

233

RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED
USNRC

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

'84 JUL 12 11:58

OFFICE OF SECURITY
DOCKETING & SERVICES
BRANCH

In the Matter of:)
COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY)
(Byron Nuclear Station,)
Units 1 and 2))

Docket Nos. 50-454 *OL*
50-455 *OL*

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY'S
ANSWERS TO INTERVENORS' SECOND SET OF
INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatory 1. Were reinspectors in the reinspection program aware at any time of the identity of the inspector whose work he/she was reinspecting? If so, please state:

- a. In what manner the reinspector was made aware.
- b. At what point in the reinspection process the reinspector was made aware.

Answer: Yes, with minor exceptions. Virtually all types of reinspections were performed with the original inspection reports, so that reinspectors were aware of the initials or names of the original inspectors. Certain reinspections, particularly reinspections of "as built" dimensions, were performed without previously-generated data from inspectors, and therefore these reinspections did not provide the identity of inspectors to reinspectors.

For those reinspections in which reinspectors were aware of the identities of the original inspectors, the reinspectors were made aware of the identities before the

3503

reinspection occurred, at the time of receipt of the reinspection package.

Interrogatory 2. Were reinspectors aware of the results of the original inspector? If so, please state:

- a. In what manner the reinspector was made aware.
- b. At what point in the reinspection process the reinspector was made aware.

Answer: The answer provided in response to Interrogatory 1, above, is in large part pertinent here. For most of the reinspections in which the reinspectors were aware of the identity of the original inspectors, the reinspectors likewise were aware of the data generated by the original inspectors.

Interrogatory 3. Please describe in detail under what circumstances "third party review" (as that term is used in the final report) was done (e.g., objective inspections, subjective inspections, agreed reinspections, disagreed reinspections, etc.) including the identity of the third party.

Answer: "Third party review" was performed by Sargent & Lundy and by Daniels Construction personnel (due to a shortage of S&L inspectors). Third party review was utilized only for subjective (i.e., visual weld inspection) attributes and not for objective attributes. Such review was performed in all cases of disagreement between inspector and reinspectors, except in the rare instances in which an attribute was dispositioned between the time of reinspection and third party review. In addition, some third party

review was performed at the beginning of the reinspection program in order to familiarize the third party reviewers with the program and to ensure that reinspections were properly performed by contractor reinspectors. These early informal third party reviews encompassed both agreed and disagreed inspections.

Interrogatory 4. Please describe in detail under what circumstances "overinspections" (as that term is used in the final report) was done (e.g., objective inspections, subjective inspections, agreed reinspections, disagreed reinspections, etc.) including the identity of the overinspector.

Answer: The "overinspections" discussed in the February, 1984 Byron QC Inspector Reinspection Program Report referred to ^a unit concept inspection of the reinspection program performed by Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory. This unit concept inspection is discussed in Section IV of the February, 1984 report.

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

By: Bruce D. Becker
Bruce D. Becker
One of Its Attorneys

Isham, Lincoln & Beale
Three First National Plaza
Suite 5200
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 558-7500

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, one of the attorneys for Commonwealth Edison Company, certifies that on this date he filed two copies (plus the original) of the attached pleading with the Secretary of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and served a copy of the same on each of the persons at the addresses shown on the attached service list in the manner indicated.

Date: 7/10/84

Bruce D Becker

*Ivan W. Smith, Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Room 428, East West/West Towers
1350 East West Highway
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Ms. Diane Chavez
SAFE
528 Gregory Street
Rockford, Illinois 61108

Dr. A. Dixon Callihan
Administrative Judge
Union Carbide Corporation
P.O. Box Y
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Bruce von Zellen
Department of Biological Sciences
Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, Illinois 60115

Dr. Richard F. Cole
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

**Mr. Doug Cassel
BPI
109 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, Illinois 60602

**Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection of Enforcement
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Ms. Patricia Morrison
5568 Thunderidge Drive
Rockford, Illinois 61107

Ms. Betty Johnson
1907 Stratford Lane
Rockford, Illinois 61107

*Mr. Stephen Lewis
Office of the Executive Legal
Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7735 Old Georgetown Road
Room 9604
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Joseph Gallo, Esq.
Isham, Lincoln & Beale
Suite 840
1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dr. Reginald L. Gotchy
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
4350 East West Highway
3rd Floor - call 492-7663
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Alan S. Rosenthal, Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
4350 East West Highway
3rd Floor - call 492-7663
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

* service by Federal Express
** service by Messenger

Docketing & Service Section
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Howard A. Wilbur
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555