CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER

50-329 DMB

Transmittal No: CSC- 7764 Date: May 17, 1984

To: Stone & Webster P O Box 1963 Midland, MI 48640

Attached Is:

3

Partial Response To Complete Response To For Your Information Other

ALL	DPRP	NI	11
/RA	DE	ing	11
/RA	DRMSP		1
C	DRMA		1
40	SCS		1
SA	ML		1
IF	File	Ken	V

Description:

Internal Correspondence - RAWells dated May 14, 1984 -Subject: Reinspections to close Open Quality Items.

Signature:

cc: JGKeppler, NRC Region III w/a JJHarrison, NRC Region III w/a RJCook, NRC Site w/a RAWells, MPQAD w/a BHPeck, MEC w/a NIReichel, MEC w/a DDJohnson, MEC w/a

8405300273 840517 PDR ADOCK 05000329 PDR G

IMAY 24 1984

RCSember, Midland WRBird, P14-418A GFEwert, Midland HPLeonard, Midland JTChristy, Midland 75 MLCurland, Midland JKMeisenheimer, Midland RAWells, Midland, FROM Consumers May 14, 1984 Power DATE Company MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER PROJECT -SUBJECT REINSPECTIONS TO CLOSE OPEN INTERNAL OUALITY ITEMS CORRESPONDENCE JWCook, P26-336B CC DLQuamme, Midland

On Friday, May 11, 1984, DLQuamme and I met with the NRC (Ron Gardner and Bruce Burgess) to discuss the need to do inspections, verifications, or tests to allow the project to disposition and close open quality items.

NReichel, Midland

We indicated that we needed to conduct inspections, verifications, and/or tests as necessary to evaluate, disposition, or close open items associated with nonconforming conditions or regulatory issues. We indicated that we planned to conduct these efforts throughout the plant even though such areas may not have been released for Phase I activities. We indicated that we planned to conduct these inspections, verifications, or tests of the following kinds of items:

> Nonconformance Reports (NCRs) Quality Action Requests (QARs) Management Corrective Action Reports (MCARs) Audit Finding Reports (AFRs) 50.55e Items NRC Inspection Report Findings NRC Open Items CIO Nonconformance Reports CIO Tracking Items NRC Circulars, Notices or Bulletins

We indicated that efforts associated with the above activities will be performed by appropriate organizations in accordance with existing project procedures and that such activities may require support from construction forces to prepare items for inspection, conduct tests, or provide related support. No new construction work, rework or repair would be conducted under this plan, however, unless all other CCP restraints and requirements, including release of any NRC/ Third Party hold points, had been satisfied. Required construction support would be controlled through the Contractor Work Request (CWR) or Construction Work Package (CWP) process.

We noted that inspections and verifications performed for the purpose of addressing the items listed in this memo would be performed accordingly to established project procedures for disposition or closing of quality items; however, they may not be done in accordance with Status Assessment or Quality Verification Program procedures and requirements. In the case where Status Assessment or Quality Verification Program procedures and requirements are not followed or in the case where Phase I releases have not been obtained for the area in which inspections are being conducted, no credit will be taken for CCP Phase I reinspections. Commodities falling into this category would still undergo the required Status Assessment and Quality Verification Program inspections as a separate activity. In areas where we do have Phase I release and where we follow the CCP Phase I programs, we may elect to take credit for the inspection as part of CCP Phase I reinspection requirements.

Ron Gardner indicated that we were correct in our understanding that the CCP program did not restrain implementation of the above plan and that we had the concurrence of NRC to proceed as outlined above.

jln

. 4