DMB



James W Cook Vice President - Projects, Engineering and Construction

General Offices: 1945 West Parnall Road, Jackson, MI 4921 . (517) 788-0453

May 19, 1984

84-07 #1

Mr J G Keppler, Regional Administrator US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER PROJECT DOCKET NOS 50-329 AND 50-330 PIPE WHIP RESTRAINTS - NSSS COLD LEG PIPING FILE: 0.4.9.94 SERIAL: 30284

On April 19, 1984, Consumers Power Company notified your staff of a potential 10CFR50.55(e) condition involving the design of pipe whip restraints for NSSS cold leg piping. This letter is a final report.

Because of the extensive evaluation required to determine whether the safety of plant operations would have been adversely affected, this condition is considered reportable under 10CFR50.55(e).

Attachments 1 and 2 provide descriptions of the investigation that took place, the probable causes and the planned corrective action.

James W. Coth

MAY 24 1984

LEZ

JWC/AHB/1r

Attachments 1: MCAR-84, Initial Issue, dated April 24, 1984 2: MCAR 84, Final Report, dated May 16, 1984

CC: Document Control Desk, NRC Washington, DC

> BBurgess, NRC Resident Inspector Midland Nuclear Plant

DHood, USNRC Office of NRR

INPO Records Center



OC0584-0036A-MP01

2 Serial 30294 84-07 #1

OM/OL SERVICE LIST

Mr Frank J Kelley Attorney General of the State of Michigan Ms Carole Steinberg Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division 720 Law Building Lansing, MI 48913

Mr Myron M Cherry, Esq Suite 3700 Three First National Plaza Chicago, IL 60602

Mr Wendell H Marshall RFD 10 Midland, MI 48640

Mr Charles Bechhoefer, Esq Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission East-West Towers, Poom E-413 Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr Frederick P Cowan 6152 N Verde Trail Art 3-125 Boca Raton, FL 33433

Mr Fred C Williams Isham, Lincoln & Beale 1120 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 840 Washington, DC 20036

Mr James E Brunner, Esq Consumers Power Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, MI 49201 Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Mr C R Stephens (3) Chief, Docketing & Services U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the Secretary Washington, DC 20555

Ms Mary Sinclair 5711 Summerset Street Midland, MI 48640

Mr William D Paton, Esq Counsel for the NRC Staff U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Ms Barbara Stamaris 5795 North River Road Rt 3 Freeland, MI 48623

Dr Jerry Harbour Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission East-West Towers, Room E-454 Bethesda, MD 20014

Mr M I Miller, Esq Isham, Lincoln & Beale Three First National Plaza 52nd Floor Chicago, IL 60602

3 Serial 30294 84-07 #1

Mr D F Judd Babcock & Wilcox PO Box 1260 Lynchburg, VA 24505

Mr Steve Gadler, Esq 2120 Carter Avenue St Paul, MN 55108

Mr P Robert Brown Clark, Klein & Beaumont 1600 First Federal Bldg Woodward Ave Detroit, MI 48226 Mr John DeMeester, Esq Dow Chemical Building Michigan Division Midland MI 48640

Ms Lynne Bernabei Government Accountability Project 1901 Q Street, NW Washington, DC 20009

3/14/84

A STATE		QUALITY ASSURANCE	PROGRAM	
Les V		MANAGEMENT CORRECTIVE	ACTION REPORT	
MECHTEL POWER		MCAR-1	REPORT NO.	84
COMPORTION	7220	ONC	DATE	4/23/84
· DESCI				
DESCI		ang references).		
This NSSS Safet	report addr lower cold y Concern a	esses a concern with the pip leg piping, which was docume nd Reportability Evaluation	e whip restraint de ented by Consumers P (SCRE) 81.	sign on the ower Company in
Conti	nued on pag	e 2		
· RECO	MMENDED AC	TION (Optional)		
1. 2.	Provide app Investigate	ropriate design modification for related conditions and	ns to correct above for similar conditi	conditions. ons by other
3.	project gro Determine r	ups. oot cause and provide correc	tive action to prec	lude recurrence
4.	Issue first	report by 5/11/84.	cive accion to prec	rune recorvence
REFERR	EDIO XE			
		ROCUREMENT	ISSUED BY 92CL	sby 4/24/8
** This	condition	was reported to the NRC by C	CPCo for Project QA S	nginger Date
as p	otentially	reportable on 4/19/84.		
REPOR	TABLE DEFICI	ENCY	NOTIFIED CLIENT	20 04
	CI N	O XI YES **	Bont	m A/24/2
				and the subscription of the data was a first on the subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the
1.10		20	Project Manager	Date
I CAUSE			Project Manager	Date
II CAUSE	E		Project Manager	Date
I CAUSE			Project Manager	Date
II CAUSE			Projsci Manager	Date
II CAUSE		N TAKEN	Projsct Manager	Dati
II CAUSE			Projsci Managor	Dati
II CAUSE		N TAKEN	Projsci Managor	Dati
II CAUSE	E	N TAKEN	Projsci Managor	Det
II CAUSE		N TAKEN	Projsci Manager	Dati
II CAUSE	E		Projsci Manager	Det
II CAUSE	E	N TAKEN AUTHORIZED	BY	Date
CORRE		N TAKEN AUTHORIZED	BY	Date
		AUTHORIZED		Date
STANDARD DIVISION C MANAGER GPD - QA	E ECTIVE ACTION D DISTRIBUTION DA MANAGER OF QA - BPC MANAGER	AUTHORIZED ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION - AS APPROPE ENGINEERING MANAGER PROJECT ENGINEER QE SUPERVISOR	BY RIATE FORMAL REPORT (If Section II App	Date TO CLIENT
STANDARD DIVISION C MANAGER GPD QA N SEPD QA	E ECTIVE ACTION D DISTRIBUTION DA MANAGER MANAGER MANAGER MANAGER	AUTHORIZED ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION - AS APPROPE ENGINEERING MANAGER PROJECT ENGINEER QE SUPERVISOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER	BY RIATE FORMAL REPORT (If Section II App	Date TO CLIENT
STANDARD DIVISION C MANAGER GPD - QA N SFPD QA N SFPD QA N PROJECT I	E ECTIVE ACTION D DISTRIBUTION DA MANAGER MANAGER MANAGER MANAGER MANAGER MANAGER	AUTHORIZED ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION - AS APPROPE ENGINEERING MANAGER PROJECT ENGINEER QE SUPERVISOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PROJ SUPT/PROJ CONSTR MANAGER CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PROJ SUPT/PROJ CONSTR MANAGER	BY RIATE FORMAL REPORT (If Section II App	Date TO CLIENT Date Date Date Date
STANDARD DIVISION C MANAGER GPD - QA M LAPD QA M SFPD QA M PROJECT M CLIENT	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	N TAKEN AUTHORIZED ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION - AS APPROPE ENGINEERING MANAGER PROJECT ENGINEER QE SUPERVISOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PROJ SUPT/PROJ CONSTR MANAGER CHIEF CONSTR OC ENGINEER	BY RIATE FORMAL REPORT (If Section II App CORRECTIVE AC	Date TO CLIENT Date TION IMPLEMENTED
STANDARD DIVISION C MANAGER GPD - QA N SFPD QA N PROJECT I CLIENT	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	AUTHORIZED ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION - AS APPROPE ENGINEERING MANAGER PROJECT ENGINEER QE SUPERVISOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PROJ SUPT/PROJ CONSTR MANAGER CHIEF CONSTR QC ENGINEER DIVISION PROCURENT MGR PROJ PROCURENT MGR	BY RIATE FORMAL REPORT (If Section II App CORRECTIVE AC	Date TO CLIENT
STANDARD DIVISION C MANAGER GPD - QA I LAPD QA N SFPD QA N PROJECT I CLIENT	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	AUTHORIZED ADDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION - AS APPROPE ENGINEERING MANAGER PROJECT ENGINEER QE SUPERVISOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PROJ SUPT/PROJ CONSTR MANAGER CHIEF CONSTR QC ENGINEER DIVISION PROCURENT MGR PROJ PROCUREMENT MGR PROJ PROCUREMENT MGR PROCUREMENT SUPPLIER QUALITY MGR	BY RIATE FORMAL REPORT (If Section II App CORRECTIVE AC	Date TO CLIENT Dat TION IMPLEMENTED

MCAR 84 Page 2

148811

DESCRIPTION (continued):

Pipe Whip Restraints 4 and 7 (typicals) on the NSSS lower cold leg piping were designed by Bechtel to be supported by the lower cold legs. There are a total of 8 subject restraints per unit, 2 on each steam generator cold leg. The Babcock & Wilcox (B&W) analysis of the NSSS did not account for the effects of the deadweight of these restraints on the NSSS during normal operation, safe shutdown earthquake (SSE), or loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA). This could have resulted in underestimated loadings on B&W components and Bechtel NSSS supports, and new system responses at the attachment points of Bechtel piping to the NSSS. In addition, B&W stated that the restraints could have induced potentially adverse vibrations on the NSSS during normal operation due to the eccentrically hung restraint mass.

Bechtel is currently redesigning these restraints to be completely supported by the basemat with no contact on the lower cold leg piping during normal operation. As a result, no reanalysis of the NSSS will be performed by B&W and no study will be conducted by B&W on the NSSS for potential vibration-induced problems.

Therefore, the evaluation of whether the subject restraints, if left attached to the NSSS piping, could have adversely affected the safety of operations of the Midland plant is indeterminate because no definitive statements can be made without the above reanalysis and study.

Attachment 2 Serial 30284

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

SUBJECT: MCAR 84 151164 Pipe Whip Restraints on NSSS Lower Cold Leg Piping

FINAL REPORT

DATE: May 16, 1984

PROJECT: Consumers Power Company Midland Plant Units 1 and 2 Bechtel Job 7220

Introduction

This report addresses a concern with the pipe whip restraint design on the NSSS lower cold leg piping, which was documented by Consumers Power Company in Safety Concern and Reportability Evaluation (SCRE) 81.

Description of Concern

Pipe Whip Restraints 4 and 7 on the NSSS lower cold leg piping were designed by Bechtel to be supported by the lower cold legs (Reference A). The B&W analysis of the NSSS did not account for the effects of the deadweight of these restraints on the NSSS during normal operation, safe shutdown earthquake (SSE), or loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA). This could have resulted in underestimated loading on B&W components and Bechtel NSSS supports, and new system responses at the attachment points of Bechtel piping to the NSSS. In addition, B&W stated that the restraints could have induced potentially adverse vibrations on the NSSS during normal operation due to the eccentrically hung restraint mass.

Historical Background

Restraints 4 and 7 are located at the elbow of the lower cold leg piping near the outlet of the steam generators. These restraints were originally designed by Bechtel to limit the displacement of the piping after a LOCA at the steam generator outlet nozzle in order to prevent overpressurization of the steam generator skirt. The Bechtel design (Reference A) included straps around the cold leg piping to support the restraints. Bechtel was advised that the restraint design was not acceptable to B&W in January 1983 (Reference D).

Summary of Investigation

Consumers Power Company issued SCRE 81 concerning this subject on April 4, 1983. Bechtel conducted its investigation and prepared a corresponding safety evaluation (Reference B) on September 2, 1983. No other Bechtel pipe whip restraints were found to be supported by vendor piping. For Bechtel pipe whip restraints supported by Bechtel piping, the additional deadweight is accommodated by the design of the piping and pipe supports.

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

151104 151164

MCAR 84 FINAL REPORT

Page 2

Analysis of Safety Implication

The evaluation of whether the subject restraints, if left attached to the NSSS piping, could have adversely affected the safety of operations of the Midland plant is indeterminate without further analysis. The current redesign of the subject restraints conforms to the configuration analytically assumed by B&W. Further analysis is therefore unnecessary and will not be performed.

Probable Cause

The exclusion of the deadweight of the subject restraints from the B&W NSSS analysis is attributable to two factors:

- 1. A misinterpretation of the Bechtel design drawing by B&W.
- The deadweight of the restraints was not included in the initial issue of the Bechtel Input Document (BID).

Corrective Action

A. Reference C documents Consumers Power Company direction for Bechtel to redesign Restraints 4 and 7 to be completely supported by the basemat and to not contact the lower cold leg piping during normal operation. Bechtel is currently redesigning these restraints in accordance with that direction. The actions and schedule for issuing this redesign are as follows:

Responsibility		Action	Date
Bechtel (Civil)	1.	Reissue Bechtel Sketch 7220-SKC-880, Rev A, (which shows the redesign) as Bechtel Drawing 7220-C-477-2(Q), Rev 0.	8/15/84F
Bechtel (Nuclear)	2.	Revise the BID to include Bechtel Drawing 7220-C-477-2(Q), Rev 0 and to delete the deadweight values for the subject restraints.	8/29/84F

B. This concern is judged by Bechtel to be an isolated incident. No additional corrective action to preclude recurrence is necessary because 1) adequate controls are currently in place through the BID

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

151104 151164

MCAR 84 FINAL REPORT

Page 3

and its procedure (PEP 4.25.6), and 2) communications channels between Bechtel and B&W for pipe whip restraints have been expanded to promote a mutual understanding of the restraint design and its effect on the B&W analysis. Even though this concern was not recognized in a time frame consistent with the completion of the B&W analysis, the concern was discovered during the B&W review of the governing Bechtel drawing contained in the BID.

Reportability

This concern was verbally communicated to the NRC by Consumers Power Company on April 19, 1984. It was class fied as potentially reportable under the criteria contained in 10 CFR 5J.55(e).

References

- A) Bechtel Drawing 7220-C-477(Q)
- B) Bechtel letter MAD-2537, 9/2/83
- C) CPCo Letter Serial 22684, 5/5/83 (Com 114680)
- Meeting Notes No. 1303, ELC-16160, 3/2/83 (Com 106903) (0

NO Submitted by:/

E.B. Poser Project Engineering Manager

Approved by: K.C. Kanad for- E.M. Hughes Chief Nuclear Engineer

Approved by:

Smith E.H.

Engineering Manager

Concurrence by:

for A. Dietrich Project Quality Assurance Engineer

050105/4