



MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Helping Build Mississippi

P. O. BOX 1640, JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205

April 23, 1984

2:54

NUCLEAR PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Attention: Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

SUBJECT: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station
Unit 1
Docket No. 50-416
License No. NPF-13
File 0260/15521/00230/15524/0310
Report 50-416/83-58, dated
February 9, 1984
(MAEC-84/0049)
AECM-84/0260

This is in response to your letter to Mississippi Power & Light Company from D. M. Verrelli, dated April 2, 1984, Subject: Report No. 50-416/83-58.

Attached is the requested supplemental response to Violation 83-58-02.

Should you have any questions, please contact my office.

Yours truly,

L. F. Dale
Manager of Nuclear Services

RLS/PRH:lm
Attachment

cc: Mr. J. B. Richard (w/a)
Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/o)
Mr. G. B. Taylor (w/o)

Mr. Nicholas S. Reynolds (w/o)
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds
1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Richard C. DeYoung, Director (w/a)
Office of Inspection & Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

8405300417 840515
PDR ADDCK 05000416
PDR

BAA1

Member Middle South Utilities System

NRC VIOLATION 83-58-02

Supplemental Response

In this case, the personnel preparing and reviewing the procedures incorrectly interpreted the Technical Specification requirements. Since then, Revision 8 to the Plant Administrative Procedure 01-S-01-11 has been issued to include the omissions. Additionally, the violation has been discussed with the Plant Safety Review Committee.

The review program which ensures that procedures are in compliance with Technical Specifications is contained in Plant Administrative Procedure 01-S-02-2. This procedure contains requirements for detailed review of procedures, instructions, and plans. Safety related Plant Administrative Procedures must undergo an independent review by the Plant Quality Superintendent, a technical review by the responsible Assistant Plant Manager, a review by the PSRC and approval by the Plant Manager. Non-Safety related procedures follow a similar process. The review phase requires a knowledgeable person review the procedure from five different aspects. The most significant aspect, for this discussion, is compliance with Technical Specifications and the Safety Analysis Report.

In conclusion, Mississippi Power and Light feels that this review program will minimize any future recurrence of this event.