



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

December 9, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. F. Warnick, Director, Office of Special Cases
FROM: R. J. Cook, Senior Resident Inspector, Midland Site
SUBJECT: MONTHLY STATUS REPORT

Attached is the status report for the Midland Nuclear Construction Site covering the period of November 1, 1983, through November 30, 1983.

The status report contains the input from each member of the Midland Inspection Site Team of the Office of Special Cases.

R. J. Cook
Senior Resident Inspector
Midland Site Resident Office

cc/attachments
J. J. Harrison
R. B. Landsman
R. N. Gardner
B. L. Burgess

8312280262 831219
PDR ADOCK 05000329
R PDR

DEC 14 1983

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT MIDLAND ISSUES

1. Remedial Soils Work Authorization

On November 30, 1983, the NRC was provided an update of the crack mapping monitoring issue raised during the November 10, 1983, Stone and Webster public meeting. Stone and Webster previously had identified several non-conformances relative to the crack map monitoring program that needed more attention to fully comply with the requirements. The licensee has implemented, or is in the process of implementing, various corrective actions to address the identified nonconformances. The Midland NRC staff will review licensee corrective action including verification that all nonconformances have been properly addressed prior to release of soil activities in accordance with the NRC/CPCo Soils Work Authorization Procedure.

2. Document Control Stop Work Orders

During the report period, two updates of the corrective action in progress by CPCo have been provided to the RIII, NRC Midland Section. The CPCo plan to resolve the Field Change Request/Field Change Notice (FCR/FCN) issue has evolved into a three-phase process. Phase 1 involves review of approximately 50,000 FCRs/FCNs to determine if corrective action is necessary. If corrective action is deemed not necessary, Phase 2 is completed with 100% of those documents categorized as not requiring corrective action overviewed by Quality Assurance. Phase 3 involves the resolution of those documents identified through prior reviews in Phase 1 and 2 activities as needing corrective action. To date, only six nonconformance reports (NCRs) have been written, with only two NCRs having potential rework implications.

In addition to NRC review of the licensee's corrective action, Stone and Webster (the third party overviewer) has also closely followed CPCo's resolution to the FCR/FCN issue.