PRELIMINARY NOTIFICATION OF EVENT OR UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE -- PNO-V-92-09 Date: 03/24/92

This preliminary notification constitutes EARLY notice of events of POSSIBLE safety or public interest significance. The information presented is as initially received without verification or evaluation and is basically all that is known by Region V staff on this date.

FACILITY: Washington Nuclear Power, WNP-2

P. O. Box 968

Richland, Washington, 99352

Docket No. 50-397

Emergency Classification Notification of Unusual Event

Site Area Emergency General Emergency

X Not Applicable

SUBJECT: DEATH OF SECURITY OFFICER

On March 23, 1992, at 2:45 p.m. PST, a security officer was observed slumped over and unconscious in a chair in a security supervisors office within the protected area. Company physicians and nurses were called and determined that the security officer was dead. The licensee requested an ambulance to transport the deceased to an off site hospital. It is believed that the security officer died as a result of cardiac arrest. There are no indications of foul play and an autopsy will be conducted to determine the exact cause of death.

At the time of this event, WNP-2 was at 100% power.

The licensee did issue a press release.

Region V received notification from the resident's office and in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72, the licensee telephoned their report of this event to NRC Headquarters at 6:52 p.m. EST, March 23, 1992, (event number 23083). This information is current as of 11:30 a.m. PST, March 24, 1992.

CONTACT: M. D. Schuster - FTS 448-0242

DISTRIBUTION BY 5520: Date: 03/24/92 Time: 12:30 p.m. Comm. Rogers RII Comm. Curtiss AEOD Comm. Remick SLITP Comm. de Pianque NRR RIV OCA NMSS GPA IRM ASLAP RES CA

FAX TO: Time Date The Chairman ACRS LICENSEE INPO RESIDENT INSPECTOR HOS. OPERATIONS OFFICER PDR (202) 634-3343

MAIL TO:

DOT: (Trans. Only, Applicable State)

DCS (Original) 9204010198 920324 PDR 1&E PND-V-92-009 Revised: 03/24/92 PDR

Docket Nos.: 50-245; 50-336; 50-423

Mr. John F. Opeka Executive Vice President - Nuclear Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Opeka:

Subject: Combined Inspection Nos. 50-245/92-06; 50-336/92-06; and 50-423/92-06

This refers to your letter dated March 4, 1992, in response to our letter dated February 7, 1992.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By: James H. Joyner

James H. Joyner Facilities Radiological Safety and Safeguards Branch Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

IE 06

CC

W. D. Romberg, Vice President, Nuclear Operations

S. E. Scace, Nuclear Station Director

C. H. Clement, Nuclear Unit Director

J. S. Keenan, Nuclear Unit Director

H. F. Haynes, Nuclear Unit Director

R. M. Kacich, Manager, Nuclear Licensing

D. O. Nordquist, Director of Quality Services

Gerald Garfield, Esquire

Nicholas Reynolds, Esquire

K. Abraham, PAO (2)

Public Document Room (PDR)

Local Public Document Room (LPDR)

Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)

NRC Resident Inspector

State of Connecticut SLO Designee

bee

Region I Docket Room (with concurrences) Management Assistant, DRMA (w/o encl)

J. Joyner, DRSS

E. Kelly, DRP

E. Wenzinger, DRP

W. Raymond, SRI, Millstone

J. Shedlosky, SRI, Haddam Neck

R. Lobel, OEDO

V. Rooney, PM, NRR

G. Vissing, PM, NRR

D. Jaffe, PM, NRR

DRS SALP Coordinator

R. Arrighi, DRP

R. Barkley, DRP

DRSS SALP Coordinator

RI:DRSS Peluso:GMP RI:DRSS Bores

3//8-192

RT DRSS Joyner

3/20/92

1306

NORTHEAST UTILITIES



General Offices . Selden Street, Berlin, Connecticut

P.O. BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270 (203) 665-5000

March 4, 1992

Docket Nos. 50-245

50-336 50-423

B14064 Re: 10CFR2.201

Mr. Thomas T. Martin Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Martin:

Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 Combined Inspection Nos. 50-245/92-06, 50-336/92-06, 50-423/92-06 Reply to a Notice of Violation

In a letter dated February 7, 1992, (1) the NRC Staff transmitted the results of an inspection conducted January 13-17, 1992, at Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Northeast Utilities' (NU) corporate office in Berlin, and at the Production Operations Services Laboratory in Middletown. The NRC Staff identified one Severity Level V violation concerning the failure to transmit Revisions 11, 12, and 13 of Radiological Assessment Branch Procedure 3-2 to the nuclear plant records facility (NPRF) within 6 months in accordance with procedures.

Enclosed herewith as Attachment 1 is Northeast Nuclear Energy Company's response to the notice of violation.

If you have any questions regarding the information contained in this letter, please contact us.

Very truly yours

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

J. F. Opeka

Executive Vice President

cc: D. H. Jaffe, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 1

G. S. Vissing, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 2 V. L. Rooney, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 3

V. L. Rooney, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 3 W. J. Raymond, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 J. H. Joyner, Chief, Facilities Radiological Safety and Safeguards Branch

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk

9203110127

⁽¹⁾ J. H. Joyner letter to J. F. Opeka, "Combined Inspection Nos. 50-245/92-06, 50-336/92-06, 50-423/92-06," dated February 7, 1992.

Docket Nos. 50-245 50-336 50-423 B14064

Attachment 1

Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3

Combined Inspection Nos. 50-245/92-06, 50-335/92-06, 50-423/92-06

Reply to a Notice of Violation

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission B14064/Attachment 1/Page 1 March 4, 1992

> Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 Combined Inspection Nos. 50-245/92-06, 50-336/92-06, 50-423/92-06 Reply to a Notice of Violation

A. Restatement of Violation

"Section 6.8.5 of the Technical Specifications for Units 1, 2, and 3 states, in part, that 'Written procedures shall be established, implemented and maintained covering Section I.E, Radiological Environmental Monitoring, of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (REMODCM).'

"Section 6.10.3 of the Technical Specifications Units 1, 2, and 3 states, in part, that 'the following records shall be ret ined for the duration of the unit Operating License. Records of analysis required by the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) that would permit evaluation of the accuracy of the analysis at a later date. This should include procedures effective at specified times and QA records showing in these procedures were followed.'

"Section F.2 of Procedure RAB 1-3, Rev. 7, 'RAB Record Retention', July 1, 1989, required by the above Technical Specifications, states, in part, that 'All lifetime records, except as noted in Table 1 will be forwarded to the Nuclear Plants Record Facility (NPRF) in accordance with the procedures in NEO 2.13 within 6 months of completion.'

"Contrary to the above requirements, as of January 17, 1992, Procedure RAB 3-2, 'Quality Control of Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program,' Revisions 11, 12 (dated February 1991), and 13 (dated April 1991), were not forwarded to the Nuclear Plants Record Facility as required. Copies of Revision 11 and Revision 13 were discarded after completion and subsequently were unretrievable as of January 17, 1992."

B. Reason for the Violation

The procedure requirements to forward previous procedure revisions to the NPRF were clear. Radiological Assessment Branch (RAB) Procedure 1-1 sprifically requires the "procedure coordinator" to send copies of all procedures to the NPRF. The procedure coordinator is a designated member of the RAB administrative staff. When the procedure coordinator left the RAB in October 1990, there was a failure to adequately train the new procedure coordinator in this specific requirement. Hence, for a period of approximately one year, revised procedures were not transmitted to the NPRF.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission B14064/Attachment 1/Page 2 March 4, 1992

C. Corrective Steps Taken and Results Achieved

All current RAB procedures and all previous revisions filed in the Outdated Procedures Manual have been transmitted to the NPRF.

D. Corrective Steps Taken to Avoid Future Violations

The current procedure coordinator, as well as all supervisors and staff associated with the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP), have been instructed in the importance of the transmittal of required documents to the NPRF.

Procedures RAB 1-1 and RAB 1-3 have been modified to clarify responsibilities for record transmittal. Additionally, each controlled copy transmittal of new or revised procedures will receive a sequential number to improve tracking and to ensure that all procedures have been transmitted to the NPRF.

E. Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved

All of the above actions have been completed, and full compliance has been achieved.

F. Generic Implications

The stated Level V violation was a failure to follow a corporate branch procedure which governs both the Millstone Nuclear Power Station and the Haddam Neck Plant. There are no generic implications.