376

DOCKETED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

'84 MAY 30 A10:44

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

(Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 & 4)

Docket Nos. 50-250-OLA 50-251-OLA

ASLBP No. 84-496-03 LA

LICENSEE INTERROGATORIES
TO INTERVENORS CENTER
FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY AND
JOETTE LORION

Licensee Florida Power & Light Company hereby requests that the Center for Nuclear Responsibility and Joette Lorion (Intervenors), pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.740(b) and the Licensing Board's Prehearing Conference Order of May 16, 1984, answer each of the following interrogatories fully in writing, under oath or affirmation, within 14 days after service.

For each response to the interrogatories listed below, identify the person or persons who prepared, or substantially contributed to the preparation of, the response. Further, the interrogatories are to be considered the Intervenors' continuing obligation. Accordingly, if -- after answering

8405300687 840529 FDR ADDCK 05000250 PDR

2503

Intervenors' attention with respect to one or more of the answers, the answers should be amended in a timely manner to provide such additional information.

Contention b

- b-1 State whether you intend to call any person or persons
 as witnesses, including expert witnesses, in this
 proceeding in support of Contention b and, if so, provide
 the names, addresses, educational backgrounds, and
 professional qualifications on any such person or persons.
- b-2 Provide summaries of the views, positions, or proposed testimony on Contention b of all persons named in response to Interrogatory No. b-1 that you intend to present during this proceeding.
- b-3 Identify by author, title, date of publication and publisher, all books, reports and papers that you intend to employ or rely upon in presenting your direct case on Contention b.
- b-4 Identify by author, title, date of publication and publisher, all books, reports and papers that you intend to employ or rely upon in conducting your cross-examination of prospective Licensee witnesses testifying in connection with Contention b.

- b-5 If the representations made in Contention b are based in whole or in part on any documents prepared by the Licensee or the NRC Staff which you contend are deficient, specify which documents and the particular portions thereof you regard as deficient and explain in what way they are deficient.
- b-6 Identify by name and publication number the "entirely new computer model" referred to in Contention b.
- b-7 Do Intervenors contend that the Emergency Core Cooling
 System (ECCS) evaluation model employing the new
 computer model identified in response to Interrogatory
 No. b-6 has not been accepted by the Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission for performing ECCS analyses pursuant to the
 requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 50.46?
- b-8 Identify by author, title, date of publication and publisher all books, reports and papers relied upon in support of the statement in Contention b that "Re-flood rates are critical if below 1 or 2 inches per minute."
- b-9 Do Intervenors contend that use of "the entirely new computer model" results in failure to meet the ECCS acceptance criteria of 10 C.F.R. § 50.46 or any other regulatory requirements at Turkey Point?

b-10 If the answer to Interrogatory No. b-9 is "Yes,"

identify the particular criterion, criteria or other

regulatory requirements which Intervenors contend will

not be satisfied at Turkey Point.

Contention d

- d-1 State whether you intend to call any person or persons as witnesses, including expert witnesses, in this proceeding in support of Contention d and, if so, provide the names, addresses, educational backgrounds, and professional qualifications on any such person or persons.
- d-2 Provide summaries of the views, positions, or proposed testimony on Contention d of all persons named in response to Interrogatory No. d-1 that you intend to present during this proceeding.
- d-3 Identify by author, title, date of publication and publisher, all books, reports and papers that you intend to employ or rely upon in presenting your direct case on Contention d.
- d-4 Identify by author, title, date of publication and publisher, all books, reports and papers that you intend to employ or rely upon in conducting your cross-examination of prospective Licensee witnesses testifying in connection with Contention d.

- d-5 If the representations made in Contention d are based in whole or in part on any documents prepared by the Licensee or the NRC Staff which you contend are deficient, specify which documents and the particular portions thereof you regard as deficient and explain in what way they are deficient.
- d-6 Identify by author, title, date of publication and publisher, all books, reports and papers relied upon in support of the statement in Contention d that, "If the minimum allowable DNBR is reduced from 1.3 to 1.7 [sic] as proposed, this would authorize operation of the fuel much closer to the upper boundary of of the nucleate boiling regime."
- d-7 Do Intervenors contend that the "proposed decrease in the departure in the nucleate boiling ratio" results in failure to meet the ECCS acceptance criteria of 10 C.F.R. § 50.46 or any other regulatory requirements at Turkey Point?

d-8 If the answer to Interrogatory d-8 is "Yes," identify the particular criterion, criteria or other regulatory requirements which Intervenors contend will not be satisfied at Turkey Point..

Respectfully submitted,

Harold F. Reis Michael A. Bauser Steven P. Frantz

Of Counsel:

Norman A. Coll 1025 Connection Steel, Hector & Davis Washington, D.0 1400 Southeast Bank Building (202) 862-8400 Miami, FL 33131 (305) 577-2800

Dated: May 29, 1984

Newman & Holtzinger, P.C. 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 862-8400

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD MAY 30 A10:44

OGCKETING & SERVER

In the Matter of

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

(Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 & 4)

Docket Nos. 50-250 OLA 50-251 OLA

AS_BP No. 84-496-03 LA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "Licensee Interogatories to Intervenors Center for Nuclear Responsibility and Joette Lorion," dated May 29, 1984, were served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, properly stamped and addressed, on the date shown below.

Dr. Robert M. Lazo, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Emmeth A. Leubke Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Richard F. Cole Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Chief, Docketing and Service Section (original plus two copies)

Colleen P. Woodhead, Esq.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Executive Legal Director
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mitzi A. Young, Esq.
Office of Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Norman A. Coll, ESq. Steel, Hector & Davis 1400 Southeast Bank Building 100 S. Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131

Martin H. Hodder 1131 N.E. 86th Street Miami, FL 33138

Dated this 29th day of May 1984.

Michael A. Bauser

Newman & Holtzinger, P.C. 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Telephone: (202) 862-8476