

APPENDIX A  
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.  
Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3

Docket No.: 50-382  
Operating License No.: NPF-38

During an NRC inspection conducted on December 17, 1991, through February 1, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1992), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires, in part, that written procedures shall be maintained covering the activities recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978.

Contrary to the above, on January 2, 1992, during stroke testing of the actuator for essential chilled water flow control Valve CHW-129AB, Section 8.10 of Maintenance Procedure MM-007-027, Revision 0, Change 2, "Hydramotors - Models NH92 and AH92 Removal, Maintenance, Testing and Installation," was found to be technically incorrect. Section 8.10 had been changed once before on December 20, 1990, because it could not be performed properly as written, due to inadequate technical reviews.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement I) (VIO 91-031-01)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc., is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,  
this *27th* day of *February* 1992