

**GPU Nuclear Corporation** 

Post Office Box 388
Route 9 South
Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388
609 971-4000
Writer's Direct Dia Number:

May 11, 1984

Mr. Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #5 Divison of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Crutchfield:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219

Additional Request to Defer Modifications to One Masonry Wall (IE Bulletin 80-11)

Subsequent to receipt of your letter dated March 27, 1984, which forwarded an evaluation report granting deferment of modifications to certain masonry walls, we have identified one (1) additional masonry wall which we believe a deferment until the next refueling outage (Cycle 11) is acceptable. The wall in question is wall No. 21, which is the outside boundary wall for the 480 Volt Switchgear Room. Recent work to address Appendix R Fire Protection requirements has identified the need to eliminate this wall in order to facilitate the installation of the Remote Shutdown Panel. This work is scheduled for the next refueling outage.

Two of the three walls in the 480 Volt Switchgear Room (Wall Nos. 22 and 23), previously identified as requiring modifications during the current outage, have been modified. Our consultant has reanalyzed the consequences of the failure of wall No. 21 during a seismic event and has concluded that its failure would not impact the safe shutdown of the plant. We concur with this conclusion. The attachment to this letter provides the revised pages of Report No. 02-0370-1132 applicable to the reevaluation of wall No. 21.

B405180195 05000219 PDR ADOCK 05000219 IEII Original July

If you should have any questions regarding the information herein, please contact Mr. Paul Czaya at (609) 971-4893.

Very truly yours,

Peter B. Fiedler

Vice President and Director

Oyster Creek

PBF:PFC:dam Attachment

cc: Dr. Thomas E. Murley, Administrator Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

> Resident Inspector Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Forked River, NJ 08731

#### OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION MASONRY WALL FAILURE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the requirements of NRC I.E. Bulletin 80-11, all masonry walls at Oyster Creek that are in proximity to or have attachments from safety related piping or equipment such that wall failure could affect a safety related system have been identified to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. These walls are being upgraded to meet the required seismic standards. Of the masonry walls identified, twenty-five still require modifications.

In order to obtain a delay in the required wall repairs, GPUNC contracted Impel! Corporation to perform a wall failure consequence analysis. This report documents the methodology and results of this analysis.



A Subsequent to the completion of this report, Walls 22 and 23 were modified, whereas Wall 21 was not modified. Since Walls 21, 22 and 23 are all in the area of the 480V switchgear room, GPUN requested Impell to evaluate the consequence of Wall 21 failing and Walls 22 and 23 remaining in tact. It should be noted that the initial analysis conservatively considered all of Wall 21 failing, whereas the structural evaluation for Wall 21 indicates that only the 23 foot continuous span required modification and the 4 foot floor to ceiling section of the wall situated East of the 7 foot doorway did not require modification.

## OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION MASONRY WALL FAILURE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The equipment which could affect plant safety or is utilized to achieve the plant protective functions required for plant shutdown is affected by the following wall failures:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 21, 22, 23, and 42

These twelve walls will be structurally modified prior to restart from the current 1983-1984 refueling outage with the exception of wall 42. Wall 42 was removed above the cable trays. Wall 42 was previously designated as a wall requiring addition of boundary supports. The removal of the top of the wall eliminated the requirement for boundary supports.

Walls whose failure does not directly affect plant shutdown are as follows:

8, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 25

These walls will be modified prior to restart from the following refueling outage. Walls 24, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 will be reanalyzed to determine if the ESW and containment spray piping can maintain structural integrity after wall failure. If the analysis determines that the wall failures have a negative effect of the containment spray piping, wall 24, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 will be modified prior to restart.



Subsequent to the completion of Revision O of this report, Walls 22 and 23 were modified whereas Wall 21 was not modified. GPUN requested that Impell evaluate the consequence of Wall 21 failing and Walls 22 and 23 remaining intact. The conclusion derived from this evaluation is that no plant protective functions required for plant shutdown will be adversely affected by leaving Wall 21 unmodified. This conclusion is based on the following: Wall 21 is essentially two (2) separate sections, a 23 foot long section running East from a concrete wall to a doorway, and a four foot floor to ceiling section running East from the doorway to a concrete wall. The structural evaluation for Wall 21 indicates that the 23 foot section of wall requires modification whereas the 4 foot section is seismically adequate as presently installed and does not require modification. Accordingly, Wall 21 was evaluated in two phases:

23 Foot Section: Maintaining the 23 foot section of Wall 21 unmodified does not affect any plant shutdown systems since all safety related components in proximity to the wall are above the elevation of the wall or redundant components are available since Walls 22 and 23 nave been modified.

Report No. 02-0370-1132 Revision 1

# OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION MASONRY WALL FAILURE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS

4 Foot Section: This section of Wall 21 was conservatively considered to fail in Revision 0 of this report and impact on Cable Trays V-30, 15A and 16C. Since subsequent information received by Impell indicates that this section of Wall 21 is seismically adequate as presently installed, the aforementioned Cable Trays will not be impacted.

## WALL NO. 21

LOCATION: OFFICE BUILDING SWITCH GEAR ROOM

### COMPONENT

#### FUNCTION

Swgr USS 1B2 Power Cabinets

- o Containment Spray PP 1-4
- o SD Cooling PP NUO2C
- o RBCCW PP 1-2
- o Containment Spray PP 1-3
- o MCC 182B
- o CRD Feed PP NCO8B
- o Vital MCC-1B
- o MCC-1821
- o MCC-1822
- o Ltg Dist. Panel B2
- o Building Exhaust Fan F-1-6
- o SD PP NUO2B
- o Core Spray Booster PPs NZO3B, C
- o Auxiliary Power Panel
- o Main Breaker 182M
- o MCC-1824

					OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR	NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION MASONRY		
	Name of				WALL FAILURE WALKDOWN		TPAGE	
1	LRP	4/30/84	Lec	5-1-84		JOB NO 0370-043-1671	- 18	
0	MFB	6/6/33	28	6.8.83	eidis 🛟 nuclear	0370-043-01		
REV	BY	DATE	CHECKED	DATE				

Report No. 02-0370-1132 Revision 1

#### WALL NO. 21

LOCATION: OFFICE BUILDING SWITCH GEAR ROOM

#### COMPONENT

#### FUNCTION

Motor Control Ctrs o 1821

Panels 16-8 50-2 o Power for Swgr Room Area and Access Door

49-2

## ⚠ NOTE:

The following items have been eliminated from the above list due to supplemental information provided to Impell by GPUN or additional analysis done by Impell:

- Cable Trays V-30, 15A and 16C Supplemental information received by Impell from GPUN indicates that the portion of Wall 21 in proximity to these components is seismically adequate as installed. Accordingly, the function of these cable trays will be maintained.
- Ventilation Ducts, Cable Tray 19D These components are above the elevation of the 27 foot continuous span of Wall 21 and are not an integral part of the wall. Accordingly, maintaining the 27 foot continuous span of Wall 21 as presently installed will have no affect on the function of these components.

					AND RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	GENERATING STATION MASON	NRY
					WALL FAILURE WALKDOWN		PAGE
T	140	1/20/84	118C	5-1-84		JOB NO 0370-043-1671	PAGI
0	MEB	6/6/83	19	· · irts	eidis # nuclear	0370-043-01	OF
REV	BY	DATE	CHECKED	DATE		0370-043-01	1 2

#### WALL FAILURE CONSCOUENCE ANALYSIS

Prepared by: |Concrete Wall Identification: 21, 22, & 23 Office Building 480V Swgr. Room Date: Checked by: Date: 5-1- F4 System Affected: As Listed Below Symptoms and Local Summary: Surama ry: Component Name Failure Effects Including System Inherent Effect Upon Effect Upon and Number Mode Dependent Failures Compensating Provision Plant erformance System | Instrument Panels IT-3, IT-4, Enveloped by USS 1A2 & 182 117-4A, B, C failure. |Instrument Panels 3 & 4 Enveloped by USS 1A2 & 182 IOff failure. ITransformer IT-3, IT-4A, 8 inff Enveloped by USS 1A2 & 182 failure. [Terminal Boxes A, B, F IDFF Enveloped by USS 1A2 & 182 failure. failure. All of the above equipment damage has been alleviated by the structural modifications to walls 22 and 23 with the exception of MCC 1821 and Switchgear Unit Substation USS 182. Due to the modifications to Walls 22 and 23, the redundant trains for these components will maintain their functionality during a seismic event. Due to the presence of the redundant trains and the results of the subsequent analysis delineated by the Este on page 19/30 of Appendix D, maintaining Wall 21 as presently installed will not result in any detrimental consequences to protective functions required for plant shutdown.

# OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION MASONRY WALL FAILURE CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS

### TABLE C-2 (Continued)

## AFFECT OF WALL FAILURE ON PLANT SYSTEMS

FAILED SHUTDOWN SYSTEM	CAUSE OF FAILURE	COMMENTS		
Emergency Diesel Generators	Battery Room 17, 18; Control Room Walls 6, 7	DC Power for breakers control and indication at panels 9XF, 8F, and 9F		
Reactor Protection System	Cable spreading room wall 25; control room walls 2, 16; switch gear room walls 21, 22, 23.	Both channels los should fail safe		
Electomatic Relief Valves	Switch gear room walls 21, 22, 23; battery room walls 17, 18; control room walls 2, 3	Manual operation not possible		
Emergency Condenser System	Reactor Building Walls 31, 32; Control Room Walls 2, 3; Battery Room walls 17, 18	Auto control of valves disabled.		
Containment Spray System	Reactor Building Walls 29, 30, 31, 33; Switch Gear Room Walls 21, 22, 23; Control Room Walls 2, 3; Battery Room Walls 17, 18	Pipe ruptured, torus drained, containment isolation not possible. Both trains lost.		



Subsequent to the completion of Revision O of this report, Walls 22 and 23 have been modified and the maintaining of Wall 21 as presently installed has been determined not to have a detrimental affect on protective functions required for plant shutdown.