



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 9 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-87
TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, ET AL.
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1
DOCKET NO. 50-445

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated April 22, 1991, and supplemented by letter dated November 4, 1991, Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (Appendix A to Facility Operating License No. NPF-87) for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Unit No. 1. The proposed changes would revise Sections 6.2 and 6.5 of the Technical Specification (TS) to reflect organizational and title changes at TU Electric. Specifically, the licensee has requested changes in the reporting responsibilities for the CPSES Independent Safety Engineering Group (ISEG) and the title of the Executive Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations.

2.0 EVALUATION

By application dated April 22, 1991 TU Electric proposed changes to CPSES technical specification paragraphs 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4 to redefine the reporting responsibilities of the ISEG. TS paragraphs 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4 require the ISEG recommendations and reports to be provided to the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering, who then reports to the Executive Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations. TU Electric has requested to change paragraphs 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3.4 to indicate that the ISEG reports directly to the Executive Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations. In the supplemental application dated November 4, 1991, TU Electric proposed to change the title of the Executive Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations to Group Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations.

The changes described above do not represent any changes that would adversely affect or endanger the health or safety of the general public or involve a significant safety hazard. The changes are administrative in nature and do not change plant operations or any commitments made by the licensee. Therefore, the staff finds the proposed changes acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Texas State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: S. Flanders

Date: March 6, 1992