate supports provided.

(e) The qualified life and resulting preventive or replacement schedule for the new accessories should be incorporated in the main-tenance manual.

In order for the RCIC turbine assembly at Limerick Unit 1 to be dynamically qualified the above upgrading and modifications should be done. NRC should be notified when completed.

(2) Justification for Interim Operation

.

Justification for interim operation while some equipment items are yet to be completely qualified should be submitted for the staff review and acceptance prior to fuel loading.

MAY 1 7 1984

CENTRAL FILE

V. Conclusion

Based on the results of the site audit conducted, we conclude that the applicant's seismic and dynamic qualification program of safety related equipment in Limerick 1 has been adequately defined and implemented, which will provide adequate assurance that such equipment will function properly during and after the Safe Shutdown Earthquake. Our review of the applicant's qualification program will be continued until all the follow-up actions as identified in Section IV of this report are completed to the SQRT's satisfaction.

> Arnold Lee Equipment Qualification Branch Division of Engineering

Enclosure: As stated

cc: J. P. Knight (w/o enclosure)
 T. Novak (w/o enclosure)
 B. Youngblood (w/o enclosure)
 A. Schwencer (w/o enclosure)
 G. Bagchi (w/o enclosure)
 L. Kintner
 R. Martin
 J. Singh (INEL)
 C. Hofmayer (BNL)
 A. Lee
 PDR

OFFICE SURNAME	DE/EQB ALEE 5/17/84	DE/EQB GBagchi 5/ \284				
NOC FORM 318	(10-80) NRCM 0240		OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY	USGPO: 1981-335-960

V. Conclusion

Based on the results of the site audit conducted, we conclude that the applicant's seismic and dynamic qualification program of safety related equipment in Limerick 1 has been adequately defined and implemented, which will provide adequate assurance that such equipment will function properly during and after the Safe Shutdown Earthquake. Our review of the applicant's qualification program will be continued until all the fullow-up actions as identified in Section IV of this report are completed to the SQRT's satisfaction.

and it fee Arnold Lee

Equipment Qualification Branch Division of Engineering

Enclosure: As stated

cc: J. P. Knight (w/o enclosure)
T. Novak (w/o enclosure)
B. Youngblood (w/o enclosure)
A. Schwencer (w/o enclosure)
G. Bagchi (w/o enclosure)
L. Kintner
R. Martin
J. Singh (IN:1)
C. Hofmager (BNL)
A. Lee

Attachment I

SQRT/PVORT Audit (1/17 - 1/20/84)

List of Attendees

NRC	PECO
Arnold Lee	Marie Bundy
Jerry Jackson	Tom Szonntagh
	David Marano
INEL, EG&G	Kenneth W. Earl
Jag Singh	Thomas Hinkle
Tom Rahl	John Cotton
Bob Harris	Carl Endriss
Clarke Kido	Jerry Phillabalı
Thomas Humphrey	J. J. Whittle
Bechtel	GE
John Strohm	Dick Hardy
Ranga Palaniswamy	Donald K. Henrie
Mark Schletz	Gary L. Moore
Lalji Patel	W. H. Fleming
S. S. Sharma	Jim Kelso
Roop L. Jindal	Gayle Starkey
Chris Cotton	Diane Shamis
Pete McMahon	Frances Collier
Tony Tocci	Norman Anderson
Russ Werner	N. G. Luria
John Decker	Rod J. Pence
Lou Pons	

Anand Bhatia

Attachment II

Ser.

Equipment Selected for SQRT Audit

NSSS Equipment			
NSSS1	B21-F013	SRV - 6" x 10"	
NSSS2	E51-C002	RCIC Turbine	
NSSS3	F18-E012	Jib Crane	
NSSS4	E32-C001	MSIV LCS Blower	
NSSS5	C41-F004	SLC Explosive	
NSSS6		HPCI Pump	
NSSS7	2112-P655	Control Room Panel	
NSSS8	H23-P075	Local Rack	
NSSS9	159e4361	Level Switch	
NSSS10	194x927	Sensor & Conv	
NSSS11	163C1561	Pressure	
NSSS12	E11-C002	PHR Pump & Motor	

BOP Equipment		
BOP1	185	Chlorine Gas
B0P2	14	Detectors Medium Voltage Metal Clad Switch-
BOP3	158	gear 1000KVA Ventilated
BOP4	7(12)	Type Transformer Air Compressor
BOP5	159(17)	Skid w/motor Control Relay
BOP6	17	Batteries & Racks
BOP7	210(D)	Microprocessor
BOP8	D-6	Diesel Generator Ventilation Fans
BOP9	16	480V Motor Control Center

BOP10	D-123C	2"M.O.S.S.
BOP11	D-98D	Globe Valve 18"M.O.C.S
BOP12	56B	Gate Valve Control Valves
BOP13	D62B	HPCI Pump Room Fan Cabinet

.