METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

AND

PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

Operating License No. DPR-50
Docket No. 50-289
Technical Specification Change Request No. 134

This Technical Specification Change Request is submitted in support of Licensee's request to change Appendix A to Operating License No. DPR-50 for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1. As a part of this request, proposed replacement pages for Appendix A are also included.

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

Director, TMI-1

8310280115 831021 PDR ADDCK 05000289 PDR

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 21st day of Ottober, 1983.

Notary Public

JULIA E. PARK, Notary Public Middletown, Dauphin County, Ps. My Commission Expires Nov. 3, 1985

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

DOCKET NO. 50-289 LICENSE NO. DPR-50

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

This is to certify that a copy of Technical Specification Change Request No. 134 to Appendix A of the Operating License for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 1, has, on the date given below, been filed with the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and been served on the chief executives of Londonderry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania; Dauphin County, Pennsylvania; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, Bureau of Radiation Protection, by deposit in the United States mail, addressed as follows:

Mr. Jay H. Kopp, Chairman Board of Supervisors of Londonderry Township R. D. #1, Geyers Church Road Dauphin County Courthouse Middletown, PA 17057

Mr. John E. Minnich, Chairman Board of County Commissioners of Dauphin County Harrisburg, PA 17120

Mr. Thomas Gerusky, Director Penna. Dept. of Environmental Resources Bureau of Radiation Protection P.O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17120

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

irector, TMI-1

DATED: October 21, 1983

I. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST NO. 134

The Licensee requests that the attached pages replace FIGURE 6-1 of the existing Technical Specifications.

II. REASONS FOR CHANGE REQUEST

Current Technical Specifications identify the position of "Manager Plant Training, TMI-1". This position is being changed to "Manager Plant Training TMI". Many of the activities encompassed under this position such as General Employee Training, Security Training, Fire Brigade Training and Emergency Preparedness Training are the same for employees in each unit and do not warrant the maintenance of separate organizations or management. Licensed Operator Training and Radiological Controls Training, however, do warrant separate organizations which will be continued. Thus, Licensed Operator Training for both units, emcompassed under a Supervisor Licensed Operator Training, will retain separate groups of licensed operator instructors for each unit. Similarly, Radiological Controls Training which will provide some training on materials common to both units will as well have separate training programs for employees in each unit on plant specific characteristics, with the latter separate portion for Unit 2 being conducted by a training group under the Radiological Controls Division.

III. SAFETY EVALUATION JUSTIFING CHANGE

Licensed Operator Training for both units are encompassed under the Supervisor Licensed Operator Training who reports to the Manager Plant Training TMI via the Operator Training Manager. Separate groups of licensed operator instructors exist for each unit under the Supervisor Licensed Operator Training.

The proposed change will ensure an efficient use of manpower under a consolidated management structure. No unreviewed safety question or environmental concern is involved since the quality of Licensed Operator Training is not degraded.

IV. SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATIONS EVALUATION

The proposed structure of the training organization will not compromise the quality of Licensed Operator Training and does not:

- a) involve a significant increase in the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident previously evaluated because the change has no deleterious effect on plant operation.
- b) create the possibility for an accident or malfunction of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated since the change has no effect on plant systems or component design, operation or testing.
- c) involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety since the change is administrative in nature only. Therefore, no unreviewed safety questions or significant safety hazard are associated with this change.

V. LICENSE AMENDMENT FEE (10 CFR 170.22)

This change is administrative in nature (Class II). A check for \$1,200 is enclosed.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION

It is requested that this change become effective upon issuance of the amendment.