A.56 N/ 28/83

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of
)
DUKE POWER COMPANY, et al.)
(Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-413
50-414

TESTIMONY OF VERNON C. GODFREY, JR.

- 1 Q. STATE YOUR NAME AND YOUR WORK ADDRESS.
- 2 A. Vernon C. Godfrey, Jr., Duke Power Training Center.
- 3 Q. WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT JOB WITH DUKE POWER COMPANY?
- 4 A. I now work in Mechanical Maintenance in the Nuclear Production
- 5 Department.
- 6 Q. SUMMARIZE YOUR EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS, INCLUDING
- 7 OTHER NON-DUKE JOBS, EDUCATION, CERTIFICATIONS, AND
- 8 COMPANY SPONSORED COURSES AND TRAINING.
- 9 A. I went to Fort Mill High School in Fort Mill, SC. I worked as a
- 10 loomfixer, weaver, slubber tender, card tender, and drawing
- 11 tender, for Springs Mills Company, Inc.
- I am certified as a Level II QC/QA Welding Inspector. Also, I
- am certified as a welder with 200-300 process specifications. I went
- 14 to Duke sponsored welding, inspecting and mechanical maintenance
- 15 training courses. I have worked for Duke for approximately 8
- 16 years. I was a welding inspector for about 3 years. I am
- 17 presently attending the mechanical maintenance course.
- 18 Q. WHAT OTHER JOB POSITIONS HAVE YOU HELD WITH DUKE POWER
- 19 COMPANY?

- 1 A. I have worked in construction in the utility area and as a welder,
- 2 and as a QC Inspector.
- 3 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WHAT IS COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS
- 4 THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS WHICH WERE EXPRESSED IN
- 5 LATE 1981/EARLY 1982?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. DID YOU EXPRESS ANY CONCERNS AS A WELDING INSPECTOR TO
- 8 ANY OF THE TASK FORCES OR TO DUKE POWER MANAGEMENT?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. TO WHOM DID YOU EXPRESS YOUR CONCERNS?
- 11 A. I talked with Task Force I, the Technical Task Force, the
- 12 Non-Technical Task Force, and Gail Addis of the Duke personnel
- 13 office.
- 14 Q. WERE YOUR CONCERNS WRITTEN?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. DESCRIBE EACH DOCUMENT WHICH CONTAINS YOUR EXPRESSION
- 17 OF CONCERNS, AND INDICATE WHO IT WAS SUBMITTED TO.
- 18 A. My concerns are set forth in Attachment A to my testimony, which
- 19 is an eight page handwritten statement. I submitted this to my
- 20 supervisor who submitted it to the Task Force.
- 21 Q. DID YOU FEEL FREE TO EXPRESS ALL OF YOUR CONCERNS?
- 22 A. I did not feel that I could express my concerns to the NRC.
- During one of the first meetings with L. R. Davison and J. R.
- 24 Wells concerning the pay reclassification and recourse, someone

- asked if these issues could be taken to the NRC. We were told by
- either Mr. Davison or Mr. Wells, I do not remember which, that it
- 3 would be to our best interest not to take these issues to the NRC.
- 4 Although this occurred during the pay recourse meetings, I felt
- 5 that this applied to the specific concerns that were later turned in
- 6 to the Task Force. Several weeks later we received a memo stating
- 7 that we could talk to the NRC without any fear of retaliation.
- 8 Q. DID YOU EXPRESS ALL OF YOUR CONCERNS?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. ARE ALL OF YOUR CONCERNS INCLUDED IN THE DOCUMENT
- 11 ATTACHED TO YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE AND EXPLAIN WHAT YOU WERE TRYING TO
- 14 COMMUNICATE BY YOUR CONCERNS.
- 15 A. The intent of some of the QA Procedures was not being met. We
- were trained to follow the QA Procedures to the letter. There were
- 17 times when we were told not to follow certain procedures, but we
- 18 were not given an adequate explanation for not following the
- 19 procedures. These deviations from procedure were not frequent,
- 20 but the deviations were not explained to us. I did not feel
- 21 comfortable signing-off on an item where there was a deviation from
- 22 procedures that was not fully explained to me. This is what I was
- 23 trying to communicate to management.

- 1 Q. WERE YOUR CONCERNS INVESTIGATED BY THE TASK FORCES?
- 2 A. Yes, to my knowledge.
- 3 Q. DID YOU ATTEND ANY MEETINGS WITH TASK FORCE AND/OR QA
- 4 MANAGEMENT MEMBERS WHERE THE TASK FORCE FINDINGS,
- 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS WERE DISCUSSED?
- 6 A. Yes, but I can't provide you with the names of people or dates.
- 7 Q. WERE THERE ANY CHANGES MADE IN THE QA PROGRAM AFTER
- 8 THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS AND THE TASK FORCE
- 9 INVESTIGATION OF THESE CONCERNS?
- 10 A. I wasn't an Inspector long after this so, I can not answer this
- 11 question. I transferred back to the Construction Department.
- 12 Q. THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS HAVE BEEN
- 13 CHARACTERIZED AS CONCERNS ABOUT THE QUALITY AND
- 14 SAFETY OF CONSTRUCTION AT CATAWBA. DO YOU AGREE OR
- 15 DISAGREE WITH THAT CHARACTERIZATION?
- 16 A. To my knowledge the concerns that have been brought up would
- 17 not effect the safe operation of this plant.
- 18 Q. DID THE EXPRESSION OF YOUR CONCERNS INDICATE YOUR
- 19 BELIEF THAT THERE WAS A BREAKDOWN IN THE QA PROGRAM
- 20 OR INDICATE THAT THE QA PROGRAM WAS NO LONGER
- 21 WORKING?
- 22 A. I feel that at one time there were definite problems in the QA
- 23 program, but the QA Program was working in terms of identifying
- 24 construction deficiencies.

- 1 Q. DID YOUR CONCERNS REFLECT A BELIEF ON YOUR PART THAT
- THE CATAWBA PROJECT IS NOT BEING CONSTRUCTED SAFELY?
- 3 A. No, the job is safe.
- 4 Q. IN YOUR VIEW, HAS THE QA PROGRAM BEEN EFFECTIVE WHILE
- 5 YOU HAVE WORKED AS AN INSPECTOR AT CATAWBA?
- 6 A. The inspectors have been effective, but I feel that some parts of
- 7 the QA Program were not. Specifically, adequate explanations were
- 8 not provided when we were told to deviate from procedures.
- 9 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY DEFICIENCIES IN CONSTRUCTION OR IN
- 10 THE QA PROGRAM WHICH WOULD CAUSE YOU TO QUESTION
- 11 WHETHER CATAWBA IS SAFELY BUILT?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO YOUR
- 14 TESTIMONY?
- 15 A. I would like some follow-up to NCI #9085. In my concern of NCI
- 16 #9085, I stated that the long piece of pipe had three (3) different
- heat numbers on it. One at each end and one in the center.
- 18 The class of the system was Class E. The class of the pipe
- 19 used was Class B. Granted that heat traceability numbers are not
- 20 required in a Class E system, there was a possibility that some of
- 21 the pipe could be cut out and used elsewhere, due to the revision
- 22 of that system.
- 23 If this piece of pipe that had the wrong heat number was used
- 24 in a Class B, high pressure system, and it had a defect causing an

1	accident, how would or could it be found; who made the pipe; wher
2	they made it; what it was made of in order to justify or even try to
3	explain the accident.
4	
5	
6	
7	I hereby certify that I have read and understand this document, and
8	believe it to be my true, accurate and complete testimony.
9 10 11 12	Vernon C. Godfrey, St.
14	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Sworn to and subscribed before me this 22 day of September, 1983. **Retrie & Williams** Notary Public
21	
22	Commission Expires March 7, 1993

49 H. D. Boundary