

Commonwealth Edison One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

May 1, 1984

Mr. James G. Keppler Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

> Subject: Braidwood Station Units 1 and 2 Supplemental Response to IE Inspection Report Nos. 50-456/83-17 and 50-457/83-16 NRC Docket Nos. 50-456/457

- References (a): R. C. Knop Letter to Cordell Reed dated February 3, 1984
  - (b): E. D. Swartz Letter to J. G. Keppler dated February 28, 1984
  - (c): D. L. Farrar Letter to J. G. Keppler dated April 9, 1984

Dear Mr. Keppler:

Reference (a) provided the Commonwealth Edison Company with the results of an inspection conducted by Mr. L. G. McGregor of your office during the period of October 3 through December 16, 1983, of activities at our Braidwood Station. During that inspection, certain activities appeared to be in non-compliance with NRC requirements. Reference (b) provided the Commonwealth Edison Company response to the woven wire fence issue for both Byron and Braidwood Stations as requested in Reference (a). Reference (c) provided the thirty day response to the item of non-compliance.

The purpose of this letter is to supplement our Reference (c) thirty day response and address three items discussed with Mr. W. L. Forney of your staff on April 26 and 27, 1984 as follows:

 Page 12, paragraph 5 of Reference (c), states that "Site Quality Assurance will review records to identify any other maintenance activities Operational Analysis Department might have had responsibility for at the site and evaluate these activities to determine whether Operational Analysis Department specific practices in the area were properly carried out". This addresses the NRC question on the need to examine other areas of responsibility for Operational Analysis Department.

B405290166 B40522 PDR ADOCK 05000456 PDR

MAY 3 1984

- 2. Revise last sentence of the first paragraph on Page 4 in the response to Example 2 of Reference (c) to read as follows: "Braidwood Site Quality Assurance does not have the responsibility for review and approval of Operational Analysis Department Electrical Construction Test Procedures generated from System Operational Analysis Department. Site Quality Assurance will review and approve any site specific Operational Analysis Department procedures." Also, any future revisions to the Electrical Construction Procedures will be reviewed by Site Quality Assurance in addition to the General Office Quality Assurance review.
- 3. It is the practice of Site Quality Assurance to monitor all of Operational Analysis Department's activities and not just the maintenance of the battery banks. Consequently, add the following sentence to the end of the second sentence of the fourth paragraph of page 12 of Reference (c) "This specific activity will be performed in conjunction with Site Quality Assurance's monitoring of all OAD activities."

Please address any questions that you or your staff may have concerning this matter to this office.

Very truly yours,

Dennis L. Farrad Director of Nuclear Licensing

EDS/rap

Attachment

cc: RIII Inspector - Braidwood

(8545N)