



WCAP-14334-NP

PROBABILISTIC RISK ANALYSIS OF THE RPS AND ESFAS TEST TIMES AND COMPLETION TIMES

May 1995

J.D. Andrachek G.R. Andre' R.L. Haessler R.C. Howard

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Nuclear Technology Division P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

©1995 Westinghouse Electric Corporation All Rights Reserved

LEGAL NOTICE

"This report was prepared by Westinghouse as an account of work sponsored by the Westinghouse Owners Group (WOG). Neither the WOG, any member of the WOG, Westinghouse, nor any person acting on behalf of any of them:

- (A) Makes any warranty or representation whatsoever, express or implied, (I) with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, process, or similar item disclosed in this report, including merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, (II) that such use does not infringe on or interfere with privately owned rights, including any party's intellectual property, or (III) that this report is suitable to any particular user's circumstance; or
- (B) Assumes responsibility for any damages or other liability whatsoever (including any consequential damages, even if the WOG or any WOG representative has been advised of the possibility of such damages) resulting from any selection or use of this report or any information apparatus, method, process, or similar item disclosed in this report."

FOREWORD

This document contains Westinghouse Electric Corporation proprietary information and data which has been identified by brackets. Coding associated with the brackets sets forth the basis on which the information is considered proprietary. These codes are listed with their meanings in WCAP-7211.

The proprietary information and data contained in this report were obtained at considerable Westinghouse expense and its release could seriously affect our competitive position. This information is to be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the Rules of Practice 10 CFR 2.790 and the information presented herein be safeguarded in accordance with 10 CFR 2.903. Withholding of this information does not adversely affect the public interest.

This information has been provided for your internal use only and should not be released to persons or organizations outside the Directorate of Regulation and the ACRS without the express written approval of Westinghouse Electric Corporation. Should it become necessary to release this information to such persons as part of the review procedure, please contact Westinghouse Electric Corporation, which will make the necessary arrangements required to protect the Corporation's proprietary interests.

The proprietary information is contained in the classified version of this report (WCAP-14333-P).

ABSTRACT

The objective of this program is to provide the justification for the following changes to the Technical Specifications for the reactor protection system (RPS) instrumentation and engineered safety features actuation system (ESFAS) instrumentation:

- Increase the bypass times for testing and the allowed outage times (AOT), or completion times.
- 2. Change the action for an inoperable slave relay to "following expiration of the slave relay allowed outage time, the component affected by the inoperable slave should be declared inoperable and the Technical Specification action for this component should be followed." Application of this is limited as discussed in the report.

In addition, the program provides the justification for completing channel calibration activities at-power.

These improvements will allow additional time to perform maintenance and test activities, enhance safety, provide additional operational flexibility, and reduce the number of forced outages related to compliance with the RPS and ESFAS instrumentation Technical Specifications. Industry information has shown that a significant number of trips that have occurred are related to instrumentation test and maintenance activities, indicating that these activities should be completed with caution and sufficient time should be available to complete these activities in an orderly and effective manner.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sectio	nc	Page
1.0	Introduction	1-1
2.0	Background Information	2-1
2.	1 RPS and ESFAS Design 2 Test and Maintenance Activities	2-1 2-3
3.0	NRC Meeting	3-1
4.0	Plant Survey	4-1
5.0	Technical Specification Changes to be Evaluated	5-1
5. 5.2	1 Test Frequencies and Durations 2 Maintenance Frequencies and Durations	5-1 5-2
6.0	Representative RPS and ESFAS Signals	6-1
6.1 6.2	Representative Engineered Safety Features Actuation Signals Representative Reactor Trip Actuation Signals	6-2 6-3
7.0	RPS and ESFAS Signal Unavailability Analysis	7-1
7.1 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2	 Unavailability Analysis Approach Assumptions Analog Channels Solid State Protection System Relay Protection System Fault Tree Models Results of the Signal Unavailability Analysis Technical Specification Changes for Slave Relays 	7-1 7-4 7-5 7-6 7-6 7-6 7-7 7-21
8.0	Risk Analysis	8-1
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	Risk Analysis Approach Event Reactor Trip and Engineered Safety Features Actuation Signals Results of the Risk Analysis Risk Associated with a Plant Shutdown	8-1 8-3 8-4 8-12
9.0	Program Benefits	9-1
10.0	Conclusions	10-1
11.0	Implementation of the Proposed Technical Specification Changes	11-1
12.0	References	12-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Section		Page
Appendix A:	Proposed Changes to the Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1431, NUREG-0452)	A-1
Appendix B:	No Significant Hazards Evaluation	B-1
Appendix C:	Plant Survey	C-1
Appendix D:	Fault Tree Diagrams	D-1
Appendix E:	Event Sequence Quantification Results	E-1

C

LIST OF TABLES

Table
Table 4.1: Survey Summary 4-2
Table 4.2: Survey Summary - Analog Channels 4-3
Table 4.3: Survey Summary - Logic Cabinets 4-5
Table 4.4: Survey Summary - Reactor Trips 4-6
Table 4.5: Survey Summary - Shutdowns and Discretionary Enforcements 4-9
Table 4.6: Survey Summary - Miscellaneous
Table 5.1: Summary of AOTs and STIs for the RPS and ESFAS (Solid State Protection System) 5-3
Table 5.2: Summary of AOTs and STIs for the RPS and ESFAS (Relay Protection System) 5-4
Table 6.1: Summary of Signals Being Considered 6-5
Table 7.1: Summary of Safety Injection and Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start Signal Unavailabilities - Solid State Protection System 7-8
Table 7.2: Summary of Safety Injection and Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start Signal Unavailabilities - Relay Protection System 7-9
Table 7.3: Summary of Reactor Trip Signal Unavailabilities - Solid State Protection System 7-10
Table 7.4: Summary of Reactor Trip Signal Unavailabilities - Relay Protection System 7-11
Table 7.5: Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors - SSPS Safety Injection: Pressurizer Pressure Low (2/4) interlocked with P-11 7-14
Table 7.6: Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors - SSPS Safety Injection: Pressurizer Pressure Low (2/4) interlocked with P-11 with
Table 7.7: Preskdown of Circal Line with the Contribution of Circal Line with the Con
Feedwater Pump Start: Steam Generator Level Low-Low in One Loop (2/4)
Table 7.8: Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors - SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/4)

LIST OF TABLES (Cont'd)

Table	Page
Table 7.9: Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors - SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/4) with Operator Action	. 7-18
Table 7.10: Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors - SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/3) or Overtemperature delta T (2/4)	. 7-19
Table 7.11: Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors - SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/3) or Overtemperature delta T (2/4) with	
Operator Action	. 7-20
Table 7.12: Dominant Cutsets for Signal Failure, Proposed Case - SSPS Safety Injection: Pressurizer Pressure Low (2/4) Interlocked with P-11	7-22
Table 7.13: Dominant Cutsets for Signal Failure, Proposed Case - SSPS Auxiliary FW Pump Start: Steam Generator Level Low-Low in One Loop (2/4)	. 7-23
Table 7.14: Dominant Cutsets for Signal Failure, Proposed Case - SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/4)	7-24
Table 7.15: Descriptions of Basic Event Identifiers Listed in Tables 7.12 to 7.14	7-25
Table 8.1: Sources of Reactor Trip Actuation Signals	. 8-5
Table 8.2: Sources of Engineered Safety Features Actuation Signals	. 8-6
Table 8.3: Summary of Human Error Probabilities for Operator Actions Backing Up Actuation Signals	. 8-7
Table 8.4: Summary of Results by Core Damage Frequency	. 8-8
Table 8.5: System (Top Event) Importance Summary: SSPS with 2 of 4 Logic	8-10
Table 8.6: System (Top Event) Importance Summary: SSPS with 2 of 3 Logic	8-11

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure 2.1:	Simplified Diagram of the Reactor Protection System	

ACRONYMS

AC -	Alternating Current
AFW -	Auxiliary Feedwater
AFWPS -	Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start
AMSAC -	ATWS Mitigating System Actuation Circuitry
AOT -	Allowed Outage Time
ATWS -	Anticipated Transient Without Scram
CCF -	Common Cause Failure
CDF -	Core Damage Frequency
ESF -	Engineered Safety Features
ESFAS -	Engineered Safety Features Actuation System
FW -	Feedwater
HEP -	Human Error Probability
HVAC -	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
IPE -	Individual Plant Examination
LOCA -	Loss of Coolant Accident
MGL -	Multiple Greek Letter
NA -	Not Applicable or Not Available (as noted in text)
NAR -	Not Applicable Response
NEAP -	Not Evaluated At-Power
NR -	No Response
NRC -	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OA -	Operator Action
PRA -	Probabilistic Risk Analysis
PORV -	Power Operated Relief Valves
RPS -	Reactor Protection System
RT -	Reactor Trip
SI -	Safety Injection
SSPS -	Solid State Protection System
STI -	Surveillance Test Interval
TOP -	Technical Specification Optimization Program
TS -	Technical Specification
V -	Volts
VDC -	Volts Direct Current
VEGP -	Vogtle Electric Generating Plant
WOG -	Westinghouse Owners Group

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The objective of this program is to provide the justification for the following changes to the Technical Specifications for the reactor protection system (RPS) instrumentation and engineered safety features actuation system (ESFAS) instrumentation:

- Increase the bypass times for testing and the allowed outage times (AOT), or completion times.
- 2. Change the action for an inoperable slave relay to "following expiration of the slave relay allowed outage time, the component affected by the inoperable slave should be declared inoperable and the Technical Specification action for this component should be followed." Application of this is limited as discussed in Sections 7.5 and 11.

In addition, the program provides the justification for completing channel calibration activities at-power.

These improvements will allow additional time to perform maintenance and test activities, enhance safety, provide additional operational flexibility, and reduce the potential for forced outages related to compliance with the RPS and ESFAS instrumentation Technical Specifications. Industry information has shown that a significant number of trips that have occurred are related to instrumentation test and maintenance activities, indicating that these activities should be completed with caution and sufficient time should be available to complete these activities in an orderly and effective manner.

The Westinghouse Owners Group Technical Specification Optimization Program (WOG TOP) evaluated changes to surveillance test intervals and allowed outage times for the analog channels, logic cabinets, master and slave relays, and reactor trip breakers (References 1, 2, 3). The NRC approved increasing the surveillance test intervals (STI), bypass test times, and AOTs for the analog channels, as well as the AOTs for the logic cabinets, master relays, and slave relays. A probabilistic risk assessment approach was used in these analyses which included assessing the impact of the changes on signal availability and plant safety. The justification for the acceptability of the changes was the small impact the changes had on plant safety. It was also demonstrated that increasing the surveillance test intervals for the analog channels leads to a decrease in inadvertent reactor trips since fewer test activities will be performed with a channel in trip. This provides a safety benefit.

The approach used in this program and presented in this WCAP is consistent with the approach established by WOG TOP. This includes the fault tree models, signals, component reliability database, and most of the test and maintenance assumptions. Several changes in modeling were implemented to enhance the approach or to remove unnecessary conservatisms, such as, the common cause modeling approach for analog channels and the frequency of maintenance activities. The plant specific model used for the risk analysis was

also changed. The WOG TOP work used the Indian Point Unit 2 and the Millstone Unit 3 models that were available in the early 80's. This current work uses a plant specific PRA model that was recently completed to meet the Individual Plant Examination requirement (Generic Letter 88-20, "Individual Plant Examination for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities"). All of these changes are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

Important to understanding the analysis and approach is a basic understanding of the RPS and ESFAS designs, and also the performance of test and maintenance activities on these systems. This information is provided in Section 2.

The program was initiated by a meeting with the NRC to discuss the approach and to identify information the NRC would require in a submittal. This is discussed in Section 3. A survey was provided to all WOG members to determine their needs with respect to instrumentation test times, maintenance times, and maintenance frequencies, in addition to information regarding plant operation, such as, reactor trip and spurious safety injection events. This is discussed in Section 4. From this information the Technical Specification changes that were evaluated were identified as discussed in Section 5. Sections 6 through 8 provide the probabilistic risk analysis. The benefits of the program and conclusions are discussed in Sections 9 and 10, respectively. Section 11 provides the recommended Technical Specification changes and the analysis. Appendix A provides the proposed changes to the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431 and NUREG-0452) and Appendix B provides the "No Significant Hazards Evaluation". The remaining appendices contain supporting information.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The purpose of this section is to provide the background information necessary to understand the basis for the analysis. Additional information is provided in References 1 and 3.

2.1 RPS and ESFAS DESIGN

The typical reactor protection system circuit consists of analog channels, combinational logic units, and trip breakers. The typical engineering safety features actuation system circuit consists of analog channels, combinational logic, and actuation relays. The analog channels, part of the process instrumentation system, provide signals to each of two logic cabinets which in turn provide signals to their respective reactor trip breakers and the actuation relays. The actuation relays consist of master and slave relays, with the master relays being controlled by the logic cabinet and the slave relays being controlled by the master relays. The slave relays actuate the required equipment. Figure 2.1 shows a simplified diagram of the overall reactor protection system.

Any particular protective feature, such as safety injection on pressurizer pressure low, will have either 2, 3, or 4 separate analog channels with each providing input to the logic cabinets. Actuation of the trip breakers or master and slave relays will require a combinational logic of 1 of 2, 2 of 3, or 2 of 4, as appropriate.

A typical analog channel consists of a sensor, loop power supply, signal conditioning circuits, and a comparator which is the output device to the logic cabinet. The sensor measures physical parameters such as temperature, pressure, level, etc. The measurement is converted to an electrical signal and transmitted to the protection racks for signal conditioning. The signal conditioning modules perform a number of functions including amplification, square root derivation, lead/lag compensation, integration, summation, and isolation. A signal comparator, usually a bistable device, compares the conditioned signal to a predetermined setpoint and turns the output off or on if the voltage exceeds the setpoint. Each bistable controls two relays; one for train A logic and the other for train B logic.

The combinational logic is performed in the logic cabinet. Each logic cabinet consists of three bays; the input bay which contains the input relays, the logic bay, and the output bay which contains the master and slave relays. Two types of logic bays are used; solid state logic or relay logic.

The solid state cabinet, or solid state protection system (SSPS), receives inputs from the analog channels via the input relays. This is accomplished using relays in either an energized or de-energized state, as determined by the output of the comparator. The relays operate grounding contacts in the SSPS circuitry. When a comparator senses a trip condition the

prov ?		- 100	1.20	
L 1 1 1	1.1000	· • •	- 14	
- 1C3	10.00	1		





m:\2052w.wpf:1d-053095

2-2

1

corresponding input relay will energize as appropriate, applying a ground to a specific logic input. The logic inputs are applied to universal boards which are the basic circuits of the protection system. These boards contain 1 of 2, 2 of 3, or 2 of 4 logic circuits. Grounding of the appropriate number of universal board inputs will cause a signal to be generated. Output signals from the universal boards are connected to other universal boards, undervoltage output boards, or safeguard output boards as described.

- Connection to other universal boards enables additional logic combinations. For example, auxiliary feedwater may be started by low level in one steam generator as sensed by 2 of 3 channels. Each of the three steam generator channels for one steam generator would input to a 2 of 3 universal board. For a three-loop plant there would by three such circuits. The output of each of these universal boards would input to a 1 of 3 universal board to achieve the desired logic.
- Connection to undervoltage output boards drive the undervoltage relays to trip the reactor trip breakers.
- Connection to safeguard output boards drive the master relays which in turn drive the slave relays.

The relay logic consists of contacts in a series-parallel arrangement which energize a master relay when appropriate combinations of contacts are closed, or de-energize a master relay when the appropriate combinations of contacts are open, depending on the function. The series-parallel contacts are operated by the output relays of the analog channels and are arranged to initiate appropriate protective functions when the required number of analog channels sense an out-of-limit condition.

The master and slave actuation relays function to start the safeguards equipment which is used to mitigate events. This is accomplished by a combination of relay operations initiated by the output of the logic circuit. Each master relay energized by the logic circuit closes contacts which energize one or more slave relays. The number of master and slave relays is dependent on the particular protective function. The more complex the function, the greater the number of relays energized. Each slave relay when energized, closes contacts in the actuation circuits for one or more pieces of equipment. Typically each slave relay causes several components to operate.

2.2 TEST AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

This program is concerned with test and maintenance activities related to the analog channels. logic cabinets, reactor trip breakers, master relays, and slave relays in the RPS and ESFAS. The protection system is designed to allow online testing. An overlapping test sequence is used, with each test within the testing scheme adequately testing a portion of the

protection system. Satisfactory completion of all tests provides assurance that the system will perform as assumed in the safety analysis when demanded. Typically, testing of the protection system involves verification of the proper channel response to known inputs, proper comparator (bistable) settings and proper operation of the combinational logic and associated trip breakers, master relays, and slave relays. Details of RPS and ESFAS testing are provided in References 1 and 3.

With regard to the following analyses, the impact of test and maintenance activities on the RPS and ESFAS are important. Of specific interest is the impact on the availability of protection system signals. That is, how the individual components of the protective functions are degraded during test and maintenance activities.

Analog channels: The channels can be tested and maintained in either the bypassed or tripped state depending on the specific plant hardware capability. If tested in the bypassed state, the channel is unavailable and actuation logic changes from 2 of 3 to 2 of 2 or from 2 of 4 to 2 of 3 depending the initial logic requirement. If tested in the tripped state, the channel is providing a trip signal to the logic and additional logic then required for actuation changes from 2 or 3 to 1 of 2 or from 2 of 4 to 1 of 3. Most plants do not have the installed bypass test capability (Eagle 21 process protection system or the bypass test panel) so the tripped state is used.

Logic cabinets: The logic is tested and maintained in the bypassed state. That is, the cabinet is unavailable during these activities.

Master relays: The master relays are tested and maintained in the bypassed state. That is, the relays are unavailable during these activities.

Slave relays: The slave relays are tested and maintained in the bypassed state. That is, the relays are unavailable during these activities.

Reactor trip breakers: The trip breakers are tested and maintained in the bypassed state, but the bypass trip breaker for the main trip breaker being tested or maintained is used to provided reactor trip function from two breakers. During such activities, the bypass breaker is controlled by the available (opposite train) logic.

With regard to maintenance activities, two types can be done; corrective and preventive. Corrective maintenance, or repair activities due to component failures, are those that are done after a component failure is identified through either a test or by some other means, such as through visual control room board scans. Preventive maintenance activities are pre-scheduled maintenance activities done to maintain the component in operable condition. Both types of activities impact the component availability.

3.0 NRC MEETING

A meeting to discuss the program with the NRC was held on July 11, 1994. The NRC was represented by personnel from the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and the WOG was represented by personnel from several utilities and Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the following areas of the program:

- overall program approach
- instrumentation allowed outage times and bypass times being considered
- use of WOG TOP RPS and ESFAS instrumentation signal fault tree models as opposed to IPE instrumentation signal fault tree models
- acceptance criteria

۴

- representative plant for the risk analysis
- information the NRC expects to see in the submittal report

The following summarizes the important points from the meeting:

- 1. The NRC was receptive to the approach.
- The RPS and ESFAS instrumentation signal unavailability models from WOG TOP should be used, as opposed to models from the IPE programs, to facilitate the NRC's review. The WOG TOP models have already been reviewed by the NRC.
- The impact on risk related to the AOT and bypass time changes should be inconsequentially small and not significantly increase the level of importance of the RPS and ESFAS.
- The PRA for the "typical" plant used in the risk analysis does not need to be from the lead plant.
- The NRC requested that the risk level corresponding to the new AOTs and bypass times be compared to the risk corresponding to the AOTs, bypass times, and STIs for the instrumentation prior to WOG TOP and corresponding to the AOTs, bypass times, and STIs justified by WOG TOP.
- 6. The NRC requested that actual plant RPS and ESFAS instrumentation configurations be provided in the report. Of particular interest is the time multiple channels are inoperable.
- 7. The NRC stressed the importance of discussing the positive impact of the changes on plant safety, such as, reduced number of trips, reduced number of shutdowns, etc.

These seven items have been incorporated into the analysis and are discussed as appropriate in the following sections.

4.0 PLANT SURVEY

A survey was provided to all domestic WOG member utilities to obtain information regarding test and maintenance activities related to the RPS and ESFAS, and information related to plant operation. The survey also included questions on the impact of the extended AOTs on test and maintenance practices, that is, will the analog channels or logic cabinets be unavailable more often due to additional test or maintenance activities that may be performed or will they be unavailable for longer periods of time due to changes in personnel response to completing test and maintenance activities. In addition, information on the number of plant trips and controlled shutdowns that may be averted due to these changes was also requested. A copy of the Survey is provided in Appendix C.

The survey is divided into two parts. The first part is divided into three sub-sections. The first sub-section requests information on plant specific implementation of the WOG TOP Technical Specification Improvements. The second sub-section requests information on channel and logic cabinet unavailability, and how longer AOTs will impact unavailability of these components. The third sub-section requests information on how these activities impact plant availability with respect to reactor trips and required plant shutdowns. Responses to the third sub-section were limited to the latest five years of operation. The second part of the survey was used to determine the availability of detailed histories of the unavailability of instrumentation logic and analog channels.

The survey was returned by 17 sites representing 24 units. Tables 4-1 to 4-6 summarize the survey information. One site is not yet in commercial operation, their responses to the survey were not included in the following summary tables.

Table 4.1 provides a summary of the plants responding to the survey and the type of protection system (logic cabinet), solid state or relay, in the plant. Also indicated on this table are the plants that have implemented WOG TOP Technical Specification changes, and if so, the date of the implementation, and the mode in which analog channels are tested; tripped or bypassed. Most plants do not have the installed bypass test capability, so testing is done in the tripped state. Approximately half of the plants have implemented TOP.

Table 4.2 provides a summary of the typical and maximum times to perform test and maintenance activities on the analog channels. This table also provides the utility response to what the anticipated impact of extended AOTs would be on the times to complete test and maintenance activities on analog channels. As seen from Table 4.2, the typical time to perform analog channel tests varies from 0.75 hour to 8 hours and the maximum time varies from 2 hours to 12 hours. This table also shows that the typical time to perform maintenance activities varies from 2 hours to 40 hours and the maximum time varies from 4 hours to 72 hours. With the extended AOTs, most utilities responded that no impact on the time to

Tat	ple 4.1
Survey	Summary

Plant	TOP Implementation	Test in Trip/Bypass	Type of Logic Cabinet
Plant A (2 Units)	yes (9/94)	trip	SSPS
Plant B (1 Unit)	yes (9/86, RT & 10/91, ESF)	trip	SSPS
Plant C (2 Units)	yes (8/94)	trip	SSPS
Plant D (1 Unit)	yes (2/90)	trip	SSPS
Plant E (1 Unit)	no	trip	Relay
Plant F (2 Units)	no	trip	SSPS
Plant G (1 Unit)	no	trip	Relay
Plant H (2 Units)	no	trip	SSPS
Plant I (2 Units)	yes (8/94)	trip	Relay
Plant J (1 Unit)	yes (10/86)	trip	SSPS
Plant K (2 Units)	yes (5/90)	trip	SSPS
Plant L (1 Unit)	no	trip	SSPS
Plant M (2 Units)	NR	trip	SSPS
Plant N (2 Units)	no	trip	Relay
Plant O (2 Units)	no	trip	Relay
Plant P (1 Unit)	no	trip	SSPS

Note: RT - reactor trip SSPS - solid state protections system

ESF - engineered safety features em Relay - relay protection system m:\2099w.wpf.1d-060195

Plant	Time to Perform Tests	Time to Perform	Impact of AOT Extension		
Fidit	(Typical/Maximum)	(Typical/Maximum)	Test Time	Maint. Time	
Plant A (2 Units)	0.75 hr/3 hr	12 hr/24 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant B (1 Unit)	4 hr/12 hr	4 hr/12 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant C (2 Units)	8 hr/10 hr	3 hr/6 hr	no impact	25% incrs.	
Plant D (1 Unit)	4 hr/8 hr	6 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant E (1 Unit)	1.5 hr/3 hr	6 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant F (2 Units)	1 hr/2 hr	6 hr/10 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant G (1 Unit)	4 hr/4 hr	4 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant H (2 Units)	6 hr/9 hr	NR	NR	NR	
Plant I (2 Units)	NAR	8 hr/48 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant J (1 Unit)	0.75 hr/3 hr	4.5 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant K (2 Units)	3 hr/7 hr	40 hr/72 hr	no impact	no impact	
Piant L (1 Unit)	2 hr/5 hr	6 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant M (2 Units)	1 hr/4.5 hr	4 hr/10 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant N (2 Units)	NAR	NAR	no impact	no imped	
Plant O (2 Units)	3 hr/6 hr	4 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant P (1 Unit)	3 hr/4 hr	2 hr/4 hr	no impact	25% incrs.	

Table 4.2 Survey Summary - Analog Channels

Notes: NR - no response

NAR - not applicable response

perform test or maintenance activities is expected, although several indicated the time could increase by 25%.

With regard to the frequency of maintenance activities, a significant number of utilities responded that such maintenance activities are performed every 18 months, indicating these activities are completed during refueling and are routine (preventive) activities. This was confirmed with followup phone calls to several utilities. The purpose of this question was to determine the frequency of maintenance activities that would cause an analog channel to be unavailable while at-power. Several utilities provided additional information over the phone to more appropriately respond to this question. These responses indicate that maintenance on the analog channels while at-power occurs relatively infrequently, in the range of once every 2 years up to once every 5 years.

Table 4.3 provides a summary of the typical and maximum times to perform test and maintenance activities on the logic cabinets. This table also provides the utility response to what the anticipated impact of extended AOTs would be on the times to complete test and maintenance activities on logic cabinets. As seen from Table 4.3, the typical time to perform logic cabinet tests varies from 1 hour to 4 hours and the maximum time varies from 1.5 hour to 10 hours. This table also shows that the typical time to perform maintenance activities varies from 1 hours and the maximum time varies from 2 hours to 24 hours. With the extended AOTs, most utilities responded that no impact on the time to perform test or maintenance activities is expected, although several indicated the time could increase by up to 50%.

With regard to the frequency of maintenance activities, a significant number of utilities responded that such maintenance activities are performed every 18 months, indicating these activities are completed during refueling and are routine (preventive) activities. This was confirmed with followup phone calls to several utilities. The purpose of this question was to determine the frequency of maintenance activities that would cause a cabinet to be unavailable while at-power. Several utilities provided additional information over the phone to more appropriately respond to this question. These responses indicate that maintenance on the logic cabinets while at-power occurs at a frequency greater than once every 2 years.

Table 4.4 provides a summary of reactor trip information for the utilities that provided the requested information. The information includes the total number of plant trips, the number of trips that have occurred during plant startup and shutdown, and the number of trips related to instrumentation test and maintenance activities. The total number of plant startups and shutdowns are also provided on this table. The information on this table indicates that a significant number of trips that have occurred are related to instrumentation test and maintenance activities are related to instrumentation test and maintenance activities are related to instrumentation test and maintenance activities (>20%), indicating that these activities should be completed with caution and sufficient time should be available to complete these activities in an orderly and effective manner.

Plant	Time to Perform Tests	Time to Perform	Impact of AOT Extension		
	(Typical/Maximum)	(Typical/Maximum)	Test Time	Maint. Time	
Plant A (2 Units)	1.25 hr/2 hr	2 hr/4 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant B (1 Unit)	1 hr/3 hr	4 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant C (2 Units)	4 hr/6 hr	4 hr/6 hr	50% incrs.	50% incrs.	
Plant D (1 Unit)	4 hr/6 hr	6 hr/8 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant E (1 Unit)	1.5 hr/3 hr	3 hr/5 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant F (2 Units)	1 hr/2 hr	8 hr/24 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant G (1 Unit)	4 hr/6 hr	4 hr/4 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant H (2 Units)	1.5 hr/2 hr	NR	NR	NR	
Plant I (2 Units)	4 hr/10 hr	4 hr/10 hr	no impact	25% incrs.	
Plant J (1 Unit)	2 hr/2 hr	4.5 hr/8 hr	25% incrs.	25% incrs.	
Plant K (2 Units)	3 hr/6 hr	4 hr/6 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant L (1 Unit)	1.5 hr/2 hr	no failures	no impact	no impact	
Plant M (2 Units)	1.5 hr/1.5 hr	not done at-power	no impact	not done at-power	
Plant N (2 Units)	3 hr/4 hr	10 hr/12 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant O (2 Units)	2 hr/2 hr	1 hr/2 hr	no impact	no impact	
Plant P (1 Unit)	2 hr/3 hr	2 hr/4 hr	no impact	no impact	

Table 4.3 Survey Summary - Logic Cabinets

Notes: NR - no response

Table 4 4 Survey Summary - Reactor Trips

	Reactor Trips						
Plant	Number of Trips	No. During Shutdown	No. During Startup	No. Due to Instru. Test Activities	No. Due to Instru. Maint. Activities	Startups	Controlled Shutdowns
Plant A (2 Units)	16	1	6	3	1	32	16
Plant B (1 Unit)	11	1	1	1	3	17	7
Plant C (2 Units)	17	0	0	1	1 -	NA	NA
Plant D (1 Unit)	19	0	0	4	1	27	7
Plant F (2 Units)	16	2	С	1	3	32	16
Plant G (1 Unit)	7	0	1	0	1	20	13
Plant I (2 Units)	11	1	0	2	0	26	15
Plant J (1 Unit)	15	0	0	5	1	18	3
Plant K (2 Units)	24	4	8	6	6	32	7
Piant L (1 Unit)	9	0	0	0	2	16	5
Plant M (2 Units)	42	0	10	6	1	67	25
Plant N (2 Units)	15	0	3	2	1	39	24
Plant P (1 Unit)	7	1	1	0	1	16	10
Total	209	10	30	31	22	342	148

Notes: NA - not available

Table 4.5 provides a summary of the total number of plant shutdowns, and the number of shutdowns due to Technical Specification related requirements and Technical Specification instrumentation related requirements. This table also provides a summary of the total number of discretionary enforcements required to avoid shutdowns and the number of discretionary enforcements required to avoid shutdowns specifically related to Technical Specification instrumentation issues.

Followup phone calls were held with the utilities that indicated they had to shut down the plant due to Technical Specification instrumentation issues or avoided a shutdown related to Technical Specification instrumentation related issues through discretionary enforcements. The purpose of these followup calls was to determine if an extended AOT for either the logic cabinets or the analog channels would have helped in avoiding either the shutdown or the discretionary enforcement. The results from these calls showed that none of the shutdowns would have been avoided with longer instrumentation AOTs and two discretionary enforcements may have been avoided.

Table 4.6 provides a summary of miscellaneous information including: 1) the response to the question concerning multiple channels measuring the same parameter being in either test or maintenance simultaneously, 2) the percentage of test activities that result in (corrective) maintenance activities for analog channels and logic cabinets, 3) the time period considered in response to the survey, and 4) percent time the plant was at-power during this time period. It is noted from this information that for the vast majority of plants multiple channels measuring the same parameters are not unavailable simultaneously due to test or maintenance activities. In addition, the utilities typically responded that 10% or less of the test activities on the instrumentation lead to corrective maintenance activities.

Information was also requested in the survey on the number of spurious safety injections that have occurred, if they occurred at-power, during plant startup or plant shutdown, and if they were related to instrumentation test or maintenance activities. For the 14 sites that responded to these questions, there have been only six spurious safety injections over the time period of interest and all have occurred at-power. Four of these six were related to instrumentation test or maintenance activities.

As discussed in Section 3, the NRC requested that information regarding the lictual plant RPS and ESFAS instrumentation configurations be included in the report. Of particular interest, is the time multiple channels are inoperable. Detailed information regarding specific instrumentation configurations is limited, but some general information was collected and is summarized as follows:

Utilities perform test activities on the majority of the analog channels in the tripped state. Therefore, even though the channel may be unavailable, it is performing its required safety function and plant safety is not degraded.

- Typically, test activities in bypass are limited to containment spray.
- Currently, few utilities have the capability to test in bypass. Several utilities will have the capability to routinely perform test and maintenance activities in bypass in the near future. Therefore, very limited data is currently available concerning instrumentation configurations with channels unavailable.
- It is not common practice to have multiple channels measuring the same parameter out of service simultaneously. The Technical Specifications address this situation and require a plant shutdown.

Plant	Controlled Shutdowns	TS Required Shutdowns	TS Instrumentation Required Shutdowns	Shutdowns Avoided Discret. Enforcement	TS Instr. Shutdowns Avoided due to Discret. Enforcement	
Plant A (2 Units)	16	7	0 7		1	
Plant B (1 Unit)	7	1	0	7	0	
Plant C (2 Units)	NA	2	0	3	0	
Plant D (1 Unit)	7	2	1	1	0	
Plant F (2 Units)	16	0	0	2	0	
Plant G (1 Unit)	13	3	3 1 1		1	
Plant I (2 Units)	15	1	0	1	1	
Plant J (1 Unit)	3	0	0	4	2	
Plant K (2 Units)	7	3	0	6	0	
Plant L (1 Unit)	5	0	0	0	0	
Plant M (2 Units)	25	10	0	0 NA		
Plant N (2 Units)	24	2	0	6	0	
Plant P (1 Unit)	10	2	1 4		2	
Total	148	33	3	42	7	

Table 4.5 Survey Summary - Shutdowns and Discretionary Enforcements

Notes: NA - not available

m \2099w wpf:1d-060195

Plant	Multiple Channels Out of Service At the Same Time	Percentage of Tests that Lead to Maintenance	Time Span Included In Survey	Percent Time Plant At-Power	
Plant A (2 Units)	no	< 10%	5.0 yr	Unit 1 - 71% Unit 2 - 86%	
Plant B (1 Unit)	no	10%	5.3 yr	91%	
Plant C (2 Units)	very rare	10%	4.7 yr	90%	
Plant D (1 Unit)	no	10%	4.0 yr	80%	
Plant E (1 Unit)	NR	5%	NR	NR	
Plant F (2 Units)	no	10%	5.0 yr	Unit 1 - 80% Unit 2 - 82%	
Plant G (1 Unit)	no	< 5%	4.8 yr	84%	
Plant H (2 Units)	NR	1%	NR	NR	
Plant I (2 Units)	no	10%	5.7 yr	90%	
Plant J (1 Unit)	no	4%	3.8 yr	80%	
Plant K (2 Units)	no	10%	NA	30%	
Plant L (1 Unit)	NA	25%	5.0 yr	75%	
Plant M (2 Units)	no	1%	6.0 yr	50%	
Plant N (2 Units)	no	2-3%	5.7 yr	76%	
Plant O (2 Units)	(2 Units) no (channel trip only) yes (transmitter work)		5.0 yr	80%	
Plant P (1 Unit)	no	< 10%	5.9 yr	82%	

Table 4.6 Survey Summary - Miscellaneous

Notes: NA - not available

NR - no response

5.0 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGES TO BE EVALUATED

Original discussions with the WOG indicated interest in extending the AOTs for the analog channels, logic cabinets, master relays, and slave relays up to 72 hrs and bypass times up to 72 hrs. As discussed in Section 3.0, a pre-meeting was held with the NRC to discuss the program approach and changes being considered. Based on this discussion, it was decided to change the AOTs being considered for the logic cabinets, master relays, and slave relays to 24 hours. Based on the results of the plant survey, discussed in Section 4.0, and additional discussions with the WOG, it was further decided to leave the test time (or bypass time) for the logic cabinets, master relays, and slave relays. The current times appear to be adequate in most cases.

To model these AOTs in the fault trees to determine the impact of the changes on signal unavailabilities, several parameters need to be specified for component test and maintenance unavailabilities. These are the test and maintenance frequencies, and the time to complete the test and maintenance activities. These are discussed in more detail in the following paragraphs.

Changes to the reactor trip breaker test and maintenance parameters are not being addressed in this analysis. These were addressed in the previous WOG TOP analysis, but were not approved by the NRC.

5.1 TEST FREQUENCIES AND DURATIONS

The test frequency is specified by the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications, therefore, the frequencies required by the Technical Specifications are used. The test frequencies are listed on Tables 5.1 and 5.2 for the SSPS and relay protection system, respectively. The actual test times (time to complete a test) are plant specific. The only control over the length of tests is provided by the AOTs in the Technical Specifications or by the length of time the component is allowed to be in a bypassed state, also as specified in the Technical Specifications. For logic cabinets, master relays, and slave relays, the AOT is the maximum time the cabinet can be unavailable or bypassed. For analog channels, the AOT is the maximum length of time the channel can be unavailable or bypassed prior to being required to place it in the trip state. Plants that do not have installed channel bypass capability (most plants) cannot take advantage of this feature for testing. Due to these hardware limitations, testing of analog channels in most plants is performed with the channel in the tripped state.

From Table 4.2 it is seen that the maximum times to perform tests on the analog channels range from 2 hrs to 12 hrs and the typical times range from 0.75 hr to 8 hrs. Responses to the survey also indicated that with extended times, the times to complete analog channels tests are expected to be unaffected. Based on this information, a 12 hour analog channel

bypass time was evaluated in this study. This envelops the maximum time provided in the survey.

From Table 4.3 it is seen that the maximum times to perform tests on the logic cabinets range from 1.5 hrs to 10 hrs and the typical times range from 1 hr to 4 hrs. Responses to the survey also indicated that with extended times, the times to complete logic cabinet tests are expected to be unaffected. It was decided to use a 4 hour logic cabinet bypass time in this study. The 4 hour time envelops all of the typical times required to completed logic cabinet tests and envelops many of the maximum times. Note that this is the same value that was evaluated in the WOG TOP Program. It should also be noted that the results of some preliminary sensitivity analyses, not documented in this report, indicated that extending the test or bypass times had a greater impact on the signal unavailability than extending the AOT or maintenance time. The WOG was particularly interested in extending the AOT, so it was decided to maintain the bypass time at the current value.

Note that in most Technical Specifications, the logic cabinet AOT and bypass time also apply to the master and slave relays, so a 4 hour bypass or test time will also be used for the master and slave relays. The test times are summarized on Tables 5.1 and 5.2.

5.2 MAINTENANCE FREQUENCIES AND DURATIONS

Preventive maintenance is usually completed on analog channels and logic cabinets during refueling outages. Corrective maintenance is done at-power when required. There is no set interval. Any maintenance activity that causes a channel or cabinet to be unavailable while at-power is of interest in this analysis. The previous WOG TOP study assumed that channel and logic cabinet maintenance occurred while the plant was at-power once per year. The results of the survey indicate at-power maintenance occurs significantly less frequent than this (see the discussion in Section 4.0 and Table 4-6). Table 4.6 shows that typically 10% or less of the tests lead to maintenance; so if the test interval is 3 months, then maintenance activities would typically be done every 30 months, which assumes that the majority of component failures are usually found via tests. WOG TOP assumed that maintenance activities that render the component unavailable while at-power occur once per year. This is a conservative assumption that leads to conservative results which may be misleading; it provides an unrealistically large increase in risk. The maintenance intervals, or frequencies, in this study will be based on the following:

<u>Slave Relays</u>: Maintenance activities will be performed when the relay is found to be inoperable, following a test or an event that would cause an actuation. Preventive maintenance is not performed on these relays at power. Therefore, the maintenance interval is related to the probability of a slave relay failing on demand. The calculation for maintenance unavailability is based on the relay failure rate. This value is significantly less than the maintenance unavailability based on a maintenance frequency of once per year

Component	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed		
Analog Channels					
- Maint. Time1	1 hour	6+6 hours	72+6 hours		
- Maint. Interval	2 years	2 years	2 years		
- Test (bypass) Time	2 hours	4 hours	12 hours		
- Test Interval	1 month	3 months	3 months		
- Calibration Interval	NEAP ³	NEAP ³	18 months		
- Calibration Time	NEAP	NEAP ³	4 hours		
Logic Cabinets					
- Maint. Time ¹	2 hours	6+6 hours	24+6 hours		
- Maint. Interval	18 months	18 months	18 months		
- Test (bypass) Time	1.5 hours	4 hours	4 hours		
- Test Interval	2 months	2 months	2 months		
Master Relays					
- Maint Time ¹	2 hours	6+6 hours	24+6 hours		
- Maint. Interval	see Note 2	see Note 2	see Note 2		
- Test (bypass) Time	1.5 hours	4 hours	4 hours		
- Test Interval	2 months	2 months	2 months		
Slave Relays					
Maint. Time	2 hours	6+6 hours	24+6 hours		
Maint. Interval	see Note 2	see Note 2	see Note 2		
Test (bypass) Time	4 hours	4 hours	4 hours		
Test Interval	3 months	3 months	3 months		
Reactor Trip Breakers					
Maint. Time	6 hours	6 hours	6 hours		
Maint. Interval	1 year	1 year	1 year		
Test Time	2 hours	2 hours	2 hours		
Test Interval	2 months	2 months	2 months		

Table 5.1 Summary of AOTs and STIs for the RPS and ESFAS (Solid State Protection System)

Notes:

 The "+ 6 hr" is the time provided in Tech Spec to enter the specified mode if the component isn't returned to operable status.

2 - Maintenance interval is based on the component failure rate.

3 - Not Evaluated At-Power (NEAP), in the past this activity has typically be done while shutdown.

Component	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed		
Analog Channels					
- Maint. Time1	1 hour	6+6 hours	72+6 hours		
- Maint. Interval	2 years	2 years	2 years		
- Test (bypass) Time	2 hours	4 hours	12 hours		
- Test Interval	1 month	3 months	3 months		
- Calibration Interval	NEAP ³	NEAP ³	18 months		
- Calibration Time	NEAP ³	NEAP ³	4 hours		
Logic Cabinets					
- Maint. Time ¹	2 hours	6+6 hours	24+6 hours		
- Maint. Interval	1 year	1 year	1 year		
- Test (bypass) Time	3 hours	8 hours	8 hours		
- Test Interval	1 month	1 month	1 month		
Master Relays					
- Maint. Time'	6 hours	6+6 hours	24+6 hours		
- Maint. Interval	see Note 2	see Note 2	see Note 2		
- Test (bypass) Time	3 hours	8 hours	8 hours		
Test Interval	1 month	1 month	1 month		
Slave Relays					
Maint. Time1	6 hours	6+6 hours	24+6 hours		
Maint. Interval	see Note 2	see Note 2	see Note 2		
Test (bypass) Time	6 hours	12 hours	12 hours		
Test Interval	3 months	ths 3 months 3 mont			
Reactor Trip Breakers		Maria Maria			
Maint. Time	6 hours	6 hours	6 hours		
Maint. Interval	1 year	1 year	1 year		
Test Time	2 hours	2 hours	2 hours		
Test Interval	2 months	2 months	2 months		

			Т	able !	5.2				
Summary	of	AOTs	and	STIs	for	the	RPS	and	ESFAS
		(Relay	Pro	tecti	on s	Syst	em)		

1 - The "+ 6 hr" is the time provided in Tech Spec to enter the specified mode if the component isn't returned to operable status.

2 - Maintenance interval is based on the component failure rate.

3 - Not Evaluated At-Power (NEAP), in the past this activity has typically be done while shutdown.

indicating the relays fail significantly less than once per year. This is supported by the reliability assessment of AR and MDR relays used in the SSPS provided in WCAP-13877 and WCAP-14117 (References 4 and 5). Section 9 of WCAP-13877 shows there have been only 6 relay actuation failures in approximately 43,000 demands for AR relays. Section 9 of WCAP-14117 shows there have been only 4 relay actuation failures in approximately 50,000 demands for MDR relays.

<u>Master relays</u>: Maintenance activities will be performed when the relay is found to be inoperable, following a test or an event that would cause an actuation. Preventive maintenance is not performed on these relays at power. Therefore, the maintenance interval is related to the probability of a master relay failing on demand. The calculation for maintenance unavailability is based on the relay failure rate. This value is significantly less than the maintenance unavailability based on a maintenance frequency of once per year indicating the relays fail significantly less than once per year. Although detailed data collection on the master relays has not been performed, their failure history is not expected to vary greatly from the slave relay experience.

Logic cabinets: Maintenance activities will be performed when the cabinet or a portion of the cabinet is found to be failed, following a test or an event that would cause an actuation, which leads to a repair activity that requires the cabinet to be declared inoperable. Therefore, the maintenance interval is related to the probability of a component in the cabinet failing to function when demanded. A detailed data collection has not been performed on the cabinets and no similar data is available to easily justify an alternate maintenance frequency. From the discussion in Section 4.0, the frequency of maintenance is reported to be at most once every two years based on the limited information available. In Table 4.6 it was reported that 10% or less of the tests on the instrumentation systems lead to maintenance activities. Since the logic cabinets are tested every two months, a failure would be expected approximately every 20 months per cabinet based on the percentage of tests that lead to maintenance activities. For this analysis, the maintenance interval will be 18 months.

<u>Analog channels</u>: Maintenance activities will be performed when a channel is found to be inoperable, following a test or an event that would cause an actuation. Therefore, the maintenance interval is related to the probability of a channel failing to function on demand. A detailed data collection has not been performed on the channels and no similar data is available to easily justify an alternate maintenance frequency. From the discussion in Section 4.0, the frequency of maintenance is reported to vary from once every two years to once every 5 years based on the limited information available. In Table 4.6 it was reported that 10% or less of tests on the instrumentation systems lead to maintenance activities. Since analog channels are tested every three months, a failure would be expected approximately every 30 months per channel based on the percentage of tests that lead to maintenance activities. For this analysis, the maintenance interval will be two years.

The maximum time allowed for maintenance activities, in which the component is unavailable or prior to being placed in a tripped state, is limited by the Technical Specification AOTs. The actual time in most cases is significantly less than the AOT value. The survey results discussed in Section 4.0 confirm this (see Tables 4.2 and 4.3). In addition, with increased AOTs, utilities responded in the survey that the time to complete maintenance activities is not expected to increase, although a minority indicated the times may increase as much as 50%. But, for the purposes of conservatism and since utilities may change maintenance practices/philosophies once the longer AOTs are implemented, it will be assumed the total AOT will be used for maintenance activities. Therefore, the analog channel AOT will be 72 hours and the AOTs for the logic cabinets, master relays, and slave relays will be 24 hours.

From the survey, several of the utilities indicated that completing channel calibrations at-power would be useful. These are required on an 18 month interval and require approximately 4 hours to complete. An additional 4 hours unavailability every 18 months will be added to the test unavailability value to account for this.

Tables 5.1 and 5.2 provide summaries of the AOTs and STIs for pre-TOPs, WOG TOP, and for the values being evaluated in this assessment for solid state protection systems and relay protection systems. The values used for the SSPS and relay protection system differ due to the different test and maintenance approaches required for each type of system. These differences are discussed in more detail in Section 7.2.
6.0 REPRESENTATIVE RPS AND ESFAS SIGNALS

The WOG TOP analysis evaluated all the RPS and ESFAS signals specified in the Technical Specifications that are common to most plants. These are provided in Tables 3.2-2 and 3.2-3 of Reference 2 for reactor trip signals and in Tables 3.1-2 and 3.1-3 of Reference 3 for ESFAS signals. During plant specific implementation of WOG TOP, justifications were provided to show the applicability of the TOP changes to several additional signals. These are listed in Section 11.0. In addition, through the implementation process, utilities also provided the justification of the applicability of these changes to other signals not analyzed in WOG TOP. The analysis and results discussed in the following sections are applicable to all these signals.

Not all the fault trees developed and quantified in the original WOG TOP were used in this current analysis; only those considered representative of the results for most of the other fault tree analyses. Only evaluating representative trees is adequate since many of the fault tree analyses provided similar results in terms of signal unavailabilities and changes in signal unavailabilities. Table 6.1 provides a summary of the signals that were used in this evaluation. The following paragraphs provide the justification for using these signals.

One of the conclusions from the WOG TOP work was that the ESF actuation signals can be grouped, for signal unavailability type analyses, according to the number of master and slave relays, logic cabinet type (relay or solid state), and actuation logic (2 of 3 versus 2 of 4). This conclusion is from the ESFAS unavailability results in Reference 3.

Reactor trip actuation signals can be grouped, for signal unavailability type analyses, according to logic type (relay or solid state) and actuation logic (2 of 3 versus 2 of 4), although for reactor trip actuation signals it is necessary to consider signals from diverse sets of actuating sources (diverse sets of analog channels) as well as from single sets of 2 of 3 and 2 of 4 logic. This can be seen from reviewing the signal unavailability results in Reference 2.

Even though this program only considers analog processing of data (analog channels), the analysis is applicable to digital systems as justified by utilities implementing WOG TOP with the Eagle 21 process protection system. Only changes to AOTs and bypass times are being evaluated in this study and these affect the signal availability similarly between the two types of process protection systems, as opposed to changes to surveillance test intervals or changes to system designs which may impact component reliability differently between the two system types.

6.1 REPRESENTATIVE ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES ACTUATION SIGNALS

From Table 3.3-1 in Reference 3. ESF actuation signals developed from the solid state protection system model the following master/slave relay combinations:

- 1. safety injection, and containment spray and containment phase B isolation included two master relays per train with each master actuating three slave relays
- steamline isolation, main feedwater isolation, and auxiliary feedwater pump start included one master relay per train actuating two slave relays

The signal unavailability analysis results for safety injection with a solid state protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-6) and for containment spray and phase B isolation with a solid state protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-10) show that the signal unavailability values, with common cause failures included, range from 9.7E-04 to 1.4E-03 for the Pre-TOP case and from 4.8E-03 to 6.6E-03 for Case 1. Case 1 is defined in Reference 3 on Table 3.1-1. These signals are represented by the safety injection on pressurizer pressure low interlocked with P-11 which has typical signal unavailabilities for this group of safeguard actuation signals.

The signal unavailability analysis results for steamline isolation with a solid state protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-7), main feedwater isolation with a solid state protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-8), and auxiliary feedwater pump start with a solid state protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-9) show that the signal unavailability values, with common cause failures included, range from 5.0E-04 to 8.8E-04 for the pre-TOP case and from 2.2E-03 to 3.6E-03 for Case 1. These signals are represented by the auxiliary feedwater pump start on steam generator level low-low in one loop signal which has typical signal unavailabilities for this group of safeguard actuation signals.

From Table 3.3-2 of Reference 3, ESF actuation signals developed from relay protection systems use the following master/slave relay combinations:

- 1. safety injection included one master relay per train actuating six slave relays
- steamline isolation, containment spray, and containment phase B isolation included one master relay per train actuating three slave relays
- auxiliary feedwater pump start and main feedwater isolation included only one master relay per train - the master relay directly actuates the equipment

The results for safety injection with a relay protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-1) show that the signal unavailability values, with common cause failures included, range from 6.7E-07 to 8.1E-04 for the pre-TOP case and from 3.9E-03 to 4.5E-03 for Case 1. Case 1 is defined in

Reference 3 on Table 3.1-1. These signals are represented by safety injection on pressurizer pressure low interlocked with P-11 which has typical signal unavailabilities for this group of safeguard actuation signals.

The results for main feedwater isolation with a relay protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-3), and for auxiliary feedwater pump start with a relay protection system (see Reference 3, Table 3.6-4) show that the signal unavailability values, with common cause failures included, range from 4.8E-05 to 1.3E-04, depending on the channel logic, for the pre-TOP case and from 2.1E-04 to 3.9E-04 for Case 1, again depending on the channel logic. These signals are represented by auxiliary feedwater pump start on steam generator level low-low in one loop which has typical signal unavailabilities for this group of safeguard actuation signals.

The signal unavailability results for steamline isolation, containment spray, and containment isolation fall between the results for the above two cases, and therefore will not be specifically evaluated.

6.2 REPRESENTATIVE REACTOR TRIP ACTUATION SIGNALS

The results in Table 3.2-2 (Reference 2) for reactor trip with solid state protection systems show that the signal unavailabilities, with common cause failures included, generally range from 9E-05 to 3E-04 for the pre-TOP case and from 1E-04 to 5E-04 (with a few as high as 8.9E-04) for Case 1. Case 1 is defined in Reference 1 on Table 4.3-2. The reactor trip signal on pressurizer pressure high, with pre-TOP and TOP unavailability values of 9.5E-05 and 1.5E-04, respectively, for 2 of 4 logic and pre-TOP and TOP unavailability values of 1.6E-04 and 3.2E-04, respectively, for 2 of 3 logic, is used as the signal to represent reactor trip signals generated from solid state protection systems.

The results in Table 3.2-3 (Reference 3) for reactor trip with relay protection systems show that the signal unavailabilities, with common cause failures included, generally range from 8E-05 to 3E ⁻/₁₄ for the pre-TOP case and generally range from 1E-04 to 6E-04 for Case 1. The reactor trip signal on pressurizer pressure high, with pre-TOP and Case 1 unavailability values of 9.2E-05 and 1.4E-04, respectively, for 2 of 4 logic and pre-TOP and TOP unavailability values of 1.6E-04 and 3.0 E-04, respectively, for 2 of 3 logic, is used as the signal to represent reactor trip signals generated from relay protection systems.

When signal diversity is considered, two or more signals (sets of analog channels) that actuate reactor trip in response to an event, the source of the signals and the logic required (2 of 3 vs. 2 of 4) are not important contributors to signal unavailability. This is not evident from the information provided in References 1 and 2, but can be seen by examination of the cutset results from evaluations presented in this document (see Section 7). The representative signal for diversity evaluations is the reactor trip signal on pressurizer pressure high (2 of 3) or overtemperature delta T (2 of 4).

It is also necessary to consider the impact of the AOT and bypass time changes on the signal unavailabilities with credit for operator actions to initiate reactor trip or actuation of the safeguard features. This is particularly important when assessing the impact of the changes on plant safety. Typically, the automatic actuation signals are backed up by operator actions to perform the same function, as in manually initiating reactor trip or safety injection. Therefore, the signal unavailability analyses also considered the impact of the changes with credit for operator actions. Operator action credit was only considered for signals generated from the solid state protection system since these will be used in the risk analysis and will be generally representative of the impact on the relay type protection systems if crediting operator actions. This is discussed in more detail in Section 8.0.

Function	Logic Cabinet	Channel Logic	Operator Action
SI (1)	SSPS	2 of 3	no
SI (1)	SSPS	2 of 4	no
SI (1)	SSPS	2 of 3	yes
SI (1)	SSPS	2 of 4	yes
SI (1)	Relay	2 of 3	no
SI (1)	Relay	2 of 4	no
AFWPS (2)	SSPS	2 of 3	no
AFWPS (2)	SSPS	2 of 4	no
AFWPS (2)	Relay	2 of 3	no
AFWPS (2)	Relay	2 of 4	no
RT (3)	SSPS	2 of 3	no
RT (3)	SSPS	2 of 4	no
RT (4)	SSPS	Diverse	no
RT (3)	SSPS	2 of 3	yes
RT (3)	SSPS	2 of 4	yes
RT (4)	SSPS	Diverse	yes
RT (3)	Relay	2 of 3	no
RT (3)	Relay	2 of 4	no
RT (4)	Relay	Diverse	no

Table 6.1 Summary of Signals Being Considered

Notes:

1. SI signal is from pressurizer pressure low interlocked with P-11.

2. AFWPS signal is from steam generator level low-low in one loop.

3. RT single source signal is from pressurizer pressure high.

4. RT diverse source signal is from pressurizer pressure high or overtemperature delta T.

7.0 RPS AND ESFAS SIGNAL UNAVAILABILITY ANALYSIS

As discussed in Section 1.0, the approach used in this analysis is consistent with that used in WOG TOP (References 1, 2, 3). A fault tree analysis was used to assess the impact of the AOT and bypass time changes on the unavailability of reactor trip and engineered safety features actuation signals. These unavailabilities were then used in a risk analysis to determine the impact on plant safety.

This section of the report presents and discusses the signal unavailability analysis. It includes a discussion on the approach, assumptions, fault tree models, and the results.

7.1 UNAVAILABILITY ANALYSIS APPROACH

The approach used in this analysis to determine the impact of the changes on signal unavailability is based on fault trees. The fault trees used are based on those previously developed for WOG TOP. These fault trees model the unavailability of the signal given a particular signal demand. The assumptions (see Section 7.2) and data are also consistent with WOG TOP (References 1 and 3). Several changes were made to the details of the approach and these are discussed in the following paragraphs. Additional fault trees were developed as necessary to model the addition of operator actions to either manually trip the plant or initiate safety injection. Each fault tree specifically models and is unique to a particular RPS and ESFAS signal. Fault trees were developed for each signal noted in Table 6.1. The fault tree models are discussed in Section 7.3.

The analysis included contributions to signal unavailabilities from the following sources:

- 1. random failures of components
- 2. common cause failures of components
- 3. unavailability of components due to testing
- 4. unavailability of components due to maintenance
- 5. human error

Included in the fault tree models are the hardware failures, operator actions, and test and maintenance activities which can lead to signal failure. These are discussed in detail in Section 4.1 of Reference 1.

For the most part, the fault trees do not specifically include component common cause failure contributions to signal unavailability. This is added by hand calculations after quantification of the fault trees. The Multiple Greek Letter and Beta Factor common cause approaches are used in this analysis. This is consistent with the common cause approach used for the trip breakers, master and slave relays, and logic cabinets in WOG TOP, but is a change in approach for the analog channels. This change was implemented to improve the approach to account for the impact of changes in testing frequency on the common cause contributions of combinations of

. 24

failures greater than two components and to remain consistent with approaches used in Individual Plant Examinations.

The common cause failure approach and the approach to assess the unavailability of components due to maintenance activities are discussed further in the following paragraphs. The approaches in these two areas have been changed to provide a more representative analysis.

Common Cause Failures

The Multiple Greek Letter (MGL) method was used to determine common cause failure contributions to signal unavailability in this analysis. This does not impact the common cause contributions from the reactor trip breakers, master relays, slave relays, or logic cabinets, since the MGL approach reduces to the Beta Factor approach when considering failures of a two train system. This change does impact the contributions from analog channels since these components require failure of 2 of 3 logic and 2 of 4 logic.

In applying the Beta Factor approach to multiple failures of the reactor trip breakers, master relays, slave relays, and logic cabinets, the following Beta factors were used:

			+8.
		1000	
		_	

These are consistent with the values used in WOG TOP.

In applying the MGL approach to the analog channels, the following equations are used:

Failure of 3 of 4 components: $Q \times \beta \times \gamma \times (1-\delta)/3 \times no.$ of common cause cutsets Failure of 4 of 4 components: $Q \times \beta \times \gamma \times \delta \times no.$ of common cause cutsets Failure of 2 of 3 components: $Q \times \beta \times (1-\gamma)/2 \times no.$ of common cause cutsets Failure of 3 of 3 components: $Q \times \beta \times \gamma \times no.$ of common cause cutsets

+8.0

where: ___ Q - component failure probability

The β , γ , and δ values are for electronic type components.

In determining the common cause contribution of the analog channels it is necessary to determine the detection interval for component failures. Failure of some of the components that comprise the channels will be detected within a shift, while others will only be detected during the channel operability test (monthly for Pre-TOP implementation, and quarterly for TOP implementation and the assumptions currently being assessed). Component failures that can be detected during a shift are those that can be observed by control board scans. These include sensor and loop power supply failures. Component failures that are only detectable by the channel operability test are for comparators, output relays, and signal conditioning circuitry.

Component Unavailability Due to Maintenance Activities

In WOG TOP it was assumed that maintenance activities on the reactor trip breakers, master relays, slave relays, logic cabinets, and analog channels occurred once per year. This is a conservative assumption as discussed in Section 5.2. Section 5.2 established another approach for determining maintenance intervals. This approach is based on the component failure rates for master and slave relays, and for instrumentation (analog channels and logic cabinets) it is based on the response to the survey that 10% of the test activities lead to maintenance activities. The maintenance interval for reactor trip breakers was left at once per year. Using the component failure rate to determine the maintenance unavailability for the master and slave relays results in relatively small component unavailabilities due to maintenance. This is supported by information in References 4 and 5. These reports show that there have been few slave relay failures and that only after a failure is identified is maintenance performed on the relays. Therefore, a small contribution to relay unavailability from maintenance is expected. The following calculations demonstrate the component maintenance unavailability approach.

Logic cabinet unavailability (TOP AOTs)

= 12 hours/activity x 1 activity/1.5 years x 1 year/8760 hours = 9.13E-04
 where: 1 activity/1.5 years is from Section 5.2 (where the justification is provided for assuming a maintenance interval of 18 months)
 12 hours is the AOT (6 hours) plus 6 hours to enter next specified mode if the component is not returned to service

Analog channel unavailability (TOP AOTs)

= 12 hours/activity x 1 activity/2 years x 1 year/8760 hours = 6.85E-04
 where: 1 activity/2 years is from Section 5.2 (where the justification is provided for assuming a maintenance interval of 24 months)
 12 hours is the AOT (6 hours) plus 6 hours to enter next specified mode if

12 hours is the AOT (6 hours) plus 6 hours to enter next specified mode if the component is not returned to service Slave relay unavailability (TOP AOTs)

= 5.29E-07 failures/hour x 12 hours/failure = 6.35E-06
 where: 5.29E-07 is the relay failure rate (Reference 3)
 12 hours is the AOT (6 hours) plus 6 hours to enter next specified mode if the component is not returned to service

Master relay unavailability (TOP AOTs)

= 5.29E-07 failures/hour x 12 hours/failure = 6.35E-06
where: 5.29E-07 is the relay failure rate (Reference 3)
12 hours is the AOT (6 hours) plus 6 hours to enter next specified mode if the component is not returned to service

7.2 ASSUMPTIONS

The following presents the key assumptions for developing the fault tree models with regard to test and maintenance activities. Most of these are presented in References 1 and 3, but are repeated here for convenience.

7.2.1 ANALOG CHANNELS

These assumptions are applicable to the analog channels as they are used in both the relay protection systems and solid state protection systems.

- Analog channel testing and calibration activities are performed in the bypassed state. All plants do not test in bypass, but for those that do this is representative and for those that do not, this is conservative.
- Simultaneous testing or calibration of more than one analog channel is assumed to occur on a random basis. This is not a standard practice, but simplifies the fault tree models and is conservative.
- Maintenance of the analog channels is performed in the bypassed state. This represents actual plant practice. Only corrective maintenance is performed at-power.
- Simultaneous maintenance activities on more than one analog channel is assumed to occur on a random basis.
- Bypass times for test and calibration activities and allowed outage time for maintenance activities used in the analysis are discussed in Section 5.0.

7.2.2 SOLID STATE PROTECTION SYSTEM

The following assumptions are applicable to the logic cabinets, reactor trip breakers, master relays, and slave relays in a SSPS.

- Testing of the logic prohibits automatic actuation of the entire associated train. This is consistent with hardware design and is necessary to allow at-power testing. The redundant train remains operable and capable of providing all protective features.
- 2. Maintenance of the logic cabinets is assumed to prohibit actuation of the entire associated train. This is consistent with actual practice or conservative.
- Testing of the reactor trip breakers prohibits actuation of the breaker in test. The bypass breaker corresponding to affected breaker is placed into service and will be actuated by the logic cabinet in the unaffected train. This is consistent with actual practice.
- 4. Maintenance of the reactor trip breakers prohibits actuation of the breaker in maintenance. The bypass breaker corresponding to the affected breaker is placed into service and will be actuated by the logic cabinet in the unaffected train. This is consistent with actual practice.
- 5. Testing of the master relays prohibits actuation of the entire associated train. This is consistent with the test circuitry provided for the master relays and represents actual practice.
- Maintenance of the master relays makes the affected master relay and all associated slave relays inoperable. This is consistent with the design of the actuation relays.
- 7. The ESFAS signal is assumed to be unavailable if the equivalent relays, either master or slaves, in the redundant trains are unavailable. That is, if the relays that actuate the high head safety injection pumps in each train are unavailable, the ESF function is assumed to be unavailable. This is conservative since partial system failures are equated to total system failures. A less conservative approach, while appropriate, would require a significant increase in the complexity of the fault trees.
- 8. Testing and maintenance of slave relays was modeled assuming that only the affected relay is inoperable. This is consistent with actual practice or conservative. In many cases the test actuates the associated components, therefore, the components remain available. However, in some cases actuation of the components is blocked rendering the components unavailable for automatic actuation. Since the latter test scheme represents the limiting case, it was used for the model.

- 9. The number of master and slave relays actuated by an ESFAS signal varies from signal to signal and is a function of the number of components required to be actuated. Based on a review of several SSPS plant specific designs, the following is included in the models:
 - Safety Injection, and Containment Spray and Phase B Isolation: two master relays each driving three slave relays
 - Steamline Isolation, Main Feedwater Isolation, and Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start: one master relay driving two slave relays

7.2.3 RELAY PROTECTION SYSTEM

The hardware design varies for the relay protection system as discussed in Reference 3. A bounding configuration was identified by a review of several designs. The following assumptions are applicable to the logic cabinets, reactor trip breakers, master relays, and slave relays in a relay protection system.

- 1. Items 1 to 7 in Section 7.2.2 for the SSPS are applicable to relay protection systems also.
- Maintenance of the slave relays was modeled assuming that the affected relay is inoperable. This is consistent with the SSPS modeling. Testing of the slave relay was modeled as to prohibit actuation of the entire associated train. This is consistent with practice or conservative.
- 3. The number of master and slave relays actuated by an ESFAS signal varies from signal to signal and is a function of the number of components required to be actuated. The following is included in the models:
 - Safety Injection: one master relay driving six slave relays
 - Steamline Isolation, and Containment Spray and Phase B Isolation: one master relay driving three slave relays
 - Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start and Feedwater Isolation: one master relay directly driving the required components (no slave relays)

7.3 FAULT TREE MODELS

Signal specific fault trees were used for each signal evaluated. These were discussed in Section 6.0 and are listed on Table 6.1. Most of the fault trees used in this analysis came directly from References 1, 2 or 3, but several were developed specifically for this analysis. The fault trees required to be developed were for signals that credited operator action to initiate reactor trip or safety injection. These included: 1) safety injection with the SSPS and 2 of 3 or 2 of 4 channel logic, 2) reactor trip with the SSPS and 2 of 3 or 2 of 4 channel logic, and 3) reactor trip with the

SSPS and diverse actuation signals. It was also necessary to develop the fault tree for reactor trip with the SSPS and diverse actuation signal without operator action.

The fault trees for safety injection and reactor trip with 2 of 3 or 2 or 4 channel logic and operator action were simply developed by adding an operator action under an "and" gate with the automatic signal generated by the SSPS. The reactor trip tree with diverse actuation signals was developed by adding a second set of logic and analog channel components under an "and" with the first set of logic and analog channel components. The reactor trip fault tree with diverse actuation under an "and" gate with the diverse actuation signals. A human error probability of 0.01 was used for these actions. This is consistent with the values used for identical operator actions in several IPEs. The fault trees developed for WOG TOP formed the basis for these newly developed trees. All the fault trees used in this analysis are included in Appendix D.

The fault trees were quantified with the WesSAGE Computer Code (Reference 6). WesSAGE is a software tool used to develop and quantify fault trees. The output of the code provides the mean probability of failure and cutsets for the requested gate(s). The gates of interest were typically the top gate, safety function unavailable, and the gate corresponding to the signal generated by the logic cabinet.

7.4 RESULTS OF THE SIGNAL UNAVAILABILITY ANALYSIS

The signal unavailabilities for the representative safety injection and auxiliary feedwater pump start functions are provided on Tables 7.1 and 7.2 for the solid state and relay protection systems, respectively. The signal unavailabilities for the representative reactor trip function are provided on Tables 7.3 and 7.4 for the solid state and relay protection systems, respectively. On these tables, unavailability values, with and without common cause contributions, are given for the Pre-TOP, TOP, and Proposed cases for failure of the signal given both trains are supported and given only a single train is supported. The AOTs, bypass times or test times, surveillance test intervals, and maintenance intervals that correspond to these three cases are provided on Tables 5.1 and 5.2 for the SSPS and relay protection system, respectively. As discussed in Section 6.0, the following representative signals were used in the unavailability evaluation:

Solid State Protection: System:

- Safety injection on pressurizer pressure low interlocked with P-11: representative of the safety injection, and the containment spray and phase B isolation signals.
- Auxiliary feedwater pump start on steam generator level low-low in one loop: representative of the auxiliary feedwater pump start, steamline isolation, and main feedwater isolation signals.
- Reactor trip on pressurizer pressure high; representative of all single source reactor trip signals.
- Reactor trip on pressurizer pressure high or overtemperature delta T: representative of all diverse source signals.

Signal	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed
SI - 2/4 logic w/CCF	1.18E-03	1.40E-03	1.43E-03
SI - 2/4 logic, w/o CCF	1.52E-04	2.38E-04	2.73E-04
SI - 2/4 logic w/OA, w/CCF	6.88E-04	7.36E-04	7.42E-04
SI - 2/4 logic w/OA, w/o CCF	4.72E-05	9.41E-05	1.00E-04
SI - 2/4 logic, 1 train, w/CCF	2.15E-02	2.75E-02	2.89E-02
SI - 2/4 logic, 1 train w/o CCF	2.14E-02	2.73E-02	2.87E-02
SI - 2/4 logic, 1 train w/OA, w/CCF	1.84E-02	2.42E-02	2.57E-02
SI - 2/4 logic, 1 train w/OA, w/o CCF	1.84E-02	2.42E-02	2.57E-02
SI - 2/3 logic, w/CCF	1.47E-03	2.24E-03	2.92E-03
SI - 2/3 logic, w/o CCF	2.64E-04	5.57E-04	1.23E-03
SI - 2/3 logic w/OA, w/CCF	6.91E-04	7.45E-04	7.57E-04
SI - 2/3 logic w/OA, w/o CCF	4.83E-05	9.73E-05	1.10E-04
SI - 2/3 logic, 1 train, w/CCF	2.18E-02	2.83E-02	3.04E-02
SI - 2/3 logic, 1 train, w/o CCF	2.15E-02	2.76E-02	2.97E-02
SI - 2/3 logic, 1 train w/OA, w/CCF	1.84E-02	2.42E-02	2.57E-02
SI - 2/3 logic, 1 train w/OA, w/o CCF	1.84E-02	2.422-02	2.57E-02
AFWPS - 2/4 logic, w/CCF	5.91E-04	7.01E-04	7.24E-04
AFWPS - 2/4 logic, w/o CCF	5.98E-05	9.57E-05	1.19E-04
AFWPS - 2/4 logic, 1 train, w/CCF	1.00E-02	1.43E-02	1.57E-02
AFWPS - 2/4 logic, 1 train, w/o CCF	1.00E-02	1.42E-02	1.56E-02
AFWPS - 2/3 logic, w/CCF	7.64E-04	1.14E-03	1.66E-03
AFWPS - 2/3 logic, w/o CCF	1.36E-04	2.50E-04	7.72E-04
AFWPS - 2/3 logic, 1 train, w CCF	1.02E-02	1.47E-02	1.66E-02
AFWPS - 2/3 logic, 1 train, w/o CCF	1.01E-02	1.45E-02	1.64E-02

 Table 7.1

 Summary of Safety Injection and Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start Signal Unavailabilities - Solid State Protection System

SI: Safety Injection AFWPS: Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start CCF: Common Cause Failures OA: Operator Action

.

Signal	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed
SI - 2/4 logic, w/CCF	7.12E-04	9.84E-04	1.02E-03
SI - 2/4 logic, w/o CCF	8.96E-05	2.23E-04	2.55E-04
SI - 2/3 logic, w/CCF	1.00E-03	1.82E-03	2.49E-03
SI - 2/3 logic, w/o CCF	2.01E-04	5.39E-04	1.20E-03
AFWPS - 2/4 logic, w/CCF	7.19E-05	1.51E-04	1.61E-04
AFWPS - 2/4 logic, w/o CCF	3.87E-06	8.70E-06	1.88E-05
AFWPS - 2/3 logic, w/CCF	2.45E-04	5.85E-04	1.09E-03
AFWPS - 2/3 logic, w/o CCF	8.00E-05	1.62E-04	6.65E-04

 Table 7.2

 Summary of Safety Injection and Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start Signal

 Unavailabilities - Relay Protection System

SI: Safety Injection

AFWPS: Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start

CCF: Common Cause Failures

Signal	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed
RT - 2/4 logic, w/CCF	1.20E-04	1.99E-04	2.13E-04
RT - 2/4 logic, w/o CCF	6.47E-06	1.10E-05	2.47E-05
RT - 2/4 logic w/OA, w/CCF	1.79E-05	1.93E-05	1.98E-05
RT - 2/4 logic w/OA, w/o CCF	9.06E-07	1.59E-06	2.08E-06
RT - 2/3 logic, w/CCF	2.94E-04	6.34E-04	1.14E-03
RT - 2/3 logic, w/o CCF	8.31E-05	1.65E-04	6.68E-04
RT - 2/3 logic w/OA, w/CCF	1.96E-05	2.37E-05	2.91E-05
RT - 2/3 logic w/OA, w/o CCF	1.67E-06	3.13E-06	8.61E-06
RT - diverse signals, w/CCF	3.01E-05	3.13E-05	3.23E-05
RT - diverse signals, w/o CCF	1.19E-06	2.42E-06	3.37E-06
RT - diverse signals w/OA. w/CCF	1.70E-05	1.76E-05	1.80E-05
RT - diverse signals w/OA, w/o CCF	8.72E-07	1.52E-06	1.89E-06

 Table 7.3

 Summary of Reactor Trip Signal Unavailabilities

 Solid State Protection System

RT: Reactor Trip CCF: Common Cause Failures OA: Operator Action

Signal	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed
RT - 2/4 logic, w/CCF	7.78E-05	1.57E-04	1.69E-04
RT - 2/4 logic, w/o CCF	4.01E-06	8.87E-06	2.16E-05
RT - 2/3 logic, w/CCF	2.51E-04	5.91E-04	1.09E-03
RT - 2/3 logic, w/o CCF	8.02E-05	1.62E-04	6.65E-04
RT - diverse signals, w/CCF	2.29E-05	2.73E-05	2.89E-05
RT - diverse signals, w/o CCF	3.65E-06	8.10E-06	9.70E-06

Table 7.4 Summary of Reactor Trip Signal Unavailabilities Relay Protection System

RT: Reactor Trip

CCF: Common Cause Failures

Relay Protection System:

- 1. Safety injection signal: representative of the safety injection signal.
- Auxiliary feedwater pump start signal: representative of the auxiliary feedwater pump start signal and the main feedwater isolation signal.
- 3. The signal unavailability results for steamline isolation, containment spray and containment isolation signals fall between the results for the safety injection and auxiliary feedwater pump start signals, so they were not specifically evaluated. It will be conservatively assumed that the representative safety injection signal represents these signals also.
- Reactor trip on pressurizer pressure high: representative of all single source reactor trip signals.
- Reactor trip on pressurizer pressure high or overtemperature delta T: representative of all diverse source signals.

From Tables 7.1 through 7.4, the following general conclusions are reached. Several of these conclusions were previously provided in Reference 3.

- 1. The unavailabilities of engineered safety features actuation signals and the reactor trip actuation signals with 2 of 4 logic are lower than those corresponding signals with 2 of 3 logic.
- The unavailabilities of engineered safety features and the reactor trip actuation signals with credit for an alternate actuation by operator action are lower than those corresponding signals without the operator action.
- Common cause failure contributions account for a considerable part of the total signal unavailability.
- The increase in signal unavailability, with common cause failure contributions included, from the TOP Case to the Proposed Case is significantly less than the increase from the Pre-TOP Case to the TOP Case.
- The signal unavailabilities and changes in signal unavailabilities between the three cases for the relay protection system are comparable to or less than the corresponding solid state protection system signals.
- 6. The unavailabilities for the auxiliary feedwater pump start signal are lower than the unavailabilities of the safety injection signal (without operator action). As seen in the discussion below, this is primarily due to the number of master and slave relays modeled in each of these signals.

Tables 7.5 through 7.11 provide a breakdown of the signal unavailability by contributors. The contributors, or components, listed separately are the 1) random failures, test, and maintenance of the relays (masters and slaves) and logic cabinets, 2) random failures, test, and maintenance of

the analog channels, 3) common cause failures of the slave relays, 4) common cause failures of the master relays, 5) common cause failures of the logic cabinets, and 6) common cause failures of the analog channels. This information is primarily provided only for signals generated by the SSPS with 2 of 4 logic. In addition to the signal unavailability, the percent contribution for each contributor to the total signal unavailability is provided.

From this information it is concluded that the contribution, or importance, of the analog channels and logic cabinets is significantly reduced when an operator action to actuate the protective feature is included in the model. The reason for this is that the operator action provides an alternate path, separate from the analog channels and logic cabinets, to actuate the master and slave relays or the reactor trip breakers. This is evident by comparing the results provided on Table 7.5 with those on Table 7.6 for safety injection signals and by comparing the results provided on Table 7.8 with those on Table 7.9 for the reactor trip feature. It is also concluded from this information that when diversity of signals to generate a reactor trip is considered, again the contribution, or importance, of the analog channels and logic cabinets is significantly reduced. This is related to the additional analog channels or logic trains that need to fail for the signal to fail. This is evident from a comparison of the results provided on Table 7.8 with those on Table 7.10. It is further concluded that when diversity of signals to generate a reactor trip is considered along with an operator action to generate the same trip, the components of primary importance are the reactor trip breakers. In this case multiple analog channels or logic trains need to fail in addition to the operator action, and since the operator action, for the most part, is a backup to the logic cabinets and analog channels, these components are reduced to small contributors to signal unavailability. This can be seen by reviewing the results provided on Table 7.11 and comparing them with the results on Tables 7.8, 7.9, and 7.10,

It is also concluded from these tables that the primary difference between the unavailability of the safety injection signal and the auxiliary feedwater pump start signal is related to the number of master and slave relays required for success of the protective feature. As noted in Section 6.1, the safety injection function includes two master relays per train with each master actuating three slave relays and the auxiliary feedwater pump start signal includes one master relay per train actuating two slave relays. Due to the additional master and slave relays required for the safety injection signal, there are more component failure combinations that will lead to failure of the signal. This can be seen from a comparison between the contributor breakdown provided on Table 7.5 for the safety injection signal and the breakdown provided on Table 7.7 for the auxiliary feedwater pump start signal. In particular, this is illustrated by a comparison of the common cause contributions for the master and slave relays.

Similar conclusions would apply if the detailed signal unavailability contributors were provided for signals generated from 2 of 3 logic or from relay protection systems. These conclusions are independent of the type of logic cabinet and analog channel logic.

m:\2099w.wpt11d-060195

	Unavailability Contributions							
Contributor	Pre-TOP Case		TOP Case		Proposed Case			
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent		
Random failures, test, and maint.								
- Relays and logic cabinets	1.51E-04	12.8	2.33E-04	16.6	2.49E-04	17.4		
- Analog channels	9 21E-07	0.1	4.50E-06	0.3	2.37E-05	1.6		
- Subtotal	1.52E-04	12.9	2.38E-04	16.9	2.73E-04	19.0		
Common cause failures								
- Slave relays	5.21E-04	44.3	5.21E-04	37.2	5.21E-04	36.3		
- Master relays	1.16E-04	9.9	1.16E-04	8.3	1.16E-04	8.1		
- Logic cabinets	3.15E-04	26.8	3.15E-04	22.5	3.15E-04	22.0		
- Analog channels	7.16E-05	6.1	2.10E-04	15.0	2.10E-04	14.7		
- Subtotal	1.02E-03	86.8	1.16E-03	83.0	1.16E-03	81.1		
Total	1.18E-03	(1)	1.40E-03	(1)	1.43E-03	(1)		

Table 7.5 Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors SSPS Safety Injection: Pressurizer Pressure Low (2/4) Interlocked with P-11

Notes: 1 - The total may not equal 100% due to round off.

7-14

.

Table 7.6
Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors
SSPS Safety Injection: Pressurizer Pressure Low (2/4) Interlocked with P-11 with Operator Action

	Unavailability Contributions							
Contributor	Pre-TOP	Case	TOP Case		Proposed Case			
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent		
Random failures, test, and maint.	J. Standard .							
- Relays and logic cabinets	4.72E-05	6.9	9.41E-05	12.8	1.00E-04	13.5		
- Analog channels	9.21E-09	0.0	4.50E-08	0.0	2.37E-07	0.0		
- Subtotal	4.72E-05	6.9	9.41E-05	12.8	1.00E-04	13.5		
Common cause failures								
- Slave relays	5.21E-04	75.7	5.21E-04	70.8	5.21E-04	70.2		
- Master relays	1.16E-04	16.9	1.16E-04	15.8	1.16E-04	15.6		
- Logic cabinets	3.15E-06	0.5	3.15E-06	0.4	3.15E-06	0.4		
- Analog channels	7.16E-07	0.1	2.10E-06	0.3	2.10E-06	0.3		
- Subtotal	6.41E-04	93.2	6.42E-04	87.3	6.42E-04	86.5		
Total	6.88E-04	(1)	7.36E-04	(1)	7.42E-04	(1)		

	Unavailability Contributions							
Contributor	Pre-TOP	Pre-TOP Case		TOP Case		Case		
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent		
Random failures, test, and maint.								
- Relays and logic cabinets	5.93E-05	10.0	9.42E-05	13.4	1.06E-04	14.6		
- Analog channels	5.21E-07	0,1	1.49E-06	0.2	1.32E-05	1.8		
- Subtotal	5.98E-05	10.1	9.57E-05	13.6	1.19E-04	16.4		
Common cause failures								
- Slave relays	1.74E-04	29.5	1.74E-04	24 8	1.74E-04	24.0		
- Master relays	5.79E-05	9.8	5.79E-05	8.3	5.79E-05	8.0		
- Logic cabinets	2.60E-04	44.0	2.60E-04	37.1	2.60E-04	35.9		
- Analog channels	3.90E-05	6.6	1.13E-04	16.1	1.13E-04	15.6		
- Subtotal	5.31E-04	89.9	6.05E-04	86.3	6.05E-04	83.5		
Total	5.91E-04	(1)	7.01E-04	(1)	7 24E-04	(1)		

Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors SSPS Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start: Steam Generator Level Low-Low in One Loop (2/4)

Notes: 1 - The total may not equal 100% due to round off.

7-16

-

Table 7.8 Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Fressure High (2/4)

	Unavailability Contributions						
Contributor	Pre-TOP	Pre-TOP Case		TOP Case		Case	
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	
Random failures, test, and maint.							
Trip breakers and logic cabinets	5.95E-06	4.9	9.51E-06	4.8	1.15E-05	5.4	
Analog channels	5.21E-07	0.4	1.49E-06	0.7	1.32E-05	6.2	
Subtotal	6.47E-06	5.3	1.10E-05	5.5	2.47E-05	11.6	
Common cause failures					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Trip breakers	1.60E-05	13.3	1.60E-05	8.0	1.60E-05	7.5	
Logic cabinets	5.90E-05	49.0	5.90E-05	29.6	5.90E-05	27.7	
Analog cabinets	3.90E-05	32.4	1.13E-04	56.8	1.13E-04	53.1	
Subtotal	1.14E-04	94.7	1.88E-04	94.4	1.88E-04	88.3	
otal	1.20E-04	(1)	1.99E-04	(1)	2.13E-04	(1)	

Table 7.9Breakdown of Signal Unavailability ContributorsSSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/4) with Operator Action

	Unavailability Contributions						
Contributor	Pre-TOP	Case	TOP Case		Proposed Case		
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	
Random failures, test, and maint.							
- Trip breakers and logic cabinets	9.01E-07	5.0	1.58E-06	8.2	1.95E-06	9.8	
- Analog channels	5.21E-09	0.0	1.49E-08	0.1	1.32E-07	0.7	
- Subtotal	9.06E-07	5.0	1.59E-06	8.3	2.08E-06	10.5	
Common cause failures							
- Trip breakers	1.60E-05	89.5	1.60E-05	82.8	1.60E-05	80.8	
- Logic cabinets	5.90E-07	3.3	5.90E-07	3.1	5.90E-07	3.0	
- Analog cabinets	3.90E-07	2.2	1.13Ĕ-06	5.9	1.13E-06	5.7	
- Subtotal	1.70E-05	95.0	1.77E-05	91.8	1.77E-05	89.5	
Total	1.79E-05	(1)	1.93E-05	(1)	1.98E-05	(1)	

Table 7.10
Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors
SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/3) or Overtemperature Delta T (2/4)

	Unavailability Contributions								
Contributor	Pre-TOP Case		TOP Case		Proposed Case				
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent			
Random failures, test, and maint.									
Trip breakers and logic cabinets	1.13E-06	3.8	1.92E-06	6.1	2.36E-06	7.3			
Analog channels	2.19E-08	0.1	1.81E-07	0.6	6.96E-07	2.1			
Subtotal	1.15E-06	3.9	2.10E-06	6.7	3.06E-06	9.4			
Common cause failures									
Trip breakers	1.60E-05	53.2	1.60E-05	51.1	1.60E-05	49.6			
Logic cabinets	1.29E-05	42.9	1.29E-05	41.2	1.29E-05	40.0			
Analog cabinets	3.67E-08	0.1	3.15E-07	1.0	3.15E-07	1.0			
Subtotal	2.89E-05	96.2	2.92E-05	93.3	2.92E-05	90.6			
Fotal	3.01E-05	(1)	3.13E-05	(1)	3.23E-05	(1)			

 Table 7.11

 Breakdown of Signal Unavailability Contributors

 SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/3) or Overtemperature Delta T (2/4) with Operator Action

	Unavailability Contributions								
Contributor	Pre-TOP Case		TOP Case		Proposed Case				
	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent	Unavailability	Percent			
Random failures, test, and maint.				1.8					
- Trip breakers and logic cabinets	8.72E-07	5.1	1.52E-06	8.6	1.88E-06	10.4			
- Analog channels	2.19E-10	0.0	1.81E-09	0.0	6.96E-09	0.0			
- Subtotal	8.72E-07	5.1	1.52E-06	8.6	1.89E-06	10.4			
Common cause failures									
- Trip breakers	1.60E-05	94.1	1.60E-05	90.7	1.60E-05	88.8			
- Logic cabinets	1.29E-07	0.8	1.29E-07	0.7	1.29E-07	0.7			
- Analog cabinets	3.67E-10	0.0	3.15E-09	0.0	3.15E-09	0.0			
- Subtotal	1.61E-05	94.9	1.61E-05	91.4	1.61E-05	89.5			
Total	1.70E-05	(1)	1.76E-05	(1)	1.80E-05	(1)			

The conclusions regarding diversity of signals and operator action backup to initiate the protective function are important when assessing the impact of the changes in the signal unavailability on plant safety. It is important to realize that all of the reactor trip signals are backed up by either a diverse signal or an operator action, and in many cases by both. This is also true for engineered safety features actuation signals. Many of these signals, dependent on the specific event being considered, can be generated by diverse sources or by operator actions. This is further discussed in Section 8.

The cutsets leading to failure of the signal for a sample of safety injection, auxiliary feedwater pump start, and reactor trip signals are provided in Tables 7.12, 7.13, and 7.14. Table 7.15 provides a key to the basic event identifiers used in these tables. These identifiers correspond to those in the fault trees in Appendix D. The cutsets provided for the safety injection signal are for pressurizer pressure low with 2/4 logic interlocked with P-11. The cutsets provided for the auxiliary feedwater pump start signal are for steam generator level low-low in one loop with 2/4 logic. The cutsets provided for the reactor trip signal are for pressurizer pressure high with 2/4 logic. These cutsets represent more than 90% of the total signal unavailability in each case. It is seen from these tables that failure of the master relays, slave relays, logic cabinets, and analog channels by common cause are the major contributors to signal unavailability.

Based on the results of the unavailability analysis it is concluded that the Technical Specification changes being considered in this assessment have a minor impact on the availability of the reactor trip and engineered safety features actuation signals. This is particularly evident for functions that are backed by either diverse actuation signals or operator actions. It is further concluded that the impact of the changes on signal unavailability for the SSPS can be used to represent the impact of the changes on signal unavailability results for the relay protection system. This is based on a review and comparison of the signal unavailability results for the relay protection system with the results for the SSPS. Such a comparison indicates that the change or difference in unavailability values from the Pre-TOP Case to the TOP Case and from the TOP Case to the Proposed Case are nearly the same for both types of protection systems. In addition, the signal unavailability values for the relay protection system are consistently smaller that those for the SSPS. Based on this, it is concluded that the SSPS results are representative of the relay protection system results.

7.5 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES FOR SLAVE RELAYS

Current Technical Specifications with TOP implementation require a plant shutdown if the slave relay is not returned to service after the 6 hour AOT for maintenance (6 hour AOT plus 6 additional hours for the mode change). It is proposed that this AOT be increased to 24 hours (24 hour AOT plus 6 additional hours for the mode change) in this current study. The failure, or unavailability, of a slave relay will disable a portion of an automatic actuation of one train of a safety function. Typically, it will not disable the complete function of the train.

Dominant Cutsets for Signal Failure - Proposed Case SSPS Safety Injection: Pressurizer Pressure Low (2/4) Interlocked with P-11

1.	5.21E-04	CCF: SLAVE RELAYS					
2.	3.15E-04	CCF: LOGIC CABINETS					
3.	2.10E-04	CCF: ANALOG CHANNELS					
4.	1.16E-04	CCF: MASTER RELAYS					
5.	1.44E-05	SSPSB	-TAT	TBT			
6.	1.44E-05	SSPS1	TAT	-TBT			
7.	9.45E-06	MRDM	-MRFM	-TAT	TBT		
8.	9.45E-06	MRCM	-MREM	-TAT	TBT		
9.	9.45E-06	-MRDM	MORM	TAT	-TBT		
10.	9.45E-06	-MRCM	MREM	TAT	-TBT		
11.	5.93E-06	AC1	AC2	AC3			
12.	5.93E-06	AC1	AC2	AC4			
13.	5.93E-06	AC1	AC3	AC4			
14.	5.93E-06	AC2	AC3	AC4			
15.	3.52E-06	SRD3MB	-TAT	TBT			
16.	3.52E-06	SRD2MB	-TAT	TBT			
17.	3.52E-06	SRD1MB	-TAT	TBT			
18.	3.52E-06	SRC3MB	-TAT	TBT			
19.	3.52E-06	SRC2MB	-TAT	TBT			
20.	3.52E-06	SRC1MB	-TAT	TBT			
21	3.52E-06	SRF3MB	TAT	-TBT			
22.	3.52E-06	SRF2MB	TAT	-TBT			
23.	3.52E-06	SRF1MB	TAT	-TBT			
24.	3.52E-06	SRE3MB	TAT	-TBT			
25.	3.52E-06	SRE2MB	TAT	-TBT			
26.	3.52E-06	SRE1MB	TAT	-TBT			
27.	3.23E-06	SSPSB	-SRD3T	SRF3T			
28.	3.23E-06	SSPS1	SRD3T	-SRF3T			
29.	3.23E-06	SSPSB	-SRD2T	SRF2T			
30.	3.23E-06	SSPS1	SRD2T	-SRF2T			
31.	3.23E-06	SSPSB	-SRD1T	SRF1T			
32.	3.23E-06	SSPS1	SRD1T	-SRF1T			
33.	3.23E-06	SSPSB	-SRC3T	SRE3T			
34.	3.23E-06	SSPS1	SRC3T	-SRE3T			
35.	3.23E-06	SSPSB	-SRC2T	SRE2T			
36.	3.23E-06	SSPS1	SRC2T	-SRE2T			
37.	3.23E-06	-SRC1T	SSPSB	SRE1T			
38.	3.23E-06	SRC1T	-SRE1T	SSPS1			
39.	3.13E-06	SSPSB	SSPS1				
40.	2.35E-06	MRDMB	-TAT	TBT			
41.	2.35E-06	MRCMB	-TAT	TBT			
42.	2.35E-06	MRFMB	TAT	-TBT			
43.	2.35E-06	MREMB	TAT	-TBT			

See Table 7.15 for descriptions of basic event identifiers.

		Dominant	Cutset	s for Si	ignal Failur	e - Pro	oposed Ca	ise		
SSPS	Auxiliary	FW Pump	Start:	Steam	Generator	Level	Low-Low	in One	Loop	(2/4)

1.	2.60E-04	CCF: LOGIC CABINETS					
2.	1.74E-04	CCF: SLAVE RELAYS					
3.	1.13E-04	CCF: ANALOG CHANNELS					
4.	5.79E-05	CCF: MASTER RELAYS					
5.	1.25E-05	MRCM	-MRDM	-TAT	TBT		
6.	1.25E-05	-MRCM	MRDM	TAT	-TBT		
7.	6.59E-06	SSPS	-TAT	TBT			
8.	6.59E-06	SSPSB	TAT	-TBT			
9.	4.19E-06	MRCM	-MRDM	-SRC2T	SRD2T		
10.	4.19E-06	-MRCM	MRDM	SRC2T	-SRD2T		
11.	4.19E-06	-SRC1T	MRCM	SRD1T	-MRDM		
12.	4.19E-06	SRC1T	-MRCM	-SRD1T	MRDM		
13.	3.31E-06	AC1	AC2	AC3			
14.	3.31E-06	AC1	AC2	AC4			
15.	3.31E-06	AC1	AC3	AC4			
16	3.31E-06	AC2	AC3	AC4			
17.	2.78E-06	-MRCM	SSPS	MRDM			
18.	2.78E-06	MRCM	-MRDM	SSPSB			
19.	2.35E-06	SRC1MB	-TAT	TBT			
20.	2.35E-06	SRC2MB	-TAT	TBT			
21.	2.35E-06	SRD2MB	TAT	-TBT			
22.	2.35E-06	SRD1MB	TAT	-TBT			
23.	2.21E-06	SSPS	-SRC2T	SRD2T			
24.	2.21E-06	SSPSB	SRC2T	-SRD2T			
25.	2.21E-06	-SRC1T	SSPS	SRD1T			
26.	2.21E-06	SRC1T	-SRD1T	SSPSB			
27.	1.57E-06	MRCMB	-TAT	TBT			
28.	1.57E-06	MRDMB	TAT	-TBT			
29.	1.46E-06	SSPS	SSPSB				
30.	9.91E-07	MRCM	-MRDM	SRD2MB			
31.	9.91E-07	-MRCM	MRDM	SRC2MB			
32.	9.91E-07	MRCM	SRD1MB	-MRDM			
33.	9.91E-07	SRC1MB	-MRCM	MRDM			
34.	7.89E-07	SRC2T	SRD2MB	-SRD2T			
35.	7.89E-07	SRC2MB	-SRC2T	SRD2T			
36.	7.89E-07	SRC1T	SRD1MB	-SRD1T			
37.	7.89E-07	SRC1MB	-SRC1T	SRD1T			

See Table 7.15 for descriptions of basic event identifiers.

Dominant Cutsets for Signal Failure - Proposed Case SSPS Reactor Trip: Pressurizer Pressure High (2/4)

1.	1.13E-04	CCF: ANAL	OG CHANNELS					
2.	5.90E-05	CCF: LOGIC CABINETS						
3.	1.60E-05	CCF: REAC	CCF: REACTOR TRIP BREAKERS					
4	3.31E-06	BI456	BI457	BI458				
5.	3.31E-06	BI455	BI457	BI458				
6.	3.31E-06	B1455	BI456	BI458				
7	3.31E-06	BI455	BI456	BI457				
8.	5.88E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8MZ4	TAT			
9.	5.88E-07	-TBT	-TBM	815DC	TAT			
10.	5.88E-07	TBT	-TAT	-TAM	88MZ4			
11.	5.88E-07	TBT	-TAT	-TAM	8815DC			
12.	4.25E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8MZ4	TAM			
13.	4.25E-07	-TBT	-TBM	815DC	TAM			
14.	4.25E-07	TBM	-TAT	-TAM	88MZ4			
15.	4.25E-07	TBM	-TAT	-TAM	8815DC			
16.	2.94E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8GZ1	TAT			
17.	2.94E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8GZ2	TAT			
18.	2.94E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8GZ3	TAT			
19.	2.94E-07	TBT	-TAT	-TAM	8GGZ1			
20.	2.94E-07	TBT	-TAT	-TAM	8GGZ2			
21.	2.94E-07	TBT	-TAT	-TAM	8GGZ3			
22.	2.81E-07	TBT	52AM	-TAT	-TAM			
23.	2.81E-07	MM52B	-TBT	-TBM	TAT			
24.	2.55E-07	TBT	52AC	-TAT	-TAM			
25.	2.55E-07	CONTS	-TBT	-TBM	TAT			
26.	2.12E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8GZ1	TAM			
27.	2.12E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8GZ2	TAM			
28.	2.12E-07	-TBT	-TBM	8GZ3	TAM			
29.	2.12E-07	TBM	-TAT	-TAM	8GGZ1			
30.	2.12E-07	TBM	-TAT	-TAM	8GGZ2			
31.	2.12E-07	TBM	-TAT	-TAM	8GGZ3			
32.	2.03E-07	TBM	52AM	-TAT	-TAM			
33.	2.03E-07	MM52B	-TBT	-TBM	TAM			
34.	1.85E-07	TBM	52AC	-TAT	-TAM			
35.	1.85E-07	CONTS	-TBT	-TBM	TAM			
36.	1.44E-07	8MZ4	OPER1					
37.	1.44E-07	815DC	OPER1					
38.	1.44E-07	OPER2	88MZ4					
39.	1.44E-07	OPER2	8815DC					

See Table 7.15 for descriptions of basic event identifiers.

Descriptions of Basic Event Identifiers Listed in Tables 7.12 to 7.14

ACx - analog channel x Blxxx - analog channel xxx CCF - common cause failure CONTS - reactor trip breaker B contacts shorted MRxM - master relay x in maintenance MRxMB - master relay x mechanically bound MM52B - reactor trip breaker B mechanical malfunction OPER1 - operator error in aligning bypass trip breaker A OPER2 - operator error in aligning bypass trip breaker B SRxxMB - slave relay xx mechanically bound SRxxT - slave relay xx in test SSPSB - solid state protection system train B SSPS1 - solid state protection system train A TxM - train x in maintenance TxT - train x in test 52AC - reactor trip breaker A contacts shorted 52AM - reactor trip breaker A mechanical malfunction 8GZx - card A416X gate Zx failed open (train B) 8GGZx - card A416X gate Zx failed open (train A) 8MZ4 - card A416Z multiplex IC Z4 failed short (train S) 88MZ4 - card A416Z multiplex IC Z4 failed short (train A) 815DC - loss of 15V DC to card A416X (train B) 8815DC - loss of 15V DC to card A416X (train A) "-" - not symbol (example: -TBT = train B not in test)

The function "lost" by the slave relay failure in that train can still be established by operator actions specified in the plant's emergency operating procedures.

This AOT is inconsistent with the AOTs for the system or components being actuated by the failed slave relay. In many cases, the AOTs for the components being actuated by the slave relays are significantly longer than the current 6 hours and even the proposed 24 hours. Many fluid safety systems that are actuated by the ESFAS have AOTs of 72 hours. In addition, when the system is unavailable for this period of time, one train of the function is lost, but when the slave is unavailable, the function is still available and can be initiated by operator action. This inconsistency is particularly evident when it is considered that the system remains available for operator actuation if the slave relay is unavailable, but shutdown is required in a shorter time than if the system itself is unavailable.

To resolve this inconsistency, it is proposed to change the Technical Specification requirement on the slave relays to require that the component actuated by the slave be declared inoperable and the appropriate system Technical Specification action statement be followed if the slave relay has not been restored within the specific instrumentation AOT (currently 6 hours 14 hours proposed). This applies specifically to 1) slave relays that actuate single components, 2) are relays that actuate multiple components providing the slave relay failure affects actuation of only a single component (relay contacts, for example), and 3) multiple slave relays or slave relays that actuate multiple components providing the affected components are all in the same train of a single system. To implement this, the high head, intermediate head, and low head subsystems of the emergency core cooling system must each be considered a single system. The technical justification for this, which follows, is based on the small impact this will have on the availability of the actuated system.

As noted in Section 5.2 and documented in References 4 and 5, the slave relays are highly reliable components when used within the assumptions of these references. The information presented in References 4 and 5 indicates that only a limited number of failures of these components have occurred. The failure probability for the slave relays is estimated to be in the range from 7.0E-05/demand to 3.5E-04/demand, depending to some extent on the type of relay; Westinghouse type AR or Potter & Brumfield MDR. The data does not show a strong correlation between the failure probability and test interval. For the following calculations, the failure probability is assumed to be 1.0E-04/demand.

Repair activities involving slave relays typically involve replacing the contacts or replacing the complete relay. In most instances, conservatively assumed to be 90% of the activities, slave relay repairs can be completed within the AOT. That is, only 10% of the slave relay repair activities extend beyond the AOT, which will result with the actuated components being declared inoperable with the proposed action statement in effect. Therefore, the additional train or component unavailability related to a failure of a slave relay is calculated as follows and assumes the actuated component will be unavailable for a full AOT of 72 hours.

 Probability of a test leading to the unavailability of the actuated system via the proposed action statement:

1.0E-04/demand x 1 demand/test x 0.1 = 1.0E-05/test

Additional train or component unavailability:
 1.0E-05/test x 1 test/3 months x 1 month/730 hours x 72 hours
 = 3.3E-07

This has a negligible impact on train or component unavailabilities for systems which typically have unavailabilities greater than 1E-03. It should also be noted once again that under the proposed action statement, the train or component will still be available for actuation by an operator.

8.0 RISK ANALYSIS

As discussed in Section 1.0, the risk analysis is used to determine the impact of the changes to the AOTs, STIs, and bypass times on plant safety. The results from the unavailability analysis presented and discussed in the previous section are used as input to the risk analysis. It is necessary to assess the impact of the changes on plant safety to establish a measurable baseline impact. The unavailability analysis provides the impact of the changes on signal availability, but it is not possible to draw conclusions from this since it is not known how important the signals are to plant safety. Larger changes would be allowed for a system not important to safety as opposed to a system that is important to safety. For this reason, the risk analysis is necessary.

This section of the report presents and discusses the risk analysis. It includes a discussion on the approach, risk model, impacted parameters in the risk model, and results.

8.1 RISK ANALYSIS APPROACH

The WOG TOP analysis used the Indian Point Unit 2 and the Millstone Unit 3 PRA models that were available in the early 80's for the risk analysis. This current work uses the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) PRA model (Reference 7) that was completed to meet the Individual Plant Examination requirement (Generic Letter 88-20). Use of a PRA model from a recently completed IPE, instead of the older models used in WOG TOP, was necessary to obtain more realistic results regarding the impact of the AOT and bypass time changes on plant safety. The IPE models provide more realistic results for two primary reasons:

- The IPE models more accurately reflect current plant operation; the system models represent current plant design, the event evaluations more accurately represent current plant and operator response to initiators, and the initiating event frequencies are representative of recent industry experience and plant operation.
- The IPE is based on recent PRA technology. Because of improvements to PRA modeling techniques, common cause methodology and human reliability analysis for example, and additional operating experience providing improved databases, component failure rates for example, the IPE will provide results more indicative of the impact of the proposed changes on plant safety.

The VEGP PRA model uses a support system approach and examined the full complement of internal events. VEGP is a 4-loop plant with a solid state protection system. The VEGP PRA included a detailed assessment of representative reactor trip and engineered safety features actuation signals. In the VEGP PRA model, the following is the basis for the signals used:

- The reactor trip signal for condition II and III events is initiated from either one of two sets of functionally diverse analog channels or operator action. The operator action models tripping the reactor from the main control board trip switches.
- The reactor trip signal for condition IV events is initiated from either one set of analog channels (no functional diversity is available) or operator action. The operator action models tripping the reactor from the main control board trip switches.
- The representative ESFAS signal is based on the safety injection function. Several signals were considered. Those of interest in this analysis are signal generated from 1) a single set of analog channels (no functional diversity) and 2) a single set of analog channels with an operator action to initiate SI from the main control board switches.

In the VEGP PRA, the ESFAS signals are included as part of the support systems model, primarily for safety injection actuation, or within some of the fault tree models for systems requiring automatic actuation by the ESFAS, such as auxiliary feedwater system and steamline isolation. The reactor trip signals were included in the event tree models as appropriate.

The approach used in this analysis simply substitutes the unavailability values calculated based on the WOG TOP signal unavailability models in Section 7 for the corresponding values in the VEGP PRA model. These substitutions occur in the support system model, event trees, and fault trees as necessary. After the substitution, the model is re-quantified with the WESQT Computer Code (Reference 8) to determine the core damage frequency (CDF) and accident sequences. WESQT is a software tool used to quantify event trees, summarize the event tree quantification results, and provide the results in terms of total core damage frequency, frequency by initiator, accident sequences, end state frequencies, and event tree top event importances based on contribution to core damage frequency. This importance function is defined as:

Importance = (Σ (CDF of sequences with top event failure)/total CDF) x 100

The baseline case was initially quantified with the signal unavailabilities corresponding to Pre-TOP allowed outage times, bypass times, and STIs. These were followed by quantifications with the signal unavailabilities for the TOP Case and Proposed Case. The pre-TOP case was quantified at the request of the NRC (see Section 3.0) and is used as the baseline value for assessing the impact of the changes on plant safety. The measure used for plant safety in this analysis is core damage frequency.

In the WOG TOP risk analysis for the reactor trip signals, credit was taken for a reduction of 0.5 trips/year/plant associated with implementing the AOT, bypass time, and STI changes recommended in TOP. This is documented in Section 5.1 of Reference 1. Programs have been implemented since the time the WOG TOP analysis was completed directed at reducing the number of plant trips. Based on these programs, and with the additional RPS and ESFAS test

and maintenance experience utilities have developed since then, a plant implementing the TOP changes at this time may not necessarily realize a 0.5 trip/yr trip reduction, although some reduction would still be expected. Therefore, the TOP Case and Proposed Case quantifications conservatively did not credit the potential trip reduction. But sensitivity cases were quantified for the TOP Case and Proposed Case to show the potential impact on plant safety for a trip frequency reduction of 0.5/yr.

The risk analysis only evaluated the impact of the changes for signals generated from the SSPS. A review and comparison of the signal unavailability results for the relay protection system with the results for the SSPS (see Section 7.4) indicates that the change or difference in unavailability values from the Pre-TOP Case to the TOP Case and from the TOP Case to the Proposed Case are nearly the same for the both types of protection systems. In addition, the signal unavailability values for the relay protection system are consistently smaller that those for the SSPS. Based on this, it was concluded in Section 7.4 that the SSPS results are representative of the relay protection system results. Therefore, the risk analysis was completed only with the SSPS results and is considered to be representative of the results expected for the relay protection systems. This approach is consistent with the approach used in WOG TOP.

Finally, the approach includes evaluations of the impact of the changes on risk for signals generated from 2 of 3 logic and 2 of 4 logic. The signal unavailability results presented in Section 7.4 are not significantly different for signals generated for 2 of 3 logic verses 2 of 4 logic when diversity or additional operator actions to trip the plant or actuate safety features are considered. This difference is primarily important when the signal is generated from a single set of analog channels (one 2 of 3 set or one 2 of 4 set).

8.2 EVENT REACTOR TRIP AND ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES ACTUATION SIGNALS

In assessing the impact of the change in unavailability of the reactor trip and engineered safety feature actuation signals on plant safety, it is necessary to consider the possible signals that will be available to actuate reactor trip and the safety functions (safety injection, auxiliary feedwater pump start, etc.) for each event. WOG TOP (Reference 1, Appendix H, Table 2.b-1) provides this information for reactor trip signals. In addition to the signals listed in this reference, the plant can also be tripped by the operator 1) from the main control board trip switches, 2) by interrupting power to the control rod drive mechanisms from the motor-generator sets from the control board, and 3) by manually inserting the control rods into the core.

Diversity of signals is not as prevalent for initiating ESF protective functions, but backup actuation signals do exist, depending on the particular event. In addition, backup operator actions to initiate safety functions are also possible. For example, the operator can initiate the safety injection function from the main control board SI switches or by individually starting and/or aligning, as necessary, each required components by following the appropriate emergency operating procedures. Operator actions can also be used to actuate other protective features, e.g., auxiliary

feedwater pump start, main feedwater isolation, steamline isolation, by following the appropriate emergency operating procedures. The ability of the reactor trip and safety features to be actuated by more that a single set of signals or by an operator action is one of the strengths of the protection system.

These backup signals need to be considered when assessing the impact of the signal unavailability increases on plant safety. Table 8.1 provides a summary of the sources of signals, in terms of diversity and operator action availability, for reactor trip actuation for each initiating event considered in the risk analysis. Table 8.2 provides a summary of the sources of signals for each safety function considered in the risk analysis. Table 8.3 provides the human error probabilities for the operator actions required to trip the reactor or actuate a safety function used in this analysis and the source of the probability.

8.3 RESULTS OF THE RISK ANALYSIS

The results of the risk analysis are provided in several forms in this section. The primary measure of the impact on plant safety is core damage frequency. The total core damage frequency from internal initiating events, the accident sequences that comprise the core damage frequency, and the top event or system importance factors are all discussed to varying degrees in the following for the Pre-TOP Case, Top Case and Proposed Case. In addition, similar information for the two sensitivity cases involving the TOP Case and Proposed Case is provided. As noted Section 8.1, the sensitivity cases involved reducing the transient initiator frequency by 0.5 trips/year. This is based on the expected benefits as determined in WOG TOP for implementing the AOT, bypass time, and STI changes provided in WCAP-10271.

Table 8.4 provides a summary of the core damage frequency values calculated for the Pre-TOP Case, TOP Case, and the Proposed Case for signals generated from 2 of 4 logic and 2 of 3 logic requirements. Values are also provided for the sensitivity cases. Percent changes are given for the Proposed Case referenced to the Pre-TOP and TOP cases. Note that when crediting the reduction in transient frequency, there is a reduction in core damage frequency for the TOP Case compared to the Pre-TOP Case because the AOT and bypass time changes have a smaller effect than the decrease in initiating event frequency. As expected, there is a smaller change in core damage frequency for signals generated by 2 of 4 logic as opposed to signals from 2 of 3 logic. The increase in core damage frequency for the AOTs and bypass times included in the Proposed Case are equal to or less than 1% for signals generated for 2 of 4 or 2 of 3 logic when compared to the TOP Case.

The WOG TOP analysis documented in WCAP-10271, Supplement 2, calculated an increase in core damage frequency of 2.7% and 3.6% for 2 of 4 and 2 of 3 logic, respectively, when changing from Pre-TOP parameters (AOTs, bypass times, and STIs) to the TOP parameters. These values are broken into contributions from reactor trip signals and engineered safety features signals as follows:
Event	Reactor Trip Actuation Signal	Operation Action
Large LOCA	Not Required	
Medium LOCA	Not Required	
Small LOCA	Nondiverse	Yes
Steam Generator Tube Rupture	Nondiverse	Yes
Interfacing Systems LOCA	Not Required	
Reactor Vessel Rupture	Not Required	
Secondary Side Break Inside Containment	Nondiverse	Yes
Secondary Side Break Outside Containment	Nondiverse	Yes
Positive Reactivity Insertion	Diverse	Yes
Loss of Reactor Coolant Flow	Diverse	Yes
Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	Diverse	Yes
Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	Diverse	Yes
Loss of Condenser	Diverse	Yes
Turbine Trip	Diverse	Yes
Reactor Trip	Generated by RPS	
Spurious Safety Injection Signal	Diverse	Yes
Inadvertent Opening of a Steam Valve	Diverse	Yes
Primary System Transient	Diverse	Yes
Loss of Offsite Power	Not Required by RPS	
Station Blackout	Not Required by RPS	
Loss of Instrument Air	Diverse	Yes
Total Loss of Nuclear Service Cooling Water	Nondiverse	Yes
Loss of 125 VDC Bus	Diverse	Yes
Loss of Two 120V Vital AC Instrument Panels	Diverse	Yes

Table 8.1 Sources of Reactor Trip Actuation Signals

Safety Function	Event	Signal Actuation Source	
Safety Injection	Large LOCA	Nondiverse signal	
	Medium LOCA	Mondiverse signal, OA by SI switch on main control board	
	Small LOCA	Nondiverse signal, OA by SI switch on main control board, OA of individual components	
	Interfacing Systems LOCA	Nondiverse signal, OA by SI switch on main control board, OA of individual components	
	SG Tube Rupture	Nondiverse signal, OA by SI switch on main control board, OA of individual components	
	Secondary Side Breaks	Nondiverse signal, OA by SI switch on main control board, OA of individual components	T
Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Start	Events generating SI signal Transients	Pump actuation on SI signal Nondiverse signal, AMSAC, operator action	
Main Feedwater Isolation	Secondary Side Breaks	Nondiverse signal	
Steamline Isolation	Secondary Side Breaks	Nondiverse signal	
Containment Spray Actuation	All events	Nondiverse signal	
Containment Isolation	Ail events	From SI signal	
Containment Cooling	All events	From SI signal	
			ĺ

Table 8.2 Sources of Engineered Safety Features Actuation Signals

Table 8.3 Summary of Human Error Probabilities for Operator Actions Backing Up Actuation Signals

Operator Action	HEP (1)	Source
Reactor trip from the main control board trip switches	1E-02	Conservative estimate based on several IPEs
Reactor trip by interrupting power from the motor-generator sets given that the operator failed to trip by the control board switches	5E-01	VEGP IPE (2)
Manually insert the control rods into the core given the previous operator actions to trip have failed	5E-01	VEGP IPE (2)
Safety injection from the main control board switches	1E-02	Conservative estimate based on several IPEs
Safety injection by manual actuations of individual components	2E-03	VEGP IPE (2)
Auxiliary feedwater pump start	2E-02	VEGP IPE (2)

Notes: 1. HEP - Human Error Probability 2. VEGP IPE - see Reference 7

		2/4 Logic 2/3 Logic			2/3 Logic		
Case	CDF (per year)	Change: Referenced to Pre-TOP	Change: Referenced to TOP	CDF (per year)	Change: Referenced to Pre-TOP	Change: Referenced to TOP	
Pre-TOP	5.706E-05	-		5.717E-05	-		
TOP	5.800E-05	1.6%		5.832E-05	2.0%		
Proposed	5.835E-05	2.3%	0.6%4	5.893E-05	3.1%	1.0%4	
TOP - Sens.	5.651E-05	-1.0%		5.683E-05	-0.6%	-	
Proposed - Sens.	5.683E-05	-0.4%	0.6%5	5.741E-05	0.4%	1.0%5	

Table 8.4 Summary of Results by Core Damage Frequency

Notes: 1. CDF - core damage frequency

2. It was necessary to present four significant digits in the core damage frequency values to highlight the small changes between cases.

3. The sensitivity cases (denoted by Sens.) credit a 0.5 reduction in transient frequency which is consistent with the WOG TOP analysis.

4. Change with respect to TOP Case core damage frequency.

5. Change with respect to TOP-Sens. Case core damage frequency.

2 of 4 logic:	ESF signals = 2.4% (Reference 3, Appendix N) RT signals = 0.3% (Reference 3, Appendix D) Total = 2.7%
2 of 3 logic:	ESF signals = 3.3% (Reference 3, Appendix N) RT signals = 0.3% (Reference 3, Appendix D) Total = 3.6%

Note that credit is taken for a reduction of 0.5 transients/year in the TOP analysis for reactor trip signals.

The difference in the results between the TOP analysis in WCAP-10271 and the current analysis can be attributed to two primary analysis differences; realistic assumptions on maintenance intervals and crediting AMSAC to start auxiliary feedwater pumps. With regard to maintenance intervals, as previously discussed in Section 5, the WOG TOP analysis conservatively assumed a yearly maintenance interval on the components of the protection system. This current analysis used more realistic intervals based on industry data for the master and slave relays, and based on the plant survey responses for the analog channels and logic cabinets. See Section 5.2 for additional information. The AMSAC signal provides a signal, diverse from the reactor protection system, for actuating the auxiliary feedwater pumps. Crediting this signal, in addition to the normal signals from the reactor protection system and operator actions to start the pumps, significantly reduces the risk importance of pump actuation signals.

Tables 8.5 and 8.6 provide the importances, as defined in Section 8.1, for the top events in the event trees used to model plant response to initiators. Table 8.5 provides the importances for the cases with signals generated by the SSPS with 2 of 4 logic and Table 8.6 provides the importances for the cases with signals generated by the SSPS with 2 of 3 logic. The top events used in the event trees typically refer to systems, operator actions, or split fractions that represent some other parameter, such as, system recovery, power level, etc. These tables provide the importance values for the top 25 systems as ranked by importance. Importance measures for other top events (operator actions, power recovery, etc.) were removed from the list.

The importances of interest here are those for reactor trip actuation signals (reactor trip), safety injection actuation signals (engineered safety features), and auxiliary feedwater. The AFW importance is of interest since the AFW top event includes the actuation signal, in addition to the mechanical equipment. From these two tables it is seen that the importances for these three systems, or top events, change insignificantly from the TOP Case to the Proposed Case, and the change for the Pre-TOP Case to the Proposed Case is also small. For the reactor trip and engineered safety features top events, the importance value increases by 0.2% from the TOP Case to the Proposed Case. This means that the increase in the percent of core damage frequency related to the increase in unavailability due to the AOT and bypass time changes for either of these top events, or systems, is significantly less than 1%. This also shows that the

	Importance Measure			
System	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed	
4160 VAC Power	55.9%	55.1%	54.7%	
Auxiliary Feedwater	20.5%	20.6%	20.7%	
Nuclear Service Cooling Water	15.5%	15.4%	15.4%	
CB ESF Electrical Equipment Room HVAC	15.4%	15.2%	15.1%	
Reactor Trip	14.2%	14.5%	14.7%	
Condensate Feed	11.0%	10.9%	10.8%	
Essential Chilled Water System	9.1%	9.0%	9.0%	
Turbine Driven Pump	7.3%	7.2%	7.2%	
PORVs and/or SVS Open	6.5%	6.6%	6.7%	
High Pressure Injection	6.4%	6.8%	6.8%	
High Pressure Recirculation	6.3%	6.2%	6.2%	
Containment Cooling Units	6.0%	6.3%	6.4%	
Engineered Safety Features	5.0%	6.2%	6.4%	
Component Cooling Water	4.3%	4.4%	4.5%	
Centrifugal Charging Pumps	3.2%	3.3%	3.3%	
Low Pressure Injection	3.0%	3.4%	3.5%	
Safety Injection Pumps	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	
Low Pressure Recirculation	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%	
RWST Failure	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	
Normal Chilled Water System	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	
480 VAC Buses Train A	1.4%	1,4%	1.4%	
Hot Leg Recirculation	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	
Normal Charging	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	
125 VDC Buses	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	
Pressurizer PORVs	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	

Table 8.5 System (Top Event) Importance Summary: SSPS with 2 of 4 Logic

Sustem	Importance Measure		
System	Pre-TOP	TOP	Proposed
4160 VAC Power	55.8%	54.8%	54.2%
Auxiliary Feedwater	20.5%	20.6%	20.6%
Nuclear Service Cooling Water	15.5%	15.4%	15.2%
CB ESF Electrical Equipment Room HVAC	15.4%	15.1%	15.0%
Reactor Trip	14.1%	14.5%	14.7%
Condensate Feed	11.0%	10.8%	10.7%
Essential Chilled Water System	9.1%	9.0%	8.9%
Turbine Driven Pump	7.3%	7.2%	7.1%
High Pressure Injection	6.5%	7.2%	7.6%
PORVs and/or SVS Open	6.5%	6.6%	6.7%
High Pressure Recirculation	6.3%	6.2%	6.1%
Containment Cooling Units	6.2%	6.8%	7.1%
Engineered Safety Features	5.2%	6.6%	7.2%
Component Cooling Water	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%
Low Pressure Injection	3.2%	3.8%	4.2%
Centrifugal Charging Pumps	3.2%	3.3%	3.3%
Safety Injection Pumps	2.7%	2.7%	2.6%
Low Pressure Recirculation	1.9%	2.0%	2.0%
RWST Failure	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
Normal Chilled Water System	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
480 VAC Buses Train A	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
Hot Leg Recirculation	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
Normal Charging	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
25 VDC Buses	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Pressurizer PORVs	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%

Table 8.6 System (Top Event) Importance Summary: SSPS with 2 of 3 Logic

.

change in the signal unavailability for auxiliary feedwater pump start has essentially no impact on the importance of the auxiliary feedwater system. In addition, by their absence from this list, it is concluded that the ESF actuation signal unavailabilities modeled for other mitigation features, steamline isolation of example, are of low importance, and that with the AOT and bypass time changes, they remain of low importance.

The accident sequences leading to core damage for the Pre-TOP Case, TOP Case, and Proposed Case, with the SSPS and 2 of 4 logic and the SSPS and 2 of 3 logic, are provided in Appendix E. Only the sequences, from the top 100 sequences, related to ESF or RT actuation signal failures are provided. The specific top events in these sequences are RT (reactor trip actuation signal), ESF (safety injection actuation signal), and AFW. A review of these sequences also confirms the low significance of the changes being considered in this report. It should be noted that the unavailabilities for the AFW top events in the sequences do not always change between the cases. This is due to the AFW unavailability values being dominated by the mechanical components and not the signals.

8.4 RISK ASSOCIATED WITH A PLANT SHUTDOWN

One of the benefits of longer AOTs that can be quantified is the risk associated with avoiding a plant shutdown and the ensuing startup. Longer AOTs will help utilities avoid plant shutdowns by allowing additional time to complete component repairs, and will also help avoid utility requests for discretionary enforcements to remain at-power when the time to complete the repair activity exceeds the current AOTs.

The risk associated with shutting a plant down can be considered to be comprised of two parts; the power reduction phase that occurs in mode 1 and the changes in operating modes after the reactor is tripped. The risk associated with restarting the plant can also be considered to be comprised of two parts; the changes in operating modes prior to achieving criticality and the power increase that occurs in mode 1 after the control rods are pulled. For this analysis, only the risk associated with the power reduction and power increase are considered.

Information collected in the survey indicates, for the time period examined, there have been 349 plant startups and 152 controlled plant shutdowns (see Table 4.4). This information also indicates that 30 reactor trip events have occurred during plant startups and 13 have occurred during controlled shutdowns. Based on this, the probability of a reactor trip occurring during a startup or a controlled shutdown can be determined:

Probability of reactor trip during a startup = 30 reactor trip events during startup/342 startups = 0.088

Probability of reactor trip during a controlled shutdown = 10 reactor trip events during controlled shutdown/148 controlled shutdowns = 0.068 The risk, as measured by core damage frequency, associated with a reactor trip while shutting down or restarting a plant can be obtained from the VEGP IPE. For a transient event, such as partial loss of main feedwater, the probability of core damage given that the event has occurred is approximately 3E-06. Therefore, the probability of core damage due to one shutdown and restart is:

 $CDF = 3E-06 \times (0.088 + 0.068) = 4.7E-07$

This value is comparable to the increase in core damage frequency for the Proposed Case, as compared to the TOP Case, for both the 2 of 4 and 2 of 3 logic configurations. Therefore, the risk that can be avoided due to a potentially avoided plant shutdown and startup related to the extended AOTs is comparable to the risk increase associated with the higher signal unavailability due to the extended AOTs. Including the risk for the other phase of shutdown and startup, when the control rods are in the core, further increases the averted risk values.

9.0 PROGRAM BENEFITS

The benefits to utilities for the AOT and bypass time changes proposed in this report are discussed in this section. These include additional time to complete test and maintenance activities, additional operational flexibility, and reduced number of forced outages and discretionary enforcements. These are discussed in the following:

- The longer AOTs for the master and slave relays, logic cabinets, and analog channels will
 promote improved maintenance practices that will provide improved component performance,
 improved availability of the protection system, and a reduced number of spurious reactor trips
 and spurious actuations of safety equipment.
- 2. The longer AOTs and bypass times for the analog channels will provide additional time before being required to place the channel in trip. With the channel in trip, the logic required to cause a reactor trip or a safety system actuation is reduced to 1 of 2 (for 2 of 3 logic) and to 1 of 3 (for 2 of 4 logic). With the reduced logic requirement, the potential for a spurious actuation is increased. Leaving the channel in the bypass state for additional time does reduce the availability of signals to initiate component actuation for event mitigation when required, but as shown in this analysis, the impact on plant safety is small due to the availability of other signals or operator action to trip the reactor or cause component actuation.
- 3. The longer allowed outage times will provide plant operators additional flexibility in operating the plant. There will be additional time available before an action needs to be taken to shut down the plant or place a channel in the tripped state. This additional flexibility will facilitate prioritizing component repairs. Equipment considered more risk significant than that generating the RT and ESF actuation signals can be repaired prior to repairing the instrumentation system.
- 4. Extending the AOTs for the instrumentation begins to address an inconsistency in the Technical Specifications related to AOTs between the ESF actuation signals and the components the signals actuate. In many cases, the systems actuated by the ESFAS signals have AOTs significantly greater than those for the ESFAS. The unavailability of a single train of the ESFAS does not necessarily cause the system the signal actuates to also be unavailable. For most events, these systems can still be actuated by operator actions to mitigate the event or by an alternate signal. With a slave relay unavailable, the current Technical Specifications with TOP implemented requires the slave relay to be repaired within 6 hours, even though the component the relay is required to actuate could be allowed to be out of service for up to 72 hours.
- Extending the AOTs will result in fewer discretionary enforcements related to inadequate time to complete component repair activities. This will result in a cost savings for both the NRC and utilities.

10.0 CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions were provided in Section 7.4 specifically applicable to availability of RT and ESF actuation signals. Conclusions were provided in Section 8.3 specifically applicable to the impact of the proposed changes on plant safety. In addition, a discussion of the results in Section 4 also lead to several relevant conclusions. The following is a summary of the conclusions that specifically support changing the AOTs and bypass times to the proposed values listed in Tables 5.1 and 5.2. These conclusions are based on those previously presented and discussed. It is recommended based on these conclusions, that the AOTs and bypass times be increased to those in the Proposed Case, that at the discretion of the individual utilities, channel calibrations be performed while the plant is at-power, and that the slave relay action be modified as noted in item #11.

- The proposed changes to the AOTs and bypass times have an insignificant impact on plant safety. This conclusion applies to signals generated by the solid state and relay protection systems, from either 2 of 4 or 2 of 3 logic. As seen from Table 8.4, the increase in core damage frequency is 0.6% in comparison to the TOP Case for 2 of 4 logic and 1% in comparison to the TOP Case for 2 of 3 logic.
- 2. The risk averted by eliminating a potential plant shutdown and restart due to the proposed AOT changes, can offset the increase in risk of the proposed changes due to increased signal unavailability while at-power.
- 3. The proposed changes being considered have a minor impact on the availability of the RT and ESF actuation signals. This is particularly evident for functions that are backed-up by either diverse actuation signals or operator actions.
- 4. The impact of the proposed changes on signal unavailability for the SSPS can be used to represent the impact of the changes on signals generated by relay protection systems.
- 5. One of the strengths of the reactor protection system is the ability of diverse signals and operator actions to cause reactor trip and system actuations to mitigate initiating events. This diversity has been credited in this study.
- The importance of the reactor trip and engineered safety features actuation signals are relatively low, and remain low with implementation of the proposed AOT and bypass time changes.
- Completing analog channel calibrations at power once every fuel cycle has a negligible impact plant safety.

- This analysis calculates a significantly lower increase in core damage frequency than the TOP analysis calculated. This is attributed to more realistic maintenance intervals used in this current analysis and crediting the AMSAC system as an alternate method of initiating the auxiliary feedwater pumps.
- A significant number of reactor trips have occurred related to test and maintenance activities. This indicates that these activities should be completed with caution and significant time should be available.
- Utilities typically do not have multiple channels that measure the same parameter out of service simultaneously.
- 11. Slave relay repair activities that cannot be completed within the 24 hour AOT should not necessarily lead directly to a plant shutdown. After the 24 hour period has expired, the component that is impacted by the slave relay of interest should be declared inoperable and the Technical Specification action corresponding to this component should be followed. Applicability of this is limited to 1) slave relays that actuate single components, 2) slave relays that actuate multiple components providing the slave relay failure affects actuation of ony a single component (relay contacts, for example), and 3) multiple slave relays or slave relays that actuate multiple components providing the affected components are all in the same train of a single system. To implement this, the high, intermediate and low head subsystems of the emergency core cooling system must each be considered a single system.

11.0 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES

The analysis presented and discussed in the previous sections recommends the following:

- 1. The AOTs and bypass times provided in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 be incorporated into the RPS and ESFAS instrumentation Technical Specifications.
- 2. Channel calibration while at-power is acceptable from a risk standpoint and should be left to the needs of the utility (no Technical Specification impact).
- 3. Change the action for an inoperable slave relay to "following expiration of the slave relay allowed outage time, the component affected by the inoperable slave should be declared inoperable and the Technical Specification action for this component should be followed". This applies specifically to 1) slave relays that actuate single components, 2) slave relays that actuate multiple components providing the slave relay failure affects actuation of only a single component (relay contacts, for example), and 3) multiple slave relays or slave relays that actuate multiple components providing the affected components are all in the same train of a single system. To implement this, the high head, intermediate head, and low head subsystems of the emergency core cooling system must each be considered a single system.

Implementation of these proposed changes into the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431, NUREG-0452) is shown in Appendix A.

These recommendations are applicable to all the signals evaluated in WOG TOP for both solid state and relay protection systems. See Tables 3.2-2 and 3.2-3 in Reference 2 and Tables 3.1-2 and 3.1-3 in Reference 3 for a complete listing of the signals evaluated in WOG TOP. The results are also applicable to those signals not specifically evaluated in the TOP analysis, but shown to be applicable through subsequent evaluations. These include:

- Reactor trip on steam generator level low-low with time delay
- Auxiliary feedwater pump start on steam generator level low-low with time delay
- Auxiliary feedwater suction transfer on suction pressure low
- Feedwater isolation on main steam valve vault room water level high
- Feedwater isolation on low reactor coolant system Tavg coincident with reactor trip
- Automatic switchover to containment sump on refueling water storage tank level low-low
- Semi-automatic switchover to containment emergency sump on RWST level low-low coincident with SI
- Automatic switchover to containment sump on RWST level low-low coincident with SI and containment sump level high

In addition, these results are applicable to any signals utilities have independently shown to be encompassed by the WOG TOP evaluation during plant specific implementation of the WOG TOP Technical Specification changes.

As noted in Section 6.0, this program only considers analog processing of data (analog channels), but it is also applicable to digital systems as justified by utilities implementing WOG TOP with the Eagle 21 process protection system and approved by the NRC. Only changes to AOTs and bypass times are being evaluated in this study and these effect the signal availability similarly between the two types of process protection systems.

There are several important analysis details that need to be considered in properly applying the proposed changes to plant operation. These are in addition to the assumptions that form the basis of the analysis as discussed in Section 7.2. The following discusses these additional details:

- 1. Maintenance on the master and slave relays, logic cabinets, and analog channels while atpower is assumed to occur only after a component failure, that is, preventive maintenance does not occur. This does not preclude preventive maintenance activities. Preventive maintenance can be done providing the total time the component is unavailable due to maintenance activities (corrective and preventive) does not exceed, on a consistent basis, the values assumed in this analysis (see Tables 5.1 and 5.2). This analysis does not support continually exceeding the total time "allocated" for maintenance activities. This is not important for master and slave relays where preventive maintenance is not done, but could be important for analog channels and logic cabinets where a utility may want to start doing preventive maintenance at-power instead of during shutdown.
- 2. It is assumed that the total test time is used to complete all test activities. If a component is found to be failed during a test activity, the remainder of the time allocated to perform the test activity can be used to repair the component, prior to entering the action statement. For example, if a master relay in a SSPS is found to be inoperable during a test 1 hour after the test started, then the remaining 3 hours of the 4 hour bypass time period allowed for the test activity can be used to repair the relay prior to entering the 24 hour AOT in bypass to complete the repair (corrective maintenance activity).
- 3. With respect to the analog channels, the analysis assumes that channels measuring the same plant parameter, such as pressurizer pressure, will only randomly be unavailable due to maintenance simultaneously. It is assumed that utilities will not knowingly remove multiple channels performing the same function from service at the same time unless the channels have failed.
- 4. It is assumed in the analysis that the AOTs and bypass times for the logic cabinets and reactor trip breakers are separate and independent. It is also assumed that the logic cabinets

and trip breakers both cause their train to be unavailable when in test or maintenance. Therefore, this analysis supports a bypass time for the trip breakers equivalent to the bypass time for the logic cabinets provided both are tested at the same time.

5. The change to the action statement for inoperable slave relays that recommends "after the AOT for an inoperable slave relay has expired, the action should be to declare the affected component of the system the slave relay actuates inoperable and follow the appropriate system action statement" is limited as previously noted. This is necessary since PRA models credit backup mitigation systems that perform similar functions to primary mitigation systems if the primary mitigation system has failed. A slave relay that actuates both the primary and backup systems would have a larger impact on plant safety than a slave relay that actuates the primary or the backup system. Therefore, it is necessary to limit the use of this action as previously noted.

12.0 REFERENCES

- "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Reactor Protection Instrumentation System", WCAP-10271-P-A, May 1986.
- "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Reactor Protection Instrumentation System, Supplement 1", WCAP-10271, Supplement 1-P-A, May 1986.
- 3. "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System", WCAP-10271-P-A, Supplement 2, Revision 1.
- "Reliability Assessment of Westinghouse Type AR Relays Used as SSPS Slave Relays, WOG Program MUHP-7040", WCAP-13877, January 1994.
- 5. "Reliability Assessment of Potter & Brumfield MDR Series Relays", WCAP-14117, June 1994.
- 6. "WesSAGE Code System User Manual", WCAP-14041, May 1994.
- "Individual Plant Examination Report in Response to Generic Letter 88-20", Vogtle Electric Generating Station, November 1992.
- 8. "Event Tree Development and Quantification System User Manual", WCAP-13199.

APPENDIX A

Proposed Changes to the Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1431, NUREG-0452)

-- to be provided --

APPENDIX B

No Significant Hazards Evaluation

-- to be provided --

.

APPENDIX C

Plant Survey

Attachment

WOG Tech Spec Instrumentation Chapter Optimization Program

Plant Survey: Introduction

One of the objectives of the Technical Specification Instrumentation Chapter Optimization Program is to evaluate longer allowed outage times (AOTs) for the analog channels and the logic cabinets of the reactor protection system (RPS). Outage times of 72 hours will be evaluated for the channels and 24 hours for the logic cabinets. The evaluation will use a probabilistic approach to determine the impact of the changes on plant safety. The unavailability of reactor trip and engineered safety feature actuation signals, and the impact on plant risk will be evaluated. Both the safety benefits and detriments will be included in the evaluation.

The survey is divided into two parts. The first part starts on the following page and is requested to be completed by all utilities. The second part, as discussed below, will be provided to a limited number of utilities after reviewing the results of the first part of the Survey. The utilities that receive the second part will be based on the feedback provided by you as to the assessibility of the information required.

To properly conduct this program some information is required from utilities regarding test and maintenance activities related to the RPS including the impact of these activities on plant operation. This includes information on how the increased AOT will be used in RPS test and maintenance activities, that is, will the channels or logic cabinets be unavailable more often due to additional test or maintenance activities or will they be unavailable for longer periods of time due to changes in personnel response to completing test and maintenance activities? In addition, information on the number of plant trips and controlled shutdowns that will be averted due to these changes is also required. Part 1 of the survey is subdivided into three sections. The first section requests information on plant specific implementation of WCAP-10271 (WOG TOP), the second section requests information on channel and logic cabinet unavailability and how longer AOTs will impact unavailability of these components, and the third part requests information on how these activities impact plant availability with respect to reactor trips and required plant shutdowns.

The second part of the survey, which will be sent out to a limited number of utilities in the future, requests a history of the unavailability of instrumentation logic and channels for the previous three fuel cycles. Since this may be a significant effort for some utilities, we are requesting utilities identify whether this type of information can be obtained rather easily. The information is required for five different plant sites. Page 6 of this survey shows the type of information that will be required. At this time only indicate on page 6 if you will be able to provide this information if asked to do so at a future date. Do not fill out anything else on page 6 at this time.

Thank you in advanced for your cooperation. If you have any questions, please contact either Jerry Andre' (412-374-4723) or Jim Andrachek (412-374-5018).

WOG Tech Spec Instrumentation Chapter Optimization Program

Plant Survey: Part 1

Utility/Plant:

Utility Contact: _____ Phone Number: _____

General Questions:

 Have you implemented the Technical Specification AOT and STI changes justified in WRAP-10271 (Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Reactor Protection System and Engineered Safety Features Actuation System, WOG-TOP)?

yes/no (circle one); if yes, date implemented:

2. Do you test the channels in trip or in bypass? trip/bypass (circle one)

Instrument Channel and Logic Cabinet Unavailability Questions:

How long does it take to perform channel maintenance activities? estimate of typical or average time in hours: ______ estimate of maximum time in hours: ______

How long does it take to perform logic cabinet maintenance activities? estimate of typical or average time in hours: ______ estimate of maximum time in hours: ______

3. What percentage of test activities lead to maintenance activities (circle one)?

i. 0% ii 10% iii. 25% iv. 50% v. 75% vi. 90% vii. 100% viii. other (please specify)

- 4. How often are maintenance activities on logic cabinets required? (estimate as once per year, once every five years, etc.)
- 5. How often are maintenance activities on a typical analog channel required? (estimate as once per year, once every five years, etc.)
- 6. If the allowed outage times were extended to 72 hours for the analog channels, how would this impact the time to complete test activities (circle one)?
 - i. test time would not be impacted
 - ii. test time would increase by 25%
 - iii. test time would increase by 50%
 - iv. test time would increase by a factor of 2
 - v. test time would increase by a factor of 4
 - vi. test time would increase to 72 hours
 - vii. other (please specify)
- 7. If the allowed outage times were extended to 24 hours for the logic cabinets, how would this impact the time to complete test activities (circle one)?
 - i. test time would not be impacted
 - ii. test time would increase by 25%
 - iii. test time would increase by 50%
 - iv. test time would increase by a factor of 2
 - v. test time would increase by a factor of 4
 - vi. test time would increase to 24 hours
 - vii. other (please specify)
- 8. If the allowed outage times were extended to 72 hours for the analog channels, how would this impact the time to complete maintenance activities (circle one)?
 - i. maintenance time would not be impacted
 - ii. maintenance time would increase by 25%
 - iii. maintenance time would increase by 50%
 - iv. maintenance time would increase by a factor of 2
 - v. maintenance time would increase by a factor of 4
 - vi. maintenance time would increase to 72 hours
 - vii. other (please specify)

- 9. If the allowed outage times were extended to 24 hours for the logic cabinets, how would this impact the time to complete maintenance activities (circle one)?
 - i. maintenance time would not be impacted
 - ii. maintenance time would increase by 25%
 - iii. maintenance time would increase by 50%
 - iv. maintenance time would increase by a factor of 2
 - v. maintenance time would increase by a factor of 4
 - vi. maintenance time would increase to 24 hours
 - vii. other (please specify)

10. If the allowed outage times were extended to 72 hours for analog channels, would additional test or maintenance activities be performed at power (such as channel calibrations)? If yes, please describe the activity, and provide the frequency of occurrence and estimated time to complete the activity (if necessary, attach an additional page providing the information).

11. If the allowed outage times were extended to 24 hours for logic cabinets, would additional test or maintenance activities be performed at power? If yes, please describe the activity, and provide the frequency of occurrence and estimated time to complete the activity (if necessary, attach an additional page providing the information).

Plant Startup and Shutdown Operating Information

(Please limit this to the latest five years of operation. If WOG-TOP AOT and STI changes have been implemented during this five year period, please divide the number in to pre- and post-TOP operation.)

- Number of controlled plant shutdowns: ______
- Number of Tech Spec required shutdowns: _____
- Number of (Tech Spec specified) instrumentation related shutdowns:
- Number of shutdowns avoided due to discretionary enforcement:
- Number of shutdowns related to (Tech Spec specified) instrumentation avoided due discretionary enforcement:

- 6. Number of startups:
- 7. Number of reactor trips: _____
- 8. Number of trips that occurred while in a controlled shutdown:
- 9. Number of trips that occurred during startup:

- 13. Have multiple channels measuring the same variable (e.g., pressurizer pressure, steam generator water level on the same steam generator, etc.) been in either test or maintenance at the same time? If so, please provide a list that identifies the channels involved, the number of channels required to trip, and the time history (when the channels were placed in trip or bypass and when they were returned to service if necessary, attach an additional page providing the information).
- 14. Time period examined to respond to the above questions on plant startup and shutdown operating information (divide between pre-TOP and post-TOP if applicable):
- 15. Estimated percentage of time the plant was in modes 1 or 2 during this time period: ____

WOG Tech Spec Instrumentation Chapter Optimization Program

Plant Survey: Part 2

Would you be able and willing to provide the following information (do not provide it at this time, only answer this question)? yes/no (circle one)

THE INFORMATION BELOW IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE PROVIDED AT THIS TIME

Please provide a history, for the previous three complete fuel cycles divided between preand post-TOP implementation if applicable, of the unavailability of instrumentation logic and channels. This history should identify when the logic cabinets and channels were taken out and returned to service for either test or maintenance activities. Note if the test or maintenance activity was performed in bypass or trip. If possible, provide this in chronological order.

If the channels are identified by a plant specific identifier, please provide a key that defines the identifier in general terms (e.g., pressurizer pressure channel #1). A suggested table to capture this information follows.

Suggested Table for Channel and Logic Unavailability History

Component	Time and Date Remove from Service	Time and Date Returned to <u>Service</u>	Activity Performed (test or maint)	Trip or <u>Bypass</u>
PZR P1	1/5/91, 1:15 PM	1/5/91, 2:00 PM	test	trip
SG1 L1	1/6/91, 8:00 AM	1/6/91, 9:30 AM	test	trip

etc.

Please return the completed survey to:

Mail to:	Fax to: (412) 374-5099
Mr. G.R. Andre'	
ECE MS 4-28	
Westinghouse Electric Corporation	
P.O. Box 355	
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355	

Due Date: Friday September 16, 1994

APPENDIX D

Fault Tree Diagrams

The information provided in this appendix is proprietary to Westinghouse Electric Corporation. Due to the volume of information, it has not been bracketed. The coding associated with this information is "+a,c".

APPENDIX E

Event Sequence Quantification Results

This appendix provides a summary of the sequences leading to core damage from the accident sequence quantification. Only the accident sequences that contain failures of reactor trip or engineering safety feature actuation signals out of the top 100 sequences are provided. These sequences are provided for the following cases:

- Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Signal Logic
- TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Signal Logic
- Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Signal Logic
- Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Signal Logic
- TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Signal Logic
- Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Signal Logic

Note that in some sequences neither RT (reactor trip actuation signal) nor ESF (engineered safety features actuation signal) shows as a failure. In these sequences, the ESF actuation signal is included in the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) unavailability value.

For each sequence in each case, the following information is provided:

Number - Sequence number Frequency - Sequence frequency (per year) Percent - Percent contribution of the sequence to the total core damage frequency Sum - Summation of all the sequences up to that sequence number Event - Top event in the event tree Value - The value (system unavailability, operator action failure probability, etc.) associated with the top event

Description - Description of the top event

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic

Tot	tai plant da	amage state frequency = 5.706E-005
NUM	BER FREQU	JENCY PERCENT SUM
	EVENT	VALUE DESCRIPTION
	1 20/4-0	06 3 11% 0 1706 004
21	DHC DHC	1 ECOP. COD Deserved Land of Main Frederics Film
	DT	1 700E DOE BEATTOD TOLD FALLS ADJUSTED FLOW
	RI	E 100 001 INITIAL DOUTD LEGG THAN (AN
	PLL	D.DIVE-UUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMU	5.000E-001 OA TO TKIP MG SETS
	DDR	2 4000 001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RUDS
	PPR	2.090E-DUT FULL ATW AND NO LKI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
6.	1.148E-0	06 2.01% 1.033E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
0	5 00/5-0	04 1 74N 1 744F 00F
¥.	1.0046-0	00 1.76% 1.340E-005
	LUSPI	4. TOUE-OUZ LOSS OF OFFICE Power (Single Unit)
	ARAL	2.470E-002 4100 V AL POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGS - LOSP)
	REW	2.000E-002 MUP TO 2/4 SUS FAIL- 5 MKS- TK B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL- NO SUPPORT
	nr i	2.430E-002 1/2 CCPS- 3/4 CLEUS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP
17.	5.902E-0	007 1.03% 1.904E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING
1.0		
18.	5-859E-0	007 1.03% 1.962E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL ROOS
	PPR	2.090E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CKI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
24.	4.840E-0	007 0.85% 2.274E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH GA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
25.	4.658E-0	15005-000 0astislises of Main foodstood flow
	PMP	1.200E TOU PARTIAL LOSS OF MAIN FEEDWATER FLOW
	RI	1. TOUE TOD REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE STUNAL WITH DA) . ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	C.DIVETUUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OCR	5.000E-001 OA TO INCERT CONTROL BODG
	AEU	A PENE-NOT DA TO INSERT CONTROL ROOS
	ALC N	0.0000 OVE E/E HUFS S TOP TO 4/4 SUS FAIL S HAS - ALL SUPPORT
26.	4.434E-0	07 0.78% 2.365E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	6.880E-004 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (MLO)
	HP1	1.000E+000 2/4 HP1s- 2/3 CLEGS- MLO- NO SUPPORT
	AFW	1.000E+000 AFW - NO SUPPORT AVAILABLE
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
	CCW	1.000E+000 1/2 CCW TRAINS - NO SUPPORT
37	1 1010 0	07 0 77 3 (00F 00F
21.	DHE DHE	1 SODEADOD Partial Lore of Main Frankister First
	PT	1 7005-005 PEACTOP TOID FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH ONL AND SUBCORT
	PLI	R AIDE OUT NETTAL DOUED LESS THAN LOW
	ONG	5 000E-001 OA TO TRILLESS THAN 40%
	AFW	8.850F-002 2/2 MOPE & TOP TO 4/4 SCH FALL 5 HDE . ALL SUPPORT
		ALTER AND ALE THE A THE IN THE AND TALL A THE ANT ALL OUT ON I

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

1

]

29.	4.253E	-007 0.75% 2.495E-005
	LMF	5,300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8 610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5 000F-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCP	5 070E-001 OA TO INCEPT CONTROL PODS
	SOR	2 ADRE-DOT DE LA LA AND NO COT ALL SUDDOT AVALL
	FFR	2.0702 OUT FULL AFW AND NO LKI ALL SUFFORT AVAIL
**	1 1 7 7 7	007 0 THE 3 FTM 005
31,	4.172E	007 0.73% C.579E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
33.	3.882E	-007 0.68% 2.657E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
37	T SAOF	007 0 63% 2 8026-005
	SCR	2 500F-002 Steam Concrator Tube Pupture
	AFL	2 STOR OG 2/2 MODE TOD THE REPORT
	DAD	C. SIVE VUS C/C MUPS & 10P 3/3 DUS FAIL 3 MAS ALL SUPPORT
	UAK	O. UYUE-UUS DA ID ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC W/D SPRAT
-		ANT A 100 - 3 4714 415
58.	3.422E-	007 0.60% 2.836E-005
	LLO	3.000E-004 Large Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	1.180E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (LLO)
	LPI	1.000E+000 1/2 RHR PMPs TO 2/3 COLD LEGS- LLO - NO SPRT
	HP1	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIS- 2/3 CLEGS- LLO- NO SUPPORT
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
42.	2.809E -	007 0.49% 2.954E-005
	LOC	3.500E-001 Loss of Condenser
	RT	1 700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLI	8 A10E-001 INITIAL DOLED LESS THAN 40%
	OMC	5 DODE DOT THITTHE FORE CESS THAN YOU
	OCB	5 OTCE OF A LIVERT AN ALTAN AND A
	DOR	2 COSE OUT CHILL ARE AND HO DEL ALL CHINDORT AVAIL
	PPR	C. DYUE-UUT FULL AFW AND NU LKI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
12	5 700F	307 0 / 0V 0 0805 005
9.3.2	6.1995-	00/ 0.47% 2.902-003
	LUSPE	1.000E-002 LOSS OF OTTSITE POWER (DUAL UNIT)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	
		1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
2 M	HPR	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
47.2	HPR 2.512E-	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005
47.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
47.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
w/.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
w/,	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL-5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
w/,	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
¥/.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
47.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E-	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 GA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E+002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 GA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 400P TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TB SCRT (NO TRA)
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E+002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E+002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E+002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E+002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E+002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON QAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E+002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL- NO SUPPORT
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HP1	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HPI	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP
49. 53.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HPI 2.355E-	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 GA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP 007 0.41% 3.233E-005
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON 0AR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HP1 2.355E- 11	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP 007 0.41% 3.233E-005 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip 1.000E+001 Turbine Trip
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HPI 2.355E- TT RT	 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E+002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP 007 0.41% 3.233E-005 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip 1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HP1 2.355E- TT RT PLL	<pre>1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 NRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- 5 NRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP 007 0.41% 3.233E-005 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip 1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%</pre>
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HPI 2.355E- TT RT PLL CMG	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP 007 0.41% 3.233E-005 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip 1.700E+000 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40% 5.000E-001 OA TO TYIP MG SETS
49.	HPR 2.512E- LOSP1 4KAC AFW CON OAR 2.448E- LOSP2 4KAC AFW CON HP1 2.355E- TT RT PLL OMG OCR	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS 007 0.44% 3.088E-005 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP) 007 0.43% 3.137E-005 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit) 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP) 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA) 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP 007 0.41% 3.233E-005 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip 1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40% 5.000E-001 OA TO THIP MG SETS 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

54. 2.343E-007 0.41% 3.256E-005

	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAR	6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
55	2.267F	007 0.40% 3.2798-005
	TT	7 300E-001 Turbine Trip
	DT	1 TODE -DOS PEACTOR TRID FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OAL - ALL SUPPORT
	BUI	R ALLE OUT INITIAL DOWED LESS THAN 40%
	OMC	5 DOLE DOL DA TO TRIB MC SETS
	OPCD	5 ODE OUT ON TO THIF HU SETS
	APU	B BEAE-002 2/2 MADE & TAD TO 4/4 COL EALL 5 HDC - ALL SUDDART
	NTW	DIDJUE VUE E/E HURS & IUF IU 4/4 SUS INIE J HKS HEL SUFFURI
57	2 1/36	007 0 38% 3 3235-005
211	211435	7 300E-001 Turbina Irin
	TO	1 700E-005 PEACTOR TRID FALLS (DIVERSE STONAL UITH DAT - ALL SUPPORT
	011	R ANDE-DOS REACION INTE FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUFFORT
	PLL	C.DIVE-UUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OPIG	D DECE 000 3/2 MODE 2 TOD TO /// CC. EATL. E UDC. ALL CUDDODT
	Arw	0.020E-002 C/C MUPS & IUP 10 4/4 SUS FAIL S HAS - ALL SUPPORT
400	1 8007	0.7 0 70% 7 /576.00E
04.	1.0092	107 0.326 3.497E-003
	LUSPI	4. TODE TODE LOSS OF OFTSITE POWER (Single Onit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER SUS A FAIL (WITH DGS - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SUS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	PZR	4.490E-003 1/2 PZR PORVS& BLOCK VLVS FAIL TO OPER- TR B SPRT
1.00		방법 이 것 같은 것
70.	1.710E-	07 0.30% 3.564E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5,070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
71.	1.646E-	07 0.29% 3.580E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	8.850E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
75.	1.556E-	07 0.27% 3.644E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	8.850E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
77.	1.482E-	07 0.26% 3.674E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MOPs & TOP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	HPR	2.530E-003 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- ALL SUPPORT
81.	1.429E-	07 0.25% 3.732E-005
	SLO	6.600E-003 Small Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	9.200E-004 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (OTHERS)
	CCP	2.550E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL
	LPI	1.000E+000 LP1- 1/2 LP1s- 3/4 CLEGS- NO SUPPORT
86.	1.372E-1	07 0.24% 3.801E-005
-	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	DAB	1.000E-002 DA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

87.	1.3648	-007 0.24% 3.815E-005				
	515	1.700E-001 Safety Injection Signal (Inadv)				
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT				
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%				
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS				
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS				
	PPR	2.690E-DO1 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL				
89.	1.330E-007 0.23% 3.842E-005					
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater flow				
	RT	1,700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT				
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS				
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS				
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION				
97.	1.168E-007 0.20% 3.941E-005					
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow				
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT				
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%				
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS				
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS				
	AFW	6.130E-002 TDP TO 2/4 SGS FAILS- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT				
	PPR	3.620E-001 PARTIAL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL				
98.	1.129E-007 0.20% 3.952E-005					
	LOC	3,500E-001 Loss of Condenser				
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT				
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%				
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS				
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS				

- 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS 1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION OBR

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic

To	tal plant	damage state frequency = 5.800E-005
NU	MBER FREG	NUENCY PERCENT SUM
	EVENT	VALUE DESCRIPTION
5.	1.2398	-006 2.14% 9.213E-006
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
6.	1.147E	-006 1.98% 1.036E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
9.	9.990E	-007 1.72% 1.348E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HP1	2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP
16.	6.030E	-007 1.04% 1.8466-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
18	5 ROOF	007 1.02% 1.9656-005
	SGR	2 500F-002 Steam Generator Tube Runture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MOPS & TOP- 3/3 SGS FAIL- 5 HPS- ALL SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 DA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING
	0.0048	
44.	4.901E	1 5005-000 Desting Lange 4 Main Frederice 61
	PMF	1.740E-DOE DEALTOR TRUE FALLS (DIMERSE FIGHE WITH ON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
	PLI	R 630E-003 INITIAL DOMED LEEP THAN (DW
	OMC	5 DODE-DOT INTITAL FOWER LESS THAN 40%
	OCR	5 070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL POOR
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
25.	4.825E-	007 0.83% 2.326E-005
	PMF	1.500E*000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	R1	1.760E-005 REACIOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	5.000E-001 OA TO TRUD MC CESS THAN 60%
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO THEERT CONTROL BODE
	AFW	8.860E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
26.	4.742E-	007 0.82% 2.373E-005
	MLU	2.0002-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESP	7. SOUE-UD4 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS AGB FAIL (MLO)
	AFU	1 DODE+DOD ACH NO SUPPORT
	CCU CCU	1 000E+000 AFW - NO SUPPORT AVAILABLE
	CCW	1.000E+000 1/2 CCW TRAINS NO SUPPORT
27.	4.561E-1	007 0.79% 2.419E-005
	PMP	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	DII	R 6105-001 INITIAL POLED LEED THAN LAN
	ONG	5 000E-001 OA TO TOID NO CETE
	AFW	8.860E-002 2/2 MDPs & TOP TO 4/4 SC+ SATL 5 MPC - ALL SUPPORT
		The second secon

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

28.	4.378E-1	007 0.75% 2.463E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2 690F-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
31	6 153F-1	007 0 72% 2 5905-005
are.	LOSP1	4 100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	LUSP	5 JOE 002 JIAO V AF POLED BUS A FAIL (UITH DCC - LOSP)
	ARAL	2 COL ALD TALL COLLEGE BUS A THIS CHILL DUS INC. THE COLL (NOT TALL
	AFW	2.000 002 MUP TO 2/4 SUS FAIL S THE S THE STATE TO CHECKER
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 LOW PMPS-1/4 SUS FAIL- NO SUFFORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 DA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COULING START ST
	i anar i	007 0 708 0 (71F 00F
32.	4.05%E-1	007 0.76% 2.6516-005
	LLO	5.000E-004 Large Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	1,400E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (LLC)
	LPI	1,000E+000 1/2 RHR PMPs TO 2/3 COLD LEGS- LLO - NO SPRI
	HPI	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIs- 2/3 CLEGS- LLO- NO SUPPORT
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
34.	3.879E-1	007 0.67% 2.708E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL HO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 DA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
37.	3.587E-1	007 0.62% 2.817E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2 510F-003 2/2 MOPS & TOP- 3/3 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	DAR	A DODE-DOT DA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC W/O SPRAY
	Unn	
42	2 8016-1	007 0 50% 2 9716-005
46.	100	3 SOOF-OO1 Loss of Condensar
	DT	1 740E-005 PEACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	R I	S ADE OUS INITIAL DALED LES TURA LOY
	PLL	C DOF DOT DA TO TOTO NO CETE
	OMG	5,000E-001 OA TO TKIF HU SETS
	OLK	S.UCUE-DUT DA TU INSERT CONTROL RUDS
	мак	C. DYDE-DDI FULL AFW ARD NO GRI ALL SUFFORT AVAIL
	5 700F	007 0 (8) 2 0005-005
45.	2.1992-1	
	LOSPZ	1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGS - LUSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
47.	2.511E-	007 0.43% 3.104E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAR	6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
50.	2.437E-	007 0.42% 3.1788-005
	LOSP2	1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	AKAC	5 470F-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS & FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2 000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HPS- TR & SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1 000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SCs EA11 NO SUPPORT
	ND1	2 4305-000 1/2 CODe- 3/4 CLECS- TP & CODT AVAIL- LOSP
	nr i	CLARKE ANE INE FREE STA FREESE IN D SENT MANE FOST
5.9	2 424E-	007 0 42% 3 2025-005
19.9.9	TT	7 300E-001 Turbine Trip
	PT	1 7605-005 PEACTOR TRUE FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	DII	E ATOR OOT INITIAL DOUED LESS THAN LOW
	PLL	CODE-DOT INTITAL POWER LESS THAN 90%
	OMG	5,0000-001 0A TO TKIP RU SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSEKT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

54.	2.348E-00	7 0.40% 3.274E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.76DE-DOS REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	5 ODDE-DUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL ROOS
	AFW	8.860E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
55.	2.342E-00	7 0.40% 3.297E-005
	AFU	2 710F-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/2 SCs FAIL- 5 HPS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAR	6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
57.	2.220E-00	7 0.38% 3.342E-005 7 7005 004 Turking Trip
	PT	1 760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	8.860E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
17	1 8705.00	7 0 30% 3 /586-005
03,	510	6 600E-003 Small Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	1.210E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (OTHERS)
	CCP	2.550E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL
	LPI	1.000E+000 LP1- 1/2 LP1s- 3/4 CLEGS- NO SUPPORT
66	1 8015-00	7 0 31% 3 5135-005
00.	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC !	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW 2	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	PZR	4.490E-003 1/2 PZR PORVS& BLOCK VLVS FAIL TO OPER- TR B SPRT
70.	1.760E-007	7 0.30% 3.584E-005
	LMF 5	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8	3.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5	1.000E-001 DA TO INCERT CONTROL PODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
72.	1.705E-007	0.29% 3.619E-005
	LMF	1,500E-001 LOSS OF MAIN FEEDWATER FLOW
	PIL E	A 610F-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5	.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5	.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW E	3.860E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
76	1.6126-003	0.28% 3.683E-005
1.94.1	LMF 5	.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT 1	.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8	1.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OPIG 5	.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW E	1.860E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
78.	1.481E-007	0.26% 3.713E-005
	SGR 2	.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW 2	.510E-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 KRS- ALL SUPPORT
	HPR 2	.530E-003 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- ALL SUPPORT
83.	1.404E-007	0.24% 3.785E-005
	SIS 1	.700E-001 Safety Injection Signal (Inadv)
	RT 1	.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH GA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8	.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5	000E-001 DA TO TRIP NG SETS
	PPP 3	A SOF-OOT FULL AFW AND NO ORT ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
		TOTOL OF THE PLE PLE DE OF THE SETTING REFILE

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow			
RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT			
OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS			
OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS			
OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION			
1.373	E-007 0.24% 3.854E-005			
MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident			
ESF	2.420E-002 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (MLO)			
LPR	8.040E-003 1/2 RPMPs CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MLO- TR A SUPPORT AVAIL			
1.371	E-007 0.24% 3.867E-005			
LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow			
AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT			
CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT			
OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI			
1.370E-007 0.24% 3.881E-005				
MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident			
ESF	2.420E-002 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN A FAILS (MLO)			
LPR	8.040E-003 1/2 RPMPs CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MLO- TR B SUPPORT AVAIL			
1.293E-007 0.22% 3.934E-005				
PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow			
RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT			
PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%			
OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS			
OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL ROOS			
AFW	6.560E-002 TOP TO 2/4 SGS FAILS - 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT			
PPR	3.620E-001 PARTIAL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL			
1.162E-007 0.20% 4.006E-005				
LOC	3.500E-001 Loss of Condenser			
RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT			
PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%			
OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS			
OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS			
and the second se				
	RT OMG OCR DBR 1.3731 MLO ESF LPR 1.3711 LMF AFW CON OAB 1.3701 MLO ESF LPR 1.2931 PMF RT PLL OMG OCR AFW PPR 1.1621 LOC RT PLL OCR			
Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic

Tot	tal plant o	age state frequency = 5.835E-005	
NUP	BER FREQU	CY PERCENT SUM	
	EVENT	VALUE DESCRIPTION	
5.	1.265F	06 2.17% 9.238F-006	
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT	r
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL	
6.	1.147E	06 1.97% 1.039E-005	
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)	
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)	
	AFW	2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)	
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT	
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS	
9.	9.975E	07 1.71% 1.350E-005	
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)	
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)	
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)	
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT	
	HPI	2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP	
14.	6.155E	07 1.05% 1.728E-005	
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip	
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT	
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL	
18.	5.898E	17 1.01% 1.968E-005	
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture	
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT	
	OAS	1.000E-002 DA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING	
22.	5.084E-	7 0.87% 2.1826-005	
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT	
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION	
25.	4.939E-	7 0.85% 2.331E-005	
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT	
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT	
26.	4.781E-	7 0.82% 2.3796-005	
	MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident	
	ESF	7.420E-004 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (MLO)	
	HPI	1.000E+000 2/4 HP1s- 2/3 CLEGS- MLO- NO SUPPORT	
	AFW	1.000E+000 AFW - NO SUPPORT AVAILABLE	
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT	
	CCW	1.000E+000 1/2 CCW TRAINS - NO SUPPORT	
27.	4.669E-	7 0.80% 2	
and the	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT	
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MOPS & TOP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL - 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT	

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

28	4 469F	007 0 77% 2 6705-005
20.50	IME	5 300F-001 LOSS of Majo Fredwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
31.	4.146E-	007 0.71% 2.5986-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
22	6 346E.	007 0 719 2 6305-005
36.	110	3 000E-004 Large Loss of Coolant Accident
	FSF	1 430F-003 ENCINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS ARE FAIL (110)
	LPI	1.000E+000 1/2 RHR PMPS TO 2/3 COLD LEGS- 110 - NO SPRT
	HPI	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIS- 2/3 CLEGS- LLO- NO SUPPORT
	CCU	1,000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
34.	3.879E-	007 0.66% 2.717E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING START SI
1.1.1		
37.	3.586E-	007 0.61% 2.825E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MOPS & TOP- 3/3 SGS FAIL- 5 MRS- ALL SUPPORT
	UAR	0.090E-005 DA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC W/O SPRAY
41	2 0516-	007 0 51% 2 0508-005
	100	3 SODE-DO1 Lose of Condenser
	RT	1 ROOF-OOS REACTOR TRID FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DAY - ALL SUDDODT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
43.	2.798E-	007 0.48% 3.007E-005
	LOSP2	1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
14	2 5445	007 0 / 78 3 1125 005
47.	6.2115-	(1005,002 Loss of Official Doubs (Cippin Unit)
	LUSPI	4.100E-002 LOSS OF UTSITE POWER (Single Unit)
	AFU	2 GODE OUS WOD TO 2/4 SC+ EATL & HDS- TO D SODT (NO TOAN
	CON	1 0005+000 1/3 CON PHOP-1/4 SCE EATL - NO SUDDODT
	OAR	6.000E-003 DA TO ESTABLISH HIGH DEESSIDE DECIDE (INCLUDES DID)
		store ser en to competen iten recome neothe (includes our)
48.	2.474E-	007 0.42% 3.138E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
51.	2.433E-	007 0.42% 3.211E-005
	LOSP2	1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dust Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	NET.	2. SUE-UUZ 1/2 CCMS- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

54.	2.404E	007 0.41% 3.2838-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) + ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MOPs & TOP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
55	2 3/ 20	007 0 /09 3 3075 005
22.	DWE	1 500E+000 Pactial Lass of Main Frankister Size
	AFW	2 710F-005 2/2 MODe & TOD- 2/4 CCC EATL 5 MDC- ALL CUDDODT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SCC FALL- NO SUPPORT
	OAR	6.090E-003 DA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
56.	2.2728 -	007 0.39% 3.329E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL 5 KRS - ALL SUPPORT
50	2 0036-	017 0 344 3 3035-005
	SLO	6.600E-003 Small Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	1.290E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FALLS (OTHERS)
	CCP	2.550E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL
	LPI	1.000E+000 LPI- 1/2 LPIS- 3/4 CLEGS- NO SUPPORT
66.	1.798E-	007 0.31% 3.524E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP 10 2/4 SGS PAIL- 5 MKS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	D7R	4 400E-003 1/2 D7P DODUL BLOCK VIVE SALL TO ODED. TO D CODY
	r an	A THE DUS IN FILL FURYER DEDUK YEYS FALL TO DEEK. IN D SPRI
69.	1.7968-0	007 0.31% 3.578E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	UBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
71.	1.745E-0	07 0.30% 3.613E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 KRS - ALL SUPPORT
73.	1.6505-0	07 0 282 3 6475-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	8.8701-002 2/2 MDPs & TOP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
78	1 (815 0	07 0 25% 7 7345 005
10.	1,401E-U	2 5005-002 Stopp Conceptor Tube Pursue
	AFW	2 510E-003 2/2 MODe & TOD- 3/3 CCE EALL S UBC. ALL SUDDODY
	HPR	2.530E-003 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- ALL SUPPORT
80.	1.458E-0	07 0.25% 3.755E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	2.570E-002 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (MLO)
	LPR	B. GAUE-DUS 1/2 RPMPC CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MIC- TP & CUDDODT AVAIL

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 4 Logic (Cont'd)

81.	1.455	E-007 0.25	\$ 3.770E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004	Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	2.570E-002	ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (MLO)
	LPR	8.040E-003	1/2 RPMPs CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MLO- TR B SUPPORT AVAIL
83.	1.433	E-007 0.25	4 3.798E-005
	\$15	1.700E-001	Safety Injection Signal (Inadv)
	RT	1.800E-005	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH CA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001	INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001	OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001	DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001	FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
85.	1.407	E-007 0.24	\$ 3.826E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000	Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	OMG	5.000E-001	DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-G01	OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001	OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
90.	1.370	E-007 0.23	\$ 3.896E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001	Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005	2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000	1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002	OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
92.	1.351	E-007 0.23	% 3.923E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000	Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001	INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001	DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001	UA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	6.700E-002	TOP TO 2/4 SGS FAILS - 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
	PPR	3.6206-001	PARTIAL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
98.	1.215	E-007 0.21	\$ 3.998E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004	Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	2.570E-002	ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (MLO)
	LPI	6.660E-003	1/2 RPMPs- 2/3 CLEGS- MLO- TR A SPRT FAILS

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic

To	tal plant	damage state frequency = 5,717E-005
NUM	MRER FRED	UFNCY DERCENT SUM
40	EVENT	VALUE DESCRIPTION
5.	1.203E	-006 2.10% 9.177E-006
	PMF	1 500F+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1,700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLI	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MC SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL PODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
	1 1/04	004 D.018 1.0704.004
9.	1.1405	-006 2.01% 1.0328-005
	LUSPI	4. TODE TODE LOSS OF OTTSITE POWER (Single Unit)
	ARAL	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGS - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 MRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	LUN	1. UUUE+UUU 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HFR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPS+ 1/2 RHR+ TR A SPRT FAILS
9.	1.003E	006 1.76% 1.346E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPI	2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP
17	5 9025	-007 1.03% 1.0036-005
	SCR	2 500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Runture
	AFU	2 SIDE-003 2/2 MODe & TOD- 3/3 SCO EATL 5 MDC- ALL SUDDODT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISK BLEED AND FEED COOLING
18.	5.855E	007 1.02% 1.962E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL ROOS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
14 .	4.837E-	007 0.85% 2.274E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNA', WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
	1	007 0.004 0.7004.004
2 .	PMF	1 SODE-DOD Partial Loss of Main Factures Flow
	DT	1 2005-005 PEACTOR TRID CALLS OF WATER FLOW
	PLI	8 410E-001 INITIAL DOMED LESS THAN IN
	PLL	5. OTCE DUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OCR	S OTOE ODT ON TO INCEPT CONTROL DOOR
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TOP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
6.	4.452E-	007 0.78% 2.365E-005
	ESE	6 010E-004 MEDIUM LOSS OF CODEANT ACCIDENT
	LOF	1 0005-000 2// UDL 2/2 01500 WC 10 000500 FAIL (MLU)
	AFU	1.000E-000 Z/4 MPTS* Z/3 CLEGS* MLO* NO SUPPORT
	ATM .	A DODE-DOD COLL CALL TO DEDUIDE COOL US OF HER HER COOL
	CCU	1 DODE+000 1/2 CON TRAINS - NO SUPPORT
		TO OVETOVO T/E CUR TRAINS - NO SUPPORT
7.	4.413E-	007 0.77% 2.409E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL FOWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	ASU	R RTOS 002 2/2 HODE & TOD TO /// CON SALL & UDC - ALL CUDDODY

.

10

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

29.	4.262E-007 0.75% 2.495E-005 LLO 3.000E-004 Large Loss of Coolant Accident ESF 1.470E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (LLO)
	LP1 1.000E+000 1/2 RHR PMPs TO 2/3 COLD LEGS- LLO - NO SPRT
	HP1 1.000E+000 2/4 HP1s- 2/3 CLEGS- LLO- NO SUPPORT
	CCU 1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
20	(3515.007 0 7/V 3 5395.005
20.	INF 5 300F-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT 1,700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DAY - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR 2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
70	1 1715 007 0 784 0 1005 005
26.	4.1/1E-UU/ U./36 2.022E-UUD
	LUSE 5, 470E-002 LUSE OF OFFICE PORET (SINGLE ONLY)
	AFW 2,000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (HO TRA)
	CON 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB 1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
1.1	
54.	3,881E-007 0.68% 2.699E-005
	AEU 2 7105-005 2/2 MODE 2 TOD, 2/4 CCH FALL 5 MDC, ALL CUDDODT
	CON 1 000E+000 1/3 CON PNDS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB 1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
38.	3.589E-007 0.63% 2.844E-005
	SGR 2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW 2.510E-003 2/2 MOPS & TDP- 3/3 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	OAR 0.0400-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC W/O SPRAY
42.	2.807E-007 0.49% 2.962E-005
	LOC 3.500E-001 Loss of Condenser
	RT 1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCK 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	FFR C.DTOE OUT FOLL ATW AND NO LKI ALL SUFFORT AVAIL
43.	2.799E-007 0.49% 2.953E-005
	LOSP2 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	4KAC 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR 2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
67.	2.512E-007 0.44% 3.096E-005
	LOSP1 4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW 2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAR 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
40	2 448E-007 0 43% 3 145E-005
	LOSP2 1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	4KAC 5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW 2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPI 2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP
53	2 3546-007 0.41% 3.2415-005
and a	TT 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT 1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR 1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

54.	2.343E-	007 0.41% 3.265E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MOPS & TOP- 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAR	6.090E-003 DA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
55.	2.272E-	007 0.40% 3.287E-005
	11	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TOP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
	Sec.	
57.	2.14BE-	007 0.58% 3.331E-905
	TT	7.200E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	B.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	B.B70E-002 2/2 MDPs & TOP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 MRS - ALL SUPPORT
64.	1.809F-	007 0.32% 3.4666-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-0C2 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.0002-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR 8 SPPT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPE-1/4 SGE FAIL NO SUPPORT
	PZR	4.490E-003 1/2 PZR PORVS& BLOCK VLVS FAIL TO OPER- TR B SPRT
70.	1.709E-	007 0.30% 3.572E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
17.4	1 4/05-1	107 0 208 7 688F 005
11.	1.0495-1	
	LMF	3 2005 ODE PEACTOR TRUE FALLS INTRESE CLOUAL WITH DAS . ALL SUDDORT
	RI	1.7002-003 REALIDE TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE STURAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	5.000E ODI ON TO TRID MC RETE
	CMG	5.000E-001 OA TO INFERT CONTROL DONE
	OUR	P POP 007 2/2 MODE & YOD TO /// CC. TALL & MDC . ALL SUDDOPT
	Arw	B. B/DE-DUZ E/Z MOPS & IDP TO 4/4 SUS FAIL S MKS - ALL SUPPORT
75.	1.559E-0	07 0.27% 3.653E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.700E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
17.	1.482E-0	07 0.26% 3.683E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MDPs & 104- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	HPR	2.530E-003 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- AL'. SUPPORT
	1 / 906 -	07 0 25% 7 7/05-005
01.	1.429E-0	
	SLU	0.000 - 000 SMALL LOSS OF LOOLONT ACCIDENT
	651	9.2008 DOG ENGINEERED SAFETT FEATURES TRAIN A FAILS (UTRERS)
	CCP	2.550E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL
	Chi	1.000E+000 LP1. 1/2 LP15. 3/4 CLE65. NO SUPPORT
86.	1.3716-0	07 0.24% 3.810E-005
-	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.710E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 5Gs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	DAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START S!

Pre-TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

87.	1.363E-007	0.24% 3.824E-005
	\$15 1.70	OE-001 Safety Injection Signal (Inadv)
	RT 1.70	OE-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.61	OE-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.00	OE-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	DE-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR 2.69	DE-DO1 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
89.	1.330E-007	0.23% 3.850E-005
	PMF 1.50	OE+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT 1.70	OE-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	OMG 5.00	OE-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	DE-DD1 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR 1.55	0E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
97.	1.172E-007	0.20% 3.9498-005
	PMF 1.50	OE+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT 1.70	OE-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.61	DE-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.00	DE-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	DE-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW 6.15	DE-002 TDP TO 2/4 SGS FAILS- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
	PPR 3.62	DE-DO1 PARTIAL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
98.	1.1298-007	0.20% 3.960E-005
	LOC 3.50	0E-001 Loss of Condenser
	RT 1.70	GE-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.61	DE-DO1 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.00	DE-DO1 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	DE-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR 1.55	DE-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
100.	1.089E-007	0.19% 3.9826-005
	LOC 3.50	OE-001 Loss of Condenser
	RT 1.70	DE-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.61	0E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%

- OMG OCR
- 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS 8.870E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGS FA/L- 5 MRS ALL SUPPORT AFW

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic

Tot	al plant o	damage state frequency = 5.832E-005
NUM	IBER FREQ	VENCY PERCENT SUM
	EVENI	VALUE DESCRIPTION
5.	1.237E	-006 2.12% 9.208E-006
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.69DE-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
3.319		004 1 070 1 074F 00F
6.	1.147E	-006 1.97% 1.050E-005
	LUSPI	5 / 70E .002 LOSS OF OFFICE POWER (STRUCE ONTE)
	ACU	2 000F-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HES- TE B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
9.	9.986E	-007 1.71% 1.348E-005
	LOSP1	4,100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL 5 HRS TR & SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPI	2.430E-002 1/2 CCPS- 3/6 CLEUS- IN B SPRI AVAIL - LUSP
41	4 1000	007 1 119 1 7205-005
14.	D. HYCE	3 000E-004 Large Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESE	2 240E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (LLO)
	I PI	1.000F+000 1/2 RHR PMPs TO 2/3 COLD LEGS- LLO - NO SPRT
	HPI	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIS- 2/3 CLEGS- LLO- NO SUPPORT
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
17.	6.021E-	-007 1.03% 1.910E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO INIP MU SEIS
	OCK	5. UTUE-DUI DA TO INSERT CONTROL ROUS
	PPR	C.DADE-DOI LOCT NEW WAR HO PUT HET POLLONI HOUT
19.	5.899E-	007 1.01% 2.029E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING
25.	4.974E	007 0.85% 2.342E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1. TOUE-DUD REALTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE STURKE WITH ORT ALL SUFFORT
	PLL	5 000E-001 OA TO TRIP NG SETS
	OCR	5 070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	ORR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
	www.	
26.	4.850E	007 0.83% 2.390E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP NG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	B. YIDE-DUZ Z/Z MOPS & TOP TO 4/4 SUS FRIL- 5 HKS - ALL SUPPORT
-	/ 2005	007 0 829 2 4385-005
£1 x	MID.	8 000E-004 Medium Loss of Copient Accident
	ECE .	7 450E-004 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (MLO)
	HPI	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIS- 2/3 CLEGS- MLD- NO SUPPORT
	AFW	1.000E+000 AFW - NO SUPPORT AVAILABLE
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
	CCW	1.000E+000 1/2 CCW TRAINS - NO SUPPORT

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

28.	4.585E-007	0.79% 2.484E-005
	PMF 1.50	E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT 1.76	-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLI 8.61	-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.00	F-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFU R OT	- 002 2/2 MODE & TOD TO 4/4 SES FALL - 5 HES - ALL SUPPORT
	NTN 0.71	, one ere mora a for to are ous inte o and the softent
20	4 1715-007	0.75% 2.5385-005
67.	4.211E-001	C.001 Loss of Main Freductor Flow
	LMr 3.20	TOUT LOSS OF MOIN FECOMOLET FLOW
	Ki 1,70	- DUS KEALTON THE FALLS (DIVERSE STUNAL WITH ON) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 0.01	UUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 404
	QMG 5.00	2-DUT DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	-DOI DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR 2.69	-OD1 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
32.	4.151E-007	0.71% 2.655E-005
	LOSP1 4.10	1-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC 5.47	E-DO2 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW 2.00	E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON 1.00	2+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUFPORT
	OAB 1.00	-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
36	3.892E-007	0.67% 2.733E-005
14.9 1	PMF 1.50	+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AEU 2 72	-005 2/2 MODE & TOD- 2/4 SCE FALL 5 HDS- ALL SUPPORT
	AFR 6.76	-000 1/2 PON DWD- 1// COP EATL - NO DIDDODT
	CUN 1.00	TODO 1/3 CON PARS 1/4 SUS FAIL - NO SUFFORI
	DAB 1.UL	-DUC DA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COULING "START ST
	7 5075 007	0.498 3.8/36.005
37.	3.587E-007	0.02% 2.0422-007
	SGR 2.50	-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW 2.51	-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	OAR 6.05	-DO3 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC W/O SPRAY
		이 이 이 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 같아. 이 가지 않는 것 같아.
42.	2.887E-007	0.49% 2.995E-005
	LOC 3.50	-001 Loss of Condenser
	Ri 1.76	E-DOS REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.61	E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.00	E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR 2.69	-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
48	2 799F-007	0.48% 3.023F-005
	10522 1.00	-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	LYAF 5 17	CODE LIAD V AC DOWER BUS & FALL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	11 D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	-002 WIDD TO 2// CC. EATL. 5 HPC. TO D CODT /W/ TDAY
	AFW 6.00	-006 1/7 CON DWD- 1// CON FALL - NO PUDDODT
	LON 1.00	TOUD 1/3 CON PARS-1/4 SUS FAIL- NU SUFFORT
	HPK C.DU	L-DUE 1/2 CLPS- 1/2 KHK- IN A SPRI FAILS
	5 FALE 007	A 178 X 1507 005
97×	2.5118-007	0.43% 3.1298-003
	LOSP1 4.10	-DD2 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC 5.47	-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW 2.00	E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGB FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON 1.00	E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT
	OAR 6.09	E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
50.	2.436E-007	0.42% 3.202E-005
	LOSP2 1.00	E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	4KAC 5.47	2-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFH 2.00	E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SUS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR & SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON 1.00	FADDO 1/3 CON PMPS-1/6 SCS FATL NO SUPPORT
	HP1 2.63	E-002 1/2 COPR- 3/4 CLEOS- TR & SPET AVAIL- LOSP
	RF 1 6.194	toop the corp. and cooke in a arki hante coar
51	2 4205-007	0 42% \$ 22AF-005
3.0	11 7 27	6-001 Turbine Trin
	PT 1.30	CODE DEACTOR TRID FALLS (DIVERSE STONAL WITH OAL - ALL SUDDONT
	R1 1.70	COS INITIAL DESERVICE THAN (OF
	PUL 8.61	2 OUT INSTIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	UMG 5.00	2-DUT DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5.07	E-DDT DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR 1.55	2-DOT DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

54.	2.360E	-007	0.40%	3.298E -	005

- TT 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
 - RT 1.760E-003 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) ALL SUPPORT
 - PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
 - OMG 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS OCR 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS

AFW 8.910E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT

- 55. 2.351E-007 0.40% 3.322E-005 PMF 1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow AFW 2.720E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT CON 1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL-- NO SUPPORT OAR 6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
- 57.
 2.231E-007
 0.38%
 3.366E-005

 TT
 7.300E-001 Turbine Trip

 RT
 1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) ALL SUPPORT

 PLL
 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%

 OMG
 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS

 AFW
 8.910E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS ALL SUPPORT
- 63. 1.879E-007 0.32% 3.483E-005 SLO 6.600E-003 Small Loss of Coolant Accident ESF 1.210E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN A FAILS (OTHERS) CCP 2.550E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- TR B SPRT AVAIL LPI 1.000E+000 LPI- 1/2 LPIs- 3/4 CLEGS- NO SUPPORT
- 66.
 1.800E-007
 0.31%
 3.538E-005

 LOSP1
 4.100E-002
 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)

 4KAC
 5.470E-002
 4160
 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs LOSP)

 AFW
 2.000E-002
 MDP TO 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)

 CON
 1.000E+000
 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL- NO SUPPORT

 PZR
 4.490E-003
 1/2 PZR PORVs& BLOCK VLVS FAIL TO OPER- TR B SPRT
- 70. 1.757E-007 0.30% 3.609E-005 LMF 5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow RT 1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40% OMG 5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS OCR 5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS OBR 1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
- 72. 1.714E-007 0.29% 3.643E-005 LMF 5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow RT 1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40% OMG 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS OCR 5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS AFW 8.910E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
- 75. 1.620E-007 0.28% 3.692E-005 LMF 5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow RT 1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40% OMG 5.00DE-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS AFW 8.910E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
- 78.
 1.481E-007
 0.25%
 3.738E-005

 SGR
 2.500E-002
 Steam Generator Tube Rupture

 AFW
 2.510E-003
 2/2
 MDPs & TDP 3/3
 SGs FAIL 5
 HRS ALL
 SUPPORT

 HPR
 2.530E-003
 1/2
 CCPs 1/2
 RHR ALL
 SUPPORT
- 83. 1.402E-007 0.24% 3.809E-005 SIS 1.700E-001 Safety Injection Signal (Inadv) RT 1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT PLL 8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40% OMG 5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS OCR 5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS PPR 2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL

TOP Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

87.	1.376	-007 0.24% 3.865E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPOR
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
88.	1.3758	-007 0.24% 3.878E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.720E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
89.	1.3736	-007 0.24% 3.892E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	2.420E-002 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN B FAILS (MLO)
	LPR	8.040E-003 1/2 RPMPs CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MLO- TR A SUPPORT AVAIL
90.	1.370E	-007 0.23% 3.906E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	2.420E-002 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN A FAILS (MLO)
	LPR	8.040E-003 1/2 RPMPs CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MLO- TR B SUPPORT AVAIL
94.	1.300E	007 0.22% 3.959E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPOR
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW	6.600E-002 TOP TO 2/4 SGS FAILS - 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
	PPR	3.620E-001 PARTIAL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
100.	1.1618	007 0.20% 4.031E-005
	LOC	3.500E-001 Loss of Condenser
	RT	1.760E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPOR
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic

Ţ	otal plar	at damage state frequency = 5.893E-005
N	IMBER FR	EQUENCY PERCENT SUM
	EVENT	VALUE DESCRIPTION
5	1.26	16-006 2.14% 9.2296-006
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
6.	1.14	7E-006 1.95% 1.038F-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS & FALL (WITH DGE - LOSD)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 WRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
0	0.06	AE-007 1 ADV 1 3/0E-00E
	10501	4 100E-002 Loss of Officite Power (Finale Unit)
	LKAC	5 470E-002 4160 V AC DOUED DUE & CALL (UITH DCA LOOD)
	ASU	2 DODE-DOZ MOD TO 2/4 CCC EATL - 5 HOS. TO E CODT (NO TOAL
	CON	1 0000+000 1/3 CON DWDe-1// SCA FAIL- NO CURDORT
	HPI	2 430F-002 1/2 CCDe- 3/4 CLECC. TO B COPT AL TI- (OCD
		LINDE OUL IVE COLD SIN CELLS IN D SPRI MUNIC LUSP
11.	8.459	PE-007 1.44% 1.533E-005
	LLO	3.000E-004 Large Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF	2.920E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (LLO)
	LPI	1.000E+000 1/2 RHR PMPs TO 2/3 COLD LEGS- LLO - NO SPRT
	HP1	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIS- 2/3 CLEGS- LLO- NO SUPPORT
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUs FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
15.	6.136	E-007 1.04% 1.811E-005
	TT	7.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	CMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
10	5 898	E-007 1 00% 2 051E-005
1000	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Punture
	AFW	2.5105-003 2/2 MOPE & TOP- 3/3 SCC FALL 5 HPC- ALL CUPDODT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING
	5.040	5 007 0 84N 3 34FF 00F
63.	DHS DHS	1 5005+000 Destini Lens of Main Sandustas Sie
	PT	1 BODE DOS PEAFTOR TRID FALLS (DIVERSE FLOW
	Dia	R CIDE ON THISTAL DOWED LEED THAN (DE
	ONC	5 DODE DOT DA TO TRID NO PETE
	OCR	5 D70E-D01 OF TO TRIF MU SETS
	OBR	1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
25.	4.985	E-007 0.85% 2.365E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	5 000E 001 ON TO TOTO HE OFFE
	OMU	5.000E-001 OA TO TKIP MG SETS
	AFU	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS B GADE-002 2/2 MORE & TOP TO 4/4 CON FALL, E MORE - ALL SUDDORS
		ALTANE OVE EVE HURS & TOP TO 4/4 SUS TAIL S HKS - ALL SUPPORT
27.	4.8738	-007 0.83% 2.463E-005
	MLO	8.000E-004 Medium Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESP	7.570E-004 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAINS A&B FAIL (MLO)
	HP1	1.000E+000 2/4 HPIs- 2/3 CLEGS- MLO- NO SUPPORT
	AFW	1.000E+000 AFW - NO SUPPORT AVAILABLE
	CCU	1.000E+000 CCUS FAIL TO PROVIDE COOLING- 24 HRS- NO SUPPORT
	LLW	1. UUUE+UUU 1/2 CCW TRAINS - NO SUPPORT

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

1.1.1		그는 것이 같은 그는 것은 것을 많은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것을 많은 것이 같이 많이 많이 많이 같이 같이 같이 같이 없다.
28.	4.712E	-007 0.80% 2.510E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.00DE-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW	8.960E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
29.	4.455E	-007 0.76% 2.555E-005
	LMF	5.300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT	1.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
32.	4.143E	-007 0.70% 2.682E-005
	LOSP1	4.100E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Single Unit)
	4KAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGS - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
33.	3.903E	-007 0.00% 2.721E-005
	PMF	1.500E+000 Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	AFW	2.730E-005 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	OAB	1.000E-002 OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI
2.2	7 5045	007 0 418 0 0407 005
31.	3.2006	-007 0.61% 2.809E-005
	SGR	2.500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFW	2.510E-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	OAR	6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC W/O SPRAY
10	5 0/ 25	007 0 508 2 0375 005
46.	C. 746E	3 5005-001 Loss of Condenses
	LUL	3.500E OUT LOSS OF LONGENSET
	RI	1. BODE-005 REALION THIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	C. SIDE-UUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.07DE-DO1 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	PPR	2.690E-001 FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL
1.2	2 2085	007 0 47% 3 0515-005
43.	6.7900	
	LUBPE	1.0002 COSS OF OTTSITE POWER (Duel Unit)
	ARAL	5.470E-002 HIGU V AL FOWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DUS - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 NKS- TK B SPRI (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HPR	2.800E-002 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- TR A SPRT FAILS
67	2 5110	007 0 435 3 1545-005
41.2	LOCAT	(SODE CO2 Long of Official Bours (finale linit)
	CUSPT	4. TOUE OUZ LOSS OF UTTSITE POWER (Single Unit)
	ARAL	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DUS - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MDP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 MRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	DAR	6.090E-003 OA TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RECIRC (INCLUDES OLP)
4.0	2 / 4 7	007 0 429 3 1806-005
40×	TT	7 2005.001 Zurbins Trin
		7.3002 dui iurbine irip
	K1	1. OUDE OUD REACTOR THIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL	8.5TUE-UUT INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG	5.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR	5.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	OBR	1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
51.	2.431E-	00. 0.41% 3.254E-005
	LOSPZ	1.000E-002 Loss of Offsite Power (Dual Unit)
	WAAC	5.470E-002 4160 V AC POWER BUS A FAIL (WITH DGs - LOSP)
	AFW	2.000E-002 MOP TO 2/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS- TR B SPRT (NO TRA)
	CON	1.000E+000 1/3 CON PMPs-1/4 SGs FAIL NO SUPPORT
	HP1	2.430E-002 1/2 CCPs- 3/4 CLEGS- YR B SPRT AVAIL- LOSP

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

52.	2.426E-007	0.41% 3.278E-005
	TT 7	.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT 1	.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8	3.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5	.000E-001 OA TO TRIP MG SETS
	OCR 5	.070E-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS
	AFW 8	1.960E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
	3 3505 003	0.10% X 3505 005
22.	2.359E-007	0.40% 3.350E-005
	PMP 1	TOE ODE 2/2 MODE & SOD 2/2 CC FAIL E HDC ALL CHOODER
	CON 1	DELEGO 1/2 CON DEDE 1/2 CON DELES FAIL - NO SUDDODT
	049 6	DODE-DOD 1/3 CON PAPESTIA SUS FAIL - NO SUPPORT
	Unit U	TOTOL DUS ON TO ESTABLISH HIGH PRESSURE RELIKE (INCLUDES DEP)
56.	2.293E-007	0.39% 3.373E-005
	TT 7	.300E-001 Turbine Trip
	RT 1	.800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH CA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8	.610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5	. DDDE-DD1 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW 8	.960E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
50.	2.003E-007	0.34% 3.4366-005
	SLO 6	.600E-003 Small Loss of Coolant Accident
	ESF 1	.290E-003 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (OTHERS)
	CCP 2	.550E-002 1/2 CCPs - 3/4 CLEGS - TR B SPRT AVAIL
	LPI 1	.000E+000 LPI- 1/2 LPIS- 3/4 CLEGS- NO SUPPORT
10	1 2025 002	A 704 7 1015 AAF
00.	1.7972-007	1005-003 Loos of Official Davies (Circle Units)
	LUDPI 4	VOE-002 LOSS OF DITSILE POWER (Single Unit)
	AFU 2	CODE-002 WOD TO 2/4 SGE FALL - 5 HES- TE E SEET (NO TEA)
	CON 1	000F+000 1/3 CON PMPS-1/6 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT
	PZR 4	.490E-003 1/2 PZR PORVS& BLOCK VLVS FAIL TO OPER- TR B SPRT
1		
69.	1.791E-007	0.50% 3.621E-005
	LMP D.	BODE OOS DEACTOR TRID FALLS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUDDORT
	RI 1.	AND THIT ALL DOUCH THE TAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) " ALL SUPPORT
	OHG 5	DODE-DOI DA TO TRIP MC SETS
	OCR 5	070F-001 DA TO INSERT CONTROL ROOS
	OBR 1.	550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION
71.	1.761E-007	0.30% 3.657E-005
	LMF 5.	.500E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	R1 1,	BUGE-OUS REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL B.	CODE COL ON TO THE MC SETS
	000 5	DULE DUT DA TO TREPT CONTROL PODE
	AFU R	DADE-DUT DA TO INSERT CONTROL RUDS
	NT# 0.	TOUL OUE ETE HUFS & IDF TO 4/4 SUN TAIL S THE SUFFORT
73.	1.665E-007	0.28% 3.691E-005
	LMF 5.	300E-001 Loss of Main Feedwater Flow
	RT 1.	800E-005 REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH DA) - ALL SUPPORT
	PLL 8.	610E-001 INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%
	OMG 5.	DODE-DO1 DA TO TRIP MG SETS
	AFW 8.	960E-002 2/2 MDPs & TDP TO 4/4 SGS FAIL- 5 HRS - ALL SUPPORT
78.	1.481E-007	0.25% 3.769E-005
	SGR 2.	500E-002 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
	AFN 2.	510E-003 2/2 MDPs & TDP- 3/3 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT
	HPR 2.	530E-003 1/2 CCPs- 1/2 RHR- ALL SUPPORT
-	1 /500-007	0.26% 7.7005.005
00.	MID 8	DDE-DDA Medium Lose of Content Accident
	FSF 2	570E-002 ENCINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (MIC)
	5.01 E.A	and the substitution of th

Proposed Case: Solid State Protection System, 2 of 3 Logic (Cont'd)

81.	1.455	E-007	0.25%	\$ 3.813E-005	
	MLO	8.000	E-004	Medium Loss of Coolant Accident	
	ESF	2.570	E-002	ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN & FAILS (MLO)	
	LPR	8.040	E-003	1/2 RPMPs CLEG REC 1/3 CL- MLO- TR B SUPPORT AVAI	L
83.	1.4298-007 0.249		0.242	3.842E-005	
	\$1\$	1.700	E-001	Safety Injection Signal (Inadv)	
	RT	1.800	E-005	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL	SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610	E-001	INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	sorrouri
	OMG	5.000	E-001	DA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070	E-001	DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	PPR	2.690	E-001	FULL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL	
85.	1.4068	E-007	0.24%	3.870E-005	
	PMF	1.500	E+000	Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	RT	1.800	E-005	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) . ALL	SUPPORT
	OMG	5.000	E-001	OA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070	E-001	OA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	OBR	1.550	E-001	OA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION	
90.	1.3798	-007	0.23%	3.939E-005	
	LMF	5.300	E-001	Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	AFW	2.730	E-005	2/2 MDPs & TDP- 2/4 SGs FAIL- 5 HRS- ALL SUPPORT	
	CON	1.000	E+000	1/3 CON PMPS-1/4 SGS FAIL NO SUPPORT	
	OAB	1.000	E-002	OA TO ESTABLISH BLEED AND FEED COOLING -START SI	
91.	1.3678	-007	0.23%	3.9538-005	
	PMF	1.500	E+000 1	Partial Loss of Main Feedwater Flow	
	RT	1.800	E-005	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL	SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610	E-001	INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.0008	E-001 (DA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070	E-001 1	DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	
	AFW	6.7908	-002	TOP TO 2/4 SGS FAILS- 5 HRS . ALL SUPPORT	
	PPR	3.6208	-001	PARTIAL AFW AND NO CRI ALL SUPPORT AVAIL	
98.	1.2158	-007	0.21%	4.041E-005	
	MLO	8.0006	-004 1	Medium Loss of Coolant Accident	
	ESF	2.5706	-002 1	ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES TRAIN A FAILS (MLO)	
	LPI	6.6608	-003	1/2 RPMPs- 2/3 CLEGS- MLO- TR A SPRT FAILS	
00.	1.183E	-007	0.20%	4.065E-005	
	LOC	3.5006	-001 1	oss of Condenser	
	RT	1.8006	-005 F	REACTOR TRIP FAILS (DIVERSE SIGNAL WITH OA) - ALL	SUPPORT
	PLL	8.610E	-001 1	INITIAL POWER LESS THAN 40%	
	OMG	5.000E	-001 0	DA TO TRIP MG SETS	
	OCR	5.070E	-001 0	DA TO INSERT CONTROL RODS	

OBR 1.550E-001 DA TO ESTABLISH EMERGENCY BORATION