Continue Continue

in the Matter of:

CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY) DOCKET NOS. 50-329-0M (Midland, Units 1 & 2)

DEPOSITION OF GILBERT SMITH KEELTY

DATE: October 23, 1980 PAGES: 1 thru 87

AT: Midland, Michigan

ALDERSON __ REPORTING

400 Virginia Ave., S.W. Washington, D. C. 20024

Telephone: (202) 554-2345

WUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 3 In the matter of: Docket Nos. 50-329-0% 50-330-01 4 COMSUMERS POWER COMPANY Nuclear Regulatory Commission 6 Midland Service Center 1100 South Washington Street 7 Midland, Michigan 8 Thursday, October 23, 1980 Deposition of GILBERT SMITH KEELEY, a witness 10 herein, called for examination by Counsel for the Nuclear 11 Regulatory Commission in the above-entitled matter, pursuant 12 to notice, the witness being duly sworn by Marilyn Shockey, 13 at the Nuclear Pegulatory Commission, Midland Service 14 Center, 1100 South Washington Street, Midland, Michigan, 15 commencing at 8:15 o'clock a.m., Thursday, October 23, 1980, 16 and the proceedings being taken down by stenomask by Marilyn 17 Shockey and transcribed under her direction. 18 APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission: 20 WILLIAM FATON, Esq. BRADLEY JONES, Esq. 21 Nuclear Regulatory Commission' Varyland National Bank Building

Bethesda, Maryland

The same of the sa

22

23

24

25

CONTESTS

à

| 2 | WITNESS | PAGE |
|---|----------------------|------|
| 3 | Gilbert Smith Reeley | 3 |
| 4 | | |

EXHIBITS

| NUMBER | MARKED | RECEIVED |
|--------|--------|----------|
| No. 1 | 44 | |

2 2 0 0 2 2 2 2 3 5

The World Control of the Control of

- 2 Thereupon,
- GILBERT SYITH KEELEY
- 4 the deponent herein, called for examination by Counsel for
- 5 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, having been duly sworn by
- 6 the Court Report, was examined, and testified as follows:
- 7 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
- 8 SY MR. PATON:
- 9 o Would you state your name and business address,
- 10 please?
- 11 A My name is Gilbert Smith Keeley.
- 12 Business address is Consumers Power Company, 1945
- 13 West Parnell Road, Jackson, Michigan.
- 14 2 Mr. Kelley, what is your present position with
- 15 Consumers Power Company?
- 16 A My present position is Project Manager on the
- 17 fidland Nuclear Plant.
- 18 2 Do you spend most of your time in Jackson,
- 19 Michigan?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 o you know whether, in the last two years, there
- 22 has been any damage to the dike around the cooling pond at
- 23 Midland?
- 24 A Yes, there has been.
- 25 C Can you tell us how that came about?

- 1 A It came about due to ice damage on one side of the
- 2 dike during the winter, due to wind blowing the ice.
- 3 Q Does the word wave action have anything to do with
- 4 that damage, do you know?
- 5 A Tave action?
- 6 Q Is that a word or expression that is familiar to
- 7 you? Do you know what that means?
- 8 A Wave action, to my knowledge, usually means action
- 9 by the wind creating waves in a body of water.
- 10 C Did that concept have anything to do with the
- If damage that you are discussing?
- 12 % I do not know. Eased on my recollection it was a
- 13 combination of primarily ice blowing due to being carried by
- 14 wave action.
- 15 Q That damage was last winter, to your recollection?
- 16 A l'helieve it was last winter. Yes.
- 17 C Was there any damage to the rip rap?
- 18 A The mip map was moved around. If that is your
- · 19 term damage, yes.
 - 20 0 Did it cause -- did you make some repairs?
 - 21 A No. The repairs haven't been made yet.
 - 22 Q Do you recall what the velocity of the wind was
 - 23 when the damage was done?
 - 24 A No. I do not.
 - 25 Q Was the wind a contributing factor to the damage?

1 A . It is my understanding that the wind was a

COLUMN A THE COLUMN ASSESSMENT OF THE COLUMN A

- 2 contributing factor.
- 3 C Would you describe the damage?

the way to the same of the sam

- 4 h I have not inspected the damage, as such. I
- 5 understand it is due to some of the mip map being noved a
- 6 bit.
- 7 C You say some of the mip map was moved a bit.
- 8 Could it have been as much as 200 feet of mip map?
- 9 MR. ZAMARIN: Before we go on, can you tell me how
- 10 this is related in any way?
- 11 MR. PATON: It is the dike.
- 12 MR. ZAMARIN: Doesn't this have to do with the
- 13 soils problem?
- 14 MR. PATON: That is what I am getting at. The
- 15 dike, the soils in the dike.
- 16 MR. ZAMARIN: Ice blowing against the dike is
- 17 somehow related to soils? I just don't see it.
- 18 MR. PATON: I would like the record to show that I
- 19 have started interrogation of Mr. Keeley about some damage
- 20 to the dike which I had couched in terms of mip map. I have
- 2) just 5' ted my interrogation and I believe Mr. Zamarin is
- 22 now objecting to the interrogation.
- 23 If he has any objection I will let him place them
- 24 on the record. But I think it is not an appropriate
- 25 objection. I think it is interfering again with my line of

2 I think Mr. Ismarin interrogated our witnesses at

3 length about the dike and I see no possible or appropriate

4 objection to my interrogation at this point.

8 MR. LAMARIN: That is because I haven't stated 6 it. I object to that line of questioning because it appears 7 to be clearly outside the purview of any interpretation or 8 conception of the issues in this hearing which relate to 9 soils. And you are talking about ice having possibly caused 10 damage to the rip rap on the dike. That simply has nothing 11 whatsoever to do with soils.

I have asked you to indicate to my how it has to 13 do with soils. We had a discussion off the record and you 14 were not able to do that. So I object.

If it has something to do with soils, sure, I

16 agree, you are entitled to ask him about it, but I haven't

17 seen that yet. Just because there is soil in the dike

18 doesn't mean that you are entitled to inquire about bird

19 droppings on it. That's all I've got.

20 MR. PATON: I do not recall up until your

21 statement any reference to bird droppings.

22 ME. ZAMARIN: I meant nevel droppings.

23 MR. PATON: My interrogation had just begun and I 24 will continue and interrogate Mr. Kelley as to any 25 connection between the damage to the dike and/or the mip map

- 1 and in connection with the soils problem.
- 2 BY MB. PATON: (Besuming)
- 3 Q Mr. Kelley, I believe my last question to you was
- 4 to describe the damage. Would you do that?
- 5 MR. IAMARIN: Before we go on, I think you said
- 6 you were going to interrogate to establish a connection.
- 7 MR. PATON: That is why I am asking him questions
- 8 in that direction.

The War in the second

- s MR. CAMARIN: Until you really have some
- 10 foundation --
- 11 MR. PATON: That is where I am sping. If you don't
- 12 like that particular question, I can't help it, but that is
- 13 the direction I'm going.
- 14 MR. ZAMARIN: It is not the particular question.
- 15 We had the discussion off the record. Maybe we should have
- 16 it on.
- 17 Can you tell me in what way this rip rap damage is
- 18 related to the soils issue in the hearing?
- 19 MR. PATCH: I want to ask Mr. Keeley questions in
- 20 that direction. I am now going to ask Mr. Keeley questions
- 21 that his answers may or may not connect the damage to the
- 22 soils problem. That is exactly where I am going with these
- 23 questions.
- 24 Is it your statement that I have to first
- 25 establish with you exactly where f am going so that you can

1 then permit me, whether or not I should proceed in that 2 direction?

3 MR. ZAMARIN: No, I don't want to know where you 4 are going. I want to know where you have been. It seems 5 that where you have been doesn't have anything to do with 6 soils, and I want to know if they have a connection. If so, 7 fine. That is perfectly legitimate.

9 think I have just gotten started and I have been interrupted 10 so many times that I really haven't gotten very far with Xr. 11 Keeley on this subject yet.

12 But I would like to proceed to connect. I'm not
13 saying I can or cannot. I want to ask Mr. Keeley some
14 questions to see if there is a connection.

I have been told there is a connection and I would

16 like to interpodate Mr. Keeley. I don't think I have to

17 explain to you precisely what I have been told that leads no

18 to believe that there may be a connection.

19 MR. CAMABIN: You have been told by Ray Gonzales
20 that there was a connection between the damage and the soils
21 in Yidland?

23 Yes.

24 MR. IRMARIN: Go ahead. We will find out what Mr. 25 Gonzales has to say about this.

1 BY MP. PATON: (Resuming)

The man was a second

- 2 g Mr. Keeley, would you describe the damage?
- 3 A As I indicated, I have not inspected the damage.
- 4 It is my understanding that there has been some rip rap
- 5 moved around due to the ice and wave action.
- 6 Q What is the purpose of the mip map being where it
- 8 A The mip map was to provide protection against ice
- 9 or wind wave action to the soils in the dike.
- 10 c The mip map is to protect the soils in the dike
- 11 from what?

7 is?

- 12 A From wind wave action, ice damage, any floating
- 13 objects that might be in the pond.
- 14 Q What I meant was, if you had wind or wave action,
- 15 or ice damage, what would happen to the dike?
- 16 I will rephrase my question. What is it
- 17 protecting it from? What would happen if it were not
- 18 protected? Answer the last question. What would happen if
- 19 it wasn't protected?
- 20 MR. ZAMARIN: Are you asking him for the specific
- 21 injury to the dike?
- 22 MB. PATON: I am asking him what would happen to
- 23 the dike if it wasn't protected.
- 24 MR. IAMARIN: He has told you that.
- 25 MR. PATON: No, he hasn't.

- 1 MR. ZAMARIN: I think you are asking for the
- 2 specific injury.
- 3 MR. PATON: Mr. Lamarin, would you please stop
- 4 interfering with my interrogation. You have interfered
- 5 constantly.
- 6 All I want to do is to ask the witness. The
- 7 witness does not appear to be having any difficulty, and
- 8 your constant interruptions are, I think, unduly interferin
- 9 with my interrogation.
- 10 MR. ZAMARIN. I know you think that. I disagree
- 11 with you. I think I am right. That question has been asked
- 12 and answered.
- 13 BY MP. PATON: (Resuming)
- 14 2 Mr. Keeley what was the mip map designed to
- 15 protect -- strike that.
- 16 That would happen to the dike if it wasn't
- 17 protected by the mip map?
- 18 A With wind and wave action, ice, such as that, it
- 19 would tend to slough off the surface of the dike.
- 20 c Slough off the surface of the dike. Is that bad
- 21 A Yes, it is bad.
- 22 C Why is it bad?
- Because you would lose some surface volume of th
- 24 dike.
- 25 I am clear on that. Is that bad?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 C Why is that had?
- 3 A Because eventually you could end up developing
- 4 leaks in the dike if you let it progress to a far enough
- 5 point.
- 6 2 Is the fact that the rip rap was moved around a
- 7 bit, in your opinion, an accurate description of the damage
- 8 to the rip rap?
- 9 MR. CAMARIN: I continue to object to this whole
- 10 line of questioning as being wholly outside the scope. You
- 11 can answer if you have anything to say.

the worlden and the second

- 12 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question,
- 13 please?
- 14 BY ME. PATON: (Resuming)
- 15 C To you consider that, what I recall your statement
- 16 to he, that the mip map was moved around a bit? Is that in
- 17 your opinion an accurate description of the damage to the
- 18 mip map?
- 19 A Based upon my knowledge, that is my
- 20 interpretation. Yes.
- 21 2 As Project Manager of Midland, is this type of
- 22 incident -- and I am talking about the damage to the mip map
- 23 in this case -- reported to you?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 ? How is it reported to you?

- 1 A It is reported to be usually verbally, and then I 2 receive copies, usually copies of correspondence concerning 3 the problem.
- 4 Q Was it reported to you verbally in this case?
- 5 A To the best of my knowledge, it was.
- 5 C Did you also receive a written report?
- 7 A I ion't remember whether I received a written
- 8 report or not.
- 9 Q Is the rip rap on the dike?
- 10 A The rip rap is on the slopes of the dike. Yes.
- 11 C In your judgment -- strike that.
- 12 In your judgment, could the function of the rip
- 13 rap be affected by the quality of the soil in the slope of
- 14 the dike where the rip map is?
- 15 A It is my opinion that it could not be.
- 16 Q Within the Consumers organization, who would be
- 17 most concerned with the damage? Who would be most directly
- 18 concerned with the damage to the rip rap?
- 19 MR. ZAMABIN: I will object to the form of the
- 20 question and the use of directly concerned being vague and
- 21 ambiguous.
- 22 MR. PATON: Can you answer the question?
- 33 MR. ZAMARIN: If he knows what it means, he can.
- 24 THE WITNESS: The responsibility of activities in
- 25 non-Q list areas for these construction activities on-site

1 rests with Tom Cooke and the organization that reports to 2 him.

- 3 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 4 C Is there anybody below Tom Cooke in the
- 5 organization that would be concerned with this problem?
- 6 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the
- 7 question. You may answer if you can.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Don Sibbald reports to Tom Cooke and a
- 9 has been the field engineer responsible for working with the
- 10 subcontractor on the dike, so he would be directly involved.
- 11 SY MR. PATON: (Besuming)
- 12 0 00 you know who placed -- what company placed --
- 13 the rip rap?
- 14 A No, I do not.
- 15 0 Do you know whether the fact that the dike -- I'm
- 16 sorry, that the rip rap has been moved around a bit has
- 17 caused any sloughing off of the surface of the dike, since
- 18 it happened last winter?
- 19 A There have been inspections and, based upon my
- 20 recollection, there has not been any damage to the soils.
- 21 O Do you plan to make any repairs to the rip rap?
- 22 A Yes, we 10.
- 23 0 What will you do?
- 24 A It is my understanding that the areas where the
- 25 rip rap has been moved around will be repaired by putting

- 1 larger mip map in place.
- 2 G Have you reached a conclusion that the mip map
- 3 that was placed there originally was not as large as it
- 4 should have been?
- 5 A . Based upon the results, or what has happened, yes.
- 7 :epairs?
- 8 A It is my understanding they will be made late this
- 9 fall. Those are the plans.
- 10 C Why do you wait to make those repairs?
- 11 MR. IAMARIN: Again I object. Do you think you
- 12 have established a connection to the soils issue in this
- 13 case yet? We have been at it for 25 minutes and, again, I
- 14 find it difficult to believe as I sit here that someone who
- 15 is purportedly an expect within the NRC sta. " has indicated
- 16 a connection between the soils issue in this hearing and
- 17 this mip map damage. That you are either unvilling or
- 18 unable to tell us, and you certainly haven't established it.
- 19 I don't think, based on that, that your motive is
- 20 appropriate in this deposition.
- 21 22. PATON: Mr. Zamarin has challenged my notive
- 22 in this deposition. I don't think that Mr. Zamarin should
- 23 set himself up as the judge of my notive and interfere with
- 24 my interrogation.
- 25 I think if he believes my interrogation to be

- 1 improper that he should instruct the witness not to answer
- 2 the question and if the staff feels that the question should
- 3 be certified to the Licensing Board, we can do that.

THE RESERVE THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

We want to the second

- I don't think it appropriate that you constantly
- 5 interfere. I will proffer for the record what to me seems
- 6 to be overwhelming clear as to the relevance of this issue,
- 7 even though I see no need to do that. I will do that.
- 8 I would again request that you terminate
- 9 inteference with my interrogation, but I will proffer for
- 10 the record that the witness has stated that the rip rap is
- 11 there for the purpose of preventing their being a sloughing
- 12 off of the surface of the di's and that if there was too
- 13 much of that there would be leaks in the dike.
- 14 You interrogated the staff witnesses about the
- 15 dike and now you are going to a lot of trouble to objection
- 16 to my interrogating the witness about the mip map and the
- 17 stability of the dike.
- 18 MR. ZAMARIN: This is what I thought you perhaps
- 19 thought the connection was and --
- 20 MR. PATON: I would say I have not finished my
- 21 interrogation.
- 22 YR. ZAMARIN: You just stated what you think the
- 23 connection is.
- 24 MR. PATCN: My next question would be whether the
- 25 slouching off would consolidate the soil that was not

properly compacted in the dike.

2 MR. ZAMARIN: There isn't, in my knowledge. And 3 there's been no sloughing off.

Let be also make a statement. You talk about interrupting, and there is a difference between simply interrupting and a lawyer doing his job. I think I know what it is for a lawyer to do his job properly, and perhaps 8 you don't.

9 MR. PATON: I am convinced of the fact that you think you know exactly what you were doing, Mr. Zamarin.

11 You have told us that over and over and over, and I will to stipulate that you think you know what you are doing.

13 MB. ZAMARIN: I think you have demonstrated that 14 you don't.

MR. PATON: And I will stipulate that you keep 16 jabbing at me, that you know or that I do. And I will 17 stipulate that that is clear in your mind.

18 MR. TAMARIN: All right. And I will continue to 19 object when you ask impropel questions.

20 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

21 Q Mr. Keeley, let me ask you this question.

22 Would the sloughing off of the surface of the dike

23 be accentuated if the soil in the dike was not properly

24 compacted?

25 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the

- 1 riestion. You can answer if you want. There is an
- 2 objection to the form of the question.

THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA

With the same of t

- 3 You refer to sloughing. I don't think there is
- 4 any foundation for that.
- 5 THE WITHESS: Would you repeat the question,
- 6 please?
- 7 MB. INMARIN: Why don't you have her read it back?
- 8 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: We assume that if there was no rip
- 10 rap on the dike and if you assume that the soil had not been
- 11 compacted, there would be a difference in the rate of
- 12 sloughing off.
- 13 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 14 ? Would this sloughing off he increased?
- 15 à Yes.
- 16 0 Do you know whether the soil on the dike was
- 17 properly compacted?
- 18 A It is my opinion that it was properly compacted.
- 19 Q What standard do you use in making that statement?
- 20 A Because we have had no evidence of settlement to
- 21 amount to anything on the dike.
- 22 ? You say to amorat to anything. Has there been any
- 23 settlement?
- 24 A There was some indications in one corner, I
- 25 believe, of settlement. But that was some time ago and

I since that time there has been no evidence of settlement.

2 2 Do you know if that indication of settlement was 3 reported to the NRC?

4 A It was reported to the NRC.

5 Q. Am I correct that you stated the the reason that 6 you think it was properly compacted is that there has been 7 on indication since it was constructed that there was any 8 settlement? Is that accurate?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q To you know what standard, if any, was used for 11 compaction when it was being built?

12 MB. IAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.

13 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question,

14 please?

(The pending question was read by the reporter.)

16 THE WITNESS: I guess I would have to ask you what

17 is meant by standard.

18 BY MR. PATON: (Pesuming)

19 0 Does the word have any meaning to you?

20 MR. TAMARIN: I will object to that as being

21 argumentative. A standard is a flag. A standard is a

22 banner. A standard could be a spec. You asked him about

23 standards before when you indicated settlement. I don't

24 think that is an appropriate question. It is argumentative.

25 MR. PATON: Could you answer the question?

- 1 MR. ZAMARIN: No. There is no obligation for him 2 to try and guess as what you are asking him. If you have
- 3 something in mind on your question. He has indicated he has
- 4 a problem with the question. Fephrase it. If you can't
- 5 then move on.
- 6 MR. PATON: Mr. Zamarin, I would again request
- 7 that if you instruct your witness not to answer the
- 8 question. We don't need lengthy explanations.

Mary Mary and the second of th

- 9 MR. ZAMARIN: I will put whatever I want on the
- 10 record. Let's move on.
- 11 MR. PATON: Now you are objecting and interfering
- 12 with me again, and then instructing me to move on. Is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 Mg. ZAMARIN: Yes, it is.
- 15 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 16 2 Mr. Keeley, what was your title when the dike was
- 17 being constructed?
- 18 A I had two titles, or two jobs, when the dike was
- 19 being constructed. I was Director of Engineering Services
- 20 and I was Director of Quality Assurance.
- 21 . C Can you tell me what year that was?
- 22 A I was Director of Engineering Services until
- 23 1973. Then, in late 1973 -- November, 1973 -- I was made
- 24 Director of Quality Assurance until July of 1975.
- 25 C Can you tell me what years it was that the dike

1 was being constructed -- year or years?

- 2 A To the best of my knowledge, it was prior to 1975.
- 3 Q Did it take more than a year?
- 4 A Yes.

5 C - By that, you mean it was completed in 1975 or.

6 before 1975?

- 7 A I believe it was completed before 1975.
- 8 0 Would it be accurate to say that approximately 200
- 9 feet of rip rap was moved around a bit, or disturbed?
- 10 A I do not know.
- 11 C Why do you wait until the fall to repair it? Why
- 12 didn't you repair it might away?
- 13 A We have to get a subcontractor and get the stone
- 14 available, or the mip map.
- 15 Q Am I correct that you see no immediate danger or
- 16 problem from the fact that the rip rap has been moved around

17 a bit?

- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 C Does the dike around the cooling pond have any
- 20 safety function, in your opinion?
- 21 A No.
- 22 C Does that include the portion of the dike
- 23 immediately adjacent to the inner pond?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 C Is the laner pond a Category Che structure?

- 1 A Could you define what you mean by inner pond?
- 2 C Do you know what I mean by the thirty-day pond --
- 3 the emergency pond?
- 4 à Yes.
- 5 . Q The energency pond. Is that a Category Che
- 6 structure?
- 7 A Yes. That is a Category One. To me, a structure
- 8 normally is a building.
- 9 Q What would you call it? A Category Che what?
- 10 A It is a Category One system.
- 11 2 Am I correct that your opinion is that no
- 12 malfunction of the dike could affect that Category One
- 13 system?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 C Zz. Keeley, do you know the location of the
- 16 service water discharge lines in the area of the emergency
- 17 pond?
- 18 A I know the relative location. Yes.
- 19 C What is that relative location?
- 20 A It is adjacent to the diversion dike. It runs out
- 21 on each side of the emergency cooling pond and on the north
- 22 side dike for the other line.
- 23 C So there is one of these lines on both sides of
- 24 the exergency pond?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 2 Is it your opinion that no malfunction or failing
- 2 of the dike could affect the service water discharge lines?
- 3 A That is my opinion. Yes.
- 4 (A brief recess was taken.)
- 5 BY MR. FATON: (Resuming)
- 6 C Mr. Keeley, do you know of any studies on the
- 7 consequences of cooling pond dike failures?
- 8 % I'm not aware of any. No.
- 9 ? Is there mip map around the entire cooling pond
- 10 dike?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Is it the same amount of rip rap all around the
- 13 dike? Or does it vary in places?
- 14 A I do not know.
- 15 C Does the expression 1974 show cause proceeding
- 16 mean anything to you?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 2 Did you testify at that proceeding?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 C Did you read the decision of the Licensing Board
- 21 which resulted from that decision?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
- 23 C Did the Licensing Board quote you in their
- 24 decision?
- 25 A This I don't remember.

- Can you tell me the substance of your testimony at
- 2 that proceeding?

- 3 A Could you define what you mean by substance?
- 4 Q Yes, sir.
- 5 . What subject -- I will strike that question and
- 6 ask you a different question.
- 7 What subject did you address in your testimony?
- 8 A The subject was quality assurance, including the
- 9 Consumers Fower Company quality assurance program.
- 10 Q What did you say about the quality assurance
- 11 program?
- 12 MR. IAMARIN: I will object to the form of the
- 13 question.
- 14 MR. PATON: All right.
- 15 Can you summarize your testimony?
- 16 THE WITNESS: I believe a summary would be to the
- 17 effect that we, Consumers Power Company, had reorganized and
- 18 built up a quality assurance group that had more manpower
- 19 than previously and had written up policies and procedures
- 20 to implement that quality assurance program. And that the
- 21 management was being directly involved in the program and
- 22 keeping up-dated on the program itself, including activities
- 23 in problem areas.
- 24 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 25 C Are you aware of the fact that Consumers Power has

- 1 recently reorganized its quality assurance organization?
- 2 A Could you define the term recently?
- 3 Q Within the last two or three months?
- 4 à Yes.
- 5 & Do you know whether, in that reorganization;
- 6 Consumers is taking the position that they now have more
- 7 manpower in its quality assurance organization?
- 8 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know whether Consumers is
- 10 taking that position. It is my understanding that there is
- 11 more manpower directly under Consumers' control.
- 12 EY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 13 Q Do you know whether the claims that are being made
- 14 by Consumers with respect to its newly reorganized quality
- 15 assurance organization are very similar to the statements
- 16 that you made when you referenced your summarized testimony
- 17 in the 1974 show cause proceeding?
- 18 MR. ZAMARIN: I will object to the form of the
- 19 question when you ask him about claims.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I do not know what you mean by
- 21 claims.
- 22 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 23 C Do you know what it is hoped will be achieved by
- 24 the new quality assurance organization?
- 25 A One thing I am aware of it is to achieve -- or two

- 1 things are the direct control and supervision of all
- 2 quality assurance on the job and to the prevention of
- 3 overlap between separate organizations.

The second secon

The work of the second of the second

- 4 Q Is the new quality assurance organization going to
- 5 have more manpower?
- 6 A It is going to have more manpower reporting and
- 7 under the direction of Consumers Power Company.
- 8 Q Will it have new policies and procedures?
- 9 A New policies and procedures will have to be
- 10 written and have been written, or are in the process of
- 11 being written to cover this. Yes.
- 12 C Will top management at Consumers Fower be more
- 13 closely involved with quality assurance activities than they
- 14 were prior to the reorganization?
- 15 A I do not believe so.
- 16 Q Will there be any difference under the new
- 17 reorganization with recard to the participation of top
- 18 management of Consumers Power?
- 19 A Not that I am aware of ..
- 20 g You are aware of the problem with respect to soils
- 21 at the diesel generator building?
- 22 A Yes, I am.
- 23 Q And are there problems with soils at other areas
- 24 of the power block?
- 25 A Yes. There is.

- 1 o Do those problems, in your opinion, result from
- 2 improper implementation of quality assurance procedures?
- 3 A I'm not sure what you mean by quality assurance
- 4 procedures. That is a mather broad term.
- 5 Q. Did you have a quality assurance program
- 6 applicable to the placement of those soils?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So you had a quality assurance program applicable
- 9 to the placement of the soils?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Under that program, were there certain procedures
- 12 that were to be followed to assure that the soils were
- 13 properly placed?
- 14 A Yas.
- 15 C Were those procedures always followed?
- 16 a No. Not in its entirety. Not in their entirety.
- 17 C Did the quality assurance program reveal that
- 18 errors were made in the testing and placement of soils?
- 19 MR. ZAMARIN: May I have that question read back
- 20 please?
- 21 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 22 MR. CAMARIN: I object to the form of the
- 23 question. The reason I am objecting is that placement -- I
- 24 don't know whether you are talking about where it was placed
- 25 or whether it was compacted properly, or what. It is on

- 1 that basis that I object to the form of the question.
- 2 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

The Mark Street of the Street

- 3 Q Would you agree with the expression filling
- 4 operations as accurately describing the placing of the soils?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q What word do you use to describe the placing of
- 7 the soils?
- 8 A To me, it is not only filling but also compaction.
- 9 C Filling and compaction of the soils. Is that an
- 10 expression that you use?
- 11 à Yes.
- 12 C Were errors made in the filling and compaction of
- 13 the soils in the power block area?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 3 What kind of errors were made?
- 16 A I can't remember all of the errors that were
- 17 made. These were discussed by our quality assurance people
- 18 and with the staff in July of 1879, and were issued
- 19 subsequent to that meeting via a 50.55(e) report.
- 20 Can you tell me one of the two more significant
- 21 areas that you recall?
- 22 A Based upon my memory, I would say errors in
- 23 testing.
- 24 Q What were the errors in testing that were made?
- 25 A Again, based upon my memory, it was not using the

- 1 proper Proctor curves.
- 2 C Whose error was that?
- 3 A That was U.S. Testing people during the testing.
- 4 Q Would proper implementation of your CA program
- 5 have detected those errors?
- 6 A I find that question difficult to answer because
- 7 the Consumers' CA program is to conduct surveillance and
- 8 audits. It is not to do the hands-on testing itself.
- 9 Q I realize that. My question is whether proper
- 10 implementation of Consumers' QA program would have revealed
- if that errors in testing had been made?
- 12 A Yes, I believe it would have.
- 13 C Do you know why your CA program did not reveal
- 14 those errors?
- 15 A No, I do not.
- 16 C When did filling and compaction of the soils --
- 17 in what year did filling and compaction of the soils begin?
- 18 A 1975.
 - 19 Q What was your title at that time?
- 20 A Project Manager.
- 21 C In 1975 how many people on the site spend 100
- 22 percent of their time on QA or QC work for Consumers Power?
- 23 MR. TAMARIN: Do you mean employed by Consumers?
- 24 MR. PATON: Yes.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I believe at that time there were

- 1 approximately five or six.
- 2 BY MR. FATON: (Resuming)

The same of the sa

- 3 Q What was the title of the person who was the top
- 4 of that group of five or six in responsibility?
- 5 A Jerry Corley.
- 6 Q Am I correct that you were Director of Quality
- 7 Assurance until July of 1975?
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q You have testified that proper implementation of
- 10 your CA program would have revealed the inadequacies of the
- If tests and that that led to the soils problem that now exists
- 12 at the site. Is that an accurate statement?
- 13 MR. ZAMAFIN: I will object to the
- 14 characterization. One, I don't think anyone has talked
- 15 about inadequacies of the tests. There were errors in
- 16 testing we were talking about.
- 17 MR. PATON: I agree.
- 18 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 19 C Mr. Keeley, you testified that there were errors
- 20 in testing the soils.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q You testified that proper implementation of your
- 23 own QA program would have detected these errors. Is that
- 24 =1 ch t?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 C I asked you why implementation of your 14 program
 2 did not detect these errors. Am I correct that you said you
 3 did not know?
- 4 h That is correct.
- 5 C Does that indicate to you any breakdown in .
- 6 implementation of your CA program?
- 7 A You asked me if I knew. I said no because I was
- 8 not responsible for QA during this period, so I can't answer
- 9 the question.
- 10 Q You were Director of Quality Assurance until July
- 11 of 1975?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 c And then your title became Project Manager?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 C Did you ever, at any time, determine why your CA
- 16 program did not detect the errors in testing the soils?
- 17 ME. INMARIN: By you you are referring to Gil
- 18 Keeley as opposed to --
- 19 MR. PATON: Did you? Did you ever personally?
- 20 Did you, Mr. Keeeley?
- 21 THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
- 22 BY MR. PATCH: (Resuming)
- 23 O Pid Consumers Power ever?
- 24 A Yes, I believe they did.
- 25 0 What did they determine?

- 1 A As I testified before, that was discussed with the 2 staff in the meeting in July. It was provided to them in 3 the detailed document issued as 50.55(e).
- 4 C But that doesn't tell me. You are telling me that 5 there is an answer that exists on a piece of paper 6 somewhere. Do you know the reason that was determined by 7 Consumers Power?
- 3 A I cannot recall it without going to the document. 9 No.
- 10 C You don't recall, for example, whether it related
 11 to any breakdown in the CA program?
- 12 A I don't understand the relationship of that
 13 question to the one that you previously asked.
- 14 C I asked you why -- I will go back and do the whole 15 thing again.
- 16 You said there were errors in testing the soils.
- 17 You said that proper implementation of your CA program would
 18 have detected those errors.
- Now I am asking you, do you have any conclusion as 20 to whether that represents any kind of a breakdown in the CA 21 program?
- 22 MR. ZAMARIN: I think you asked that. And I think 23 he answered that.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I believe I already answered a 25 different type question that there was a problem in the QA

program. That it should have found that problem, in my

2 opinion.

3 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

4 Q You say there was a problem in the 1% program.

5 Was that the only problem in the QA program with respect to

6 soils?

7 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the

8 question. You can answer it.

9 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that because I wasn't

10 involved in the details of evaluating the QA program on

11 soils.

12 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

13 Q Mr. Keeley, you are the Director of Quality

14 Assurance on the site until 1975 and you then became the

15 Project Manager. You remained on the site 100 percent of

16 your time at that time -- during 1975?

17 A I've never been on the site 100 percent of my time.

18 Q For the last half of 1975, when you were the

19 Project Manager, how much of your normal working hours were

20 spent on the working site? What percentage of your working

21 hours were spent on the site?

22 A 20 percent.

23 Q In subsequent years, since 1975 until now,

24 approximately what percent of your time is spent on the site?

25 A Approximately 15 percent.

1 Q Your statement is that there was a problem with 2 the QA program with respect to testing soils. Is that 3 accurate?

Maria Carrier Commence of the second

- A That is accurate, and it is based upon my 5 knowledge of what was discussed with the staff and presented 6 in the 50.55(e) report.
- 7 Q But is it accurate that you do not know of any 8 other problems in the QA program except the one we have just 9 been discussing, since July of 1975?
- 10 A I don't know what you mean by problems in the QA
 11 program.
- 12 Q You used the word, sir. You said there was a 13 problem in the CA program.
- 14 A But you only addressed that to soils. Now you 15 have opened it up to problems in the CA program.
- 16 C You said there was a problem with the CA program
 17 with respect to soils. What was that problem?
- 18 A I testified before that I felt the problem was in 19 the testing area and other problems in the CA program with 20 respect to soils had been discussed with the staff and 21 provided to them in the 50.55(e) report.
- 22 2 I am asking your knowledge as to what those other 23 problems were.
- 24 MR. ZAMARIN: That has been asked and answered. 25 He testified he doesn't recall. He can't recall without

- 1 going to the 50.55(e) report.
- 2 MR. PATON: Okey. Is that your answer?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 5 of Does that answer apply to a period in time, July,
- 6 1975 through the present time?
- 7 IR. ZAMARIN: I will object to the form of the
- 8 question. It is so vague.
- 9 MR. PATON: He gave me an answer. I asked him
- 10 does that apply to the July, '75, to the present.
- 11 MR. ZAMARIN: Does what apply? That he can't
- 12 recall?
- 13 MR. PATON: Yes, that he can't recall any other
- 14 problems with the QA program -- that he does not personally
- 15 meneaber.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Again, I would have to ask you what
- 17 is meant by other problems with the CA program.
- 18 BY MF. PATON: (Resuming)
- 19 Q Mr. Keeley, I thought you just said to me a minute
- 20 ago that you didn't remember any other problems with the QA
- 21 program.
- 22 A I said I did not temember any other CA problems
- 23 with respect to the soils.
- 24 2 Right. I will limit this to soils.
- 25 I am asking you, to you remember any other QA

1 problems with respect to soils, with the exception of the 2 one you mentioned about testing, since July 1975, of your 3 own recollection?

4 MR. ZAMABIN: Objection as to form. You may 5 answer it.

THE WITNESS: I do not remember any offhand. No.

7 BY MR. PATCN: (Resuming)

8 Q Mr. Keeley, are you an officer of Consumers Power 9 Company?

10 A No.

11 Q Do you spend all of your normal working hours on 12 the Midland Plant -- on the Midland Plant? And by that I 13 mean are you assigned full-time to the Midland project?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Are there other people superior to you in the
16 Consumers Power Company that spend all of their time on the
17 Midland project? And by that I mean that they are assigned
18 full-time to the Midland project?

19 MR. ZAMARIN: By superior to him, do you mean 20 above him in the corporate hierarchy?

21 THE WITNESS: No.

22 BY MB. PATCN: (Resuming)

2 Q Did you testify that the 50.55(e) report discusse

24 other problems with QA in the soils area?

25 MB. ZAMARIN: I object. If he did, it was asked

- 1 and answered.
- 2 MR. PATON: I can't argue with that.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I believe I testified that the
- 4 50.55(e) report addressed CA problems with respect to soils.
- 5 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 6 Q The 50.55(e) report addressed CA problems. Did
- 7 you ever read that 50.55(e) report?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Approximately how long ago?
- 10 A I read it when it was issued and I don't remember
- 11 the date when it was issued.
- 12 C Did you read it more than once?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did you read it more than twice?
- 15 A I don't believe so.
- 16 C Co you know who prepared it?
- 17 A It was prepared by Consumers' QA. If you are
- 18 talking about the 50.55(e) report, that had to do with the
- 19 CA program problems.
- 20 C Yes, sir.
- 21 Have you heard any dollar figures mentioned by
- 22 anybody in Consumers -- that works for Consumers Power, that
- 23 works for the Eechtel Corporation, as to the cost to remedy
- 24 the soils problem at the Midland site?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q What is that figure, or what are those figures?
- 2 A I don't remember the exact figures that were

3 provided in answer to question 22 in part 50.54(f) answers.

- 4 Q Do you remember the approximate figures?
- 5 A I believe it was around \$15 million -- something
- 7 Q What is your recollection as to that \$15 million? 8 Did that address the total cost to remedy the soils problem

9 at Midland?

6 like that.

- 10 A No, that \$15 million was for the cost to remedy
 11 what I would say the hardwood type fix. That does not
 12 include any type of schedule delays, effects or cost of
 13 noney.
- 14 Q Do you have any estimate as to whether the soils 15 problem at Midland will cause any delay in construction 16 completion?
- 17 A Yes. I have an opinion.
- 18 C What is that opinion?
- 19 A That opinion is that if we do not resolve the 20 fixes and start doing the work in 1981 it could affect the 21 scheduled completion date on the plant.
- 22 G Affoct it in what way?
- 23 A It could become a critical path item.
- 24 C Do you know -- to you have an opinion as to 25 whether or not the soils issue will be resolved by 1981?

- 1 MR. ZAMARIN: I think he said resolve the fixes.
- 2 You asked a different question.
- 3 MR. PATON: I don't mean to change the question.
- 4 I will change that.
- 5 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not you
- 6 will able to resolve the fixes by 1981?
- 7 THE WITNESS: No.
- 8 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 9 Q You don't have an opinion. Is that correct?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 C In your opinion, is the staff's review of this
- 12 issue impacting your resolution of the issues?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Does Consumers Power have, to your recollection,
- 15 any figure that you used generally to indicate the cost of
- 16 delay of construction. For example, \$100,000 a day or any
- 17 figure like that?
- 18 A Yes. Consumers has a figure.
- 19 Q Can you tell me what that figure is?
- 20 A I do not remember the figure.
- 21 2 Mr. Keeley, do you know whether or not Mr. Horn
- 22 has any backlog of unresolved matters within his
- 23 responsibility?
- 24 A I don't know what you mean by unresolved matters.
- 25 0 Do you know what I mean by non-conformances?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 0 Do you know what I mean by unresolved NEC items?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 2 Do you know what I mean by NEC non-compliances?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Is there a difference between those last two items
- 7 that I read? Or are they the same thing?

We will be to the same of the

- 8 A I would like to have those last two items repeated.
- 9 Q The last two were, number one, unresolved NRC
- 10 items, and, number two, NRC non-compliances. In your
- 11 opinion.
- 12 A In my view, an unresolved item with the NRC is
- 13 something that during their inspection they feel is a
- 14 potential infraction or something such as that which they
- 15 need more information on before they make that decision.
- 16 C I might correct it. That item, for example, might
- 17 not turn out to be a non-compliance?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q Then I understand your answer to be that there is
- 20 a difference between the last two items, and I will read
- 21 then again if you like.
- 22 A No, there is a difference, in my mind. Yes.
- 23 C Are these items that are under Mr. Horn's
- 24 responsibility?
- 25 MR. IAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Doryou mean all of these unresolved
- 2 items?
- 3 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 4 C I mean does Mr. Morn have any responsibility with
- 5 respect to these three items?
- 6 A He does, in his area of responsibility. Yes.
- 7 Q Do you know what his area of responsibility is?
- 8 A To my knowledge, it is principally soils and
- 9 concrete.
- 10 0 Do you know whether he has a backlog in these
- 11 areas?
- 12 A I don't know what you mean by backlog.
- 13 C Do you know whether Mr. Horn is now spending any
- 14 of his time within his area of responsibility on
- 15 non-conformances?
- 16 A One of his principal duties is to conduct audits,
- 17 surveillance over inspection, to write up non-conformances,
- 18 and then see that the corrective action is taken and the
- 19 non-conformance is closed out.
- 20 0 you know whether he is now spending any of his
- 21 time on that area of responsibility that you just described?
- 22 A As far as I know, he is. Yes.
- 23 C Do you know whether he is up to date in that work?
- 24 1 I do not know.
- 25 C I want to do that for the other two. For the

- I unresolved NRC items, does he spend time on that subject?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Do you know whether he is now spending time on
- 4 that responsibility?
- 5 A I assume he is.

The same of the sa

- 6 0 Do you know?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you know whether he has a backlog of unresolved
- 9 NRC items, and I'm not trying -- if you have trouble with my
- 10 word backlog, would you say yes or no for the record?
- 11 A Yes, I have trouble with what you mean by backlog.
- 12 C Does he spend time on NRC non-compliances?
- 13 A I assume he does.
- 14 Q Do you know whether in fact he does?
- 15 A No, I don't.
- 16 Q Do you know whether he is now currently spending
- 17 time on NRC non-compliances?
- 18 A I assume he is.
- 19 0 Do you know for a fact whether he is or not?
- 20 A No. I don't.
- 21 2 Have you ever known whether or not, in fact, what
- 22 Mr. Horn is spending his time on within the last year.
- 23 MR. ZAMARIN: Could I have that read back?
- (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 25 MB. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.

- MR. PATON: Strike the question.
- 2 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 3 Q Do you ever communicate directly with Mr. Horn?
- 4 A What do you mean by communicate directly?
- 5 C Do you ever talk to Mr. Horn?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q How frequently do you talk to Mr. Horn?
- 8 A Very infrequently.
- 9 0 Do you know what Mr. Horn does in his professional
- 10 responsibilities at the Midland site?
- 11 A Mr. Horn works for the Consumers quality assurance
- 12 department under the supervision -- the ex-supervision -- of
- 13 Mr. Corley and performs all the duties that are assigned to
- 14 him.
- 15 Q In your capacity as project manager of the Midland
- 16 site, what knowledge, in your opinion, should you have ofe
- 17 Mr. Horn's activities?
- 18 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Since quality assurance is not under
- 20 my supervision, I think I should have a general knowledge of
- 21 Mr. Horn's activities.
- 22 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 23 Q If Mr. Horn was having any difficulties in getting 24 his job done, is that the type of information you should 25 know?

- 1 MR. TAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I quess I would have to know what
- 3 part of cetting his job done, or what is the definition of
- 4 getting his job done?

The William Labor Co.

- 5 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 6 Q If he were having any difficulties in
- 7 accomplishing his assigned tasks within the area of quality
- 8 assurance that he has, would you be interested in knowing
- 9 that?
- 10 MR. ZAMABIN: I object to the form of the
- 11 question. Do you mean as Project Manager should be know it?
- 12 MR. FATON: I will strike that.
- 13 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 14 C Would you be interested in knowing and --
- 15 substitute as Project Manager -- should you have that
- 16 information?
- 17 A As Project Manager, I am interested in what QA
- -18 problems there are. Yes. But I'm not responsible for the
- 19 CA department nor their day-to-day activities.
- 20 MR. PATON: Why don't we take five minutes?
- 21 (A brief recess was taken.)
- 22 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 23 G Mr. Keeley, I want to read to you -- I am marking
- 24 as NRC Deposition Exhibit No. 1 (Keeley, October 23, 1980),
- 25 a copy of Licensing Poard decision 74-71 in the Midland case

1 as dated September 25, 1974.

The document referred to was

marked NEC Deposition Exhibit

4 No. 1 for identification.)

5 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

7 that decision. It is on page 606. After I read it to you I show it to you so that you can read it, and then I'll 9 ask a question.

10 Quote, the attitude toward compliance with

11 Commission rules and regulations was set forth by Gilbert S.

12 Keeley, Director of Project Quality Assurance Department

13 Services. In response to a Board question as to why the

14 future implementation of the Midland quality assurance

15 program will be better than its implementation -- will be

16 better than its past implementation in terms of

17 effectiveness, unquote.

18 And that is followed with a quote -- with a 19 citation -- that the record at transcript 477.

20 Mr. Keeley, let be ask you to read that, please.
21 For now, you can read any other part of it you like, if it
22 is going to put it into context for you.

23 Do you remember the substance of your testimony at 24 that proceeding?

25 A I don't know what you mean by substance?

- 1 MF. FARMILL. I also believe you have asked him
- 2 once.
- 3 MB. PATCH: I'm not sure he ever answered.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I did answer. I gave you a brief

5 outline of what I remember from my testimony.

6 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

The Man was about the same of the same of

- 7 Q This decision said you told them why future
- 8 implementation of Midland quality assurance program would be
- 9 better than past implementation. Do you agree that you told
- 10 them that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 g Do you remember anything about why it would be
- 13 better? Essentially why it would be better?
- 14 A I believe I said because I was in charge of the QA
- 15 program, along with other reasons being that we had
- 16 proceduralized -- we had set up more manpower in the
- 17 organization.
- 18 MR. FARNELL: I also note that his testimony at
- 19 that hearing will certainly speak for itself.
- 20 MR. PATON: I now recall that Mr. Keeley did tay
- 21 that.
- 22 SY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 23 C Now, with respect to your present knowledge of the
- 24 soils problems at the Midland site, what is your opinion as
- 25 to how the CA implementation in 1974 and 1975 in the soils

1 area -- how did it work out, in your opinion?

- 2 MB. FARNELL: Could I have that read back, please?
- 3 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 4 MR. FARNELL: I object to that question. There is 5 no foundation that the soils involved in the soils problem 6 were put down in 1974 and 1975.
- 7 MR. PATON: Can he answer?
- 8 THE WITNESS: When I was responsible for CA the 9 soils in the C area under the diesel generator building had 10 not been placed.
- 11 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 12 Q Okay, Mr. Keeley. My question strikes me as very 13 simple and straightforward. You indicated that there were 14 QA problems in the filling and compaction of the soils. Is 15 that correct?
- 16 λ Knowing what we know now there were CA problems in 17 primarily the testing area of the soils. Yes.
- 18 Q All I'm asking you is, with respect to your 19 statement to the Board. In the 1974 show cause hearing you
 20 told the Board why future implementation of CA would be
 21 better than past implementation. I'm asking you why, in the
 22 resaining part of 1974 and 1975, how did that work out in
 23 the soils area? To se that is quite straightforward.
- 24 MR. FARNELL: But your question before dealt with 25 the soils and the soils problem area. I stated, and I

- 1 believe it is correct, that the soils -- and Mr. Keeley said 2 the soils -- were not put down under the diesel generator 3 building in 1974 and '75.
- 4 MR. PATON: I didn't ask him about the diesel 5 generator building. All right. When were the soils with 6 which we are having problems -- when was the filling and 7 compaction work done?
- S THE WITNESS: It started the latter part of 1975.
- 9 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

and the same of th

- 10 Q How did the QA program work out in the soils area 11 with respect to the filling and compaction of soils that 12 began in the beginning of 1975?
- 13 MR. FARNELL: Ar+ you going from 1975 up until the 14 time -- up until when?
- 15 ME. PATON: 1975, 1976 and 1977.
- 16 MR. FARNELL: I think if you read his resume you 17 will see he was not responsible in that area.
- 18 MR. PATON: I am asking him. He is the Project
- 19 Manager. If he doesn't know that is an acceptable answer.
- 20 THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that, based upon
- 21 the knowledge that we know now, based on the settlement
- 22 problems that were experienced, there were QA problems in
- 23 the placement and the testing of the soil.
- 24 BY MR. FATON: (Resuming)
- 25 Q Mr. Keeley, do you agree that you testified at the

1 show cause proceeding that future implementation of Midland 2 QA would be better than past implementation?

- 3 A I cid.
- 4 Q Was it?
- 5 A Yes, it was. And, in fact, as a result of the.
 6 placement and testing problems that occurred and resulted in
 7 the diesel generator building settlement problem we have
 8 even a better CA program now than we had in 1974 and 1975,
 9 because a part of CA is to upgrade your CA program based
 10 upon previous experience where you have had problems, in an
 11 attempt to prevent those problems from occurring in the
 12 future.
- 13 CA is not a static program by any means.
- 14 0 Mr. Keeley, are you aware of a request from Mr.
- 15 Gallagher of Recion III for Consumers to move some
- 16 information that relates to Consumers answer to staff
- 17 question 23 from Ann Arbor to the site?
- 18 A Yes, I an.
- 19 'MR. CAMARIN: Let me just state that I object to
- 20 this line of questioning as being irrelevant. But go shead.
- 21 EY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 22 C Have you agreed to move that information to the
- 23 site?
- 24 A No. I have not.
- 25 Q Can you tell us why you don't want to agree to

1 that?

The same of the sa

2 A This is back-up information -- documentation
3 concerning the investigation in order to answer question
4 23. It is my understanding that this consists of
5 approximately three to four file cabinets of documentation.
6 I do not believe it is good practice to transfer this amount
7 of documentation to the site, since the principal
8 documentation control on that is in Ann Arbor.

Plus, the people who have been doing the work in to closing this answer out on question 23 are primitaly the engineering people who are located in Ann Arbor, so that, in 12 my mind, any questions that Mr. Gallagher might have with 13 respect to the review that is being conducted that could 14 close out question 23 would be asked mainly of people who 15 are located in Ann Arbor.

It is also based on my experience in conducting
17 audits. We usually go to the area where the principal
18 source of insumentation and the personnel involved with that
19 documentation are located.

20 C Do you know if your reasons for not wanting to
21 transport that material were ever conveyed by anyone to Mr.
22 Gallagher?

23 A No. I do not know that.

24 MR. DAMARIN: Could I ask a question?

25 MR. PATON: Yes.

2 Gallagher's stated reasoning for wanting them brought to the 3 site, as opposed to remaining in Ann Arbor for his review?

4 THE WITNESS: I say a telecon record which 5 indicated he felt he would have trouble filling or getting 6 some kind of paperwork to get in to Ann Arbor. I am not 7 aware that this should be a problem, because the NEC has 8 been going to Ann Arbor previously and will continue to 9 conduct audits.

The state of the s

10 MR. ZAMARIN: Is it your understanding that that
11 paperwork was referring to the fact that going to Bechtel
12 would be like visiting a vendor and there was certain
13 paperwork he would have to fill out in order to do that?
14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 to you as to why Mr. Gallagher wanted the records brought to 17 the site as opposed to remaining in Ann Arbor, other than 18 what you have just stated?

19 THE WITNESS: I heard that he felt that most of 20 the people that he would be asking questions of were at the 21 site.

22 MP. ZAMARIN: When did you hear that for the first 23 time?

24 THE WITNESS: Yesterday.

25 MR. ZAMARIN: You heard that from whom?

THE WITNESS: You.

with the secretary was and the second

- 2 MB. CAMARIN: I was relating to something that Mr.
- 3 Gallagher told me for the first time yesterday.
- 4 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 5 C Mr. Keeley, would you please tell us what your
- 6 responsibilities are as a Project Manager for the Midland
- 7 ?roject?
- 8 A My present responsibilities?
- 9 C Yes, sic.
- 10 A As Midland Project Manager, my present
- 11 responsibilities include the areas of design, construction,
- 12 testing of the plant prior to systems going into service,
- 13 and administration of the various contracts Consumers has
- 14 with Bechtel, B & W, Dow Chemical.
- 15 C You said you spend 20 percent of your time -- 15
- 16 or 20 percent of your time -- this way. How much do you
- 17 spend the balance of your time at Jackson?
- 18 A I would estimate I spend approximately 90 percent
- 19 of the balance of my time in Jackson.
- 20 Q What is your relationship to the quality assurance
- 21 activities at the site?
- 22 A My relationship to quality assurance activities at
- 23 the site is not direct reporting relationship or guidance,
- 24 but to be aware of what the quality assurance problems are.
- 25 C You used the expression quality assurance

1 problems. By that do you sean problems within the quality

The same of the sa

- 2 assurance program or problems detected or revealed by the
- 3 program?
- 4 A Both.
- 5 C Do you feel that you are aware of the quality
- 6 assurance problems at the site?

THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

- 7 A Yes.
- 8 C Are there any quality assurance problems at the
- 9 site might now?
- 10 A I guess I would have to ask what is your
- 11 definition of problems?
- 12 C That is your word, sir.
- 13 MR. CAMARIN: It started out as yours.
- 14 MR. PATON: I asked him his relationship to
- 15 quality assurance. I am sure your answer was -- I wrote it
- 16 down -- to be aware of quality assurance problems. Do you
- 17 knew what you sean by quality assurance problems?
- 18 MR. CAMARIN: That is an improper question. I
- 19 object to it.
- 20 MR. PATON: Does the witness know what he seems is
- 21 an improper question?
- 22 MR. ZAMARIN: You bet. Of course he knows what he
- 23 reans. That is an improper question.
- 24 MR. PATON: Then we will stipulate that he knows
- 25 what he means. Let me ask him if he knows what he means.

- I What do you seen by that, sir?
- 2 MR. ZAMARIN: By what?
- 3 MR. PATON: By what? We have just been having an
- 4 exchange here about QA problems. I don't think this is
- 5 productive, Mr. Zamarin. I think this is some kind of a
- 6 procedure that doesn't lend itself to appropriate discovery.
- 7 MB. ZAMARIN: He asked you what you mean by CA
- 8 problems in the context of the question that you had. He
- 9 cannot tell you what you mean by that in the context of your
- 10 question. That is why he asked you to clarify the question.
- 11 MB. PATON: Are you directing him not to answer?
- 12 MR. ZAMARIN: I'm not aware of what question is
- 13 pending right ") w.
- 14 MB. PATON: If you could relax a bit you would be
- 15 aware of what the questions -- strike that.
- 16 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 17 Q Mr. Keeley, I asked you what your relationship to
- 18 quality assurance at the site was. Am I correct that your
- 19 answer was to be aware of quality assurance problems?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 O Do you know what you mean by quality assurance
- 22 problems?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 MR. ZAMARIN: That is an improper question. I
- 25 object to it.

- 1 MR. PATON: First you said he didn't know, then ne
- 2 said he knew.
- 3 MR. ZAMARIN: That's right. But it is an improper
- 4 question.
- 5 MR. PATON: What do you mean by quality assurance
- 6 problems?
- 7 MR. ZAMARIN: That has been asked and answered.
- 8 You can go shead and answer it again.

The same services and the same services and the same services are sa

and the second second second second second second

- 9 THE WITNESS: Quality assurance problems can mean,
- 10 in my mind, what non-conformances are being written, what
- If programmatic type problems there might be. Are there any
- 12 trends developing on non-conformances? That is my
- 13 interpretation.
- 14 BY ME. PATON: (Resuming)
- 15 Q All right, sir. Are there any quality assurance
- 16 problems of that nature at the site at the present time?
- 17 à Yes.
- 18 C Could you tell us what they are?
- 19 A No, I cannot. I do not know what all of the
- 20 non-conformances are, for instance, because they are listed
- 21 on non-conformance reports.
- 22 O Do you know how many non-conformances there are
- 23 now that are not resolved?
- 24 A I would have to ask what you mean by resolved?
- 25 Q All right. I will strike that.

- 1 Do you know how many non-conformances have been
- 2 written in the last three months?
- 3 A No, I do not.
- 4 C Do you know approximately how many

5 non-conformances have been written in the last few months?

- 6 A No, I do not.
- 7 C Do you look at the non-conformances?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 C How frequently do you look at them?
- 10 A I get a copy of all non-conformances and look at
- 11 them as they come across my desk.
- 12 Q And you don't have any idea -- do you have any
- 13 idea how many were written in the last three months?
- 14 MR. ZAMAFIN: That has been asked and answered.
- 15 He says he toesn't recall.
- 16 MR. PATON: First he said he didn't know how
- 17 many. Then he said he didn't know approximately how many.
- 18 Now I am asking him does he have any idea how many?
- 19 MB. ZAMARIN: That would be approximately how
- 20 many. You are asking him to guess and that is improper.
- 21 22. PATON: Okay. Do you have any idea how many
- 22 non-conformances have been written in the last few months?
- 23 MR. ZAMARIN: That has been asked and answered. I
- 24 direct him not to answer.
- 25 MB. PATON: That is all. If you direct him not to

I answer that is all we really need.

the state of the same

- 2 BY MR. PATON: (Besuding)
- 3 o o you have knowledge of any single
- 4 non-conformance that has been written in the last few months?

- 5 YR. CAMARIN: I object to the form of the question.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 8 0 What was that?
- 9 A There was a non-conformance, for instance, written
- 10 on electrical equipment having dirt inside of the equipment.
- 11 C Are there any programmatic problems existing on
- 12 the site in the program?
- 13 A The only ones that I am aware of are those
- 14 concerning the results of a recent MAC audit on our test
- 15 program manual.
- 16 0 What are they?
- 17 A I do not know off the top of my head. They were
- 18 in my opinion minor audit findings that had to do with
- 19 correcting some procedures in our test program.
- 20 Q What is MAC?
- 21 A MAC is a consultant company that Consumers hires
- 22 to conduct bi-annual corporate audit.
- 23 2 Did they file a report with your?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 0 And your review of the report is what you are

referencing when you say there were minor problems?

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Did they make any finding that they were minor
- 4 problems? Did MAC make a finding that they were minor
- 5 problems?
- 6 A The findings and non-conformances never indicate
- 7 whether they are major or minor.
- 8 O Does MAC evaluate the problems at all, or do they
- 9 just report them?
- 10 A They report the findings as well as give an
- 11 evaluation to management of the status of the QA procram.
- 12 C They gave an evaluation in this report?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 G Can you summarize it?
- 15 A Not from memory, no.
- 16 Q The third area you mentioned under the heading of
- 17 QA problems was trends developing. Is that correct?
- 18 à Yes.
- 19 Can you explain to me what you mean by that?
- 20 A Part of the QA program is to take a look at the
- 21 type of non-conformances that are occurring and if you see
- 22 more than one, or a significant number, of non-conformances
- 23 it indicates there is a trend rather than it being an
- 24 isolated case.
- 25 Q To you have any situations such as that at the

- 1 site now?
- 2 MB. INMARIN: Do you mean a trend developing, or
- 3 looking at things for trends?
- 4 BY ME. PATON: (Resuming)

The March and the same of the

- 5 C Do you have what you consider to be any trends
- 6 developing at the site right now?
- 7 A Not that I am aware of.
- 8 Q Who is responsible for determining whether there
- 9 are any trends developing at the site?
- 10 A The manager of quality assurance.
- 11 Q Who is that, sir?
- 12 & Walt Bird.
- 13 Q Does he spend most of his time at the site?
- 14 1 50.
- 15 I Does he spend most of his time in Jackson?
- 16 A I believe he spends most of his time in Jackson.
- 17 Q I ask you were there any trends developing at the
- 18 site and I believe your answer was not to your knowledge.
- 19 Is that-correct?-
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 2 Are there any trends developing in Jackson?
- 22 A Not to my knowledge.
- 23 Q I am sorry. I asked you whether Mr. Bird spent
- 24 most of his time in Jackson, and if you answered it I forgot.
- 25 A I answered yes. To my knowledge he spends most of

1 his time in Jackson.

- 2 C Is he assigned to spend one hundred percent of his 3 working hours on the Midland project?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Are there other people who spend most of their 6 time in Jackson who are assigned one hundred percent in the 7 QA organization in Jackson?
- 8 MR. ZAMARIN: Could I hear that back please?
- 9 MR. PATON: I may not have finished the question.
- 10 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 11 MR. PATON: I will strike the question.
- 12 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 13 Q Are there people other than Mr. Bird in the QA 14 organization in Jackson who spend all their time on the
- 16 A Yes.

15 Yidland project?

- 17 C Would you name those people, please? That person 18 or persons?
- 19 A I do not know their mames .-
- 20 Q Do you know whether Mr. Marguglio is one of them?
- 21 % He is not one of them.
- 22 0 Do you have any lies how many persons there are of
- 23 that description other than Mr. Bird?
- 24 h I believe there are around two or three.
- 25 C Do you ever talk to, write to, or otherwise

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC. 400 VIRGINIA AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 I communicate with those people?

the second secon

2 A The people who spend one hundred percent of their

COMPANDED TO THE THE PARTY OF T

- 3 time on Midland?
- 4 c Yes.
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Mr. Keeley, I believe you stated that part of your
- 7 responsibility as Project Manager was to be aware of QA
- 8 problems. How do you become informed of QA problems?
- 9 A I stated that part of it is coming through review
- 10 of non-conformances. In addition, I attend quarterly
- 11 management review meetings with the QA department.
- 12 In addition, I receive a copy of the CA
- 13 department's monthly report.
- 14 Q Okay. Aside from reviewing documents, who do you
- 15 talk to in Jackson for the purpose of being aware of CA
- 16 problems in Midland -- on the Midland project?
- 17 MR. ZAMABIN: I'm sorry. Could I have that read
- 18 back , please?
- 19 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 20 MR. PATON: Can you answer the question?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. I talked to Jim Cooke, Walt
- 22 Bird, and Ben Marguglio.
- 23 BY MB. PATON: (Resuming)
- 24 C What is Er. Marguelio's responsibility with
- 25 respect to Midland?

- A Mr. Marguglio has, Under his direction, a 7A group
 which is responsible for providing assistance to Walt Bird
 for conducting audits. He provides manpower. In addition,
 he is responsible for the corporate QA program and the
 suditing of that program.
- 6 Q Is Mr. Jim Cooke a Vice President?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Do you know what percent of Mr. Cooke's time he
- 9 spends on the Midland project?
- 10 A Do you mean at present? I would estimate around 11 ninety percent.
- 12 2 Do you know how often he visits the site?
- 13 A Approximately once every two weeks.
- 14 Q What essentially is the difference between your 15 responsibilities to Midland and Mr. Cooke's responsibilities
- 16 to Midland?
- 17 A Mr. Cooke has directly reporting to him the
 18 manager of quality assurance Walt Bird, the licensing group,
- 19 manager of licensing, and the manager of cost and scheduling.
- 20 Q With respect to QA problems at the site, as
- 21 between you and Mr. Cooke, who has primary responsibility to
- 22 resolve those problems?
- 23 MR. ZAMARIN: I will object to the form of the 24 question. You have an assumption in there that one of the 25 two is primarily responsible to resolve the CA problems. I

I think that is contrary to previous testimony.

- 2 MR. PATON: I will ask him another question.
- 3 BY MR. PATON: (Pesuming)

The second secon

4 C Do you have any responsibility to resolve 2%

5 problems that are within your knowledge at the site?

- 6 A Yes.
- 7 ? What is that responsibility?
- 8 A That responsibility is if non-conformances or
- 9 audit findings are written on the project management
- 10 organization. Whereas we are responsible for taking
- 11 corrective action on that non-conformance. I have that
- 12 responsibility.
- 13 C What if a non-conformance was written on something
- 14 other than project management? Do you have any
- 15 responsibility for that?
- 16 A No. No direct responsibility, because
- 17 non-conformances or audit findings are very clear and who
- 18 has the principal responsibility for taking corrective
- 19 action to close out that non-conformance or audit finding.
- 20 C You mean the non-conformance or the audit finding
- 21 states specifically who is supposed to resolve it?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What would it state if the non-conformance was on
- 24 project management? Is that -- it would state that it was
- 25 to be resolved by project management. Is that what you mean?

- 1 a That is correct.
- 2 Q What kind of non-conformances are to be resolved

3 by project management -- strike that.

- 4 Are there non-conformances that don't have to be
- 5 resolved by project management?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Tell me what those are.
- 3 A These are non-conformance written on anybody --
- 9 Bechtel, EER, subcontractors, other subcontractors.
- 10 C A non-conformance written on Bechtel is something
- 11 different than a non-conformance written on project
- 12 management?
- 13 A It is only different from the standpoint that the
- 14 non-conformance or audit finding is based upon whether who
- 15 was responsible for the work activity and why it was
- 16 non-conforming and what corrective action should be taken.
- 17 Q By project management you mean Consumers?
- 18 A By project management I mean the personnel that
- 19 report directly to me. I believe that was the tone of your
- 20 question.
- 21 2 Are there Consumers people on the site who don't
- 22 report to you?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Who resolves a non-conformance written on
- 25 Bechtel? Somebody within Bechtel?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 C Is it correct that you have responsibility to

3 resolve non-conformances written on project management?

4 A For the groups that report directly to me for

5 day-to-day direction.

- 6 Q Are there groups within project management that 7 don't report to you on a daily basis?
- 8 A Yes.

10 basis?

- 9 Q What are the groups that report to you on a daily
- 11 A I believe I testified to those previously. They
 12 are the engineering group, the administrative group, and the
 13 construction, pre-operational testing and operational group.
- 14 2 Who has responsibility to resolve non-conformances
 15 on project management involving groups other than
 16 construction, testing, or the groups that report directly to
 17 you?
- 18 A It would be based upon how the non-conformance is
 19 written. Whoever has conducted the work activity, and if
 20 the non-conformance is written on that work activity it
 21 would be the responsibility of that group to take corrective
 22 action.
- 23 2 Okay. I understand.
- Is Mr. Horn considered to be within the 25 construction group that reports directly to you?

- 1 à No.
- 2 Q Is he is any group that reports directly to you?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q That group is he in?
- 5 A He is in the Midland quality assurance group?
- 6 0 Who is responsible for resolving non-conformances

7 within that area?

- s wa. Zamaain: In what area?
- 9 MB. PATON: In the QA area? Let me back up.
- 10 You said that Mr. Horn was not in any group that
- 11 reports directly to you.
- 12 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 13 BY ME. PATON: (Besuming)
- 14 C He is in some other group?
- 15 à Yes.
- 16 Q He is in the QA group?
- 17 % That is correct.
- 18 0 Who does the CA group report to?
- 19 A They report to Mr. Cooke.
- 20 C Does Mr. Cooke have responsibility to resolve
- 21 non-conformances written on that group?
- 22 A He has the responsibility to see that they get
- 23 closed out and is kept up to date on the status of them.
- 24 But, again, if the non-conformance is written on the group
- 25 that works for him, then the direct responsibility for

- 1 taking the corrective action tests with the group that the 2 non-conformance was written on.
- 3 Mr. Cooke, for instance, would not take the 4 corrective action to close something out that was written --

the second of th

- 5 the non-conformance specifies what the problem is and who
- 6 was responsible for the work activity. It is that group or
- 7 person's responsibility to close that non-conformance out
- 8 and take corrective action.
- 9 Q You named certain groups that reported directly to
- 10 you. I am sure you are extremely familiar with them, but I
- If wrote them fown. I thought you used different words each
- 12 time.
- 13 You used engineering. Do you equate that to
- 14 design?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 Q Which word do you use more frequently?
- 17 A I use the word engineering design. That should be
- 18 the proper term.
- 19 Q Ckay. Engineering design. And it is proper to
- 20 say group? Engineering design group. Is that correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 C Is it a construction group? There are four droups.
- 23 A Construction group.
- 24 Q The nort one is testing of plant.
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 2 You don't say testing of plant group to you?
- 2 A I guess I term it a group. It is the
- 3 pre-operational test group.

Committee of the commit

- 4 Q Administration of contracts. What do you call 5 that group?
- 6 A The administrative group.
- 7 Q You are responsible for resolving non-conformances 8 written on project management for those four groups -- the 9 four groups we just named?
- 10 A Again, responsible for resolving non-conformances
 11 rests with the person who the non-conformance is written
 12 on. It can be written on a person or it can be written on a
 13 group. It is up to me to see that the non-conformances are
 14 closed out and give advice on closing them out.
- 15 MR. PATON: Let me have about two minutes.
- (A brief recess was taken.)
- 17 SY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 18 Q Mr. Keeley, how long have you been employed by
- 19 Consumers Power Company?
- 20 A Since 1961.
- 21 0 Do you think that the soils problem that exists at
- 22 the Midland site now was caused in any way by the
- 23 incompetency of the people who participated in the filling
- 24 and compaction of the soils?
- 25 MR. ZAMARIN: I will object to the form of the

question.

- THE WITNESS: I guess I would like a definition of 3 what is meant by incompetence.
- 4 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

The state of the s

5 Q Is it part of your job to determine the competence 6 of people who are employed by Consumers under your

7 supervision?

- 9 are using the same word. We doesn't know what you are 10 asking. Do you mean the technical qualifications of 11 people? You are using the same word, asking another 12 question. He doesn't know what you mean by that.
- 13 MR. PATON: Do you have any trouble with the

14 question?

- 15 THE WITNESS: I would have to have it read back.
- (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 17 MR. PATON: The witness indicated he was having
- 18 difficulty with the word incompetence. I as merely asking
- 19 him if the incompetence of the people who work for him is
- 20 not specifically within his responsibilities.
- Now if he tells me he doesn't understand the 22 meaning of the word incompetence I will go on to the next

23 question.

24 YR. TAMARIN: I have an objection as to form. He 25 may answer the question.

- 1 MB. FATON: Can you answer the question?
- 2 THE WITNESS: It is my responsibility to evaluate

3 the competency of the people who work directly for me.

- 4 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 5 C . Is the difficulty that you had the fact that I.
- 6 used the word incompetence as opposed to competency? The
- 7 people who work for you? Ckay.
- 8 Do you have an opinion whether the incompetence of
- 9 any of the people involved in the filling and compaction of
- 10 the soils in Midland caused the problem?
- 11 MR. ZAMARIN: Caused the problem? I will object
- 12 as to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have an opinion.
- 14 By MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 15 C What is that opinion?
- 16 A I don't think you can tie the cause of the problem
- 17 to a black-and-white incompetency of individuals. I think
- 18 it was more complicated than that and has been spelled out
- 19 in our answers in the QA area, which I previously pointed to
- 20 in 55.55(e).
- 21 2 Do you know whether anyone in Consumers Power
- 22 Company was reprimended or any other adverse personnel
- 23 action taken because of the soils problem? Because of their
- 24 participation in the soils problem?
- 25 A I am not aware of any. No.

- 1 Q Could I ask you that same question as to Bechtel?
- 2 A Would you repeat the question, please?

Commence of the commence of th

- 3 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 4 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

The state of the s

- 5 C Have you heard from anyone that there was any
- 6 adverse personnel action taken by the Bechtel Corporation
- 7 against anyone who participated in the filling and
- 8 compaction of soils?
- 9 A I would have to ask what is adverse -- whatever
- 10 the word was after adverse.
- 11 Q Personnel action. You really don't know what I
- 12 mean by that?
- 13 MR. ZAMARIN: He asked you the question.
- 14 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 15 Q I'm just asking him. Your problem is you don't
- 16 understand that expression. Is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, that expression is rather broad.
- 18 Q Do you know of anyone who was reprimended at
- 19 Sechtel in Midland in the soils area?
- 20 A I do not recall any direct reprimand.
- 21 Q Any indirect reprisand -- strike that.
- 22 Any reprisand of any kind?
- 23 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Again, the word reprimand is quite
- 25 broad in my opinion.

- sy ME. PATON: (Resuming)
- 2 Mr. Keeley, do you know anybody at Bechtel that
 3 was fired because of his participation in the -- his
 4 participation in the filling and compaction of the soils at
 5 the Midland site?
- 6 A No, I do not.
- 7 2 Do you know anybody who was transferred because of 8 his activities or participation in the filling and 9 compaction of the soils at the Midland site?
- 10 A No, I do not.
- 11 Q Do you know anyone at Bechtel that was told that
 12 his decisions or any decision that he made during his
 13 participation in the filling and compaction of the soils or
 14 any of the work done by Bechtel that led to it -- that those
 15 decisions were not correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Who was that?
- I don't think it was a specific person, but it was 19 the fact, one of the facts, that entered into one of the 20 causes that was not a soils engineer, a geotech-type 21 engineer on-site, one hundred percent of the time during the 22 soils placement.
- 23 Q You say somebody made a comment about the decision 24 that led to that situation. Is that what you are saying?
 25 A No. I am saying that was one of the reasons were

- 1 listed in that 65.55(e) that could have been a partial cause 2 of the problem.
- There was not a soils engineer at the site one

 4 hundred percent of the time because of a decision that was

 5 made at Bechtel. Is that correct?
- 6 A That is my understanding.

and the world the second the second

- 7 2 What you were talking about a minute ago. Did you 8 say someone told someone else that the decision that led to 9 that was wrong.
- 10 MR. IAMARIN: No. I object. That was asked and
 11 answered. You asked him that question and he referred you
 12 to the 55.55(e) report.
- 13 MR. PATON: Can you answer it?
- 14 THE WITNESS: I would have to ask you to repeat

15 that.

- 16 MR. PATON: Strike it.
- 17 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- Okay, Mr. Keeley, I was asking you whether any somments were made that anybody at Bechtel had made an 20 incorrect decision during the filling and compaction of the 21 soils at the Midland site. You responded with the fact that
- 22 there was not a soils engineer at the site one hundred
- 23 percent of the time.
- Is there some connection between those statements 25 in your mind?

- 1 MR. CAMARIN: Couldel hear that again, please?
- 2 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 3 MR. ZAMARIN: I believe his response was fuller
- 4 than that and had more to it. If you want to refer to it, I
- 5 would like to go back on the record and hear his response to
- 6 that question.
- 7 MR. PATON: Response to what?
- 8 MR. ZAMARIN: You just quoted an answer that he
- 9 gave. You said he responded in a certain way. I would like
- 10 to hear his entire response, as long as you are asking him
- 11 about it.
- (The response was read by the reporter.)
- 13 MR. PATON: There is a pending question nov.
- 14 MR. ZAMARIN: I object to the form of the question
- 15 also on the grounds that it is a mischeracterization of the
- 16 previous question and answer.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe there is a
- 18 connection and -- in that somebody in the field made a
- . 19 decision that a field engineer with soils experience met the
- 20 intent of there being a geotechnical engineer.
- 21 MR. PATON: Okay.
- 22 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 23 C Have you heard from anyone that anyone at Bechtel
- 24 indicated that that decision that you have just described
- 25 was correct?

- 1 MR. IAMARIN: Let's have that one back, please.
- 2 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 3 SY MR. PATON: (Resuming)

The Mary of the same of the sa

- 4 Q Mr. Keeley, if I amend that last question and say
- 5 incorrect instead of correct, do you have that question in
- 6 mind?
- 7 A Yes. This, again, was discussed as one of the
- 8 possible causes of the problem and was presented in the
- 9 answers. Not the answers but the 55.55(e) on the overall
- 10 quality assurance investigation on why the problem occurred.
- 11 C You have just referenced the fact that there is an
- 12 indication somewhere that that decision was not the correct
- 13 decision. Is that correct? Is my statement correct?
- 14 A No. I do not remember a statement as such. That
- 15 is was an incorrect decision. I remember that it was a part
- 16 of why the problem could have occurred.
- 17 Q Do you know who made that decision?
- 18 A No, I do not.
- 19 (A-brief-recess was taken.)
- 20 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 21 C Mr. Keeley, do you have an opinion as to whether
- 22 the compaction equipment used to compact the soils was
- 23 qualified before it was used to compact the soils?
- 24 MR. ZAMAPIN: Objection as to form.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Based upon my memory, the equipment

- I was qualified to compact the soils.
- 2 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 3 Q Your answer is that it was qualified to compact
- 4 the soils prior to the time it was used to compact the soils?
- 5 A That is my opinion based upon memory.
- 6 C Mr. Kaelay, do you know anything about an
- 7 agreement between Bechtel and U.S. Testing to toll the
- 8 statute of limitations?
- 9 A I do not know what toll the statute of limitations
- 10 means?
- 11 0 That is not what I asked you. I'll ask another
- 12 question.
- 13 Have you ever heard those words used with respect
- 14 to any agreement between Sechtel and U.S. Testing?
- 15 A I have not heard those words used.
- 16 Q To your knowledge, has Consumers Power identified
- 17 for the NRC the causes of the soils problem at Midland?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 C To your knowledge, did anyone-within Consumers
- 20 disagree with those conclusions -- disagree with the stated
- 21 causes?
- 22 A Not to my knowledge. No.
- 23 C To your knowledge, did anyone in Bechtel disagree
- 24 with those stated causes?
- 25 A Not to my knowledge. No.

- 1 C Mr. Keeley, I asked you a minute ago about whether
- 2 you ever heard the words to toll the statute of limitations
- 3 in connection with an agreement between Bechtel and U.S.
- 4 Testing. Have you ever read those words in connection with
- 5 an agreement between Bechtel and U.S. Testing?
- 6 A I have read copies of letters concerning that, but
- 7 I don't recall the actual words.
- 8 Q Mr. Keeley, are you familiar with a Dr. Feck, who
- 9 was hired by Bechtel with respect to the diesel generator
- 10 building problem?
- 11 A Yes, I am.
- 12 0 Do you know what his recommendation is with
- 13 respect to the diesel generator building?
- 14 A I would like a little more specific definition of
- 15 with respect to the diesel generator building.
- 16 C You don't know that I am referring to the
- 17 settlement problem with the diesel generator building?
- 18 A I assume that is what you meant.
- 19 C There is a problem with the diesel generator ----
- 20 building with respect to settlement?
- 21 A There was a problem with the diesel generator
- 22 building with respect to settlement.
- 23 Q Did Dr. Peck, to your knowledge, make any
- 24 recommendation in the past with respect to any problem that
- 25 may have existed at the diesel generator building?

- 1 . A Yes, he did.
- 2 C Do you know what the recommendation was?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What was it?
- 5 A The recommendation was to place a surcharge on the
- 6 area and to also de-water the area.
- 7 C Does Consumers Power agree that the recommendation
- 8 made by Dr. Peck is appropriate?
- 9 A Yes, we do.
- 10 2 Did you conduct any investigation yourself as to
- Il that recommendation, or did you just accept his
- 12 recommendation?
- 13 MP. TAMARIN: Do you mean you, Gil Keeley?
- 14 MR. PATON: You, meaning Consumers Power.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by
- 16 investigation.
- 17 BY ME. PATON: (Resuming)
- 18 Q Did you make any study yourself to see whether you
- 19 agreed with what he was recommending? You being Consumers
- 20 Power?
- 21 h We made no separate study, but we evaluated his
- 22 decision and depended upon his expertise to give us advice
- 23 in this area.
- 24 0 Did you make an independent conclusion yourself
- 25 that what he was advising was appropriate?

- 1 A I'm having trouble with the word independent 2 conclusion.
- What I'm trying to get at is did you merely rely

 on Dr. Feck, or did you make -- let me ask you this. Do you

 believe he is correct in his recommendation?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What makes you think so?

The same of the sa

- 8 A I believe he is correct because of his experience 9 and knowledge in the area.
- 10 C Do you know why Sechtel hired Dr. Feck?
- 11 A Bechtel hired Dr. Peck because they considered him 12 one of, or the world's reknown expert in the area of soils, 13 and to get an independent consultant involved.
- 14 Q Do you have stop work authority at the Midland 15 project?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Have you ever exercised it?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 C Can you tell me the circumstances involved the
- 20 last time you exercised it?
- 21 A The last time I exercised it directly was when I
- 22 was Director of Quality Assurance and I believe concerned
- 23 installation of tandons in the containment building.
- 24 Q Did you ever seriously consider exercising your 25 stop work authority while the filling and compaction of the

- 1 soils work was progressing?
- 2 3 %5.

THE PROPERTY OF STREET, STREET

- 3 Q Mr. Keeley, I think you stated, just generally
- 4 speaking, that Dr. Peck's recommendation was to surcharge
- 5 and de-water. Did you have any input to that decision?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 C By that, do you mean that you provided information
- 8 to Dr. Peck in his considering the matter?
- 9 à No.
- 10 C Can you tell me what you mean by you had input?
- It a I had input to evaluate in my mind which of the
- 12 alternatives that could be possibly be implemented to
- 13 correct the problem should be utilized.
- 14 Q Was one of those alternatives to remove the fill
- 15 that had been placed and start all over again?
- 16 à Yes.
- 17 2 Did you consider the dollar cost of that
- 18 alternative?
- 19 A Yes. There was an estimate made of that.
- 20 0 Do you have any idea what that was?
- 21 A I don't remember the figure. It was provided in
- 22 answer 22, I believe, to 50.54(f).
- 23 Q Did consideration of that dollar cost affect your
- 24 decisionmaking process in that reard?
- 25 A That, along with the recommedation of Dr. Peck

that the surcharge program would adequately correct the 2 problem.

The same of the sa

- 3 C Do yo know when Dr. Peck made that decision?
 4 Whether he was aware of the seismic design requirements for
 5 the diesel generator building?
- 6 A It is my understanding that he was aware of the 7 seismic design requirements, because that also entered into 8 the decision on de-watering.
- 3 C Do you know whether, since that time, the seismis 10 design requirements have changed?
- The seismic design requirements which the plant ws 12 built to and which are specified in the FSAR and the PSAR.

 13 it is my understanding, have been reevaluated and I am aware 14 of a letter from the staff concerning this subject.
- 16 that information?
- 17 A I do not know whether he has or has not.
- 18 Q Mr. Keeley, are you aware of any present lawsuits 19 between Consumers and Bechtel which relate to the soils 20 problem in this case?
- 21 A No, I am not aware of any.
- 22 2 Are you sware of any -- have you heard of any 23 contemplated lawsuits between Eechtel and Consumers in this 24 case?
- 25 MR. CAMARIN: I will object on the ground that any

- I such inquiry would go into grounds that include privileged --
- 2 MR. FATON: Are you telling him not to answer?
- 3 MR. ZAMARIN: Of course.
- 4 MR. PATON: Well, if you just tell him not to
- 5 answer you don't need to explain. You have instructed him
- 6 not to answer that question?
- 7 MR. ZAMARIN: I have exerted the privilege which
- 8 means you can't require him to answer.
- 9 MR. PATON: And you are telling him not to answer?
- 10 MR. ZAMARIN: Well, sure.
- 11 MR. PATON: Yes or no. That is all it meeds.
- 12 BY MR. PATON: (Resuming)
- 13 Q Did you ever become aware that there was a soils
- 14 problem in the area of the administration building?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 C Can you tell me when you became aware of it?
- 17 A I became aware of it sometime in 1977 when I
- 18 received a copy of a memo concerning that problem.
- 19 Q Do you know when anyone -- do you know when the
- 20 problem first occurred?
- 21 A Based upon my memory, it was sometime in 1977.
- 22 0 Do you know how many months elapsed between the
- 23 time the problem occurred and the time you first heard about
- 24 1 1 ?
- 25 A No, I do not remember that.

- 1 g Do you know that it was more than two months?
- 2 A I can't remember that.

the state of the s

The second of th

- 3 Q Do you know whether you heard about it -- strike 4 that.
- 5 Can you relate in any way to your knowledge the 6 extent of time between the time that this problem happened 7 and the time that you became aware of it?
- 8 A No, I sannot.
- Do you recall ever saying that you were not aware to of the soils problem at the administration building until after you became aware of the soils problem at the diesel 12 generator building?
- 13 A No. I don't remember saying that.
- 14 Q Do you know whether Consumers or Bechtel took any 15 borings or any other action to determine whether the soils 16 problem at the administration building was an isolated 17 problem?
- 18 A Yes. I believe there were some borings taken.
- 19 C Do you know how many borings were taken?
- 20 A I believe there were two borings taken.
- 21 Were those taken by Bechtel?
- 22 A Those borings, I believe, were taken by a
- 23 subcontractor to Bachtel.
- 24 C Do you know whether that was U.S. Testing?
- 25 A I do not believe it was U.S. Testing that took the

- borings.
- 2 Q Do you recall right now who it was that took the 3 borings?
- 4 A No, I do not.
- 5 Q Do you consider that you have sufficient expertise 6 to judge whether two borings are adequate to determine 7 whether a soils problem at the administration building was 8 an isolated problem?
- 9 A I do not consider that I, personally, have the 10 expertise, but depended on somebody else for doing that 11 investigation.
- 12 Q Did you ever hear anyone at Consumers or Sechtel 13 state whether those two borings -- strike that.
- 14 I believe you stated that the borings were taken 15 on behalf of Bechtel. Is that correct?
- 16 A That is based upon my memory. I believe that is 17 the case.
- 18 Q Did Bechtel do anything else besides take two
 19 borings to determine whether the soils problem at the
 20 administration building was an isolated problem?
- 21 A They not only took borings but ran blow count 22 tests.
- 23 Q Did you ever heard anyone within Bechtel or 24 Consumers comment on whether Bechtel's investigation of the 25 soils problem at the administration building was adequate to

I determine whether it was an isolated problem?

The state of the s

- 2 A I remember discussions with Tom Cooke on the
- 3 subject. And that we considered, based upon the blow counts
- 4 and the borings taken, that it was an isolated problem.
- 5 Q I want to make sure I understand. It was your
- 6 conclusion and Mr. Tom Cooke's conclusion at that meeting?
- 7 A It wasn't a meeting. It was just in verbal
- 8 conversation.
- 9 Q In the course of that conversation it was your
- 10 conclusion that the investigation was adequate to determine
- 11 that it was an isolated problem. Is that what you are
- 12 saying?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Taking into account now -- hindsight, and by that
- 15 I mean taking into account all the knowledge that you now
- 16 have, do you now consider that that investigation was
- 17 adequate?
- 18 MR. ZAMARIN: Let me object to the form. Do you
- 19 mean it was adequate based on what they knew at the time, or
- 20 assuming they knew at the time everything they know now?
- 21 'MR. PATON: Just a minute. Let me try that.
- 22 BY MR. PATON: (Fesuming)
- 23 Q Mr. Keeley, do you agree now, based on all the
- 24 knowledge that you now have, that in fact it was not an
- 25 isolated problem?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Do you agree that Sechtel came to the wrong
- 3 conclusion?
- 4 MR. TAMARIN: I will object to the form of the
- 5 question.
- 6 MR. PATON: Do you want me to go through the whole
- 7 thing again? If you do, I will be glad to.
- 8 MR. ZAMARIN: This is in the framework of
- 9 knowledge -- based on knowledge that he has now.
- 10 MR. PATON: Off the record.
- 11 (1 discussion was held off the record.)
- 12 BY MF. PATON: (Resuming)
- 13 Q Mr. Keeley, do you believe that, based on the
- 14 information Sechtel had at the time when they decided that
- 15 the soils problem at the administration building was an
- 16 isolated problem that they made the right decision?
- 17 MR. CAMARIN: Could I hear that back, please?
- 18 (The pending question was read by the reporter.)
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 BY MB. PATON: (Resuming)
- 21 Q If Sechtel had had at that time all the
- 22 information that they now possess, would they arrive at the
- 23 same decision?
- 24 : In my opinion, no.
- 25 C Please tell us what information it is that makes

1 that difference.

2 A The additional information is that we have 3 experienced settlement in another area which we didn't have 4 before and, as a result of that settlement, we had to take 5 additional borings which showed the problems with the soils. 6 C Is it your opinion that Bechtel took a sufficient

and the second s

- 7 number of borings when they first addressed the problem of 8 the administration building?
- MR. CAMARIN: That was asked and answered. He 10 said yes previously, unless you are asking him based on what 11 he knows today.
- MR. PATCH: Yes. Based on what you know today, to 13 you have an opinion as to whether Bechtel took enough 14 borings when they made their initial investigation of the 15 administration building for the soils problem?
- MB. TAMARIN: And assuming Sechtel didn't have 17 that information then that we have now? Only by hindsight? MR. PATON: No. That has nothing to do with that.
- (A discussion was held off the record.) 19
- By MR. PATON: (Resuming) 20

18

2 Mr. Keeley, am I correct in stating that you said 22 that, based on the information Sechtel had at the time they 23 made their initial decision that the soils problem at the 24 administration building was an isolated problem, that they 25 made the might decision?

- 1 à Yes
- 2 to you think that the information that they had
- 3 available at that time was adequate to make that decision?
- 4 : Yes.
- 5 C Do you think that sound engineering judgment
- 6 exercised at that time would have indicated that you should
- 7 take more borings than they took?
- 8 A No. I think sound engineering judgment was used
- 9 based on what they saw at that time.
- 10 of The exercise of sound engineering judgment at that
- If time in fact turned out to give a result that was not
- 12 correct. To you agree with that?
- 13 A Based upon subsequent events that is correct.
- 14 O Mr. Keeley, were there any people within Consumers
- 15 or Sechtel who disagreed with Bechtel's conclusion that the
- 16 soils problem at the administration building was an isolated
- 17 problem?
- 18 A I'm not aware of anybody who disagreed with that.
- 19 MR. PATON: I have no more questions.
- 20 MR. ZAMARIN: We have nothing else.
- 21 MR. PATON: It is stipulated that NRC Deposition
- 22 Exhibit 2 is a resume of professional and educational
- 23 experience of Gilbert S. Keeley.
- 24 (Whereupon, at 1:10 p.m., the deposition was
- 25 concluded.)

NCCEPY SEGRETAGOSE COMMISSION

THE NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

*

the the the the the transfer the transfer the transfer tr

していつの・コーライ 04 מביבבה מייים הבביבה

14 11 61 11 67 0 ... CONSCIERS POWER ALTERIOD

61 3

Docket Number: 50-229-011 & 50-330-011

STACE OF PROCEEDING: Midland, Michigan

11: S 1 S 1:00 07 1011101 17 111001111111

Marilyn Shockey

Chicker Reporter (77544)

CELEBRATE OF SECTION