NOTE TO R. H. ENGELKEN

Mr. Price called me and said he had read my May 14, 1971 memo and the attached material regarding the Rowen allegations at Humboldt. He asked me the status and I told him that Rowen had been interviewed and that we are conducting an investigation. Mr. Price said he wanted us to dig in and if supervision at the plant is doing a poor safety job he wants to get on PG&E promptly. He said he did not want us to treat this matter lightly. I told him that, as in all cases, we would make a thorough investigation and as far as we were concerned we assume the allegations are true and significant until we get all the facts and prove otherwise, if this is the case.

Mr. Price asked for status reports.

B. H. Schur, Associate General Counsel, GC, had occasion to review the material we furnished to Mr. Price. He thought that during our investigation we should get copies of the transcripts on the California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board case and the transcript of the arbitration between IBEW and PG&E, if these are available. These, of course, will contain pertinent detailed information if they exist. I agreed that we would pursue this. In this connection, I suggest that Ward try to get the transcripts from Rowen or the Appeals Board and the IBEW if they do exist and not from PG&E. (If transcripts were not made, there may be other pertinent records.)

I think this case should receive a high priority.

Original signed by: L. D. Low

Lawrence D. Low

cc: A. Giambusso

R. W. Smith, CO: V, w/copy 5/14/71 memo to Price J. J. Ward, CO: IV, w/copy 5/14/71 memo to Price

PDR	ADDCK 05000133			
OFFICE	60		*************	
SURNAME »	LDLo kt	********************		
DATE DATE DATE	5/19/71			ŧ

ad my May 14, 1971

ng the Rowen allega
atus and I told him

at we are conducting

NOTE TO R. H. ENGELKEN

Mr. Price called me and said he had read my May 14, 1971 memo and the attached material regarding the Rowen allegations at Humboldt. He asked me the status and I told him that Rowen had been interviewed and that we are conducting an investigation. Mr. Price said he wanted us to dig in and if supervision at the plant is doing a poor safety job he wants to get on PG&E promptly. He said he did not want us to treat this matter lightly. I told him that, as in all cases, we would make a thorough investigation and as far as we were concerned we assume the allegations are true and significant until we get all the facts and prove otherwise, if this is the case.

Mr. Price asked for status reports.

B. H. Schur, Associate General Counsel, had occasion to review the material we furnished to Mr. Price. He thought that during our investigation we should get copies of the transcripts on the California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board case and the transcript of the arbitration between IBEW and PG&E, if these are available. I agreed that we would do this. In this connection, I suggest that Ward try to get the transcripts from the Appeals Board and the IBEW and not from PG&E.

I think this case should receive a high priority.

Ser him diaft

often besty

List.

The same

MEMO ROUTE SLIP Form AEC-98 (Rev. May 14, 1947)		See me about this. Note and suturn. For signature. For information.
La Low Complians	DATE	REMARKS See Hob's note below. 50-133
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS OF \$121 DATE	REMARKS File in Official File
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS REFE DATE 5/22	REMARKS Koof Vigo in official folo- Of no skew your Suggostions and low to Koof HLP unland
FROM (Name and unit)	REMARKS	Done memor - Jow to RHE tale spills
PHONE NO. DATE 19	Se righ	gional office to be forwarded to the P use other side for additional REMARKS CAS-16-79903-1 Page 186 12032