

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II 101 MARIETTA STREET, N.W., SUITE 2900 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30323

Report Nos.: 50-259/92-09, 50-260/92-09, and 50-296/92-09

Licensee: Tennessee Valley Authority

3B Lookout Place 1101 Market Street

Chattanooya, TN 37402-2801

Docket Nos.: 50-259, 50-260 and 50-296 License Nos.: DPR-33, DPK-52,

and DPR-68

Facility Name: Browns Ferry 1, 2, and 3

Inspection Conducted: March 9-13, 1992

A. Tillman, Safeguards Inspector

Accompanying Personne: D. Thompson, Safeguards Inspector

D. R. McGuire, Chief Safeguards Section

> Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards Branch Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

> > SUMMARY

Scope:

Approved by: AD

This routine, unannounced inspection was conducted in the areas of Physical Security Program for Power Reactors, including: Management Support; Security Program Plans and Audit; Records and Reports; Security Lock and Key Program; Physical Barriers; Protected and Vital Areas; Compensatory Measures; Access Controls; Alarm Stations; and Communications.

Results:

Inspection results indicated that the weakness in access control identified in previous inspections continued to exist after implementation of corrective actions by the licensee for similar violations. In addition, the licensee identified, and inspection confirmed, the occurrence of violations relating to reportability of safeguards events, weapons qualification and intrusion detection capability. The following apparent violations of regulatory requirements were identified:

- 1. Failure to control access to the protected area (repeat violation)
- 2. Failure to report (log) afeguards event

contains, senative unclassified information. When separated from enclosures, this document is decontrolled.

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

2

- 3. Failure to requalify an armed security force member with assigned weapons
- 4. Failure to provide intrusion detection capability for a vital area portal (Licensee Identified Non-cited Violation) (Section 2.e)

The licensee self-identified the weakness in access control and apparent Violations 1 and 2 listed above and had taken adequate corrective actions prior to the inspection.