

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

GPU Nuclear Corporation
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219
License No. DPR-16

During an NRC examination conducted from April 9 - 11, 1991, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 55.21 requires, in part, that a licensed operator shall have a medical examination by a physician every two years to determine that the licensed operator meets the requirements of 10 CFR 55.33(a)(1), which assures that the licensed operator medical condition will not adversely affect the performance of assigned operator job duties or cause operational errors endangering public health and safety.

10 CFR 55.23 requires, in part, that an authorized representative of the facility licensee to certify that a physician has conducted the medical examination as required in 10 CFR 55.21.

Contrary to the above, as identified by the NRC staff on April 11, 1991, three licensed operators did not have a medical examination every two years. The periods ranged from two years, two months, to almost two years, nine months. When one of the three operators received the medical examination on March 22, 1991, the licensed operator's license needed to be conditioned for the individual to wear corrective lenses.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VII).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, GPU Nuclear Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked "Reply to a Notice of Violation," and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.