A-78 p/1/83

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of
DUKE POWER COMPANY, et al.
(Catawba Nuclear Station,) Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-413

os. 50-413 50-414

TESTIMONY OF RICHARD JONES

- 1 Q. STATE YOUR NAME AND YOUR WORK ADDRESS.
- 2 A. Richard Jones, Catawba Nuclear Station, P.O. Box 223, Clover, SC
- 3 29710.
- 4 Q. WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT JOB WITH DUKE POWER COMPANY?
- 5 A. I am a Welding Inspector A in the Reactor Building Unit 2.
- 6 Q. SUMMARIZE YOUR EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS, INCLUDING
- 7 OTHER NON-DUKE JOBS, EDUCATION, CERTIFICATIONS, AND
- 8 COMPANY SPONSORED COURSES AND TRAINING.
- 9 A. I have 7 years welding experience in Tig, Fluxcore, Shielded, Mig
- 10 welding. I have 4 years Welding Inspector experience at Level II,
- and 6 months Level II PT inspection and Level I MT inspection.
- 12 Presently I have 81 credit hours toward an Associates Degree in
- 13 Business Administration.
- 14 Q. WHAT OTHER JOB POSITIONS HAVE YOU HELD WITH DUKE POWER
- 15 COMPANY?
- 16 A. I started working for Duke Power Company in 1972 in the utility
- 17 area. I transferred to the welding department six months later and
- 18 worked there until June 1974. I left Duke Power in 1974 and
- 19 returned in 1978 and worked as a welder from 1978-1979.

- 1 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WHAT IS COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS
- 2 THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS WHICH WERE EXPRESSED IN
- 3 LATE 1981/EARLY 1982?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THESE CONCERNS
- 6 WERE?
- 7 A. Technical aspects of the job relating to lack of support from upper
- 8 management.
- 9 Q. DID YOU EXPRESS ANY CONCERNS AS A WELDING INSPECTOR TO
- 10 ANY OF THE TASK FORCES OR TO DUKE POWER MANAGEMENT?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. TO WHOM DID YOU EXPRESS YOUR CONCERNS?
- 13 A. As I recall, I talked with the Task Force I, the Technical Task
- 14 Force, and Gail Addis.
- 15 Q. WERE YOUR CONCERNS WRITTEN?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. DESCRIBE EACH DOCUMENT WHICH CONTAINS YOUR EXPRESSION
- 18 OF CONCERNS, AND INDICATE WHO IT WAS SUBMITTED TO.
- 19 A. I gave a document to Beau Ross which dealt with a craft violation
- of process specifications that called for 1/2 inch cleanliness zone.
- 21 This was not detrimental to the safe operation of the plant but it
- 22 was a procedural violation.
- 23 Q. DID YOU FEEL FREE TO EXPRESS ALL OF YOUR CONCERNS?
- 24 A. Yes. As a matter of fact, Duke greatly emphasized the fact that all
- 25 concerns should be brought to their attention.
- 26 Q. DID YOU EXPRESS ALL OF YOUR CONCERNS?
- 27 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. DO THE DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO YOUR TESTIMONY AS
- 2 ATTACHMENT A REFLECT YOUR WRITTEN CONCERNS?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. ARE ALL OF YOUR CONCERNS INCLUDED IN THESE DOCUMENTS?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE AND EXPLAIN WHAT YOU WERE TRYING TO
- 7 COMMUNICATE BY YOUR CONCERNS.
- 8 A. The need for better relationships with upper management in
- 9 determining whether or not a violation had been committed. Also,
- 10 the need for tighter adherence to the procedures.
- 11 Q. WERE YOUR CONCERNS INVESTIGATED BY THE TASK FORCES?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. DID YOU ATTEND ANY MEETINGS WITH TASK FORCE AND/OR QA
- 14 MANAGEMENT MEMBERS WHERE THE TASK FORCE FINDINGS.
- 15 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS WERE DISCUSSED?
- 16 A. Yes, but I can't remember details. Numerous meetings were held
- 17 by the Task Forces and QA Management to find out exactly what
- 18 the concerns were. Later meetings were held for recommendations,
- 19 and finally conclusions were submitted.
- 20 Q. WERE THERE ANY CHANGES MADE IN THE QA PROGRAM AFTER
- 21 THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS AND THE TASK FORCE
- 22 INVESTIGATION OF THESE CONCERNS?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. DESCRIBE THE CHANGES OF WHICH YOU ARE AWARE IN THE QA
- 25 PROGRAM.
- 26 A. Better working relations with upper management have resulted.
- 27 Support has really been strengthened, and there has been a tighter
- 28 adherence to the procedures.

- 1 Q. TO WHAT EXTENT HAVE THESE CHANGES ADDRESSED ISSUES
- 2 RAISED BY THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS AND TO WHAT
- 3 EXTENT HAVE THESE CHANGES ADDRESSED YOUR PARTICULAR
- 4 CONCERNS?
- 5 A. The changes made have resolved the concern that I had.
- 6 Management is working to improve the relationship with QA.
- 7 Q. THE WELDING INSPECTOR CONCERNS HAVE BEEN
- 8 CHARACTERIZED AS CONCERNS ABOUT THE QUALITY AND
- 9 SAFETY OF CONSTRUCTION AT CATAWBA. DO YOU AGREE OR
- 10 DISAGREE WITH THAT CHARACTERIZATION?
- 11 A. I disagree because this department has been very strict on the
- 12 quality of this job. As for myself, I have a great deal of
- 13 confidence and professionalism about my work. I'm not going to
- 14 subject my name to criticism.
- 15 Q. DID THE EXPRESSION OF YOUR CONCERNS INDICATE YOUR
- 16 BELIEF THAT THERE WAS A BREAKDOWN IN THE QA PROGRAM
- 17 OR INDICATE THAT THE QA PROGRAM WAS NO LONGER
- 18 WORKING? EXPLAIN.
- 19 A. There has never been a breakdown in the QA Program nor has the
- 20 Program stopped functioning. Just like any other program, we
- 21 needed more support from upper management.
- 22 Q. DID YOUR CONCERNS REFLECT A BELIEF ON YOUR PART THAT
- 23 THE CATAWBA PROJECT IS NOT BEING CONSTRUCTED SAFELY?
- 24 A. Definitely not.
- 25 Q. IN YOUR VIEW, HAS THE QA PROGRAM BEEN EFFECTIVE WHILE
- 26 YOU HAVE WORKED AS AN INSPECTOR AT CATAWBA?
- 27 A. Yes, sometimes even over-effective, particular where Duke
- 28 procedures require more than is required by ASME codes.

1	Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY DEFICIENCIES IN CONSTRUCTION O	R IN
2	THE QA PROGRAM WHICH WOULD CAUSE YOU TO QUEST	ION
3	WHETHER CATAWBA IS SAFELY BUILT?	
4	A. No, I live close to Catawba and if anyone would mention	n a
5	deficiency it would be me.	
6	Q. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO Y	OUR
7	TESTIMONY?	
8	A. I have worked for Duke's QA program approximately four years	and
9	have witnessed a large portion of the construction and the qu	lity
10	involved. Therefore, in my own opinion Catawba QA is among	the
11	best and their shouldn't be any question about the safe operation	n of
12	the plant. I base this statement on my personal inspections	and
13	the efficiency of other inspectors.	
14		
15		
16		
17	I hereby certify that I have read and understand this document,	and
18	believe it to be my true, accurate and complete testimony.	
19		
20	A A Dun	
22	Richard Jones	-
23		
24		
25	Sworn to and subscribed before me	
27	this 13th day of September, 1983.	
26 27 29	A. AW.	
10	Merrie Dellamo	

32

To Whom it may concorers:

During A Routine inspection on a Manager, A clear cutolodism was found. The welder involved Did not clean the surface FREE OF built As REquiero By the process specification. The paint was within 18 of the joint Placeonee L-300, paragraph 5.22. specif-ICOLLY States; that, All Success up to 1/2" on EACH SIDE OF the Joint shall be FEEE From paint makking, CRAYON OR INK, ALKALINE CLEANER, RESIDUE, Etc. This paeticular incident was NON-Conformed and Brought to the ATTENTION OF Charles Baldwin. At this time I Was questioned as to the importance OF this NON CONFORMING HEM. I WAS then instructed to Disregard + LE Q-IA AND INSTRUCT CRAFT to CLEAN the paint Back Ikom the joint as REQUIRED By the PROCESS SPECIFICATION This pacticular CASE NOT ONly involves me But the Entire group OF WELDING INSPECTORS. What WE have is a lack of supposed trom

upper management. What happens when this violation occurs again. Do we initiate another QIA? Do WE mistruct craft to clean the material per grocess specification EVEN IF IT LAS alleraby BEEN VIOLATOOP "OR" DO WE just LOOK Over the Situations Because upper management FELT AS IF IT WAS INSIGNIFICATE! WE ALL STRIVE to DO THE BEST job that WE possing can. But it Decomes very Difficultiuhou you have no support, E4 cluding BEAU Ross. Stank You Dichard New Men

